

## Chapter 607

### Stock Running at Large; Livestock Districts

#### Chapter 607

CASE CITATIONS: Kendall v. Curl, (1960) 222 Or 329, 353 P2d 227.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Livestock districts formed under this and under former law, 1948-50, p 61; purebred bulls running at large, 1956-58, p 43; control of grazing on game commission lands, 1962-64, p 470; application to unbranded horses running at large on uninclosed public lands, (1971) Vol 35, p 720.

#### 607.005 to 607.045

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Procedure for creation of livestock districts, 1948-50, p 78; election procedure to change boundary, 1960-62, p 405.

#### 607.005

CASE CITATIONS: Crook v. State Dept. of Agriculture, (1959) 218 Or 211, 344 P2d 243; Kendall v. Curl, (1960) 222 Or 329, 353 P2d 227.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Effect upon election of inclusion of federal land within livestock district, 1948-50, p 122; previously organized stock districts as livestock districts, 1950-52, p 51; requirement that election for livestock district that is held at same time as regular election shall be held at same place, 1950-52, p 373; formation of district by district attorney, 1952-54, p 144; continuation of livestock districts formed under prior statute, 1954-56, p 205; election procedure to change boundary, 1960-62, p 405; application to unbranded horses running at large on uninclosed public lands, (1971) Vol 35, p 720.

#### 607.007

#### NOTES OF DECISIONS

Under former similar statute an estray was an animal that had escaped from its owner, and wandered about — usually defined at common law as a wandering animal whose owner was unknown. *Shepherd v. Hawley*, (1871) 4 Or 206.

Under former similar statute an animal turned on a range by its owner was not an estray, although its immediate whereabouts was unknown to the owner, unless it wandered from the range and became lost. *Stewart v. Hunter*, (1888) 16 Or 62, 16 P 876, 8 Am St Rep 267.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Right of private citizen to hold a trespassing animal with a known owner, 1950-52, p 51; similar city ordinance as in harmony with this section, 1950-52, p 305; application to unbranded horses running at large on uninclosed public lands, (1971) Vol 35, p 720.

#### 607.008

CASE CITATIONS: Kendall v. Curl, (1960) 222 Or 329, 353 P2d 227.

#### 607.010

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Effect upon election of inclusion of federal land within livestock district, 1948-50, p 122; district attorney's duty to create livestock district, 1952-54, p 144; creation of district by State Game Commission, 1962-64, p 470.

#### 607.012

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Election procedure to change boundary, 1960-62, p 405.

#### 607.013

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Election procedure to change boundary, 1960-62, p 405.

#### 607.015

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Requirement that election for livestock district that is held at same time as regular election shall be held at same place, 1950-52, p 373.

#### 607.020

CASE CITATIONS: Crook v. State Dept. of Agriculture, (1959) 218 Or 211, 344 P2d 243.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Election procedure to change boundary, 1960-62, p 405.

#### 607.040

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Purebred bulls as "livestock," 1956-58, p 44.

#### 607.042

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Construing "same proposal," 1962-64, p 141.

#### 607.045

#### NOTES OF DECISIONS

This section imposes liability only when the owner is at fault. *Parker v. Reter*, (1963) 234 Or 544, 383 P2d 93.

The prohibition in subsection (1) applies to livestock going upon a public highway as well as upon the land of another. *Id.*

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Penalty for violation of this section, 1948-50, p 61; criminal liability involved when cattle are permitted to run at large in areas other than livestock

or grazing districts, 1948-50, p 328; right of private citizen to hold a trespassing animal with a known owner, 1950-52, p 51; areas where livestock cannot run at large, 1950-52, p 98; application as limited to livestock districts, 1956-58, p 43.

**607.051**

CASE CITATIONS: Crook v. State Dept. of Agri., (1959) 218 Or 211, 344 P2d 243; Kendall v. Curl, (1960) 222 Or 329, 353 P2d 227.

**607.261**

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Considering usage and custom in defining "open range" and "recognized beef breed" as used in this section, 1944-46, p 222; purebred bulls running at large, 1956-58, p 43; application to unbranded horses running at large on uninclosed public lands, (1971) Vol 35, p 720.

**607.311**

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Application to unbranded horses running at large on uninclosed public lands, (1971) Vol 35, p 720.

**607.313**

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Application to unbranded horses running at large on uninclosed public lands, (1971) Vol 35, p 720.

**607.344**

CASE CITATIONS: Bowden v. Davis, (1955) 205 Or 421, 289 P2d 1100.

**607.505**

CASE CITATIONS: Crook v. State Dept. of Agriculture, (1959) 218 Or 211, 344 P2d 243.

**607.515**

CASE CITATIONS: Crook v. State Dept. of Agriculture, (1959) 218 Or 211, 344 P2d 243.

**607.992**

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Criminal liability involved when cattle are permitted to run at large in areas other than livestock or grazing districts, 1948-50, p 328.