



Oregon

Kate Brown, Governor



3040 25th Street, SE
Salem, OR 97302-1125
Phone: (503) 378-4880
Toll Free: (800) 874-0102
FAX: (503) 373-1688

September 29, 2016

From: Director, Oregon Department of Aviation

To: Legislative Assembly

Subj: SUBMISSION OF LEGISLATIVE REPORT ON HB 2075

The Oregon Department of Aviation is pleased to provide the report on HB 2075 implementation as required by ORS 319.020(8)(b)(B).

For questions, please contact Mitch Swecker via email at mitch.t.swecker@aviation.state.or.us or via phone at 503-378-2340 or you can reach Heather Peck via email at heather.peck@aviation.state.or.us or via phone at 503-378-3168.


Mitch Swecker
Director



September 29, 2016

Executive Summary on HB 2075

The Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) is pleased to submit this report under ORS 319.020 with details regarding applications for distributions of fuel tax revenues. A copy of this report may be obtained by contacting the Oregon Department of Aviation, and can also be found on the Department's website at: http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/Aviation_System_Action_Program.aspx.

BILL OVERVIEW

House Bill 2075 was introduced and passed in the 2015 Legislative Session. The measure amends ORS 319.020 by increasing aircraft fuel from nine cents to eleven cents per gallon and increasing jet fuel from one cent to three cents per gallon. The measure mandates ODA to distribute the revenue from the fuels tax increase for specific purposes. Per the legislation, five percent of the revenues will be appropriated to ODA for the costs to administer the program. In summary, the remaining ninety-five percent of the revenues shall be distributed as follows:

- (a) Fifty-percent shall be distributed to assist airports in Oregon with match requirements for Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program grants, emergency preparedness and infrastructure projects in accordance with the Oregon Resilience Plan, services critical and essential to aviation, aviation-related business development, and airport development for local economic benefit;
- (b) Twenty-five percent shall be distributed for the purposes of assisting commercial air service to rural Oregon, and;
- (c) Twenty-five percent shall be distributed towards state-owned airports for the purposes of safety improvements and infrastructure projects at public use airports.

The State Aviation Board shall establish a review committee composed of one member from each of the area commissions on transportation (ACTs) who will review and recommend applications to the State Aviation Board. The State Aviation Board shall select applications following a specific priority, as outlined in HB 2075.

House Bill 2075 became effective January 1, 2016 and will sunset on January 1, 2022.

AGENCY REPORTS

The following sections summarize the Department's progress with implementing HB 2075, now referred to as the Aviation System Action Program Fund (ASAP).

For more information about the Aviation System Action Program Fund, please visit link above.

COAR Grant Program: The Critical Oregon Airport Relief Grant Program (COAR) is funded by the revenues described in section (a) of the Bill Overview section of this report. ODA commenced the application process for the COAR Program on September 14th, 2016 and the applications will remain open to Oregon public-use airports through October 14, 2016.

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/COAR_Critical_Oregon_Airport_Relief_Program.aspx

Applications submitted will undergo a series of reviews completed by different committees as follows:

- October 17 – October 21, 2016: ODA will review the application packages for completeness and require appropriate changes from the applicant as needed.
- October 24 – December 23, 2016: The ACTs will grade applications for proposed projects within their corresponding ACT region. The ACTs will use the Statutory Review Form furnished by ODA to complete their reviews.
- January 2017: ODA will compile a list all graded projects from each ACT and present the collective list to the Aviation Review Committee (ARC), which is composed of one member from each of the ACTs. The ARC will prioritize the projects in accordance with OAR 738-125-0035(3).

- February 2017: The State Aviation Board will review the ARC's prioritized list of projects and make a final selection of grant recipients. Intent to Award Letters will be distributed in February/March.

ROAR Program: The Rural Oregon Aviation Relief Program (ROAR) is funded by the revenues described in section (b) of the Bill Overview section of this report. Funding requests received through the ROAR Program will adhere to the following steps:

- Applicants will submit a business plan to ODA for their proposed project through a Request for Proposal (RFP). ODA will accept project proposals for funding requests on an ongoing basis as there will not be an open and closed RFP period to submit proposals.
- Alternatively, an emergent need grant may be approved the State Aviation Board if an airport sponsor's air service program has been jeopardized by unforeseen developments. (i.e. potential loss of an air service provider, short-fused need for a grant for assisting with adding new commercial air service.)
- Proposals will be reviewed by the State Aviation Board, who will make the final determination for project funding.


ODA is in the final steps of completing procedures for the ROAR Program. As of this report, no proposals have been received by the Department.

SOAR Program: The State Owned Airport Reserve Program (SOAR) is funded by the revenues described in section (c) of the Bill Overview section of this report.

At the June 29, 2016 Aviation Board Meeting, the Board approved a \$1,207,000 total budget for multiple projects at state-owned airports. The following table provides further information about the projects:

Projects	Airport	Contractor	Project Budget
Tractor Flail Mower Replacement	For use at multiple state-owned airports	N/A	\$45,000.00
Lights & Radios for Operations Vehicles	For use at multiple state-owned airports	N/A	\$12,000.00
Ramp Light Repairs	Aurora State Airport	N/A	\$13,000.00
Gorse Removal	Bandon State Airport	N/A	\$20,000.00
Restroom Removal	Cascade Locks State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$5,000.00
Sacrificial Anodes & Complete Tank Inspection	Cottage Grove State Airport	N/A	\$25,000.00
Minor Repairs to Runway (in order to open the airport)	Crescent Lake State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$20,000.00
Reconstruct Runway	Crescent Lake State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$810,000.00
Obstruction Removal	Crescent Lake State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$45,000.00
Install 2 Automatic Gates	Independence State Airport	N/A	\$37,000.00
Drainage Improvements	Independence State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$40,000.00
Obstruction Removal/Easements	Nehalem Bay State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$40,000.00
Obstruction Removal	Prospect State Airport	Precision Approach Engineers, Inc.	\$60,000.00
Sweep & Repair Access Road/Taxilane	Wasco State Airport	N/A	\$35,000.00
		Total	\$1,207,000.00

COAR Grant Process Flow Chart



PROCEDURE

A. ASAP COAR Grant process

PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING AGREEMENTS INVOLVING GRANT APPLICATIONS FOR ASAP COAR Grants.

<u>RESPONSIBILITY</u>	<u>STEP</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
Oregon Dept. of Aviation	1	<u>Announce grant cycle and method of application</u> <u>Direct grant application process</u>	Ongoing
Applicant	2	<u>Submit Grant application to Oregon Department of Aviation</u> to determine the feasibility of the transfer.	09/12/16 – 10/14/16
ODA	3	Creates Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) Submits to department of Justice (creates template for future use)	09/2016
Department of Justice	4	Approves IGA (and template)	09/2016 - TBD
ODA	5	Review Applications for completeness	10/17/16 – 10/21/16
ODA	6	<u>Send applications to designated ACT Representative</u>	10/24/2016
ACT Representative	7	<u>ACT Representative reviews and collaborates with other ACT members, Regional Solutions, Chambers of Commerce and other regional stakeholders.</u> <u>ACT Representative reviews projects in region and forwards evaluation justifications to ODA.</u>	10/24/16 – 12/23/16
ODA	8	<u>Reviews applications and collates all ACT regions into database. Sends collated list of project summaries and scores to ACT representatives for review.</u>	12/27/16 – 12/30/16

<u>RESPONSIBILITY</u>	<u>STEP</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>DATE</u>
	6	<u>ODA convenes telephone conference or meeting with ACT representatives and reviews projects.</u>	01/2017
ODA	9	<u>Takes consolidated list of regional projects and schedules Aviation Board Public Meeting to review and approve projects</u>	02/2017
Aviation Board	10	Convenes, reviews and approves ASAP projects <i>If Board has questions regarding a project and the applicant is present at the Board meeting, Board invites testimony from the applicants</i>	02/2017
ODA	11	Publishes approved projects	03/2017
ODA and Applicant	12	Signs IGA ODA Administers grants to selected applicants	03/2017
Applicant	13	Completes project as required by IGA or contract. Provides monthly progress reports.	TBD
ODA	14	Monitors applicant performance and provides phased funding distribution.	Monthly
Applicant	15	Sends completion report with attached economic impact report	Upon Project Completion



Aviation Project Funding Request – 2016

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

Airport Information

Airport Name

Airport Sponsor Name

Phone Number

E-mail Address

Applicants must submit a completed Application. Incomplete applications will not move forward in the review process. All applicable answers must be completed by the applicant. Applications that do not contain answers for all applicable questions will be scored accordingly. Further information from the applicant may not be sought. This Application Checklist has been provided to assist applicants with providing key attachments. Carefully review your application utilizing the following checklist prior to submission to ODA. The completed checklist must be submitted as part of each application.

