

Annual
Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Report to the Legislature
January 2008

Criminal Justice Commission

State of Oregon



Table of Contents

Background	3
County LPSCC Reports	4 – 37

Note: Most counties are listed alphabetically but those with extensive reports are included at the end of the report to make reading easier.

	Report included (yes/no)	page(s)
Baker	no	
Benton	yes	4
Clackamas	yes	5 - 8
Clatsop	no	
Columbia	yes	9
Coos	yes	10
Crook	no	
Curry	yes	11
Deschutes	yes	12 - 14
Douglas	yes	15 - 16
Gilliam	yes	17
Grant	yes	18
Harney	yes	19
Hood River	yes	20
Jackson	yes	21
Jefferson	no	
Josephine	yes	22
Klamath	yes	23 - 24
Lake	no	
Lane	yes	25
Lincoln	yes	26
Linn	yes	27
Malheur	yes	28
Marion	yes	29
Morrow	no	
Multnomah	yes	30
Polk	yes	31
Sherman	no	
Tillamook	yes	32
Umatilla	yes	33 - 34
Union	yes	35
Wallowa	no	
Wasco	yes	36
Washington	yes	37 - 38
Wheeler	yes	39
Yamhill	yes	40 - 42

Appendices

Appendix A – Lane County PSCC 2008 Adult System Report Card	43 - 47
Appendix B – Lane County PSCC 2008 Juvenile System Report Card	48 - 51
Appendix C – Linn County LPSCC 2007 Meeting Minutes	52 - 62

Background

HB 3369 which passed in 2007 states Boards of County Commissions that have Local Public Safety Coordinating Councils (LPSCCs):

“(1) shall publish an annual summary of program, service or budget changes made in response to the recommendations of the local public safety coordinating council described in ORS 423.560 and 423.565.

(2) The report described in subsection (1) of this section shall be provided to the local public safety coordinating council and the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission.”

The Criminal Justice Commission LPSCC subcommittee developed a report template for the LPSCCs and this was distributed to each LPSCC in December of 2007 with an explanation of the bill and a request that the LPSCCs send the CJC their reports prior to the 2008 Legislative session.

This report contains the reports from each county in alphabetical order. Due to differences in how some LPSCCs gather and report their data and how extensive some of the reports are, some of the reports will not be in the same format. Larger reports from Benton, Multnomah, Marion and Yamhill counties are posted on the Criminal Justice Commission website: http://www.oregon.gov/CJC/lpsc_page.shtml.

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Benton**

Report Period: January – December 2007

Reporting Person: Jack Rogers, 2006-2007 LPSCC Chair

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
1-15-08	The current Benton County LPSCC report is found online at the Criminal Justice Commission website: http://www.oregon.gov/CJC/lpsc_page.shtml	See report	See report	See report

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Clackamas**

Report Period: January 1st through December 31st 2007

Reporting Person: Tom Barrett

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
1/25/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	Endorsed submission of grant to United Way to support Children of Incarcerated Parent's project as a follow-up to the Clackamas County November 6 th 2006 Summit	BCC gave authority to the Office for Children & Families to submit a grant application to the United Way	Clackamas County Community Corrections Work Release Center to be site for 3 rounds of <i>Parenting Inside/Out</i> curriculum offered by the Children's Justice Alliance.	\$25,000 grant received from the United Way with initial round of classes beginning late September, 2007.
1/25/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	Endorsed the advertisement for volunteer members to fill expiring member terms.	BCC appointed 4 new citizen volunteer members to the Clackamas LPSCC on May 24 th 2007 representing: 1) faith community 2) fire service 3) citizen advocate 4) criminal justice consultant	Clackamas LPSCC with 16 active members	N/A
1/25/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	Continued to endorse efforts to expand the Clackamas County jail.	December 13, 2006 Clackamas BCC approved spending \$50 million for plan to build a new jail.	Sheriff's and Community Corrections Departments along with Adult Courts directly impacted.	\$50 million approved on 12/13/06 for construction of new jail.
3/6/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	Oregon Criminal Justice Commission held a workgroup meeting in Oregon City hosted by the Clackamas County LPSCC and the Office for Children & Families.	No BCC action but 6 Clackamas LPSCC members and 1 staff remained for the Oregon JCPAC meeting and provided information about the Clackamas County juvenile justice system.	Indirectly impacted the gathering of statewide information for the Oregon Legislature on successful strategies for juvenile crime prevention.	N/A
3/23/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	The Clackamas LPSCC hosted the meeting of the Oregon Juvenile Crime Prevention Advisory Council meeting held in Oregon City	No BCC action but presentations were made by Juvenile Department and Office for Children & Families' staff on the juvenile justice system.	Indirectly impacted the gathering of statewide information for the Oregon Legislature on successful strategies for juvenile crime prevention	N/A

Clackamas

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
5/12/07	Clackamas County holds 5 th Community Congress with participation by LPSCC members and staff with over 100 citizens in attendance.	Attended by all BCC commissioners – not a decision making forum	On question of priorities for Social Service – very broadly defined at conference – Public Safety was listed by 12% of the participants and was the 4 th highest of 10 priority choices.	N/A
5/24/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	The Clackamas LPSCC heard testimony from citizen on public safety issue at local park. Increased signage and police patrols during 2007 decreased law violations.	No BCC action although one of the BCC commissioners was present for testimony.	Local municipal public safety agency	N/A
5/24/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	BCC commissioner member of Clackamas LPSCC presented a request for public safety agency to assist with <i>Mental Illness Crisis Intervention Training Video Vignettes</i> project.	NAMI representatives originally presented issue to the Clackamas BCC earlier in 2007 and request carried to LPSCC by a BCC commissioner	Sheriff's, Community Corrections, and Community Health Departments impacted.	No BCC funding – however potential in-kind resources identified and matter referred to an on-going strategic planning committee working with Community Health and other stakeholders
5/24/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	After review, the Clackamas LPSCC recommended the BCC approve the 2007-2009 Community Corrections Biennial Plan	Clackamas BCC approved the submission of the biennial plan	Community Corrections, Sheriff's, and Community Health Departments as well as the Courts	\$25,141,611 biennial budget proposed utilizing 6 revenue streams which included \$4,539,570 in Clackamas County General Funds.
5/24/07	Ratified a decision made by the Clackamas LPCC to endorse an application by Northwest Housing Alternatives for HUD Section 811 Funds for mental Health Housing at Villebois in Wilsonville.	Did not come before the BCC as a business meeting agenda item	Community Health Department	\$1,704,500 for capital advance and \$50,800 for rental assistance
7/1/07	The Office for Children & Families which provides staff support to the Clackamas LPSCC included a line item general fund request for the FY 2008 budget	The BCC authorized \$25,000 to be included in the FY-2008 budget for the Office for Children & Families.	Office for Children & Families	\$25,000 from County General Fund for FY-2008
7/9/07	Clackamas LPSCC members and staff participated in the joint Oregon LPSCC and Violence Prevention Summer Institute	Did not come before the BCC as a business meeting agenda item.	All stakeholder segments of the Clackamas LPSCC	N/A

Clackamas

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
7/16/07	Clackamas LPSCC provides a letter of support to Cascadia Behavioral Health Care for the CFC Low Income Housing Tax Credit Application for Supportive Housing @ Villebois Urban Village in Wilsonville	Did not come before the BCC as a business meeting agenda item.	Community Health Department	\$310,000 of Oregon Affordable Housing Tax Credits were awarded along with \$371,817 of annual allocation of 2008 Low Income Housing Tax Credits. The project also received \$100,000 from a Housing Development Grant (Trust Fund) and \$73,548 for the Low-Income Weatherization Program.
9/27/07	Clackamas LPSCC received a presentation from Oregon Youth Authority on gaps & barriers as part of the Comprehensive Plan Update to be submitted by the Commission on Children & Families in January, 2008. The Juvenile Department gave a similar presentation on September 11 th to the Commission on Children & Families which was noted at this same LPSCC meeting.	Comprehensive Plan Update will be presented to the BCC on January 14th 2008.	Juvenile Department and Local Law Enforcement Agencies	N/A
10/23/07	Clackamas LPSCC members were among the 25 participants in a BCC study session to review options for the new Clackamas County jail.	BCC selected a jail design option with a request for financial information to justify an additional capacity	Sheriff's, Community Correction, and Community Health as well as the Courts and local police agencies.	\$50 million was authorized in December of 2006 and this was tentative increased to \$61 million to fund a total of 896 jail beds.
12/4/07	Clackamas LPSCC members attended the "What Works" conference hosted by the Multnomah County LPSCC.	N/A	All stakeholder segments of the Clackamas LPSCC	N/A
12/6/07 Clackamas LPSCC business meeting	Received a presentation and endorsed application by the Juvenile Department and Office for Children & Families for an Oregon Youth Authority youth gang grant.	BCC authorized the Office for Children & Families to submit the joint grant with the Juvenile Department	Juvenile Department and Office for Children & Families	N/A – grant applications still in review in Salem
12/6/07	Clackamas LPSCC formed a task force chaired by local police chief for study of the minority overrepresentation in the juvenile justice system in Clackamas County – a follow-up to both 2006 and 2007 Governor's Summits on the topic.	No recommendations as yet have come before the BCC as a business meeting agenda item	Juvenile Department, Juvenile Court and local police agencies	N/A

Clackamas

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
12/6/07	Clackamas LPSCC members participated in the stakeholder survey presented by Clackamas Community Health as part of the biennial implementation for to be submitted to the Office of Additions and Mental Health Services	No recommendations as yet have come before the BCC as a business meeting agenda item.	Community Health	N/A

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Columbia**

Report Period: 01/01/2007 - 12/31/2007

Reporting Person: Linda Hald, LPSCC Support

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding p
05/21/07	recommendation made to the Board of County Commissioners to adopt the 2007-2009 biennial Community Corrections Plan		N/A	State Dep Correction

