

## Chapter 381

### Interstate Bridges

<b>381.005</b>	funds arising from operation of county interstate toll bridge, 1954-56, p 125.
ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority to construct interstate bridges, 1956-58, p 22.	<b>381.405</b>
<b>381.010</b>	NOTES OF DECISIONS
ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Agreements with foreign political subdivisions for interstate bridges, 1956-58, p 22.	The 1913 Act did not violate Ore. Const. Art. XI, §8, prohibiting the assumption by the state of county obligations. <i>Stoppenback v. Multnomah County</i> , (1914) 71 Or 493, 142 P 832.
<b>381.025</b>	FURTHER CITATIONS: <i>White v. Multnomah County</i> , (1914) 74 Or 96, 144 P 1193; <i>Salmon River-Grande Ronde Imp. Dist. v. Scott</i> , (1933) 145 Or 121, 27 P2d 183.
CASE CITATIONS: <i>DeLong v. Oregon State Hwy. Comm.</i> , (1964) 233 F Supp 7.	<b>381.510</b>
<b>381.065</b>	NOTES OF DECISIONS
LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 46 OLR 130.	"Leading newspaper" means a newspaper having a good circulation in the community where it is published. <i>White v. Multnomah County</i> , (1914) 74 Or 96, 144 P 1193.
<b>381.086</b>	It is not necessary that the paper in which notice is published be a daily; and if it is a daily it is not necessary that the notice be published in every issue for the two weeks. <i>Id.</i>
ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Validity of ORS 381.086 to 381.094, 1952-54, p 83.	<b>381.605</b>
<b>381.205</b>	NOTES OF DECISIONS
NOTES OF DECISIONS	The 1931 Act did not repeal by implication the limitation imposed by OC 56-2301 [ORS 287.004]. <i>Rorick v. Dalles City</i> , (1932) 140 Or 342, 12 P2d 762.
ORS 381.205 to 381.305 do not violate Ore. Const. Art. IV, §20, providing that the title of an Act may embrace but one subject. <i>Eddins v. Wasco County</i> , (1950) 189 Or 184, 219 P2d 159.	The issuance and sale of bridge bonds by a municipal corporation under the 1931 Act created an indebtedness within the meaning of the restriction upon bonded indebtedness in OC 56-2301 [ORS 287.004]. <i>Id.</i>
The issuance and sale of bonds as authorized by ORS 381.205 to 381.305 did not violate Ore. Const. Art. XI, §10, prohibiting a county from creating a liability in excess of the constitutional amount. <i>Id.</i>	Obligations payable out of a particular fund, for which the fund only and not the municipality was liable, were not within the inhibitions of statutes limiting the debts of municipalities. <i>Id.</i>
<b>381.225</b>	<b>381.805</b>
ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Accounting for and budgeting funds arising from operation of county interstate toll bridge, 1954-56, p 125.	ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Agreements with foreign political subdivisions for interstate bridges, 1956-58, p 22.
<b>381.285</b>	<b>381.820</b>
LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 46 OLR 130.	ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority to assess highway use taxes on carriers using interstate toll bridges, 1960-62, p 3.
<b>381.290</b>	
ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Accounting for and budgeting	