

Issue Brief: Quality Education Model

LPRO

DATE: December 1, 2023

Passage of Ballot Measures 5 (1990), 47 (1996), and 50 (1997) shifted primary responsibility for funding Oregon's schools from local communities to the state by limiting property tax rates. To prepare for the increased state role and ensure an understanding of the amount of money needed to run a high-quality education system, Oregon established the Quality Education Model (QEM), managed by the Quality Education Commission (QEC). The Legislative Assembly considers both the amount determined by the QEM and the current service level (CSL) amount calculated by the executive branch each biennium to determine the State School Fund appropriation.

Legislative History

In 1997, House Speaker Lynn Lundquist appointed a committee to determine the cost of a quality education for every student. The committee, consisting of educators, parents, business leaders, and legislators, met over the next biennium to devise a tool upon which to base a kindergarten through 12th grade (K-12) budget. The committee presented its findings to the 1999 Legislative Assembly in the form of the Oregon Quality Education Model.

Governor John Kitzhaber and State Schools Superintendent Stan Bunn then appointed a Quality Education Commission (QEC) in fall 1999. As part of its work, that body offered a model that phased in the necessary funding.

The 2001 Legislative Assembly continued this work by enacting [House Bill 2295](#), codified in [ORS 327.497 to 327.506](#), which placed the QEC in statute and directed it to refine and update the model on an ongoing basis.

The goals established for the state's education system were first enacted in 1995 and updated in subsequent legislative sessions. Codified in [ORS 329.007, .015, .025, .045, and .065](#), the goals are broad and general in nature, and describe a vision for an educational system that meets the needs of students and provides enriching educational environments. The goals mention rigorous academics and preparing students for successful transitions, as well as describing the characteristics of the school systems envisioned by the legislature.



Commission Membership and Duties

The QEC consists of 11 members appointed by the Governor, supported by staff from the Oregon Department of Education (ODE). No more than five of the members may be employed by a school district.

Each biennium, the commission must:

- determine the amount of money sufficient to ensure that the state's kindergarten through 12th grade education system meets the quality goals established in law;
- identify best practices that lead to high student performance, as well as the costs of implementing those practices; and
- issue a report that identifies current practices, the costs of those practices, and expected student performance under those practices as well as the best practices, the costs of those practices, and expected student performance under the best practices.¹

QUALITY EDUCATION MODEL

The Quality Education Model (QEM) identifies components of a quality education, then estimates the cost of those components. The model is based on prototypical schools, encompasses the goals and requirements established in statute, and includes quality indicators. The QEC meets to refine the model and makes changes in each biennial report.

Schools in Oregon are not required to adhere to the model's components. In Oregon, school districts have the authority to devise local budgets according to their own needs, with community input required by state law.²

Prototype Schools

The QEM is a professional judgment model that relies on prototype schools to analyze the costs of specific policies. For 2022, the QEC defined the prototypical schools as an elementary school of 360 students, a middle school of 500 students, and a high school of 1,000 students.³ The prototypes for each level identify specific class sizes, staffing ratios and key positions. After staff calculates the costs of these prototype schools, they scale those costs up for the entire state.

Link to Student Performance

Beginning with the report published in 2000, QEM reports have linked the model's funding to student performance. Since passage of legislation in 2001, state law requires the QEC to establish this link.⁴

¹ [ORS 327.506](#)

² [ORS 294.305 et seq](#)

³ Quality Education Commission, [Quality Education Model Report, Salem, OR, August, 2022](#), p. 7.

⁴ [ORS 327.506 \(4\)\(b\)](#)



From 2000 until 2012, QEM reports linked the model's funding to projected improvements in student proficiency rates on state standardized assessments. In 2010, the report added graduation rates as a measure of student performance. In 2014, the QEC developed a Student Achievement Model to link increased resources to increases in student achievement. Reports issued in 2014 and 2016 focused on third-grade reading proficiency rates and attendance rates in middle and high schools as predictors of high school graduation. In 2018 and 2020, the QEM report began focusing on graduation rates as the sole measure of the impact of increased funding. Despite statutory requirements, the initial 2022 QEM report did not contain statistical analysis linking full funding of the model to improved outcomes for students. The Commission reported that this was an effort to streamline the report and make it more understandable to the public. Follow-up documentation included information on graduation rates.