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Coos**

Report Period: 1/1/07 through 12/31/07

Reporting Person: Roy Wright, Director, Coos County Community Corrections

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
1/25/07	No Recommendation: Follow-up on Mental Health Court – concept to reality	Information only, no action	Criminal Cts – diverts some offenders w/ mental health issues to MH Court; Jail – lessens burden as MH repository Law Enforcement	MH Dept – one case manager Courts – Judge part time and court resources DA – one ADA part time Comm Corrections – Two POs part-time
1/25/07	No Recommendations Review of lost O&C dollars by county departments and effect on community partners	Participant in discussion Information only	Law Enforcement -cuts in Sheriff and Jail beds District Attorneys Office Courts- #cases. Jail beds custody units available Juv Dept – personnel Comm Corr – cust units jail beds & compliance	No Funds Available Cooperative support agreement to be worked out among law enforcement agencies
3/1/2007	No Recommendations Follow-up on lost O&C dollars w/county depts. and community partners	Participant in discussion for update/support, information only.	Specific Losses Outlined: Jail staff / beds cut; Jail early release; DA reviewing cases it will be filing, affects LE, Courts, Comm Corrections,	No Funds Avail OSP and Sheriff to back each other up but response time longer; Sheriff working with all affected agencies to compensate for loss of deputies
8/07	LPSCC approved 2 year Community Corrections Plan	Approved by Board and forwarded to State	Community Corrections; Treatment of Offenders; 1145 Jail Bed Funding	State Grant In Aid % of Grant to Jail
9/21/07	No Recommendation but participation in process to develop 6 year Comprehensive plan for Commission On Children and Families	No action at this time	Commission on Children And families Potentially all programs/ operations involved with children in county	No funding issue at this time

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Curry**

Report Period: 1/1/2007-12/31/2007

Reporting Person: Kenneth W. Dukek

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
6/26/07 9/25/07	JABG Grant Review and quarterly review process for Juvenile Drug Court.	Approved JABG Grant, approved quarterly review schedule.	Juvenile Department	\$10,000 annual JABG Grant
6/26/07	DOC Annual Budget Review and Approval	Approved DOC Annual Budget	Sheriff's Office and Adult Parole & Probation	N/A
6/26/07 7/31/07 8/28/07 9/25/07 10/30/07 11/27/07	Receive monthly updates on the County Comprehensive Plan and assist the Curry Commission On Children and Families with surveys and information.	Monthly reviews, survey reviews, and information.	County Comprehensive Plan	N/A
9/25/07 10/30/07	Attend Evidenced Based Practices Training sponsored by Douglas County LPSCC and report back to LPSCC on information obtained.	3 LPSCC Members attended the training in Roseburg.	Board members received training and information on EBP's.	N/A
6/26/07 7/31/07 8/28/07 9/25/07 10/30/07 11/27/07	Reviewed the Public Safety Task Force resolution and discussed the importance of a tax district for public safety.	Support the Public Safety Task Force, recruit Task Force Members, review progress monthly	Sheriff's Department, District Attorney's Office, Juvenile Department.	The passing of a tax district would fund county public safety that will positively impact the county in light of the O&C issues.
6/26/2007	Recommend that Allen Boice be appointed Sheriff by the BOC	BOC appointed Allen Boice as Sheriff	Sheriff's Department	N/A
6/26/07 7/31/07 8/28/07 9/25/07 10/30/07 11/27/07	A Mental Health Hold Room needs to be developed in the county. A Mental health Sub-Committee needs to be assigned from LPSCC to work on this task.	A Sub-Committee was appointed and shall report monthly to LPSCC on progress.	Community Safety Sheriff's Department District Attorney Curry General Hospital	Funding options are being reviewed.



DESCHUTES COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL

Michael Sullivan
Presiding Judge (Chair)

Tammy Baney
County Commissioner

Michael M.Daly
Commissioner/Alternate

Larry Blanton
County Sheriff

Jack Blum
Citizen Member

Bev Clarno
Citizen Member

Jacques DeKalb
Attorney at Law

Mike Dugan
District Attorney

Peter Gramlich
Bend City Councilor

Scott Johnson
Mental Health Dir.

Andy Jordon
Chief of Police, Bend

Dave Kanner
County Administrator

J. Kenneth Hales
Community Justice Dir.

Ernie Mazarol
Court Administrator

Becky McDonald
Director, 9-1-1

Carl Rhodes
Commander
Oregon State Police

Ron Roberts
Chief of Police, Redmond

Hillary Saraceno
Commission on Children
& Families Director

Bob Smit
KIDS Center Exec. Dir.

Bob Warsaw
OYA Supervisor

Memorandum

To: Mike Stafford, Public Safety Coordinator
Oregon Criminal Justice Commission
From: J. Kenneth Hales, Director
Deschutes County Community Justice
Date: January 14, 2008
Re: HB3369

In response to our prior communication, the following comments and the attached table are provided on behalf of the Deschutes County Public Safety Coordinating Council (Council). The accompanying table identifies actions taken by the Council that have had an impact on the county's criminal or juvenile justice systems, public safety agencies or other community based programs that promote community safety. The actions of the council take three forms.

1. Funding or resource allocation recommendations to the Deschutes County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC)
2. Recommendations to County public safety agencies concerning program implementation or resource utilization.
3. Coordination of inter-agency policies, practices or activities

Throughout 2007 the Council has experienced effective leadership, active participation of its members and a culture of cooperation and common commitment to system improvement. The Board of County Commissioners frequently requests the Council's advice or recommendations. The nature of these requests have ranged from new facility development, system wide strategic planning, prioritizing use of crime prevention funds and program development or service enhancements.

Additionally, the Council has served as a valuable forum and vehicle to address inter-agency issues. This includes influencing agencies to modify protocols to improve how components of the justice system work together. The Council has been particularly effective at fostering inter-agency collaboration to redirect funds, commit personnel resources or undertake new initiatives. This coordinating function has resulted in improved operational efficiencies and service effectiveness.

cc: Judge Michael Sullivan
Chairman, Deschutes County Public Safety Coordinating Council
Dave Kanner
Deschutes County Administrator

County: **Deschutes**

Report Period: 2007 Report of Programs, Services or Budget Changes

Reporting Person: J. Kenneth Hales, Director Deschutes County Community Justice
 Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
1/9/06	Recommend submission of Drug Court request and multi-departmental participation	Authorized mental health department to submit request	Grant funds received, drug court developed in 2007, key partners being circuit court, county mental health department, county probation department, area ODHHS office	\$500,000 plus departmental in-kind resources
1/9/06	Developed interagency agreement between area law enforcement , circuit court and county building services	N/A	Improved LOE access and safety of prisoner transports to courthouse	N/A
2/6/06	Recommend key parties participate in tri-county sexual abuse prevention program	N/A	Program underway, to date 2001 adults have received sexual abuse prevention training	unknown
3/6/06	Recommendation to BOCC to fund misdemeanor sex offender supervision	BOCC approved county general funds to augment other non-state grant revenue in CFY 2008 probation department budget	Allowed continued level of misdemeanor sex offender supervision	\$240,000
8/7/06	Endorse proposal for area hospital to provide nurse examiners with specialization in pediatric sexual assault examinations	N/A	Improved medical services to child and adolescent sexual assault victims	unknown
10/2/06	Recommend BOCC continue funding for Bridge Program	Allowed use of previously allocated funds for this purpose	Mental health department to maintain 1 mental health specialist to work with offenders with mental illness transiting out of the jail	\$80,000
12/4/06	Recommend to BOCC to support functional family therapy for specified juvenile offenders	Approved request of grant funds and use of juvenile department funds to support program	Functional family therapy for juvenile offenders began operations in 2007	\$240,000
12/4/06	Recommend expansion of the Bridge Program	Allowed use of previously allocated funds to this purpose	Mental health dept. expanded services for jail inmates with mental health needs by adding a second mental health specialist	\$80,000

12/4/06	Recommend continued funding for specified juvenile crime prevention programs	BOCC authorized use of current resources to maintain service levels	Three juvenile crime prevention programs were financed through CFY 2008	\$200,000
12/4/06	Recommend expanded use of electronic monitoring	Authorized interdepartmental transfer of funds	Improved electronic monitoring technology was procured and a number of indigent offenders supervised increased	\$50,000
12/4/06	Recommend mental health crises intervention training be made available to area law enforcement agencies	Encouraged interdepartmental participation	Mental health crises intervention training program was developed, sheriffs office deputies trained in 2007	\$20,000 (excluding compensation for participating deputies)
12/4/06	Recommend expansion of mental health court	Allowed use of previously allocated funds to this purpose	Additional mental health specialist was hired to expand support of mental health court	\$80,000
5/7/07	Coordinated development of departmental policy impacting system stakeholders	Allowed change of departmental policy	Changed probation department policy for use of jail incarceration for probation and post prison release violations	Unknown
6/4/07	Recommend probation department maintain current level of service for domestic violence diversion supervision	Allowed use of previously allocated funds for this purpose	Added 1 additional P.O. for this program for the remainder of CFY 2008	\$60,000
7/7/07	Recommend BOCC adopt 2007-2009 Community Corrections Plan	Adopted plan and approved use of other than State grant, grant-in-aid to support plan implementation	New or expanded programs include: street crimes supervision team; drug court offender supervision; cognitive skills classes; expanded electronic monitoring and battering intervention treatment	\$11,491,433

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Douglas**

Report Period: January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007

Reporting Person: Gillian Wesenberg

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
6/12/2007	Review and approval of the 2007-09 Community Corrections Plan	Approved	Douglas County Parole and Probation	
6/12/2007	Review of Douglas County's 2008-2014 Comprehensive Plan: Improving Outcomes for Children & Families	Members provided input	Douglas County Juvenile Department	
10/25/2007	Evidenced-Based Practices in Corrections – What Works? – workshop with Dr. Edward Latessa (presented by the Local Public Safety Coordinating Council)	Sponsored event by the LPSCC		
9/2007	Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) awarded Douglas County a \$450,000 COPS Methamphetamine Initiative grant to fight methamphetamine.	Members provided input	Douglas County Interagency Narcotics Team (DINT), Douglas County Courts, and other community agencies and partners.	Funding in the amount of \$450,000 provided to Douglas County.
11/21/2007	Application for Oregon Commission on Children & Families' Runaway & Homeless Youth Initiative signed by the Douglas Board of County Commissioners.	Members provided input		Grant application submitted for \$122,376
11/29/2007	Douglas County Juvenile Department's Annual Report Card to the Community for Fiscal Year 2006-2007	None	Douglas County Juvenile Department	
12/14/2007	Oregon Commission on Children & Families provided notification that Douglas County is a finalist for the Runaway and Homeless Youth Initiative funding.			
	Other issues that the LPSCC is discussing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact of the loss of the Safety Net funding; • Children of Incarcerated Parents; • Initiation of a Mental Health Court 			

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
	Douglas (Continued)			
	Other: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Douglas County's Sheriff (Chris Brown) resigned; Undersheriff Jim Burge appointed to serve as Interim Sheriff until December 2008. • Douglas County's Parole and Probation's has an interim director – Rob Willbanks. A new director to be appointed by summer 2008. 			