Staff	Applicant	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Signed and completed Application – all questions answered, even if N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Supporting documentation (if applicable) – Question 8 – Project Overview
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Capital Improvement Plan project list (non-federally funded projects)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Capital Improvement Plan project list (federally funded projects)

Applicant notes:

AVIATION DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

Staff Reviewer name:

Staff Reviewer notes:



Aviation Project Funding Request – 2016

Introduction

- Please read the Aviation Project Funding Request instructions prior to completing this application.
- The application instructions, sample application, and draft grant agreement are available on the Oregon Department of Aviation [website](#).
- Submission instructions are detailed in Section 3 of the application instructions.
- Completed application and checklist are required.
- Answer all questions. Enter N/A where applicable.

1. Applicant

Organization Name <input type="text"/>	Contact Person <input type="text"/>
Address 1 <input type="text"/>	Contact Person Title <input type="text"/>
Address 2 <input type="text"/>	Phone <input type="text"/>
City, State, ZIP <input type="text"/>	E-mail Address <input type="text"/>

2. Project Name and Location

Project Name <input type="text"/>	Project Location <input type="text"/>
County tax parcel identification number(s): <input type="text"/>	

3. Category of Airport:

Select the category of airport as listed in the current Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP):

4. ODOT ConnectOregon region:

Select one ODOT region for the project:

5. Certification

By checking this box, I certify that supports the proposed project, has the legal authority to pledge matching funds, and has the legal authority to apply for Aviation System Assistance Program funds. I further certify that matching funds are available or will be available for the proposed project. I understand the all State of Oregon rules for contracting, auditing, underwriting (where applicable), and payment will apply to this project. I certify that I have read the Sample Draft Agreement and will sign the Agreement if selected.

Date:

6. Project Summary

Provide a brief summary of the project:

7. Project Purpose and Description

Provide a detailed project description:

8. Project Overview

Select all that apply to the project. Cite supporting documentation and submit with application.

Supporting Documentation

a. Prevents future deficiencies and preserves existing facilities	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
b. Eliminates existing deficiencies as described in the current OAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
c. Modernizes the airport by exceeding state or federal minimum standards as stated in the current OAP and identified by FAA ACs or other regulations.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
d. Leverages federal funds	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

e. Contributes to the airport's self-sufficiency	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
f. NPIAS airport	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
g. Existence of airport zoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
h. Maintenance commitment	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
i. Potential expansion, both on and off airport	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
j. Availability of adequate surface access to airport	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
k. Significance of environmental impact	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
l. Costs and benefits of improvements	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
m. Economic development	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
n. Evidence of local support	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
o. Local match available	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
p. Minimizes airport redundancy	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

9. Project Category

Check the category of project for which you are requesting funding. (Please select only one.)

Project Category of Funding Request

<input type="checkbox"/>	Assistance with FAA AIP grant match
<input type="checkbox"/>	Emergency preparedness and infrastructure projects in accordance with the Oregon Resilience Plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	Critical/essential services or equipment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aviation-related business development on airport
<input type="checkbox"/>	Airport development for local economic development

10. Description of Elements of Project Category

Provide a short description of how the project fits into the project category selected in Question 9. (Document will expand to allow additional lines.)

11. Documentation and Permits

Complete the following table regarding pre-construction documentation. Provide the date the document was completed and select "Completed" from the drop-down menu. If the document is currently underway, select "Underway" from the drop-down menu and provide the expected completion date. If the funding proposal is for the purchase of equipment, select the "Equipment" box.

Document Description	Date Completed	Est. Completion Date	Status	Equipment
a. Airport Layout Plan				<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Environmental Impact Statement				<input type="checkbox"/>

Note any required permits, date issued, completion status and required status. Click the "+" button to add another permit.

Permit Type	Date Issued	Completion Status	Required Status

12. Milestones

Complete the following table regarding current and projected milestones for the project. Check to indicate if it is a construction or non-construction project.

	<input type="checkbox"/> Construction Projects	<input type="checkbox"/> Other/Non-construction Projects (describe)	Has the milestone been met?	Projected milestone start date	Projected milestone completion date
1	Scoping and planning				
2	Right-of-way, land acquisition				
3	Permits				
4	Final plans/bidding engineering documents				
5	Construction contract award				
6	Project completion				

Statewide Impact of Project

Per ORS 367.084(3), the applicant MUST answer the following questions:

13. Does the proposed transportation project reduce transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improve access to jobs and sources of labor? If yes, provide a short explanation.

14. Does the proposed transportation project result in an economic benefit to the state? If yes, provide a short explanation.

15. Is the proposed transportation project a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system? If yes, provide a short explanation.

16. Budget

How much of the cost of the proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant? Provide the funding source and the amount of funding from that source.

a. Total Project Cost or Total FAA AIP Grant Match Amount:

b. Applicant Match

Percent of Project Cost

Minimum COAR Match Requirement:

0.00%

Source of Match Funds	Amount	Date Available
Total match funds:		

c. Aviation Project Funding Request to ODA

Amount requested from ODA:

d. Project Budget Summary

Total applicant matching funds:	\$0
Funding request to ODA	\$0
Total project cost or total FAA AIP grant match requirement:	\$0

e. Pre-Agreement Expenditures

Has the project incurred any expenditures prior to the completion of this agreement, if awarded? If yes, explain.

17. Is the proposed transportation project ready for construction or implementation? Describe any unique construction-readiness, project implementation issues, or possible delays.

18. Does the proposed transportation project have a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the State? If yes, provide a short explanation.

19. Submission

By signing this application, I certify that I am the authorizing representative for the Airport specified in this application. In consideration for receipt of program funds, agrees to keep the airport open for public use for a minimum of 20 years from the date of the Agreement, if selected as a grant recipient and awarded grant funds.

To submit electronically, type your name in the signature box below and submit using a password-protected e-mail account associated with your agency. See instructions for file naming requirements and e-mail address for submission.

Print Name

Title

Signature

Date

AVIATION DEPARTMENT USE ONLY



Five-Year Capital Improvements Projects List

Airport Information

Airport Name

Airport Sponsor Name

Phone Number

E-mail Address

Examples of how to complete this form have been provided below. The examples are in red and highlighted in yellow. The cost examples provided in the example are fictitious and have been simplified for the examples.

Planned Year	Proposed Project	Description	Cost Estimate
FY2017	Runway reconstruction	Phase I – Design phase of existing Runway A	\$ 75,000.00
FY2018	Runway reconstruction	Phase II – Construction of existing Runway A	\$ 75,000.00
FY2019	No planned projects	–	–
FY2020	Apron rehabilitation project	Phase I – Design of existing south apron	\$ 50,000.00
FY2021	Apron rehabilitation project	Phase II – Construction of existing south apron	\$ 50,000.00

Projects Not Eligible to Receive Federal Funding

List projects that are not eligible for federal funding. Click "+" to add additional projects.

Planned Year	Proposed Project	Description	Cost Estimate

Application Instructions
COAR Grant Program
Aviation System Action Program Fund



Funding Cycle I
September 2016



AVIATION SYSTEM ACTION PROGRAM FUND APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR COAR GRANTS

If you have not already done so, please sign up for the ASAP electronic mailing list by sending an email request with the subject line "ASAP Mailing List Request" to:

ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

SECTION 1: APPLICATION FORM	3
General Instructions:	3
Applicant Information, Project Location, and Certification.....	4
Project Description	4
Statewide Impact of Project.....	8
SECTION 2: QUESTIONS AND COMMUNICATION.....	13
Email Notice List	13
Website.....	13
Questions.....	13
Public Records Note.....	13
Additional Information	13
Schedule.....	13
SECTION 3: SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS	14
Electronic Submission via ODOT FTP Site.....	14
Electronic Submission via E-mail	14
Hard Copy Submission via Postal Mail or In Person.....	14

Application Period Begins:

September 12, 2016

Application Period Ends:

Applications submitted in person or by mail must be received by 5:00 PM on October 14, 2016.

OR

Applications submitted electronically must be received by 11:59 PM on October 14, 2016.