FUNDING CALCULATIONS

Article VIII, Section 8 of the Oregon Constitution requires the Legislative Assembly to either appropriate the full amount to provide a high-quality education, or to issue a report explaining the reasons why it did not do so.⁵ The Legislative Assembly delegated this responsibility to its Joint Public Education Appropriations Committee, which meets in the interim every odd-numbered year.⁶ That committee relies on the QEM's calculation of the cost of a high-quality education system in order to fulfill the Legislative Assembly's constitutional obligations.

As part of its work, the QEC calculates the gap between the amount of state funding that Oregon would need to fully implement the QEM and the amount the legislature has appropriated. Table 1 on page 4 displays the gap between state resources and the full amount required for QEM implementation since 1999.

Passage of the Student Success Act in 2019, which established a Corporate Activity Tax and continuously appropriated most of the proceeds to the state's K-12 education system, significantly narrowed the gap in funding.⁷ However, changes to the Quality Education Model made by the Commission increased the gap in the subsequent biennium.

⁵ Or. Const. Art. VIII, Sect. 8

⁶ [ORS 171.857](#)

⁷ [House Bill 3427, Enrolled \(2019\)](#).



Table 1: State Funding Gap, 1999-2023, in Millions of Dollars

Biennium	QEM Full Implementation Model	SSF Legislative Appropriation	Student Success Act*	Total State Funds	Funding Gap	Percent Gap
1999-2001	\$5,654.2	\$4,562.0		\$4,562.0	\$1,092.2	23.9%
2001-2003	\$6,215.6	\$4,573.9		\$4,573.9	\$1,641.7	35.9%
2003-2005	\$6,659.2	\$4,907.6		\$4,907.6	\$1,751.6	35.7%
2005-2007	\$7,096.7	\$5,305.2		\$5,305.2	\$1,791.5	33.8%
2007-2009	\$7,766.2	\$6,131.0		\$6,131.0	\$1,635.2	26.7%
2009-2011	\$7,872.8	\$5,756.9		\$5,756.9	\$2,115.9	36.8%
2011-2013	\$8,004.9	\$5,799.0		\$5,799.0	\$2,205.9	38.0%
2013-2015	\$8,775.0	\$6,650.4		\$6,650.4	\$2,124.6	31.9%
2015-2017	\$9,158.4	\$7,376.3		\$7,376.3	\$1,782.1	24.2%
2017-2019	\$9,971.0	\$8,200.0		\$8,200.0	\$1,771.0	21.6%
2019-2021	\$10,773.9	\$9,000.0		\$9,000.0	\$1,773.9	19.7%
2021-2023	\$11,163.0	\$9,300.0	\$1,306.0	\$10,606.0	\$557.44	5.3%
2023-2025	\$13,227.0	\$10,200.0	\$1,555.0	\$11,755.0	\$1,469.0	12.5%

Source: Legislative Policy and Research Office

Data: Quality Education Commission and Legislative Fiscal Office

*Includes Student Success Act grants to districts from state revenues and Measure 98 General Fund grants to districts

In addition to the amount set by the QEC, the Legislative Assembly considers the executive branch's calculation of current service level (CSL) funding for K-12 education. Each budget year, the executive branch calculates CSL as the amount it would cost for Oregon's school districts to fund all current services in the next biennium. The Joint Ways and Means Committee considers both the QEM amount and the CSL amount, as well as available revenues and other legislative priorities, when determining a biennial appropriation for the State School Fund.

Staff

Lisa Gezelter, lisa.gezelter@oregonlegislature.gov

Legislative Policy and Research Office

Oregon State Capitol | (503) 986-1813 | www.oregonlegislature.gov/lpro

The Legislative Policy and Research Office (LPRO) provides centralized, nonpartisan research and issue analysis for Oregon's legislative branch. LPRO does not provide legal advice. LPRO publications contain general information that is current as of the date of publication. Subsequent action by the legislative, executive, or judicial branches may affect accuracy.