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Gilliam**

Report Period: 2007

Reporting Person: Chris Fitzsimmons, Gilliam Co. Sheriff's Office, LPSCC Staff

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
5/8/2007	TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS BIENNIUM PLAN	ACCEPTED	TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS	YES

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Grant**

Report Period: January – December 2007

Reporting Person: Mark Webb, County Judge

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
5-16-2007	Approval of 07-09 Adult Community Corrections Plan	Accept	Adult Community Corrections	State Grant - \$333,925 Local Resources - \$40,322

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Harney**

Report Period: 2007

Reporting Person: Tim Colohan

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
10/1/07	Creation of Drug Court	ACCEPTED	Probation/Treatment	Grant approved, no money to date
11/1/08	Electronic Monitoring Program	ACCEPTED	Corrections/Probation/Jail	Self Sufficient program
7/01/07	Transitional Housing	ACCEPTED	Probation	Applying for grant

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Hood River**

Report Period: 7/1/06-6/30/07

Reporting Person: Chuck Wall, Chairperson

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
3/7/07	Accept Hood River Community Corrections Plan for 2007-09	Accepted		None
3/7/07	Accept Hood River Circuit Court's Drug Court Plan	Accepted		None
3/7/07	Accept Hood River County's Legislative Plan	Accepted		None

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Jackson**

Report Period: 2007

Reporting Person: Mark Huddleston, District Attorney, LPSCC chair

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
December,2007	The county should make construction and operation of a new jail a top capital priority.	Verbal agreement that this was an important issue.	Sheriff's office/all area LEA, courts, prosecution/defense.	None at this time.
December,2007	The county should support consideration of consolidation of PSAP/911 and Emergency Operations Center services and join with the City of Medford to build a joint facility.	Study into this issue is ongoing and predates the LPSCC recommendation. An RFP for a consultant has been put out.	Sheriff's office/all area LEA.	A \$200,000 grant for the consultant has been received. The county has earmarked \$6 million for construction costs to be used if grant funding and/or federal funding does not come through. Both the grant and federal funding would require a local match.
December,2007	The county should continue to work to stabilize funding for public safety.	The BOC and budget committee have done a good job of maintaining public safety services in the face of declining local, state and federal revenues.	All state, county and local criminal justice entities and the public.	Millions.
July, 2007	BOC should add Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee (JJAC) staff person as a member of the LPSCC.	BOC made appointment the following month.	LPSCC and JJAC.	None requested.
June, 2007	BOC should modify Board order for LPSCC Oversight Committee to include the LPSCC president and vice president as permanent members.	BOC order issued the following month.	LPSCC.	None requested.
Ongoing	BOC should allow LPSCC to use existing county contract with media firm to allow us to produce public television shows dealing with issues involving the criminal justice system.	Approved.	LPSCC, criminal justice agencies and the public.	\$5,000 per show.
December, 2007	The county should work with community partners to develop a crisis hub to integrate mental health, alcohol and drug, and sobering and detox services.	Study into this issue is ongoing and predates the LPSCC recommendation. A consultant was hired on August 8, 2007.	Mental health, alcohol and drug, and sobering and detox services.	\$30,000.

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Josephine**

Report Period: January – December, 2007

Reporting Person: Stephen Campbell – Chair 2007, Josephine County District Attorney

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
6-14-07	Recommend adoption of Community Corrections Biennial Plan	Approved 6/20/07	Community Corrections	State Correction Funds
8/23/07	Review Safe Schools Compact	No formal action required	School Security at all public schools	

February 1, 2008

Oregon Criminal Justice Commission
Craig Prins, Executive Director
885 Summer St NE
Salem, OR 97301

RE: HB3369 Reports

To CJC:

The Klamath County Local Public Safety Coordinating Counsel (LPSCC) is an advisory group without staff resources, financial resources or funding authority. Although members of the LPSCC are identified in statute, attendance and participation is voluntary. As such, we have chosen to use our meetings as an advisory forum reviewing community plans, information, or issues, presented by members of the counsel. The Klamath County LPSCC does not make policy or allocate funds.

Sincerely,

Steve Berger, Vice-Chair
Klamath Local Public Safety Coordinating Counsel

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Klamath**

Report Period: January – December 2007

Reporting Person: Steve Berger

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
December 2007	See attached cover letter			

Annual LPSCC Report

County: Lane

Report Period: 2007

Reporting Person: Susan Sowards, PSCC Systems Analyst, Lane Council of Governments Principal Planner

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
06/27/07	Accept and approve the 2007-09 Lane County Community Corrections Plan in the amount of \$21,208,780	Accept	Lane County Community Corrections Grant-in-Aid funded programs including Parole & Probation, Sheriff's Office, treatment and service providers	\$21,208,780 of State Community Corrections/ SB 1145 funds
12/12/2007	Temporarily increase citizen membership on PSCC from three to four	Accept	Limit on number of members on PSCC.	Not applicable
12/12/2007	Appoint citizen members to PSCC	Accept	Citizen members appointed to PSCC	Not applicable

The entire 2008 Lane County Adult and Juvenile System Report Cards appear in Appendix A.

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Lincoln**

Report Period: January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007

Reporting Person: Bernice Barnett, Chair

Note: The Lincoln County LPSCC is utilized as a strong communication group. It is a connector between City and County Government and Public Safety. The schools are involved. Planning for Emergency Situations, school safety and table top exercises are all discussed at this monthly meeting. This last year Emergency Services presented the county Mobile Command Station to LPSCC members with an offer to all to use the Station as a resource to various government entities.

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
2007	Local SB1145 allocation of funds	Referral/review	Jail and Com. Corrections	Affected distribution decision not fund amount
Spring 2007	Lincoln County Community Corrections Plan	accept	Community Corrections	none
2007	Juvenile Annual Report	Referral/review	Juvenile Department	none
2007	District Attorney's Annual Report	review	District Attorney's Office	none
December2007	Homeless Project Support	In process not sent	County and City government/Public Safety Agencies	Grant request in process

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Linn**

Report Period: January – December, 2007

Reporting Person: Keith Miller, Staff

Report: The Linn County LPSCC did not make any recommendations to the Linn County Board of Commissioners in regards to programs, services or budgets. The Linn County LPSCC did meet three (3) times during 2007 per its bylaws. See Appendix C for a copy of the agenda and minutes for each meeting. If you have any questions regarding the activities of the Linn County LPSCC, please call staff person, Keith L. Miller at 541-259-5848.

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
n/a				

Annual LPSCC Report

Malheur County

Report Period: January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007

Reporting Person: Aaron Hartman

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
3/14/07	Runaway/Homeless Youth Initiative	Accepted in January; created sub-committee in October to create plan and seek funding	Harvest House Missions S.E.A.S.O.N.	Grant submitted to Oregon Commission on Children & Families
3/14/07	Underage Drinking	Accepted as a focus in March, Free Training May 16	Nyssa's Youth Access to Alcohol Program	Grants provided activities
5/30/07	Child Neglect	LPSCC participated in Child Neglect Summit July 13	Various plans for reducing the number of children entering foster care system	Grant and Commission on Children & Families funded summit
5/30/07	Community Corrections Biennial Plan	Approval 5/30	Community Corrections	Grant in Aid Funding
5/30/07	Violence Prevention Summer Institute	6 participated in Corvallis	Juvenile Department/Juvenile Crime Prevention	Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention Challenge grant
10/8/07	JCP and Children & Families Plan	Discussion	Juvenile Department/Juvenile Crime Prevention	JCP funding
11/5/07	Election of LPSCC officers and increase frequency of meetings	Accepted	LPSCC	None
11/5/07	Sex Offender Treatment program ceasing; recommend research options	Accepted	LPSCC agencies	None
12/3/07	Focus for 2008 to be Underage Drinking and Runaway/Homeless Youth	Accepted	LPSCC	None to date

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Marion**

Report Period: January – December 2007

Reporting Person: Patti Milne, LPSCC Chair

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
1/15/2008	The current Marion County LPSCC Report is issued is found online at the Criminal Justice Commission website: http://www.oregon.gov/CJC/lpscc_page.shtml	See report	See report	See report

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Multnomah**

Report Period: January – December 2007

Reporting Person: Judy Shiprack, LPSCC Coordinator

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
January 2008	Multnomah County LPSCC Report is issued in June of each year. The current report is found online at the Criminal Justice Commission website: http://www.oregon.gov/CJC/lpscc_page.shtml Multnomah County's next report will be issued in June of 2008	See report	See report	See report

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Polk**

Report Period: January – December, 2007

Reporting Person: Marty Silbernagel

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
2/5/07	Approval for short-term housing – Community Corrections	Accept	Community Corrections	\$65,000
5/7/07	Approval of recommended biennial plan for '07-'09	Accept	Community Corrections	\$3.2 million approximate from DOC
11/05/07	Approval for gang intervention grant	Accept	Juvenile Department	\$320,000 requested

Annual LPSCC Report

County: Tillamook

Report Period: 1/1/07 - 12/30/07

Reporting Person: Lt. R. Mike Lawlis, Director

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
05/03/2007	Review of Plan & Programs – plan approved	Plan approved subject to further budget review	None	Legislative 1145 allocation to be determine
05/21/2007	Review and approval of plan and budget by BOCC	Board Approved and forwarded to DOC	None	1145 allocation
8/08/2007	Review of tentative budget revision based upon Legislative allocation	LPSCC chair approved submission to DOC pending final LPSCC review	None	
11/08/2007	Review of budget revision	Approved budget revision and modifications	Enhanced Tx funding Restore 1145 Bed & Sanction funding	1145 increase to \$185K from \$125K Increase from \$175K & \$20K to \$225K & \$30K respectively

**UMATILLA COUNTY
LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL
216 SE Fourth Street
Pendleton OR 97801**

January 2, 2008

UMATILLA COUNTY
Board of Commissioners
216 SE Fourth Street
Pendleton OR 97801

Subject: 2007 Local Public Safety Coordinating Council
Annual Report to Board of Commissioners

Gentlemen:

Following is a brief summary of the monthly meetings as well as concerns and events of significance to the Umatilla County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council for calendar year 2007. Should you have any questions or concerns, we would be glad to add them to an upcoming LPSCC agenda, or to meet with you at your convenience.