The application is a PDF form and must be completed using Adobe Acrobat or Reader.
Download the latest version of Adobe Reader: <https://get.adobe.com/reader/>

SECTION 1: APPLICATION FORM

(Question by Question Instructions)

General Instructions:

Save the application on your computer. You may work on the application at different times, saving your work as needed. Give it a filename with the following format: **[FAA Airport Identifier]_[Project Name]**. This is also discussed in Section 3.

Please note: Due to a lack of support for various functions in other PDF viewers, the application must be completed using the Adobe Acrobat or Reader. Download the latest version of Adobe Reader: <https://get.adobe.com/reader/>.

Application answers should be concise and to the point. No additional pages other than those specifically requested in these instructions will be used for the review process.

An application checklist is included as part of the application. The purpose of the checklist is to assist each applicant with submitting the required forms and optional attachments. The checklist must be completed and submitted with the application.

Failure to submit the aforementioned form will result in a project being declared ineligible due to incompleteness.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to review the ASAP Funding Instructions to Reviewers document prior to completing the application. The Instructions to Reviewers provides insight into the application scoring and selection process and may assist applicants with forming appropriate answers to application questions.

Answers to all questions are required even if N/A. Applications that are determined incomplete may not move forward in the review process.

Be concise and descriptive with your answers. Reviewers must be able to easily identify what your project does. Failure to clearly answer a question may result in a lower score when reviewed.

Once the application is complete, submit the application to the Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) by following the directions outlined in Section 3 of these instructions.

Applicant Information, Project Location, and Certification

Question 1: Complete this information for the applicant. The contact person named here is the primary contact for this project. Other contact people will not be contacted unless the primary contact cannot be reached in an urgent situation. The applicant must provide its "Real and True Name" or "Registered Assumed Business Name". The applicant's organization must be registered with the Oregon Secretary of State's Corporation Division to do business in the State of Oregon by the time the agreement is written. ODA cannot, by law, enter into a grant agreement with a company that is not registered in the State of Oregon. Registration of a parent corporation in Oregon will not count as registration for a subsidiary corporation if the subsidiary is listed in the application. For more information about registering with the Oregon Secretary of State, visit:

http://www.filinginoregon.com/pages/business_registry/register/registering.html.

Question 2: The project name should be brief, and clearly describe the project. The name you provide will be used in summary reports and other published materials. The project location should include the City and State where the project is physically located. If the project is located in a remote area, list the County and State where the project is physically located.

For mobile projects, provide the address for the agency administrative facility.

Provide county tax parcel information (map and tax lot number).

Question 3: Select the appropriate category of airport as listed in the current Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP). To view which category your airport belongs to, please refer to Chapter 4 of the OAP by following the link:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/docs/system_plan/chapter_4_-_airport_functional_roles.pdf

Question 4: Select an Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) region for the project. You may not select more than one ODOT *ConnectOregon* region. The *ConnectOregon* VI regions are defined in Section 7 of 2015 House Bill 5030.

If a project falls within more than one region, identify the primary region for the project. This will be the region assigned to the project during the project review period and State Aviation Board selection.

For a map of the regions, please visit:

<http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP/CO/ConnectOregon%20Region%20Map.pdf>

The purpose of this question is to determine where in Oregon grants have been awarded and the total grant amounts distributed to each ODOT region. ODA will likely share the information with the Oregon Legislature.

Question 5: The Certification is very important. The Applicant Organization listed in Question 1 must check the box and provide the date on the form. Applications that do not contain these items will be considered ineligible due to incompleteness.

Project Description

Question 6: Provide a brief description of the proposed project to be funded. The description will be used in summary reports, ODA web pages, and other published materials. The summary should

include what the project will do or build and who will benefit from it.

Question 7: Provide a detailed project description. Describe the public benefits that will result from the project. Describe the aviation asset resulting from the proposed project. This description should be the equivalent of a project scope, defining the efforts needed for construction. Claims of project benefits should cite verifiable sources.

Capital construction projects at airports included in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) must meet current FAA design criteria and grant assurances. Provide details of future maintenance commitments; describe potential for on-airport expansion; and show availability of adequate surface access to the airport.

Descriptions should clearly define what is being constructed (e.g. Project will perform the rehabilitation of 5,300 feet of runway along with associated improvements such as 10,600 feet of underdrain, lighting rewiring, etc.).

Question 8: Select “Yes” if the criterion is applicable to your project or will occur as a result of your project.

Select “No” if the criterion is not applicable to your project or will not occur as a result of your project.

If you have attached supporting documentation that supports an item on the list, please provide the name or type of document in the designated space.

Examples of supporting documentation: Maps, letters of support from the community, document showing federal funds are available for the project, commitment to providing continuing maintenance for the project, a written “Negative Environmental Declaration” or a FAA Environmental Checklist to confirm there will be no significant environmental impact (for NPIAS airports), Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), etc.

Question 9: Select the appropriate category of project.

Example 1: The project is funded by an FAA AIP grant. The application is to request funding assistance with a portion of the FAA AIP grant match requirement.

Project Category: Check the box for “Assistance with FAA AIP grant match”.

Example 2: The project is to build an aircraft maintenance facility on the airport, for which you are requesting funding assistance. The facility will be used for aviation-related business development on the airport.

Project element: Check the box for “Aviation-related business development on airport”.

Question 10: Provide a brief description of how your funding request fits in with the category of project selected in question 9. The examples below follow the examples provided for question 9.

Example 1:

Project element description:

Assistance with FAA AIP grant match – The project costs are 90% funded by an FAA AIP grant. The funding request to ODA is solely for assistance with the 10% federal grant match requirement.

Example 2:

Project element description:

Aviation-related business development on airport – The funding request is for the construction of an aircraft maintenance facility on the airport which will support aviation-related business development on the airport by _____ (briefly describe how it supports aviation-related business development).

Question 11: Documents: The first table is related to pre-construction documents. Provide the date of when the specified document was completed and select “Completed” from the status drop-down menu. If the document has been started but is not yet complete, select “Underway” from the status drop-down menu and provide the expected date of completion. Select “Don’t Know” from the drop-down menu if the level of completion may be uncertain, or if you do not know if the specific task or document applies to the project. If the document is not applicable to the project, select “Not Applicable” from the drop-down menu.

Check the “Equipment” box if the project funding request is for assistance with the purchase of equipment.

The following provides information about the documents.

- a. The Airport Layout Plan (ALP) serves as a critical planning tool that depicts both existing facilities and planned development for an airport. Sponsors of airport development carried out at federally obligated airports must accomplish the improvement in accordance with an FAA-approved ALP.

By definition, the ALP is a plan for a specific airport that shows:

- Boundaries and proposed additions to all areas owned or controlled by the sponsor for airport purposes
- The location and nature of existing and proposed airport facilities and structures
- The location on the airport of existing and proposed non-aviation areas and improvements thereon.

- b. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is a document, required under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), prepared for projects or decisions (including project funding) by federal agencies, which includes a detailed environmental evaluation of the proposed action and possible alternative actions. (For more information see: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/index.html>) Unless a required EIS is close to completion, needing one means a project is not construction ready.

Permits: The second table is related to permits required for the project. Consider if you have met all local jurisdictional permits, i.e. building, zoning, planning, utility, facilities, etc. Also consider any airport clearances and other federal, state and/or local requirements. Please identify any additional items as necessary.

In the table, provide the permit type and the date issued or the date expected to be completed. Select the completion status from the drop-down menu. If you have submitted for the permit but it has not yet been issued, select "Underway" from the drop-down menu. Select "Don't Know" if the level of completion may be uncertain. Select the permit required status. Select "Don't Know" if you are uncertain whether the permit is required for the project.

Question 12: Complete the table regarding the project's current and projected milestones using the contract date as a start date. While six milestone spaces are provided, projects may have more or less than six milestones. These milestones will be used when developing the grant agreement should your project be selected for funding and you will be held accountable to the dates provided. Careful consideration should be given when developing timeframes. They will be used when developing grant Agreements should you receive funding. Grant recipients will be held accountable to the milestones.

Equipment purchases are exempt from milestone schedules and from the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Agreements are not usually signed before December. Milestone dates should be adjusted accordingly.

Standardized construction milestones are provided. For non-construction projects please propose equivalent milestones. Non-construction milestones may include such phases as purchase of materials, purchase of equipment, installation of equipment, etc. Non-construction projects should have "Project Completion" as their last milestone.

