



LPRO: Legislative Policy and Research Office

THE UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT

BACKGROUND BRIEF

THE UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT

The Unlawful Trade Practices Act (UTPA) is one tool consumers can use to recover damages that occur as a result of deceptive sales or business practices. The UTPA was enacted in 1971 and is largely based on the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act. (*see* O.R.S. 646.605-646.656). The UTPA provides individuals with a right to sue for deceptive practices with the sale of real estate, goods or services. Additional recovery powers are given to the Attorney General and district attorneys.

In 2013, the Attorney General opened 98 formal investigations into UTPA violations and currently has approximately 200 open and active cases. Of the cases opened in 2013, 21 were against new and used car dealers, nine against construction contractors, seven against RV dealers and seven against work-from-home opportunities. Several investigations crossed state lines and involved multiple states' efforts. In 2013, the Attorney General resolved 40 cases through voluntary agreements, settled seven cases out

of court and pursued four lawsuits in state court.

The UTPA is designed to protect consumers from bad business practices. As such, only actors who are engaging in unlawful practices in the course of the actor's business, vocation

or occupation are subject to the act. Actions outside of a business, vocation or occupation do not fall within the UTPA. Similarly, the consumer must have obtained goods or services for the primary purpose of personal, family or household uses.

A court makes a two-part inquiry into whether real estate, goods or services were for primarily personal, family or household uses. First, the

court determines whether the item or services are customarily bought by a substantial number of consumers for personal, family or household purposes, and then whether the goods or services were in fact purchased by the plaintiff for personal, family or household purposes.¹

The UTPA does not cover landlord/tenant disputes, business transactions with a pawnbroker or any insurance-related matters.

CONTENTS

THE UNLAWFUL TRADE
PRACTICES ACT

PROHIBITED CONDUCT

TELEPHONE AND DOOR-TO-
DOOR SOLICITATIONS

REMEDIES

STAFF CONTACT

¹ *Searle v. Exley Express, Inc.* 278 Or 535 (1977).



THE UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT

PROHIBITED CONDUCT

The UTPA prohibits both general and specific conduct. Generally, the UTPA says that a person engages in unlawful practices if, in the course of business, the person:

- employs unconscionable tactics when selling, renting or disposing of real estate, goods or services; and
- fails to deliver the goods or services, or refuses to refund money to the consumer for undelivered goods.

Unconscionable tactics are broadly defined to include:

- knowingly taking advantage of a customer's physical infirmity, ignorance or illiteracy;
- knowingly permitting a customer to enter into a transaction from which the customer will derive no material benefit;
- knowingly taking advantage of a disabled veteran or disabled servicemember; or
- permitting a customer to enter into a transaction with knowledge that the customer will not have a reasonable probability of paying the financial obligation when due.

Specifically, the UTPA prohibits over 72 acts. These include:

- passing off goods or services as that of another;
- causing confusion or misunderstanding on the source, approval, affiliations or ties of a particular good or service;
- misrepresenting the characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, quantities, or qualities of real estate, goods or services;

- misrepresenting second-hand items as new or original;
- making false or misleading representations of fact about the real estate, goods or services to the customer or another;
- misrepresenting the credit availability or nature of a transaction or obligation incurred by the customer;
- advertising real estate, goods or services with the intent to not provide the items advertised;
- making false or misleading statements about prizes, contests or promotions used to publicize a product, business or service;
- organizing or inducing membership into a pyramid club;
- failing to disclose any known material defect at the time of delivery of real estate, goods or services;
- manufacturing, selling or supplying thermometers or thermostats containing mercury;
- tampering with vehicle odometers;
- failing to adhere to health spa contract laws; or
- failing to disclose to a buyer that a vehicle has been used in the manufacturing of controlled substances.



THE UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT

The UTPA includes many more acts or omissions that are unlawful practices.²

TELEPHONE AND DOOR-TO-DOOR SOLICITATIONS

The UTPA also governs telephone and door-to-door solicitations. Within 30 seconds of initiating a door-to-door or telephone conversation with a potential customer, a salesperson must:

- identify himself or herself and the person he or she represents,
- explain the purpose of the call or visit,
- describe the goods or services offered for sale in commonly understood terms, and
- inquire whether the person being solicited is interested in listening to a sales presentation.

If the potential customer indicates he or she is not interested, then the call or visit must end.

REMEDIES

An individual may bring an action for any of the specific acts prohibited by the UTPA but only a prosecuting attorney (District Attorney or Attorney General) may bring an action for the more general “unconscionable tactics” outlined in the UTPA.

Within a private right of action, any consumer who suffers any ascertainable loss of money or property as a result of willful use of an unlawful practice may recover actual damages or \$200, whichever is greater, plus punitive damages and attorney fees. An “ascertainable loss” means a loss that is capable of being

discovered, observed or established (see *Scott v. Western International Surplus Sales, Inc.*, 267 Or 512, 515 (1973)), but the degree of specificity needed to show actual damages is still under discussion within the courts.

A suit brought by an individual may also claim an award for punitive damages if the court determines that punitive damages are warranted. (see *Williams v. Philip Morris, Inc.*, 193 Or App 527 (2004)).

Additionally, the court may award attorney fees to a plaintiff if the plaintiff is the prevailing party. The court may only award attorney fees to a defendant if the defendant prevails and there was no reasonable basis for bringing the action. Statute also allows the court to “provide any equitable relief the court considers necessary or proper.”

The Attorney General or District Attorney can seek additional remedies. The prosecuting attorney may issue investigative demands, such as requiring potential defendants to be deposed, produce relevant documents or answer interrogatories. The prosecuting attorney may also bring a suit to stop any alleged wrongful conduct.

UTPA actions may also be made as a class action.

² ORS 646.607
https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/lawsstatutes/2013ors646.html.



THE UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT

STAFF CONTACT

Channa Newell
Legislative Policy and Research Office
503-986-1525
channa.newell@oregonlegislature.gov

Please note that the Legislative Policy and Research Office provides centralized, nonpartisan research and issue analysis for Oregon's legislative branch. The Legislative Policy and Research Office does not provide legal advice. Background Briefs contain general information that is current as of the date of publication. Subsequent action by the legislative, executive or judicial branches may affect accuracy.