January:

- Drug Court completes its second quarter
- Communication continues with Criminal Justice Commission regarding budget concerns
- Elizabeth Weber is new Deputy District Attorney for Youth Services
- Site plan is approved for EOAF Detox/Respite facility

February:

- LPSCC met at Hermiston Police Department
- LPSCC endorses grant application by Sexual Abuse Response Task Force

March:

- Drug Court Grant Application timelines are reviewed
- LPSCC supports Detox facility as most efficient way to handle alcohol and drug issues in Umatilla County
- Case Flow Management discussion continues

April:

- LPSCC Goals are set for 2007:
 - 1) Drug Court
 - Sustain Adult/Family Drug Court with preference for women with children
 - Juvenile Drug Court
 - 2) Stafford Hansell Government Center to fulfill its potential as a justice center
 - Staffing
 - Victims Room
 - Grand Jury in Hermiston
 - 3) Emphasis on Youth
 - Alternatives to Juvenile Detention
 - Juvenile Drug Court
 - 4) Umatilla County Jail to 100% Capacity

May:

- Community Corrections Plan Review (2007-2009) and endorsement by LPSCC

**UMATILLA COUNTY
LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL**

June:

- Alcohol and Drug Plan Review

July:

- No LPSCC meeting was held in July

August:

- Relief Nursery Update – Patricia Sullivan
- Sonja Hart recommended to represent Eastern Oregon Alcohol Foundation on LPSCC

September:

- No LPSCC meeting in September

October:

- Committee Reports

November:

- Report on annual statewide LPSCC conference – Eva Temple

December:

- Safety in our Schools Update presented by Curt Thompson and Jim Keene
- Misdemeanor Alternative Custody Program presentation and unanimous endorsement by LPSCC

It is a privilege to chair the Umatilla County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council. We are fortunate that Umatilla County has so many dedicated public safety professionals who work together so well. It is appropriate to mention in this report that these people deserve recognition for their commitment to the safety of our community and our citizens.

Please do not hesitate to contact any LPSCC member or me if you have any questions about this report or LPSCC activities.

Sincerely,

Susan E. McHenry

Susan E. McHenry, Chair
UMATILLA COUNTY
LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Union County**

Report Period: _January 1 – December 31, 2007

Reporting Person: Susan Cederholm - Chair

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
5/7/2007	Approved Union County Corrections Plan for the 2007-2009 Biennium	Accept	Union County Community Corrections	None
7/9/2007	Approved Regional Juvenile Accountability Grant CEOJJC	Accept	Union County Juvenile Tracker	Yes

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Wasco County**

Report Period: January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

Reporting Person: Molly Rogers, Chair (Director – Wasco County Department of Youth Services)

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
February – April 2007	Move the “holding” area for adults who are taken into protective custody based on mental health conditions to a safe place outside the booking area at the NORCOR facility. Recommendation was to review this policy over the next year to see if there is an impact on the time that officers spend waiting for decisions, and if there are any unintended negative consequences.	Accept and support the decision.	Changed the policy from transporting people taken into protective custody for mental health concerns to the Mid-Columbia Medical Center to a safe area outside of the booking area and the NORCOR facility.	None – Impact was to reduce the costs of overtime for both the City of The Dalles Police Department and Wasco County Sheriff’s Office.
April 2007	Participation and Leadership for the Wasco County Dependency Court through a Byrne Grant – Criminal Justice Commission	Approve and provide policy support	Development of specialized Family Dependency Court based on the “Drug Court” model	Grant funding through Federal Byrne Grant – In-kind through State Courts and County services.
June 2007	Review and acceptance for the Wasco County Department of Youth Services to implement the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Initial phase of testing for validity	Approve the implementation of the instrument	The recommendation changed the policy at the Department of Youth Services to include an objective risk assessment instrument in the decisions to detain youth.	No direct funds from or to the County. Participation with a grant through Central and Eastern Oregon Juvenile Justice Consortium (CEOJJC)
June 2007	Funds seized by the District Attorney’s Office based on convictions relating to drug offenses will be dedicated to treatment services and administered by the Wasco County Drug Court for individual needs.	Approve recommendation	The Wasco County Drug Court would have access to administer funds for treatment services for clients based on criteria and approval on an individual basis.	Approximately \$20,000 was anticipated through a significant seizure pending at the time of the policy recommendation.

Washington County

January 18, 2008

Mr. Mike Stafford
Public Safety Coordinator
Oregon Criminal Justice Commission

Mr. Stafford:

Please refer to the attached regarding recommendations made to the Washington County Board of Commissioners by the Local Public Safety Coordinating Council in 2007.

Although the attachment reflects only one formal recommendation submitted by the LPSCC to the Board of Commissioners during the year, it should be noted that the LPSCC continues to be significantly involved in public safety issues in Washington County. Aside from the LPSCC's mandated activities surrounding the Community Corrections and Juvenile planning issues, the council has been actively involved in discussions related to the County's Criminal Justice System Master Plan Update. This process includes extensive involvement in facilities expansion discussions for the County's long-term incarceration needs through 2030. Given the nature and stage of this large planning project, formal Board of Commissioner's actions have been limited. However, the LPSCC's involvement has, by far, exceeded the broader goals of the SB1145 legislation in terms of its involvement in comprehensive long-term planning for justice issues in Washington County.

In 2008, the Washington County Commission on Children and Families will be presenting their revised comprehensive plan to the LPSCC for approval. Also, the LPSCC will continue to be involved in long-term planning efforts related to the County's Criminal Justice Master Plan Update and in the fiscal 2008-09 budget process.

Sincerely,

Rod Rice, Deputy County Administrator
Washington County Administrative Office

c: Washington County Board of Commissioners
Robert Davis, County Administrator
Dennis Mulvihill, Government Relations Manager

County: **Washington**

Report Period: 2007

Reporting Person: Rod Rice, Deputy County Administrator

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
6-9-07	Approve 2007-2009 Community Corrections Plan	Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Community Corrections• Sheriff's Office• Health and Human Services• Local contracting agencies	\$32.3 million

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Wheeler**

Report Period: January – December, 2007

Reporting Person: Lisa Helms, Wheeler County Juvenile Director, Facilitator of LPSCC

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
5-9-07	Tri-County Community Corrections plan	Accepted	Tri-County Community Corrections	yes



Yamhill County Community Corrections

Richard Sly, Director

Inter-Office Memorandum

To: Mike Stafford, Oregon Criminal Justice Commission January 15, 2008

From: Richard Sly, Director

Subject: HB 3369 Annual Local Public Safety Coordinating Council Report

This memo serves as a cover to the template or form developed for county reports in keeping with the spirit of HB 3369. In preparation for sending our report I back-tracked on earlier Stafford email communication to determine how to fill out the report and at the same time to make it meaningful for the purpose of your data gathering effort for the legislative session coming up in February.

Reviewing the language of the bill it appears the county is obligated to *publish an annual summary of program, service or budget (changes) made in response to the recommendations of the local public safety coordinating council...*

Let me highlight how the Local Public Safety Coordinating Council operates in Yamhill County, a model and approach we've used since signing on as an Option I Community Corrections program in 1977-78. Then the predecessor to Local Public Safety Coordinating Council was the Yamhill County Community Corrections Advisory Committee. The advent of SB1145 (1995-97) changed the title and provided expanded duties and membership (ORS 423.560) to the work of the council. Our council continued to operate in a similar manner developed along the way between 1977-78 to present.

The Yamhill County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council is advisory. The council meets 5 times annually in February, April, June, October and December. The Chair is elected by the Council. Bylaws have been in place since the beginning of our venture into community based corrections. The County Board of Commissioners appoints members from the respective disciplines set forth in statute.

Our meetings average 25-30 people. The meetings are scheduled on the second Wednesday of the months listed herein. The Council does appoint sub-committees to work on special projects, by design that is subject to review by the Council.

We have a standing LPSCC Policy committee with a charge to attend to day-to-day business of the department. This policy committee also serves a similar oversight with other county criminal justice entities and functions. The policy committee is chaired by a rotating BOC liaison commissioner. The policy committee includes the elected Chair of the LPSCC, a public member appointed to the council, each of the four Circuit Court judges, the Sheriff, Juvenile Department Director, Community Corrections Director – and invited guests representing specialty areas. The District Attorney also participates in the policy committee meetings. The Policy committee meets quarterly, usually in March, June, September and December. Community Corrections provides staff support to the full council and the policy committee.

In the formative years of our involvement in community corrections, early members of the policy committee and the larger CCA Advisory Committee designed an operational model wherein the Director hired to lead the program would also develop policy, budget and practices on a daily, monthly and annual basis. The Director in Yamhill County works closely with the governing authority, the Board of Commissioners, the Court, District Attorney and the Sheriff.

The full council in Yamhill County does not provide direct administration of the program. Policy changes, legislative initiatives and other significant changes to program components are reviewed throughout the year by the full council. A formal adoption process is used and recorded in the meeting minutes.