For Construction Milestones:

1. Scoping and Planning means the development of the project size and scope, determination of operational requirements, and required public comment periods.
2. Right-of-way and Land Acquisition means the process of securing land for the project site, including purchases, leases, eminent domain/condemnation, and the acquisition of required easements.
3. Permits means the process of securing any required permits, approvals, or permission from any local, state, or federal agency.
4. Final Plans/Bidding Engineering Documents means the development of any structural or operational documents required to build or implement the project.
5. Construction Contract Award means the securing of a contract to build, install, or otherwise prepare the project for operations or use.
6. Project Completion means construction or installation is complete and the project is ready for operation or use.

For Non-Construction Milestones:

Provide name of milestone and milestone start and end dates beginning with "Milestone1". Briefly describe each milestone.

Below are some examples of non-construction milestones:

- Economic analysis
- Cost estimates

- Public involvement/Stakeholder engagement
- Concept designs
- Secure city, state, and/or federal approval
- Secure project site
- Bid, Award, Procure
- Order equipment
- Installation, testing, and implementation

Statewide Impact of Project

Each applicant is responsible for providing as much detail as possible in the space provided. **Do not skip questions.** If they clearly do not apply, write “N/A” in the response section. Due to the potential variety of eligible projects, ODA anticipates that some applicants will not be able to answer all of these questions.

Please use the “ –“ reset button on the right side of the response boxes if you need to reset your responses to the questions in this section (questions 13 – 18).

Question 13: Identify if the project will serve one or more of Oregon’s “Statewide Business Clusters”. For the purposes of this question, the service provided by the project either should reduce transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to labor.

Oregon’s “Statewide Business Clusters” are identified by the Oregon Business Development Department. Definitions of Oregon “Statewide Business Clusters” (Key Industries) are available at (<http://www.oregonbusinessplan.org/Industry-Clusters/The-Industry-Cluster-Network/>).

In the space provided, explain how the project reduces transportation costs or improves access to labor for the selected business cluster(s). Detail if possible, any specific businesses, the number of businesses, and how the project will provide cost savings (e.g. time savings, provision of an alternate route, provides access to a new mode). Detail, if possible, any specific businesses that will be connected to residential areas and how the project will improve their connection to sources of labor (e.g. traveler time savings, provision of an alternate route, provision of direct access between residential areas and commercial/industrial areas). You may also describe the demographics or other relevant characteristics of the residential areas that gain new or improved access to jobs as a result of this project. Explain if these areas are low income, disadvantaged or other significant characteristics.

Question 14: Consider the following in your response to this question. According to the Federal Highway Administration, “Some measures of economic growth and quality of life include things such as:

- Number of jobs created;
- Number of business establishments created;
- Overall increases in gross domestic product or gross regional product; and,
- Increases in property values or tax bases.

Describe if the project will result in businesses being able to stay in Oregon by retaining long-term jobs, or locate/expand in Oregon by adding long-term jobs. Identify the businesses that will

be able to stay in Oregon or locate/expand in Oregon as a direct result of the project.

Indicate the amount of private investment that would be made if and only if the project is implemented. You may include letters of commitment detailing the number of long-term jobs or the amount of additional private investment the project would generate. Commitment letters from businesses or organizations must state their intention to operate in Oregon and their intentions regarding job creation and private investment plans over a specified period. In the explanation box, limit the discussion of economic benefit to the project's impact on the state's ability to attract or retain business or industry.

Consider including quantitative information, such as:

- The demand for the project by the community has increased by x % over the past x years.
- Number of short-term and long-term jobs that will be created as a result of the project, if known.
- Are there any cost-benefits?

Question 15: Describe how the project creates a new transportation connection or if it improves an existing connection. Indicate if the project links multiple modes of transportation. Indicate if the project improves or creates links to a transportation network outside Oregon. Detail the international or interstate destination or source. Explain any benefits to Oregon's economy. Explain how this project improves or creates linkages to markets outside Oregon.

Describe what the measurement of success is for the project and include the calculation methodology for determining the projected success rate. Cite industry accepted standards to justify the answer.

The measurement of success for the project should be a quantifiable expression of the amount, cost, or result of the project that indicates how much, how well, and at what level, products or services are provided during a given time period. Measures should focus on the utilization and/or efficiency of the project.

Grant recipients may be required to supply ODA with a report regarding these measures within 12 months of project completion. ODA will likely share the information obtained with the Oregon Legislature. Applicants should carefully consider these measures used in this section to ensure an ability to accurately report in the future.

Measures of success may be:

- Flow Rates
- Capacity Measures
- Safety Measures
- Financial Returns
- Measures of Customer Satisfaction
- Measures of Public Benefit

Depending on the scope of a project, multiple measures may be identified.

- a. Indicate the current level of success as defined above.
- b. Indicate the expected level of success once the project is fully operational.

Example:

Measurement: Daily aircraft operations

Current level: 4,000

Expected level: 8,000

The purpose of this question is to ascertain how well a project will meet requirements of ORS 367.084 concerning “whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon’s transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.” Success refers to the measurable improvements in use and/or efficiency that each project will achieve once it is “fully operational.” “Fully operational” is when the proposed project is complete and in service.

Question 16: Complete the project funding tables. Do fill in the percentage fields; these fields will update automatically after you fill in the “Amount” column, as will all of the totals.

- a. Type the total project cost, or if applying for assistance with FAA AIP grant match then indicate the total federal match requirement.

Example 1: A category 4 airport has a \$50,000 FAA AIP match requirement. The applicant would type in \$50,000 for 16a.

Example 2: An airport has a non-federally funded project. The total project costs are \$150,000. The applicant would type in \$150,000 for 16a.

- b. The minimum COAR Grant match requirement field will auto-populate based on your selection for Question 3. The auto-filled answer will indicate the COAR match requirement for your specific airport.

ODA grant match requirements are based on the airport’s category as listed in Chapter 4 of the current Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP)

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/docs/system_plan/chapter_4_-_airport_functional_roles.pdf

The match requirement is:

- A. Category 1a -- Commercial Primary: 50%
- B. Category 1b -- Other Commercial Non-Primary (less than 10,000 enplanements): 35%
- C. Category 2 -- Business: 25%
- D. Category 3 -- Regional: 10%
- E. Category 4 -- Community: 10%
- F. Category 5 -- Low Activity: 5%

In the table, detail the source of the applicant’s matching funds (i.e. FAA grant, city funds, etc.). Indicate the amount and the when the funds will be available; for example, FAA funds will become available Oct 2017 or Sponsor funds are available immediately. You may also use the pop-up calendar to select a date.

Example 1: A Category 4 airport has a project funded at 90% by an FAA AIP grant. Their total match amount for the FAA AIP grant is \$150,000. The airport can apply for a COAR grant to cover the FAA match requirement, minus the COAR match requirement.

The applicant's match requirement for COAR Grants is 10% of the \$150,000 (category 4 airport); therefore:

Total COAR match requirement: \$1,500

- c. Complete the table by indicating the amount of project grant funds you are requesting from ODA.

If you...	Then...	And...
Do have an FAA funded project	Determine your COAR match requirement by locating your category of airport in Table 4.2 of the current OAP http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/docs/system_plan/chapter_4_-_airport_functional_roles.pdf	Apply for a COAR grant for assistance with a portion of your FAA match amount, minus your COAR Grant match requirement.
Example:		
FAA match amount is \$26,000	Category 2 – ODA match requirement is 25%.	Apply for a COAR grant for assistance with up to 75% (or \$19,500) of your FAA match.
If you ...	Then...	And...
Do not have an FAA funded project	Determine your ODA match requirement by locating your category of airport in Table 4.2 of the current OAP http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/docs/system_plan/chapter_4_-_airport_functional_roles.pdf	Apply for a COAR grant for assistance with the project costs, minus the COAR Grant match requirement.
Example:		
Project cost is \$200,000	Category 2 – ODA match requirement is 25%.	Apply for a COAR grant for assistance with up to 75% (or \$150,000) of the project costs.

- d. The Project Budget Summary table will update automatically after you complete the first part of question 16. This table provides a summary of the total matching funds, the total funds requested from ODA, and the total project cost.
- e. Provide brief information on expenditures that will have been incurred prior to the completion of this agreement, if the project is selected and awarded. Discuss only the expenditures that you seek to be reimbursed for by COAR grants.

Question 17: At its August 2015, the Oregon Transportation Commission provided the following definition for project construction readiness:

Whether a Project is ready to begin elements of work necessary to commence with construction in a reasonable timeframe, or if the Project does not involve construction, whether the Project is ready for implementation. The following considerations, in addition to others, are used by staff when scoring project readiness:

- Applicant responses
- Permitting
- Match financing
- Plan inclusion where necessary
- Land use approval
- Applicant capacity

Provide any additional detail regarding construction readiness or implementation issues. Indicate the level of risk involved with meeting the provided schedule you gave in Question 12.

