## Chapter 210

# **County Auditors**

#### Chapter 210

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Accounting for road funds, (1970) Vol 35, p 1.

#### 210.110

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Transactions of Multnomah County Employes' Retirement Fund, 1962-64, p 272.

#### 210.170

#### NOTES OF DECISIONS

Presentation of a claim arising out of contract to the auditor, as required by this section, is condition prerequisite to maintenance of an action thereon. Bridges v. Multnomah County (1919) 92 Or 214, 180 P 505.

Allowance or rejection by the auditor may be reversed by the board of commissioners. Id.

The transaction culminating in issuance of the "master warrant" is, in effect, a loan to the county, and its liability is not affected by defects in any of the individual claims, barring fraud or collusion on the part of the holder of the "master warrant." Multnomah County v. First Nat. Bank, (1935) 151 Or 342, 50 P2d 129.

The order authorizing issuance of a "master warrant" need not follow any particular form. Id.

#### 210.180

#### NOTES OF DECISIONS

The fact that a wage claim has not yet been presented to the auditor does not prevent garnishment of the wages. Graf v. Wilson, (1912) 62 Or 476, 125 P 1005, Ann Cas 1914C, 462.

Enactment of this section was within the power of the legislature. Bridges v. Multnomah County, (1919) 92 Or 214, 180 P 505.

#### 210.320 to 210.440

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Duty to prepare cost account for roads, (1970) Vol 35, p 1.

### 210.380

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: County auditor as purchasing agent, 1950-52, p 112.