I am enclosing for your review copies of our full council agenda for February 14, 2007, April 18, 2007, June 13, 2007 and October 10, 2007 to illustrate the organization of our meetings – and the business forthcoming in our sessions. The minutes for each of these meetings are included: i.e. February 2007 has minutes from the last meeting: December 13, 2006. I believe these sample agenda and minutes will give you a sense of the work of our LPSCC. Finally you will find enclosed a copy of our current Biennial Plan 2007-2009, reviewed in draft and final form and approved by Yamhill County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council and forwarded to the Board of Commissioners for adoption (docket #07-543) June 20, 2007.

The Biennial Report is prepared in the format recommended by Department of Corrections and meets the criteria for information required under HB 3369.

Looking forward: In an upcoming council meeting, scheduled for Wednesday, February 13, 2008 the agenda will include a plan submitted by our Health and Human Services Department – for review, consideration and adoption. District Attorney, Brad Berry will also provide a formal report to the Council about the work done in keeping with the legislative mandate in SB111 – requiring counties to develop Officer Use of Deadly Force Investigation Guidelines. A draft of this report is also included for your review. This is a good example of the strong collaboration that is ‘business of the day’ in Yamhill County.

We trust you will find this helpful. Please let me know if additional information is needed for CJC.

cc: Mary P. Stern, Chair – Yamhill County Board of Commissioners
Lee Vasquez, Chair – Yamhill County LPSCC
Barbara Paladeni, Deputy Director

Annual LPSCC Report

County: **Yamhill**

Report Period: June 27 to present (January 15, 2008)

Reporting Person: Richard Sly, Director

The current Benton County LPSCC report is found online at the Criminal Justice Commission website:
http://www.oregon.gov/CJC/lpscc_page.shtml

Please note any specific changes made in the recommendation in the Commission Action box

Date	LPSCC Recommendation	Commission action: (accept/reject/modify)	Policy/Program affected	Funding provided
June 2007	Approval of Biennial Plan	BOC adoption 6.20.2007	Community Corrections	State Grants: \$5,230,915 County/Other funds: \$2,661,669 Inmate Welfare release subsidy: \$13,070



Criminal Justice System in Lane County, Oregon
JANUARY 2008

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ADULT REPORT CARD	
2006	2008
CRIME AND SAFETY	
B-	B-
RESOURCES AND CAPACITY	
F	F
EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS	
A	B
JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY	
F	D

CRIME AND SAFETY

GRADE B-

- Drug abuse arrests have continued to rise in Lane County and are still much higher than Oregon and the U.S.
- Addressing adult felony violent crime continues to be a high priority with violent crime contradicting the Oregon and U.S. trend and rising slightly
- Serious property crime is dropping but Lane County still ranks among the worst in the U.S.
- The high rate of adult drug abuse, with arrest rates far exceeding Oregon or the U.S., contributes to higher rates of property crime
- After extremely high rates of child abuse victimization, this indicator had dropped below Oregon and the U.S. and continues to move slowly downward

RESOURCES AND CAPACITY

GRADE F

- The system's capacity to address crime decreased while population increased
- The number of law enforcement officers per 1,000 population remains below Oregon and far below the U.S.
- 123 jail beds are closed due to lack of staffing
- Releases due to jail overcrowding have decreased
- DA felony intakes per prosecutor have gone down slightly due to fewer felony arrests
- The system is not able to adequately address many types of crime

EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS

GRADE B

- The grade dropped from an A to a B in part because Lane County's rate of arrests per officer is dropping while Oregon's rate of arrests per officer is rising in both property and violent crimes
- The rate of arrests to reported violent crime is moving rapidly upward
- At the same time, the rate of arrests to reported property crimes is going down
- The percent of both felony and misdemeanor cases brought to trial that are successfully prosecuted in a timely fashion is higher than Oregon and increasing

JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

GRADE D

- The grade improved from an F to a D in this category because in some areas Lane County is better than Oregon or the U.S. and continues improving
- The percent of felony offenders failing probation supervision is lower than the Oregon rate for the first time in six and a half years
- The percent of individuals failing to appear for court events remains very high
- Offenders still are often not held accountable; in 2006, over 3,000 adult inmates were released annually from jail due to overcrowding

For 2006 and 2008 PSCC Adult and Juvenile Criminal Justice System Report Cards and Data Books, please visit www.lcog.org/lgs/safety.html


ADULT CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INDICATORS

CRIME AND SAFETY


GRADE: B-

The system improved in the area of crime and safety with the exception of pervasive drug abuse and the continued high rate of serious property crime. The county's adult drug abuse arrest rate continues to exceed both Oregon and U.S. rates, though it is dropping slightly.


Drug Abuse Arrest Rate per 10,000 Adults




Rate of Reported Serious, Violent Crime per 10,000 Population



Rate of Reported Serious Property Crime per 10,000 Population



Serious Reported Crime in 2005 per 100,000 Population
Lane County's Rank Among U.S. Counties of 100,000 to 1 Million Population




ADULT CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INDICATORS


RESOURCES AND CAPACITY

GRADE: F The criminal justice system in Lane County has too few law enforcement officers and funded jail beds. The District Attorney is prosecuting fewer crimes due to the loss of prosecutors. The number of capacity based releases from jail are continuing to decrease.

Built vs. Funded Beds




Releases Triggered By Overcrowding as Percent of Lodgings



"Percent of Lodgings" In the chart above is the number of Jail inmates released as a percent of all inmates housed at that time in the Lane County Jail.

District Attorney Felony Intakes per Prosecutor



Because of budget constraints, the District Attorney's Office stopped prosecuting most non-violent misdemeanors in April 2005. In August 2007 they started filing these cases again and offering offenders a speedy resolution if they successfully complete a correspondence course. The case load per attorney continues to be very large with the office still 9 or 10 attorneys short of what they need according to a 2004 American Prosecutors Research Institute study.


ADULT CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INDICATORS

EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE USE OF RESOURCES


GRADE: B

The system is doing a good job with the available resources. Law enforcement's rate of arrests per reported crime remains higher than other areas of the U.S. At the same time, the number of law enforcement officers per 1,000 population is still lower than the Oregon rate and substantially below the U.S. rate. Lane County no longer has an Interagency Narcotics Enforcement Team, SWAT, nor resident deputies in rural communities.

Rate of Arrests to Reports for VIOLENT Crimes



Rate of Arrests to Reports for PROPERTY Crimes




JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY


GRADE: D

A very high number of offenders fail to appear for court. Re-offense rates for offenders on supervision are improving and have dropped below the Oregon rate.

Percent of Individuals Who Fail to Appear for Court



3-Year Re-offense Rate for Felony Offenders on Probation Supervision




Average Jail Sentence in Months for All Felonies

	1998	2000	2002
Lane	1	3	1
Oregon	1	2	1
U.S.	6	6	7

This Report Card was prepared by the **Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC)** to inform the citizens on the status of their public safety system. Local public safety coordinating councils are charged by the Oregon Legislature with developing county-wide comprehensive plans for the safety and justice system, including use of local and state resources. The PSCC Public Information Task Force, staffed by Lane Council of Governments, prepared this Report Card. The Task Force determined measures and collected longitudinal and baseline data for comparison. The Report Card will be periodically updated so the community can track system progress.

The local criminal justice system in Lane County includes: nine law enforcement agencies; four primary public safety answering points (9-1-1 and dispatch centers); the county jail in Eugene; three small city jails; district attorney; public defender; probation and post-prison supervision; State Circuit Court and Eugene and Springfield municipal courts; treatment; juvenile services; juvenile court; shelter; juvenile educational and treatment services; and services to help offenders transition back into the community.



Grading System for the Report Card

The report card grades were calculated using an unbiased, consistent mathematical formula based solely on the data. Each category and the indicators included in each category were assigned grades. Grades were determined by comparing Lane County's trend and its current rate over the last seven years of available data to the trend and current rate of other geographic areas with comparable data.

- A** Trend and current rate substantially better than comparison geographic areas
- B** Trend and current rate better than comparison geographic areas
- C** Trend and current rate roughly equal to comparison geographic areas
- D** Trend and current rate worse than comparison geographic areas
- F** Trend and current rates substantially worse than comparison geographic areas

A plus (+) is added to the grade if the trend and current rate have improved over the last three years of available data compared to the last seven years. A minus (-) is added to the grade if the trend and current rate have worsened over the last three years of available data compared to the last seven years. Grades of "F" are not eligible for either a plus or a minus. The trend for each category is also noted as Getting Better, Getting Worse, or Stable.

For more information on the Report Card, please contact Lane Council of Governments at (541) 682-4283 or visit www.lcog.org/lgs/safety.html

Lane County has a population of 343,140 people living in a geographic area of 4,618 square miles — roughly the size of the state of Connecticut. The county stretches from the summit of the 10,000 foot Cascade Mountains, through the tree-covered Willamette Valley prairie and wetlands, over the 6,000 foot Coast Range to the Pacific Ocean. Lane is an urban/rural county with more than half the residents (60%) living in Eugene and Springfield, the second largest urban area in the state. Approximately 10% live in small cities and the remaining 30% live in unincorporated areas scattered around the county. A total of 1,433 miles of county roads, 918 miles of city roads, and 484 miles of state maintained roads wind along rivers, lakes, and the two mountain ranges connecting widely separated small cities and the urban core.