Explain the level of level of risk with the project schedule (i.e. high risk, medium risk, or low risk) and describe the reason regarding the level of risk. Discuss the length of any required permitting processes, property acquisition, or other parts of the project schedule that are subject to a high level of uncertainty.

Question 18: Provide the project's useful life in years. Useful life pertains to the main element of the project. Explain how this figure was calculated and cite documents that explain useful life. These documents may include industry standards, previously documented projects, research papers, etc. In some cases, a project may have a documented useful life that is different from the common actual life. For instance, airfield lighting and signage may have FAA designated useful life of 10 years; however, due to exceptional maintenance and other conditions, the historical useful life has been 20 years. Applicants should document this difference and explain. Review the Sample Draft Agreement to ensure the project design will be able to meet the contractual useful life. The Sample Draft Agreement identifies the useful life of a construction project as 20 years; non-construction projects should have years; non-construction projects should have a comparable useful life.

SECTION 2: QUESTIONS AND COMMUNICATION

Email Notice List

All applicants and interested parties should submit a request to be added to the Email Notice List by sending an email request with the subject line “ASAP Mailing List Request” to: ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

ODA will use this Email Notice List to update applicants and interested parties with notices, information, and any program and application updates.

Website

Interested parties can check the ODA website:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/Aviation_System_Action_Program.aspx

Questions

All questions should be emailed with the subject line “ASAP Questions” to: ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

Individual questions will be answered via email and then the answer will be posted on the ODA website under the program’s Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) for the benefit of all other applicants.

ODA will provide as much assistance as possible. General help will continue to be posted on the website.

Public Records Note

Basic information on all projects under consideration will be posted on the ODA website.

All information submitted may be posted on the website. Applicants may request confidentiality protection of matters that the law allows a state agency to protect. For assistance see ORS 367.800 to 367.826, the Public Records law; ORS 192.410 to 192.505, the Uniform Trade Secrets Act; ORS 646.461 to 646.475.

Additional Information

For additional information including a copy of 2015 House Bill 2075, the Draft Revised Rule, and Frequently Asked Questions please refer to the ODA website.

Schedule

The Grant Program schedule including the timing of the above steps is posted on the ODA website.

SECTION 3: SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Applications, checklists, and all supporting materials must be received by **5:00 p.m. on Friday, October 14, 2016 if submitted by mail or in person.** Applications must be received by **11:59 p.m. on Friday, October 14, 2016 if submitted electronically.** Late and incomplete applications will not be accepted. Use one of the following methods to submit your application.

Electronic Submission via ODOT FTP Site

All applications may be submitted via the ODOT FTP site; electronic applications (including all support materials) larger than 10 megabytes must use the FTP site.

1. All electronic submissions must contain additional forms and signatures where instructed.
2. Create a new folder using the following naming format: **[FAA Airport Identifier]_[Project Name]** and place your application and all support materials there.
3. Using Internet Explorer, go to the ODOT FTP site:
[ftp://ftp.odot.state.or.us/incoming/Aviation%20System%20Action%20Program%20\(ASAP\)/](ftp://ftp.odot.state.or.us/incoming/Aviation%20System%20Action%20Program%20(ASAP)/)
4. Open the FTP site in Windows Explorer by pressing Alt, clicking View from the menu bar, and then selecting Open FTP Site in Windows Explorer.
5. Drag and drop or copy and paste your application folder in the FTP window.
6. Once your upload is complete, notify us via email: ASAP@aviation.state.or.us
Include in the e-mail a contact name and telephone number.
7. An e-mail verification will be sent to you if the application materials were received successfully. This is not an automated response and may take one (1) to two (2) business days. Keep this verification as your receipt.

Electronic Submission via E-mail

Applications (including all support materials) smaller than 10 megabytes may be submitted via e-mail.

1. All electronic submissions must contain signatures where instructed.
2. Save the application with the following name format: **[FAA Airport Identifier]_[Project Name]**
3. Attach the application and all supporting materials to an e-mail addressed to ASAP@aviation.state.or.us
Include in the e-mail body a contact name and phone number and a listing of the attachments. The subject line should be in the following format:
COAR Application [FAA Airport Identifier]_[Project Name]
4. Do not send more than one (1) e-mail for each application. If the combined file size of your application and support materials is larger than 10 megabytes, please submit electronically via the FTP site or submit a hard copy via postal mail or in person.

Hard Copy Submission via Postal Mail or In Person

Applications and all supporting materials may be submitted in person or via postal mail. All hard copy submissions must be signed where appropriate. **Hard copy submissions must be received by ODA no later than 5:00 p.m. on October 14, 2016.** Postmarks and late applications will not be accepted.

Submit hardcopy applications to:

Oregon Department of Aviation
3040 25th Street SE
Salem OR 97302-1125
Attn: ASAP - COAR Program

Aviation System Action Program Fund

COAR GRANT PROGRAM Instructions to Reviewers



Funding Cycle I – 2016



AVIATION SYSTEM ACTION PROGRAM (ASAP) FUNDING APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

If you have not already done so, please sign up for the ASAP electronic mailing list by sending an email request with the subject line “ASAP Mailing List Request” to:

ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	4
SECTION 1: AGENCY STAFF REVIEW	5
1.1 Completeness Review:.....	5
SECTION 2: AVIATION REVIEW COMMITTEE	6
2.1 General	6
2.2 Aviation Review Committee Members.....	6
2.3 Statutory Review	7
Conflict of Interest Disclosure	7
Statutory Considerations	7
Applicant Match Requirements	7
ACTs Statutory Review Form	8
Project Application Scores	8
Submission Instructions	9
2.4 ACTs Meetings	9
Review, Discuss and Recommend Projects	9
Scheduling and Noticing of Review Meeting	9
Meeting Minutes.....	9
2.5 Final ARC Meeting	9
ODA Staff Support and Committee Administration	9
Conflict of Interest Disclosure	10
Input into the Decision Process.....	10
Project Categories	10
Project Prioritization	11
Tie-Breaking	11
Final Recommendation Report	12
SECTION 3: STATE AVIATION BOARD.....	13
3.1 General	13
3.2 State Aviation Board Members.....	13
3.3 Board Meeting – Final Project Selection.....	13
Conflict of Interest Disclosure	13

ODA Staff Support and Administration 13

Applicant Presentations 13

Project Prioritization 13

SECTION 4: CONTACT INFORMATION..... 15

4.1 ODA Program Staff 15

Appendices..... 16

A-1 Sample ACTs Statutory Review Form..... 17

A-2 Procedure - COAR Grant Process.....18

A-3 COAR Timeline.....20

Introduction

Thank you for your participation in evaluating the ASAP Request for Funding Applications.

The purpose of this document is to provide instruction regarding the Critical Oregon Airport Relief (COAR) Program, which is funded through monies in the Aviation System Action Program (ASAP) Fund. The COAR Grant Program is a **funding-program** available to eligible public-use airports in Oregon.

This document will provide instruction on the review and recommendation process to the Oregon Area Commission on Transportation (ACTs) are providing recommendations to the Airport Review Committee (ARC), which will then provide recommendations to the State Aviation Board.

The application review process includes the following steps:

1. APPLICATION SUBMITTAL PERIOD

Submittal Period for Grant Applications: September 12 – October 14, 2016

2. AGENCY STAFF REVIEW

Completeness Review: October 17 – October 21, 2016

3. ACTs COMMITTEE REVIEW

Independent Statutory Considerations Review by the ACTs: October 24 – December 23, 2016

Final Aviation Review Committee Meeting: January 2017*

4. STATE AVIATION BOARD

Final Public Meeting and Selection of projects to fund: February 2017*

*The exact dates, time, and locations of public meetings will be posted on the Oregon Department of Aviation website once they are available.

The State Aviation Board and ODA reserve the right to modify review dates. ODA will provide accurate and up-to-date information on the Department's website. For more information, please visit:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/Aviation_System_Action_Program.aspx

SECTION 1: AGENCY STAFF REVIEW

1.1 Completeness Review:

Applications will be screened by internal Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) program staff to ensure that each application is complete.

Program administration resources are limited; therefore, incomplete applications may not be forwarded to review committees and will not be considered for grant awards. ODA staff will inform applicants electronically if an application is ineligible due to incompleteness.

