Chapter 282

Public Printing

282.020

NOTES OF DECISIONS

This section does not require all public printing to be done by the State Printer, and if it did, the legislature could change such requirement. Woodward v. Pearson, (1940) 165 Or 40, 103 P2d 737.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Secretary of State printing as subject to section, 1952-54, p 12; authority of director over state printing, 1954-56, p 8.

282.030

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority of director over state printing, 1954-56, p 8.

282.120

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Expenditure for equipment of the state printing plant as limited to depreciation fund, 1930-32, p 457.

282,210

NOTES OF DECISIONS

The exercise of broad discretion is required in determining whether the bid of one who would perform the work in Oregon is "excessive and not reasonably competitive." Oregon Printing Ind. v. Chamberlain, (1970) 2 Or App 401, 467 P2d 657, Sup Ct review denied.

Where the bid of one who would perform the work in Oregon exceeded by approximately 12% that of the lowest bidder, it was not an abuse of discretion to find, for that reason alone, that bid was not reasonably competitive. Id.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Application to libraries, 1930-32, p 250; application of exceptions, 1962-64, p 207.

282,230

CASE CITATIONS: Oregon Printing Ind. v. Chamberlain, (1970) 2 Or App 401, 467 P2d 657, Sup Ct review denied.