Criminal Justice System in Lane County, Oregon
JANUARY 2008

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	
JUVENILE	
REPORT CARD	
2006	2008
CRIME AND SAFETY	
D+	D+
RESOURCES AND CAPACITY	
F	F
EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS	
C+	C-
JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY	
F	F

CRIME AND SAFETY

GRADE D+

- Unlike the adult system grade, the grade for the juvenile system is influenced by drop out rates and self reported drug use by youth in the general community – not just those in the criminal justice system. Since data on youth in the general community relate more to “prevention”, the PSCC will reevaluate whether or not to include these data in the future.
- The juvenile arrest rate for drug abuse increased while the rate for serious property crime slightly decreased
- The juvenile arrest rates for drug and property crime remain higher in Lane County than Oregon or the U.S.
- More than 70% of juveniles on probation have alcohol or other drug problems
- Over 25% of juvenile offenders are using methamphetamine

RESOURCES AND CAPACITY

GRADE F

- Capacity of the juvenile justice system experienced a persistent erosion of corrections and treatment options
- 58 beds to hold juvenile offenders accountable remain unfunded
- State closed-custody beds available for Lane County youth were reduced by 56% since 2001-02
- Juvenile offenders who are mentally ill and those at a high risk to re-offend are remaining in the community

EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS

GRADE C-

- In Lane County, 66% of juveniles do not re-offend after they come into contact with the juvenile justice system but this rate is decreasing
- Only a small percent of juvenile offenders become chronic offenders but this percent is increasing

JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

GRADE F

- The ability to hold juveniles accountable is inadequate
- The system’s ability to hold juvenile offenders in secure custody is fading due to reductions in services, and unfunded detention and treatment beds
- 943 were in need of detention for violations but space was not available due to overcrowding
- Treatment options, including mental health services, for juvenile offenders have been significantly reduced

For more information, please visit
www.lcog.org/lgs/safety.html


JUVENILE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INDICATORS

CRIME AND SAFETY


GRADE: D+

Serious juvenile property crime continues its downward slope in Lane County while in Oregon it has begun to increase. Drug abuse arrests in Lane County have reversed their downward trend, however, and have reached the highest rate since 1996. Lane County remains higher than Oregon or the U.S. on both these measures of crime and safety.

Drug Abuse Arrests per 10,000 Youth



Serious Property Crime Arrests per 10,000 Youth




RESOURCES AND CAPACITY

GRADE: F

Resources and capacity continue to deteriorate. The local ratio of juvenile offenders to probation staff to supervise them is inadequate. State bed resources for Lane County's serious youth offenders have been reduced drastically, further increasing the responsibility of the local juvenile justice system for these high risk offenders.

Built vs. Funded Beds



Built vs. Funded Beds

	2001-2002			2006-2007		
	Built Beds	Funded Beds	% Funded	Built Beds	Funded Beds	% Funded
Lane County Resources						
Detention	96	32	33%	80	16	17%
Shelter (boys)	19	14	74%	19	7	37%
Shelter (girls)	7	7	100%	7	0	0%
Alcohol and Other Drug Residential Treatment	21	14	67%	21	8	38%
Lane Closed Custody Treatment	0	0		16	16	100%
State Resources Available to Lane County						
Oregon Youth Authority Closed Custody	75	75	100%	75	33	44%


JUVENILE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INDICATORS

EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE USE OF RESOURCES


GRADE: C-

The percent of juvenile offenders who did not commit new crimes over a 12 month period is creeping back down. A small group of juvenile offenders become chronic delinquents. With fewer resources to dedicate to high risk youth, the rate of chronic offenders is beginning to creep back up. Chronic offenders comprised approximately 70% of all new referrals over three years. A reduction of just 3.8% of chronic offenders creates an estimated reduction of 772 juvenile crimes per year.

Percent of Offenders Not Re-offending within 12 Months



Chronic Offenders (3 or More Referrals within 12 Months)




JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

GRADE: F


In 2006, 943 juveniles were released early from detention due to inadequate detention space. The system's ability to hold juvenile offenders in secure custody is fading due to reductions in services and unfunded detention and treatment beds. Treatment options, including mental health services, for juvenile offenders have been significantly reduced.

Lane County Offenders Released Early from Detention



This Report Card was prepared by the **Public Safety Coordinating Council (PSCC)** to inform the citizens on the status of their public safety system. Local public safety coordinating councils are charged by the Oregon Legislature with developing county-wide comprehensive plans for the safety and justice system, including use of local and state resources. The PSCC Public Information Task Force, staffed by Lane Council of Governments, prepared this Report Card. The Task Force determined measures and collected longitudinal and baseline data for comparison. The Report Card will be periodically updated so the community can track system progress.

The local **criminal justice system** in Lane County includes: nine law enforcement agencies; four primary public safety answering points (9-1-1 and dispatch centers); the county jail in Eugene; three small city jails; district attorney; public defender; probation and post-prison supervision; State Circuit Court and Eugene and Springfield municipal courts; treatment; juvenile services; juvenile court; shelter; juvenile educational and treatment services; and services to help offenders transition back into the community.



Grading System for the Report Card

The report card grades were calculated using an unbiased, consistent mathematical formula based solely on the data. Each category and the indicators included in each category were assigned grades. Grades were determined by comparing Lane County's trend and its current rate over the last seven years of available data to the trend and current rate of other geographic areas with comparable data.

- A** Trend and current rate substantially better than comparison geographic areas
- B** Trend and current rate better than comparison geographic areas
- C** Trend and current rate roughly equal to comparison geographic areas
- D** Trend and current rate worse than comparison geographic areas
- F** Trend and current rates substantially worse than comparison geographic areas

A plus (+) is added to the grade if the trend and current rate have improved over the last three years of available data compared to the last seven years. A minus (-) is added to the grade if the trend and current rate have worsened over the last three years of available data compared to the last seven years. Grades of "F" are not eligible for either a plus or a minus. The trend for each category is also noted as Getting Better, Getting Worse, or Stable.

For more information on the Report Card, please contact Lane Council of Governments at (541) 682-4283 or visit www.lcog.org/lgs/safety.html

Lane County has a population of 343,140 people living in a geographic area of 4,618 square miles — roughly the size of the state of Connecticut. The county stretches from the summit of the 10,000 foot Cascade Mountains, through the tree-covered Willamette Valley prairie and wetlands, over the 6,000 foot Coast Range to the Pacific Ocean. Lane is an urban/rural county with more than half the residents (60%) living in Eugene and Springfield, the second largest urban area in the state. Approximately 10% live in small cities and the remaining 30% live in unincorporated areas scattered around the county. A total of 1,433 miles of county roads, 918 miles of city roads, and 484 miles of state maintained roads wind along rivers, lakes, and the two mountain ranges connecting widely separated small cities and the urban core.

Appendix C

Linn County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Committee Meeting Report (Minutes)

Instructions: This form may be used as a substitute for minutes. Send to the Board of Commissioners within five (5) days after each meeting.

Name of Committee: Linn County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Date & Time of Meeting: 1/30/07, 4:00 PM

Place of Meeting: Linn Co. Parole & Probation conference rm. Albany, Ore.

12 Voting Members - Quorum with 7 Voting Members Present

Attendance:

Committee Members Present Absent Excused **Others**

<u>Kent Hickam</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Bob Burford</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Tim Mueller</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Jason Carlile (Chair)</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Frank Moore</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Paul Egbert (Non-Voting)</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Grace Gantt</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Lt. Mark Cotter (Non-Voting)</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Torri Lynn</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Rick McCormick</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Mel Brush (Vice Chair)</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Roger Nyquist</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Ric Bergey</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>John Hitt</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Darwin Merrill (Non-Voting)</u>		<u>X</u>		

Keith Miller
Tony Howell
Clifford Hartman

Jill Swiers

The meeting was called to order by: Jason Carlile

Agenda: (Attach Exhibits, Schedules, or Reports, if necessary)

1. **Item:** Call the meeting to order and approve the September 12, 2006

Discussion: This meeting never gained a quorum.

Action Taken: It was a consensus of those present that the minutes were acceptable as presented.

2. **Item:** Adopt the meeting agenda.

Discussion: None.

Action Taken: It was a consensus of those present that the agenda was acceptable.

3. **Item:** Correspondence and handouts thereof.

Discussion: Miller passed out a memo from Frank Moore resulting from the discussion at the September meeting regarding the "sign off" on the A&D/MH Biennial Implementation Plan for '07 – '09 – attached. Frank was unable to attend the meeting. Therefore, Tony Howell reviewed the memo with the Council after passing out an additional sheet of information - attached. He said he hoped in the future to bring the plan to the Council before it is done.

Question: How would he do this?

Answer: Tony indicated he'd like to come to the Council early in the process before a final draft was completed.

Question: How is this plan developed at Alcohol & Drug (A&D)?

Answer: They began by reviewing the Drug Forum and United Way surveys. Staff next reviewed the needs, gaps in services and what funds they think will be available to meet the needs and fill the gaps. Tony indicated he felt that it

would be worthwhile for A&D to meet early in the process with the Council, then meet and review the final plan with the Council when it's done. The request for a plan comes out in November and is due the following February. It would work to meet with the Council once the request for a plan is out in November and then again just before it is due in February. Tony indicated that if the Council wanted more information on the statute associated with this plan, he would be glad to provide it. This process occurs every other year.

Action Taken: None.

4. Item: Review quarterly performance reports through 9/30/06

Linn County Parole & Probation Report:

Discussion: Bergey indicated Linn County Parole & Probation's recidivism remains high and doesn't meet the goal that 70% of supervised offenders over a period of one year will not be arrested for a new crime. Deschutes County is currently the only county in Oregon with a higher rate of recidivism than Linn County.

Question: Does this cover both offenders on parole and on probation?

Answer: Yes.

Comment: Bergey stated both Marion and Lane Counties also have been unable to stay below the 30% recidivism rate. Bergey indicated that Parole & Probation continues to have good success with its Sex Offender program.

Linn Co Alcohol & Drug Report:

Howell indicated the rate of 19 out of 34 Drug Court participants being re-arrested is too high. Included in this count are warrants for failure to appear. It should only count for individuals committing a new crime. He indicated the numbers shown in 1a) on page 3 of the report are high for the same reason. Howell said that none of the participants in the program have been arrested for a new crime.

Comment: Hickum said there are a lot of potential candidates for the program. It's difficult to determine who should get to participate in the program.

Howell pointed out that so far all babies born to mothers participating in Drug Court have been drug free.

Question: Of those who have started Drug Court, how many have completed the program?

Answer: A third of those who have started the program have finished and graduated. This result is not as good as outpatient treatment. Participants in Drug Court who have slippage don't make it through the program.

Linn-Benton Mediation Services Report:

Jill pointed out that through the first quarter of this fiscal year, they are ahead of their projected goals.

She also indicated with respect to #3, it should read 7 # of offenders, 6 # of victims, and 2 # mediations and 2 # mediations resulted in "conflict resolved."