If ODA staff identifies a need for additional written data concerning any applicant or project, ODA staff may solicit this from applicants. After the application period ends, applicants may be given a specified amount of time (three business days) to provide the requested additional information. All requests for additional information must be sent in writing to applicants.

SECTION 2: AVIATION REVIEW COMMITTEE

2.1 General

OAR 738-124-0035 requires the Area Commissions on Transportation (ACTs) to review and recommend projects to the State Aviation Board for applications received through the Aviation System Action Program (ASAP) Fund.

2.2 Aviation Review Committee Members

The Aviation Review Committee (ARC) is a review committee, comprised of one (1) aviation representative from each Oregon ACT.

The following table provides an outline of the Oregon ACTs, the areas covered by the ACTs, and their corresponding Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) region:

Table 1 Oregon ACTs

Oregon ACTs	ACTs Area	ODOT Region
Region 1 ACT	ODOT Region 1, including most of Washington, Hood River, Multnomah and Clackamas counties	Region 1
Northwest Oregon ACT	Clatsop, Tillamook, Columbia and western rural Washington counties	Region 2
Mid-Willamette Valley ACT	Marion, Polk and Yamhill counties	Region 2
Cascades West ACT	Benton, Linn and Lincoln counties	Region 2
Lane County ACT	Lane county	Region 2
South West ACT	Coos, Curry and Douglas counties	Region 3
Rogue Valley ACT	Jackson and Josephine counties	Region 3
Lower John Day ACT	Gilliam, Sherman, Wheeler and Wasco counties	Region 4
Central Oregon ACT	Crook, Deschutes and Jefferson counties	Region 4
South Central Oregon ACT	Klamath and Lake counties	Region 4
North East ACT	Morrow, Baker, Union, Umatilla and Wallowa counties and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR)	Region 5
South East ACT	Grant, Harney and Malheur counties and the Burns Paiute Tribe	Region 5

For more information about the Oregon ACTs, please visit the Oregon Department of Transportation Area Commissions on Transportation website: https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/COMM/Pages/act_main.aspx

To view a map of the ODOT regions, please visit: <https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TDATA/gis/docs/REGIONMAPS/RegionMap.pdf>

2.3 Statutory Review

ODA staff will provide each ACTs aviation representative an electronic application package for each project that the ACTs will review. Applicants may submit multiple applications in a single grant cycle for different projects; however, the combined total of all requests per airport, per grant cycle shall be no more than \$150,000.

It is each applicant's responsibility to be as precise and well-documented as possible in showing how the application responds to each of the six statutory considerations. It is the responsibility of each reviewing ACTs member to review project applications and provide comments which support their decisions while considering the benefits of the project, the statutory considerations, their regional priorities and the statutory prioritization (project priorities are outlined in Section 2.5 Final ARC Meeting "*Project Prioritization*").

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

Upon starting the review process, the ACTs aviation representative selected to participate in the Aviation Review Committee (ARC) shall select the appropriate box on the ACTs Statutory Review Form regarding whether there is a conflict of interest and write the conflict of interest in the designated box. Committee members are required to disclose all conflicts of interest regarding any projects being discussed. A conflict of interest means the member is a consultant to the applicant, or is a committee or board member who has assisted the applicant, or has a financial benefit in the project. All conflict of interest disclosures will be recorded by ODA.

Members will refrain from voting on or recommending projects or a slate of projects in which they have disclosed a conflict of interest. Review Committee members with conflicts of interest, except those who are excluded from discussions or debate because they are subject to ORS 244.120(2)(b) and have an actual conflict of interest, are allowed to otherwise participate in the evaluation process. Those with actual conflicts of interest per ORS 244.120(2)(b) may not participate in discussion or debate nor may they vote.

This disclosure requirement applies to all committee members.

Statutory Considerations

The reviewing **ACTs must take into consideration the following Statutory Considerations**, as per ORS 367.084(3):

- a) Whether a proposed transportation project reduces transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improves access to jobs and sources of labor.
- b) Whether a proposed transportation project results in an economic benefit to this state.
- c) Whether a proposed transportation project is a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system.
- d) How much of the cost of a proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant from any source other than the Connect Oregon Fund.
- e) Whether a proposed transportation project is ready for construction.
- f) Whether a proposed transportation project has a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the state.

Based on the application materials, ACTs shall determine whether a project thoroughly meets each of the considerations. To thoroughly meet a consideration, a project shall demonstrate through application responses that the project will accomplish the intent.

Applicant Match Requirements

Applicants are required to provide matching funds, based upon their category of airport, as listed in the current OAP. For more information, please follow the link to view Chapter 4 of the Oregon Aviation Plan:

Airport Category	Category Description	Required Match %
Category 1a	Commercial Primary	50%
Category 1b	Other Commercial Non-Primary (less than 10,000 enplanements)	35%
Category 2	Business	25%
Category 3	Regional	10%
Category 4	Community	10%
Category 5	Low Activity	5%

ACTs Statutory Review Form

The ACTs will evaluate projects for airports in their corresponding ACTs area and forward their evaluations and justifications to ODA using the ACTs Statutory Review Form.

The ARC Statutory Review Form requires the reviewing ACTs aviation representatives to evaluate Questions 13 through 15 from the application and select whether they agree, somewhat agree, or disagree whether the project meets the considerations. The committee will also evaluate Questions 16 through 18 from the application and will select the appropriate box on the review form, depending on the applicant's responses.

Comment areas are provided to show your work and note information critical to your evaluation: How you arrived at your score. (See Appendix A1 for the Sample ACTs Statutory Review Form)

Oregon Aviation Plan

The ACTs should use any identified statewide plan such as the current Oregon Aviation Plan to assist with their evaluations and determining where projects strategically address modal needs.

To view the current Oregon Aviation Plan, please visit:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/docs/system_plan/2007_oregon_system_plan_details.aspx

Committee members may contact ODA staff for assistance with statewide planning information.

Please direct all questions to: ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

Or contact: **Nohemi Ramos at (503) 378-4881**

Project Application Scores

Project applications receive a base score prior to the review by the ACTs. The base score is a technical score based on the completeness of the application and the information provided by the applicant.

The applicant's responses to the questions relating to statutory considerations require a critical review by the ACTs before making a final selection. The selections by the ACTs on the review form will produce a reviewer's score, which will be combined with the base score and result in a final application score.

The scores are calculated automatically as applicants and reviewers complete their designated forms.

The final application score will be used to break ties between rankings during the Final Aviation Review Committee Meeting.

Submission Instructions

Save a completed electronic version of the Review Form for each application you evaluate.

Email signed evaluation forms with the subject line “[ACT]_FC1 Evaluation Forms”, **no later than December 23, 2016** to: ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

2.4 ACTs Meetings

Review, Discuss and Recommend Projects

The ACT aviation representative may collaborate with other members of their ACTs, Regional Solutions, Chambers of Commerce, and other regional stakeholders.

All ACTs meetings related to the recommendations of projects for ASAP funding shall be held as public meetings. ACT aviation representatives may present information regarding projects, the condition of the state’s transportation network, or other relevant information to their whole ACT. Each ACT shall establish a written record of the decision-making process.

Scheduling and Noticing of Review Meeting

ACTs will notify ODA staff of all ACTs meeting dates related to the recommendations of projects for ASAP funding. ODA staff will ensure ACTs meetings, and any revisions or changes, are accurately published on the ODA website. ACTs are responsible for all required public meeting notices (A duplicate notice will be posted on the ODA website).

Meeting Minutes

For each ACT meeting related to ASAP funding, ACTs representatives will record and prepare committee meeting minutes, and secure the committee’s approval of the minutes. Within 5 business days of each meeting, draft meeting minutes will be sent to the ODA staff for posting on the ODA website. Upon approval of the committee, final meeting minutes will be sent to the ODA staff. Final minutes will replace draft minutes posted on the ODA website.

2.5 Final ARC Meeting

ODA Staff Support and Committee Administration

ODA staff will provide staff support for the final Aviation Review Committee (ARC) meeting. ODA staff will coordinate with the respective ACTs regarding the meeting, scheduling, agenda, and necessary public notice.

ODA staff, unless otherwise directed by the committee, will:

- Present the ACT’s final scoring of projects;
- Assist the ARC with understanding the review process; and
- Record results of the ARC proceedings.

During the final ARC meeting, the ARC will **not** hear presentations from any applicants.