Action Taken: None.

5. Item: Update SB 267 Evidence Based Practices.

Discussion: Bergey began by passing out a summary of SB 267 – attached. The bill was passed by the Legislature in 2003. He reviewed the meaning of "Evidence Based Practices." It means State dollars spent on programs have to have evidence that the program works and is cost effective over time. The State agencies effected include: Department of Corrections, Department of Human Services, Oregon Criminal Justice Commission, Oregon Commission on Children and Families, and the Oregon Youth Authority. By 2005, at least 25% of the funds spent by these agencies on programs had to be evidence-based programs/services. By 2007, at least 50% of the funds spent by these agencies on programs have to be evidence-based programs/services. By 2009 at least 75% of the funds spent by these agencies on programs have to be evidence-based programs/services. Bergey indicated he felt by July 2007 that Linn County Parole & Probation would meet the 50% target set by SB 267 as required. He said an evaluation of A&D meeting the July 2007 goals by the Department of Corrections rated A&D satisfactory with areas needing improvement.

Question: What were the issues?

Answer: One involved better communication between A&D and Parole & Probation (P&P). For example, assessments done by P&P on offenders aren't always forwarded to A&D Councilors. Howell indicated that's easy to fix. The second involved better tracking offender results in programs.

Comment. Other programs like the sex offender program are very successful.

Question: Who does the evaluation at The Department of Corrections (DOC)?

Answer: The DOC has a specialist's on staff that does the evaluations.

Comment: Dar Merrill indicated the life skills training the Commission on Children Families offers meets these goals.

Question: To Torri Lynn, do you run into this statute at the Juvenile Department?

Answer: Yes. As with the other programs discussed, the Oregon Youth Authority has to comply with SB 267. Currently the Linn County Juvenile Department is approaching the 25% level for State funded programs. Because this is a statute effecting state agencies, Linn County may be below the target percentage, but other counties may exceed the target percentage. The Oregon Youth Authority is required to meet the goals of SB 267 on a statewide basis.

Question: If you fail to meet these requirements, what happens? Could you lose funding?

Answer: Torri indicated the Juvenile Department could lose funding. He indicated the Juvenile Department wants to meet the goals, but they're not being pushed by the State to do so.

Action Taken: None.

6. **Item:** Act on the following LPSCC Bylaws: Article IV, Sect A. Officers shall consist of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson. The Chairperson of the Council shall be selected by the Council for a one-year term. The Vice Chairperson shall be selected by the Council for a one-year term. Officers may be re-appointed or re-elected.

Discussion: Because the meeting did not have a quorum, this item was tabled to the next meeting.

Action Taken: None.

7. **Item:** Other business.

Discussion: None.

Action Taken: None.

8. **Next Meeting:**

Date and Time of Meeting: Tuesday April 24 2007

Location: Linn County Jail Conference Room.

Approved by the Council:

Submitted by:

Chair

Date

Keith L. Miller, Secretary

Date

Linn County Parole & Probation Report:

Comment: Bergey indicated this last quarter shows Parole & Probation's recidivism rate has improved.

Question: What do you attribute this improvement to?

Answer: Not sure. Possibly the result of a program for post prison and probation high-risk offenders Alcohol & Drug has. 90 treatment slots are available. Research indicates that if you focus resources on high-risk offenders, you'll get the best results. Low risk offenders receive minimum supervision because they're unlikely to re-offend.

Linn County Sheriff's Office Program Division Report:

Comment: The Sheriff indicated releasing offenders to Work Crew has prevented the jail from exceeding its maximum capacity. Exceeding the maximum capacity results in the release of offenders from jail. He also indicated the program has more work than crews to do the work.

Question: Do you have the staff to expand Work Crew?

Answer: Splitting the Transport Officer position between Transport and Work Crew, has resulted in increased staff for Work Crew. If Work Crew had another van, a work crew for that van would be kept busy.

Action Taken: None.

5. Item: Review and consider a recommendation on HB 3251 & HB 3369.**Discussion HB 3251:**

Comment: Not a bad idea. \$1 million could be helpful. It sounds like the objective is to encourage collaboration among community corrections entities.

Comment: Carlile stated it appears there are matching fund requirements. Most community corrections agencies don't have matching funds available. It's also difficult to work with the State when it comes to money issues. For example, the problems the County had with SB 1145 that resulted in the return of Parole & Probation to the State due to funding problems.

Comment: The funds would have to be used for one-time projects not ongoing funding that would require the State to provide dependable continuous funding.

Comment: There isn't enough information to take a position.

Comment: \$1 million spread over the State of Oregon won't go very far.

Action Taken: The Council chose to take no action on this proposed bill.

Discussion HB 3369:

Comment: The Linn County LPSCC doesn't need any more reports to fill out.

Action Taken: It was the consensus of the Council to oppose this proposed bill.

6. Item: Update Adult & Juvenile Drug Courts – Judges McCormick & Murphy & Tony Howell.**Discussion:**

Comment: State Representative Andy Olson indicated he would try to be at the meeting by 5:00 PM to get an update on Linn County's drug courts. The Council started the discussion on this item by 4:30 PM so it was decided to call Olson and advise him the Council would likely be done by 5:00 PM. He may wish not to take time from his busy schedule to arrive after the meeting might be over. Judge McCormick stated discussions he's had with Olson, indicate Olson strongly supports drug courts.

Howell passed out a handout on the results of Juvenile Drug Court – copy attached. It indicated in '06 the program minimum length was 168 days. They've discovered that to be successful, the program takes more time. In '07, the program has a minimum length of 224 days. The goal of the program is to have 20 participants. They currently have the capacity to do 10 or 11. The program is currently funded with County funds. To expand to the goal of 20, they will need additional funds.

Judge McCormick stated the Adult Drug Court currently has 22 participants. Four (4) are pregnant women. He expects they'll have four (4) drug free babies. The cost for the public sector to care for these

babies if they weren't drug free makes the program worthwhile. McCormick described a woman participant who had been charged with first-degree burglary. She has four (4) children who are living with her and she has a full-time job. She could have gone to prison for 23 months while the state cared for her children. When she got out, she'd be 23 months older and still have the same problem. It gives the courts another option. It gives an offender a chance to make a life change. If it doesn't work, they still can be sent to prison. The judge indicated in the 26 years he's been on the bench, it's the best thing he's ever done. He also said he's learned a lot about addiction. He said at this point he knows of only one graduate who's had a problem since graduation. It involved a tiff with her husband. The Judge indicated to graduate, you have to be able to care for your kids, have housing and have a job. He said treatment is important and a weekly visit with a judge is important. This is something that's different. Often when Mom is in drug court, her kids are in juvenile court. By working together, the family is kept together. Often the kids are teenage girls. This program helps turn them around. National statistics indicate recidivism for drug courts is low. If the resources were available, he felt they could serve 100 offenders. You have to get offenders into treatment to get results.

Comment: Howell said participants have to stay long enough to over come the fear of being drug free.

Question: Carlile asked if it was known as to how much it cost per participant.

Answer: Howell said they've attempted to estimate this cost to include all full time equivalents of participating staff. They think it's between \$7,000 and \$8,000 per participant, compared to incarceration at \$43,000 per year.

Question: Does this figure include everyone involved in the program?

Answer: Judge Murphy said yes.

Comment: Carlile indicated that comparing drug courts to incarceration might not be a good comparison. Many of these offenders would be placed on probation and participate in other forms of treatment.

Comment: Howell said looking at the probation route, you need to consider the cost of a trial to get them to the probation phase. You also need to consider the recidivism rate of both options. National data indicates that probation and treatment has a higher recidivism rate than drug court. He feels the higher success rate of drug court results from weekly accountability to a judge.

Comment: Carlile said if I have \$100,000 and can fix 20 offenders verses 10 offenders, I'd go with the 20 offender program.

Question: Does a participant have to get a GED to graduate?

Answer: Yes. McCormick indicated they had an older participant they considered waiving the GED requirement. They decided against it. The person got his GED and seemed excited about the accomplishment.

Comment: Judge Murphy indicated that Lane County was the first county in the state to have a Juvenile Drug Court. An early participant ran from the program at least four times. The court stayed with this person who has gone on to be a probation officer. Drug court is most suited for chronic offenders. First time offenders start with probation and treatment. Alcohol and Drug does an assessment to determine where an offender should be placed.

Comment: There is comparative data available on the Detention Treatment Center that can be compared to the results of drug court when more data is available for drug court.

Howell passed out information on the Department of Human Services Budget – attached. He indicated that the Governor's budget provides for substantial funds for drug courts. The Ways and Means Committee Co-Chairs' budget has a substantial reduction in funds for drug courts. It appears this has been done to put pressure on the legislature to raise beer, wine and cigarette taxes to cover the additional cost of expanding programs like drug courts.

At this point, Frank Moore had joined the meeting creating a quorum.

Action Taken: Hickam made a motion placing the Council on record in support of the Governor's budget with respect to drug court funding. Moore seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Comment: Moore indicated that he'd heard the Criminal Justice Commission had backed off on their support of drug courts. He indicated he'd look into this and get back to the Council.

7. **Item:** Act on the following LPSCC Bylaws: Article IV, Sect A. Officers shall consist of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson. The Chairperson of the Council shall be selected by the Council for a one-year term.

The Vice Chairperson shall be selected by the Council for a one-year term. Officers may be re-appointed or re-elected.

Discussion: Carlile indicated he was working on a possible replacement for the Council Chair.

Action Taken: This item was tabled until the next meeting.

8. Item: Other business.

Discussion: Bergey indicated he's working on Linn County's Community Corrections Plan due in by June 15, '07. It requires the review of Linn County's LPSCC. Another LPSCC meeting isn't planned by June 15th to allow the Council to review the plan. It was decided once the plan was ready Miller would email it to all council members for their review. All comments would be email back to Miller. Once the comments were in, Miller would compile the results. If there were no major objections to the plan, Chairman Carlile would sign off on the plan.

Action Taken: None.

9. Next Meeting:

Date and Time of Meeting: September 18th 2007 4:00 PM.