ODA staff will provide the ARC a combined list of scored projects from each of the ACTs. The list presented to the ARC will contain a summary of each project, the project category, the name of the applicant, the total project cost, total matching funds, the total funds requested from ODA, and the final application score for all projects.

ODA staff advice and analysis is limited to a supporting role and cannot be substituted for the required decision-making role of the ARC.

Meeting minutes will be posted on the ODA website.

The exact dates, time, and locations for the Board meeting will be posted on the Oregon Department of Aviation website once they are available.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

At the start of the final review meeting, committee members are to disclose all conflicts of interest regarding any projects being discussed. A conflict of interest means the member is a consultant to the applicant, or is a committee or board member who has assisted the applicant, or has a financial benefit in the project. All conflict of interest disclosures will be recorded in the Final Review Committee meeting minutes.

The ARC will ensure that members will refrain from voting on or recommending projects or a slate of projects in which they have disclosed a conflict of interest. Final Review Committee members with conflicts of interest, except those who are excluded from discussions or debate because they are subject to ORS 244.120(2)(b) and have an actual conflict of interest, are allowed to otherwise participate in the evaluation process. Those with actual conflicts of interest per ORS 244.120(2)(b) may not participate in discussion or debate nor may they vote.

This disclosure requirement applies to all committee members.

Input into the Decision Process

The ARC will review projects based on information provided through:

- The project application and related documents;
- Applicant responses to questions; and
- ACTs members' knowledge and expertise.

The ARC may also review projects based on information provided through:

- Professional staff recommendations or analysis (if requested by the committee); and
- Public comment.

The ARC should also use any identified statewide plan such as the current Oregon Aviation Plan to assist with ranking and determining where projects strategically address modal needs. Committee members may contact ODA staff for assistance with statewide planning information.

To view the current Oregon Aviation Plan, visit:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/docs/system_plan/2007_oregon_system_plan_details.aspx

The review committee members shall **not consider** information provided through lobbying by the applicant or any other person outside of the committees' public meetings. This includes any request for preapproval by an applicant or other party.

The ARC shall not require applicants to seek prior consultation or pre-approval of any projects, nor prioritize any project negatively due to any failure to consult with the committee prior to submitting an application.

Project Categories

Project applications submitted to ODA will belong to one of five categories:

- Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program Grant Match;
- Emergency Preparedness and Infrastructure Projects, in accordance with the Oregon Resilience Plan;
- Critical/Essential Services or Equipment;
- Aviation-Related Business Development on Airport; and
- Aviation Development for Local Economic Development

Project Prioritization

Per OL 2015 §7 c.300 (3)(c) and OAR 738-125-0035(3), the **review committee shall recommend applications to the State Aviation Board, which shall select applications with the following priority:**

- a. First, to applications filed with respect to assist airports in Oregon with match requirements for Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program grants;
- b. Second, to applications filed with respect to safety and infrastructure development; and
- c. Third, to applications filed with respect to aviation-related economic benefits related to airports.

Per OL 2015 §7 c.300 (5)(b), “Priority in distributing grants shall be given to projects for which applicants demonstrate a commitment to contribute the greatest amounts toward the cost of the projects to which the applications relate.”

Projects will be further prioritized in accordance with OAR 738-125-0035 (6).

Projects within a lower statutory priority **shall not** be ranked above projects with a higher statutory priority.

Tie-Breaking

Ties between project rankings within the same category shall be resolved by using the following methods, in respective order.

1. Application scores;
2. Current Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP);
3. National Priority Ratings by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), if applicable.

To view the current Oregon Aviation Plan for recommendations to airports, select the following link and visit *Chapter 5 – System Analysis and Recommendations*:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/docs/system_plan/chapter_5_-_system_analysis_and_recommendations.pdf

To view the National Priority Ratings by the FAA, select the following link and view *Appendix 6: NPIAS-ACIP Standard Descriptions, ACIP Codes, and National Priority Ratings*:

<http://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Order/order-5100-39A-acip.pdf>

Example of Scoring Differences post ACTs Review:

Project Name	Project Category	Application Score
A	FAA AIP Match	110
B	Emergency Preparedness & Infrastructure Projects	100
C	FAA AIP Grant Match	100
D	Emergency Preparedness & Infrastructure Projects	95
E	Economic Benefit	105

Explanation of Scoring Example

The Aviation Review Committee (ARC) is responsible for ranking the projects within the same category resulting in a single prioritized list of recommended projects for ASAP funding. This list will be presented to the State Aviation Board for their vetting and final approval.

In the above example, Project A and Project C belong to the FAA AIP Grant Match Category; Project B and Project D belong to the Emergency Preparedness & Infrastructure Category; and Project E belongs to the Economic Benefit Category.

In this example, the ARC will follow the statutory prioritization and begin by ranking Projects A and C. The ARC must decide which project will be placed first, and which project will be placed second. If there are ties in ranking, the committee can: refer to the application scores, refer to the minimum standard deficiencies listed in the current OAP, or refer to the National Priority Ratings by the FAA (if applicable).

If funds are still available after all FAA AIP Match projects have been selected, the ARC will proceed to rank the next priority category of projects (Safety and Infrastructure Development). In this example, the ARC will proceed to rank Projects B and D against each other.

If funds remain available after the first two categories of projects have been selected, the committee will proceed to rank the next priority of projects (Economic Benefit); in this example, the ARC will select Project E.

Final Recommendation Report

The Aviation Review Committee (ARC) will provide the State Aviation Board a Final Recommendation Report prioritizing projects.

SECTION 3: STATE AVIATION BOARD

3.1 General

The State Aviation Board will review the Aviation Review Committee (ARC) Final Recommendation Report prioritizing projects. The Board will vet the list and provide final approval of projects to receive ASAP funding.

3.2 State Aviation Board Members

The State Aviation Board, or “Board”, is a seven person policy board appointed by the Governor.

3.3 Board Meeting – Final Project Selection

The Board will hold a public hearing on the recommended project list in February 2017.

The Board will make its project selection decision in February 2017.

The exact dates, time, and locations for the Board meeting will be posted on the Oregon Department of Aviation website once they are available. For updates, please visit:

http://www.oregon.gov/aviation/Pages/Aviation_System_Action_Program.aspx

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

At the start of each meeting, the State Aviation Board Chair shall require committee members to disclose all conflicts of interest regarding any projects being discussed. A conflict of interest means the member is a consultant to the applicant, or is a committee or board member who has assisted the applicant, or has a financial benefit in the project. All conflict of interest disclosures will be recorded in the State Aviation Board meeting minutes.

The Board Chair will ensure that members refrain from voting on or recommending projects or a slate of projects in which they have disclosed a conflict of interest. Board members with conflicts of interest, except those who are excluded from discussions or debate because they are subject to ORS 244.120(2)(b) and have an actual conflict of interest, are allowed to otherwise participate in the evaluation process. Those with actual conflicts of interest per ORS 244.120(2)(b) may not participate in discussion or debate nor may they vote.

This disclosure requirement applies to all Board members.

ODA Staff Support and Administration

ODA staff will provide each member of the State Aviation Board, an electronic ARC Final Recommendation Report.

Applicant Presentations

During the Final ASAP Board meeting, the Board will **not** hear presentations from any applicants. If the Board has questions regarding a project and the applicant is present at the public meeting, the Board may call upon the applicant for testimony.

Project Prioritization

The Board may modify project rankings recommended by the ARC; however, projects within a lower statutory priority **shall not** be ranked above projects with a higher statutory priority.

Per OL 2015 §7 c.300 (3)(c) and OAR 738-125-0035(3), the review committee shall recommend applications to

the State Aviation Board, which shall select applications with the following priority:

- a. First, to applications filed with respect to assist airports in Oregon with match requirements for Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program grants;
- b. Second, to applications filed with respect to safety and infrastructure development; and
- c. Third, to applications filed with respect to aviation-related economic benefits related to airports.

SECTION 4: CONTACT INFORMATION

4.1 ODA Program Staff

Please direct all questions to: ASAP@aviation.state.or.us

Or you may contact either:

Heather Peck

Planning & Projects Manager

(503) 378-3168

Nohemi Ramos

Fiscal Analyst / Grant Coordinator

(503) 378-4881

Appendices

The Appendices in this document provide SAMPLE versions of the respective documents. Reviewers will receive final versions of each form in Word, Excel, or PDF prior to the start of the review period.