Location: Linn County Jail Conference Room.

Approved by the Council:

Submitted by:

Chair

Date

Keith L. Miller, Secretary

Date

**Linn County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council
Committee Meeting Report (Minutes)**

Instructions: This form may be used as a substitute for minutes. Send to the Board of Commissioners within five (5) days after each meeting.

Name of Committee: Linn County Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Date & Time of Meeting: September 18, 2007, 4:00 PM

Place of Meeting: Conference Room, Linn County Jail, Albany, Oregon

12 Voting Members - Quorum with 7 Voting Members Present

Attendance:

Committee Members Present Absent Excused **Others**

<u>Kent Hickam</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Bob Burford</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Tim Mueller</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Jason Carlile (Chair)</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Frank Moore</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Paul Egbert (Non-Voting)</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Grace Gantt</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Lt. Mark Cotter (Non-Voting)</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Torri Lynn</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Rick McCormick</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Roger Nyquist</u>		<u>X</u>		
<u>Ric Bergey</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>John Hitt</u>	<u>X</u>			
<u>Darwin Merrill (Non-Voting)</u>		<u>X</u>		

Keith Miller
Jill Swiers
Barry Baggett
Tony Howell
Andy Olson

The meeting was called to order by: Jason Carlile

Agenda: (Attach Exhibits, Schedules, or Reports, if necessary)

The meeting began with introductions.

1. **Item:** Call the meeting to order and approve the April 24, 2007 meeting minutes.

Discussion: None.

Action Taken: Burford moved to accept the minutes as presented. Gantt seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

3. **Item:** Adopt the meeting agenda.

Discussion: None.

Action Taken: It was the consensus of the Council to accept the agenda as presented.

3. **Item:** Correspondence and handouts thereof.

Discussion: None.

Action Taken: None.

4. **Item:** Review quarterly performance reports through 6/30/07.

Discussion:

Linn-Benton Mediation Services Report:

Mediation Services reported they are doing well. They are trying to increase the number of referrals from the Linn County Juvenile Department to provide restorative justice services to juvenile offenders. They are getting plenty of mediation work.

Linn County Sheriff's Office Program Division:

Captain Baggett indicated their failure to comply numbers kept deteriorating. Transferring offenders to other counties had a lot to do with the problem. Now when a warrant is issued for an out of compliance offender who's been transferred to another county, this information is submitted to the state wide LEDS system. This has resulted in more of these offenders being picked up, reducing the number of outstanding warrants being reported.

Linn County Alcohol & Drug Report:

Howell passed out the quarterly report for the Linn County Adult Drug Court - attached. Linn Co Alcohol & Drug (A&D) has a Burn Grant available to cover the cost of female participants in Drug Court. Howell reported currently there are 32 participants in drug court of which 24 are female. The large number of female participants helps use the Burn Grant for wrap around services. A&D administered 1,500 UAs during the year. Over half tested positive at some time.

Question: Does the number of positive UAs decline as offenders go through the program?

Answer: A&D is unable to collect that data. However, it's generally true they decline as they go through the program. It's disappointing if they relapse at the end of the program.

Howell commented that about 92% of those involved haven't committed a misdemeanor or felony while in the program. Current graduates are at 100% no new misdemeanor or new felony crime committed, although none have reached the 12-month benchmark yet. 100% of children born to women completing the program are drug free. Currently the program has a 30% completion rate.

Of the terminations over the last year, 90% occurred in the last two (2) quarters.

Question: If an offender fails, what happens?

Answer: They go through the regular sentencing process.

Comment: Judge McCormick stated if you can get them to stay in treatment for two to three weeks positive things start to happen. If you even get 30% through the program, that you don't see again, the program is paying for itself.

Question: Are you getting better at picking prospective offenders?

Answer: We're still learning. An offender has to be clearly addicted to participate in the yearlong program. Those participating are high-risk offenders. We're looking for a doer who wants to make a change in his or her life. This program goes four (4) days a week for a year. If an offender doesn't want to change, they go through sentencing instead of going to drug court.

Question: How does it work?

Answer: If a participant messes up, he or she goes to jail for a couple of days, than right back to treatment. This does create extra work for the jail.

Linn County Parole & Probation Report:

Bergey pointed out the 2nd page of his report shows P&P has significantly increased the number of felons being supervised. The trend for arrests for new crimes is going down slowly.

Question: How are you handling those coming out of prison?

Answer: We work with A&D. We try and get them a job and housing, and we work on mental health issues.

Question: How are you addressing mental health issues?

Answer: Parole & Probation (P&P) is starting a new program with the help of Frank Moore and Linn County Mental Health.

Question: What percent of those being released from prison have mental health problems?

Answer: 30% have serious problems with a higher percentage having less serious problems.

Action Taken: None.

5. **Item:** Update the '07 – '09 Community Corrections Plan. This plan was approved by the Council via email July '07. It is now being implemented – Ric Bergey

Discussion: Bergey thanked the group for reviewing and approving the plan by email. He handed out a summary – attached. Bergey indicated a new cognitive behavior program was being implemented in October focused on medium and high-risk offenders. The new plan will increase A&D in east Linn County (Lebanon). This expansion is up and running with a new full time position. The program offers access to mental health services on a weekly basis. A new mentoring program is up and running. The Department of Corrections (DOC) Home For Good program designed to work with faith-based groups is operating. P&P now has 46 beds available for sanctions, up by 20.

Question: How did you do that? There are no new beds at the jail.

Answer: This resulted from cooperation between the Oregon Department of Corrections (DOC) and the Sheriff's office. The DOC had been sending inmates to the county jail with only 2 to 3 months left to serve, most who didn't intend to return to Linn County. They stopped doing this freeing up jail beds.

Comment: The Sheriff said this is working well.

Comment: Part of the selling point to do this was that it allowed sufficient incarceration time to complete the Cognitive Behavior program described earlier.

Comment: P&P has hired three (3) additional parole officers allowing for specialization for Sex Offender supervision and Intensive Supervision with caseloads in the range of 50 to 55 high and medium risk offenders.

Question: There's more money in this plan. Is the pie bigger?

Answer: The pie is bigger and Linn Co got more of the pie. The Legislature during the last session increased funds for Community Corrections.

Question: Is there more money for post prison supervision than probation?

Answer: There's more money for high-risk post prison supervision and high-risk probation. Post prison supervision is more responsive. Some judges send offenders to jail so the offender is managed under post prison supervision verses probation.

Action Taken: None.

6. **Item:** Update the status of HB 3251 & HB 3369. HB 3251 would have provided State funding for LPSCCs for projects did not pass. HB 3369 requires annual reporting of LPSCC activities did pass.

Discussion: Miller pointed that HB 3369 requires the Council to publish an annual summary of program, service or budget changes made in response to the recommendations of the Local Public Safety Coordinating Council to the Board of Commissioners. If there are none, the report is short, simply report none.

Comment: Representative Olson stated he voted against both bills.

Action Taken: None.

7. **Item:** Report on '07 Community Corrections Legislation and predictions for the '08 special session, Representative Andy Olson.

Discussion: Olson said the Special Legislative Session coming up in February '08 is a pilot project designed to bring the Legislature into session to review and rebalance the budget and make adjustments to new bills where necessary. Currently there's discussion to allow each Senator to introduce one new bill plus another 20 from Committees. If this is done on the House side, there could be an additional 150 bills between both chambers. This many new bills couldn't be addressed in the proposed special session. Olson reported what he calls the Oxford House legislation passed extending landlord laws around outrageous behavior to Oxford Houses. This law helps Oxford Houses remove individuals that are causing problems at an Oxford House.

Olson indicated he worked for 12 months on Possession by Consumption legislation. He got the Oregon Criminal Lawyers Association to take a neutral position on the law. The ACLU opposed the law. Passage got down to including additional funding for treatment to get the necessary support to get the bill passed. The additional treatment funds didn't come through and the bill didn't move forward. Olson said he intends to push the bill in the next session. He said he thinks additional treatment dollars will have to be tied to the bill to get it passed.

Olson stated the Legislature approved SJR18 which refers to the voters in May '08 HB3457 approved in the '05 session. This law deals with asset forfeiture. State Supreme Court action dealing with Measure 3 prevented HB3457 from becoming law.

Olson said many bills like HB 2303 involving search warrants did get out of Judiciary, but did not get beyond Ways & Means because they weren't considered revenue neutral.

He reported that HB 2843 he sponsored dealing with hardcore pornography involving children ages 13 and under passed. Also HB 3515 dealing with Internet contact with a minor relating to sexual corruption passed.

Question: The February '08 session will rebalance the State's budget. What are current revenue forecasts?

Answer: The September report indicated revenue was flat. There's concern that the smoking ban in restaurants and taverns may adversely impact video poker revenues because most places where video poker is offered allows smoking and many players are smokers.

Comment: Your (Olson) efforts to get the Oak Creek Juvenile facility reopened are really appreciated. Olson indicated the Legislature approved \$6 million for drug courts.

Question: Is this only for adult drug courts?

Answer: \$5 million is for adult drug courts with \$1 million available for juvenile or adult drug courts.

Action Taken: The Council thanked Olson for attending the meeting and providing them with an update on legislative activities.

8. **Item:** Act on the following LPSCC Bylaws: Article IV, Sect A. Officers shall consist of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson. The Chairperson of the Council shall be selected by the Council for a one-year term. The Vice Chairperson shall be selected by the Council for a one-year term. Officers may be re-appointed or re-elected.

Discussion: Carlile stated he'd be willing to serve as chair for one (1) more year. Sheriff Mueller was persuaded to serve as Vice Chair.

Action Taken: It was the unanimous consensus of the Council to accept Carlile's and Mueller's offer to serve as Chair and Vice Chair for a one-year term.

9. **Next Meeting:**

Date and Time of Meeting: March 11, 2008, 4:00 PM.

Location: Linn County Jail Conference Room.

Comment: It was requested that Representative Olson be invited to this meeting to give the Council an update on the Special Legislative Session in February '08.

Approved by the Council:

Submitted by:

Chair

Date

Keith L. Miller, Secretary

Date