A-1 STATUTORY CONSIDERATION REVIEW FORM
ACTs Statutory Review Form 2016

- ➔ Please read the Aviation Project Funding Request - Instructions to Reviewers prior to completing this form.
- ➔ The *Instructions to Reviewers* and *ACTs Statutory Review Form* are available on the [Oregon Department of Aviation website](http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/aviation).
- ➔ **Comment areas are provided to note information critical to your evaluation: How you arrived at your decision.**
- ➔ Save a completed electronic version of this document for each application you evaluate.
- ➔ Email completed evaluation forms to ASAP@aviation.state.or.us **no later than December 23, 2016.**

Reviewer Name / ACT: _____

Applicant Name: _____ Application Number: _____

Project Name: _____

Section 1:

	Agree	Somewhat Agree	Disagree	Comments
Question 13 - Reduced Transportation Costs or Improved Access to Jobs Does the proposed transportation project reduce transportation costs for Oregon businesses or improve access to jobs and sources of labor?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Question 14 - Economic Benefit Does the proposed transportation project result in an economic benefit to the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Question 15 - Critical Link Is the proposed transportation project a critical link connecting elements of Oregon's transportation system that will measurably improve utilization and efficiency of the system?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Section 2:

Question 16 - Project Funding	0% - 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 75%	76% - 100%
How much of the cost of the proposed transportation project can be borne by the applicant for the grant from any source other than the Connect Oregon fund?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Question 17 - Project Readiness*	Project's Readiness to Start (in months)				
	0 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 18	19 - 24	over 24
Is the proposed transportation project ready for construction or ready for implementation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*As all projects are not construction projects, ODA will use the following definition for project readiness when scoring and ranking projects:

Whether a project is ready to **begin elements of work necessary to commence with construction in a reasonable timeframe.**
 If the project does not involve construction, whether the project **is ready for implementation.**

Review members may use the following information, plus other knowledge when determining project readiness: Permitting, match financing, plan inclusion where necessary, land use approval, applicant capacity

Question 18 - Life Expectancy	Expected Useful Life (in years)				
	0 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	over 20
Does the proposed transportation project have a useful life expectancy that offers maximum benefit to the State?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

No Conflict of Interest Certification: I do not have any conflict of interest with the proposer submitting this project application. A conflict of interest means the member is a consultant to the applicant, or is a committee or board member who has assisted the applicant, or has a financial benefit in the project. All conflict of interest disclosures will be recorded in the Final Review Committee meeting minutes.

Comments: _____

**ACT Coordination - ASAP Program Fund
Critical Oregon Airport Reserve (COAR) Grant Program**

ACT	Airports located in ACT	Category (for match req.)	Sponsor	State Owned Airports located in ACT *
North West Oregon ACT	Astoria Regional	2	Port of Astoria	Nehalem Bay State
ODOT Rep: Tim Potter & Bill Johnston	Seaside Municipal	4	City of Seaside	Pacific City State
Act Aviation Rep: Tim Potter	Tillamook	3	Port of Tillamook Bay	
james.t.potter@odot.state.or.us	Vernonia Airfield	5	City of Vernonia	
	Scappoose Industrial Airpark	2	Port of St. Helens	
Region 1 ACT	PDX	1a	Port of Portland	Aurora State
ODOT Rep: Kelly Brooks & Andrew Plambeck	Troutdale	2	Port of Portland	Mulino State
Act Aviation Rep: Doug Daoust	Hillsboro	2	Port of Portland	Cascade Locks State
doug.daoust@troutdaleoregon.gov	Portland Heliport	2	City of Portland	
	Starks Twin Oaks Airpark	5	Private Owned Public Use	
	Sandy River	5	Private Owned Public Use	
	Ken Jerst Airfield	4	Port of Hood River	
	Country Squire Airpark	5	Private Owned Public Use	
	Valley View	5	Private Owned Public Use	
	Lenhardt Airpark	4	Private Owned Public Use	
	Skyport	5	Private Owned Public Use	
Mid-Willamette Valley ACT	Chehalem Airpark	5	Private Owned Public Use	Independence State
ODOT Rep: Tim Potter	Sportsman Airpark	4	Private Owned Public Use	
Act Aviation Rep: Craig Pope	McMinnville Municipal	2	City of McMinnville	
pope.craig@co.polk.or.us	Salem McNary Field	2	City of Salem	
Cascades West ACT	Newport Municipal	3	City of Newport	Siletz Bay State
ODOT Rep: Frannie Brindle	Corvallis Municipal	2	City of Corvallis	Wakonda Beach State
Act Aviation Rep: Chris Bailey	Albany Municipal	4	City of Albany	Lebanon State
chris.bailey@cityofalbany.net	Davis	5	Private Owned Public Use	Santiam Junction State Toledo State
Lane County ACT	Florence Municipal	4	City of Florence	Cottage Grove State
ODOT Rep: Frannie Brindle & David Reesor	Eugene - Mahlon Field	1a	City of Eugene	Oakridge State
Act Aviation Rep: Shelley Humble	Creswell - Hobby Field	4	City of Creswell	McKenzie Bridge State
shumble@creswell-or.us				
South West ACT	Lakeside Municipal	5	City of Lakeside	Bandon State
ODOT Rep: Mark Usselman	Southwest Oregon Regional	1a	Coos County Airport District	Cape Blanco State
Act Aviation Rep: Robb Paul	Gold Beach Municipal	4	City of Gold Beach	Tokettee State
rgpaul@co.douglas.or.us	Brookings	4	City of Brookings	
	George Felt	5	Private Owned Public Use	
	Roseburg	2	City of Roseburg	
	Myrtle Creek Municipal	4	City of Myrtle Creek	
	Powers	5	Port of Coquille River	
Rogue Valley ACT	Grants Pass	3	Josephine County	Prospect State
ODOT Rep: Art Anderson	Rogue Valley International - Medford	1a	Jackson County	Pinehurst State
Act Aviation Rep: Bern Case	Illinois Valley	4	Josephine County	
casebe@jacksoncounty.org	Ashland Municipal	3	City of Ashland	
Lower John Day ACT	The Dalles Municipal	3	City of The Dalles	Wasco State
ODOT Rep: Gary Farnsworth	Arlington Municipal	5	City of Arlington	Condon State
Act Aviation Rep: Chuck Covert				
napadt@gorge.net / airporttd@gorge.net				
North East ACT	Boardman	5	City of Boardman	Joseph State
ODOT Rep: Craig Sipp & Lisa Hill	Lexington	4	City of Lexington	
Act Aviation Rep: Doug Wright	Hermiston Municipal	3	City of Hermiston	
dwright@union-county.org	Eastern Oregon Regional - Pendleton	1b	City of Pendleton	
	La Grande / Union County	3	Union County	
	Baker City Municipal	3	City of Baker City	
	Memaloose USFS	5	USFS	
	Enterprise Municipal	5	City of Enterprise	

Central Oregon ACT				
ODOT Rep: Gary Farnsworth	Madras	4	City of Madras	
Act Aviation Rep: Gary Judd gjudd@ci.bend.or.us	Lake Billy Chinook	5	Private Owned Public Use	
	Sisters	4	Private Owned Public Use	
	Sunriver	4	Private Owned Public Use	
	Prineville	4	Prineville / Crook County	
	Redmond Municipal - Roberts Field	1a	City of Redmond	
	Bend Municipal	2	City of Bend	
South Central ACT				
ODOT Rep: Butch Hansen	Beaver Marsh	5	Private Owned Public Use	Crescent Lake State
Act Aviation Rep: Jim Bellet jbellet@klamathcounty.org	Silver Lake USFS	5	USFS	Chiloquin State
	Christmas Valley	4	Christmas Valley Parks & Recreation	Alkali Lake State
	Klamath Falls - Crater Lake International	1b	City of Flamath Falls	
	Malin	5	City of Malin	
	Lake County	4	Lake County	
	Paisley	5	Lake County	
South East ACT				
ODOT Rep: Sean Maloney & Montey Grove	Monument Municipal	5	City of Monument	Owyhee Reservoir State
Act Aviation Rep: Haley Walker manager@gcra.org	Grant County Regional - Ogilvie Field	3	Grant County	Rome State
	Ontario Municipal	3	City of Ontario	McDermitt State
	Miller Memorial Airpark	5	City of Vale	
	Burns Municipal	3	City of Burns	
	Burns Jct BLM	5	BLM	

* State Owned Airports in ACT: ODA does not intend to apply for funding of state owned airports on its behalf. However, it is possible for a local municipality or local jurisdiction to apply for grant funding if the monies will be used on a project which benefits the airport and meets the grant rules, policy and legislation including match requirements and if supported by the Department of Aviation and ACT.

