

ED DIEHL
STATE REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 17
MARION/LINN



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 6, 2026

Timothy G. Sekerak
Chief Clerk of the House
State Capitol Building
Salem, OR 97301

RE: Vote Explanation on HB 4145B

Dear Chief Clerk Sekerak,

I write to explain my “Yes” vote on HB 4145B.

I want to be very clear about something: I opposed Oregon Ballot Measure 114 when it was on the ballot, and I still believe its permit-to-purchase scheme and magazine restrictions raise serious constitutional concerns.

That fight is not over. Those issues are currently being decided in court.

This bill does not repeal Measure 114, and I wish it did. But what it does do is delay its implementation until 2028.

That matters because it prevents the state from rushing to build a costly and complicated permitting bureaucracy while the courts are still deciding whether the law is even constitutional.

In other words, this bill hits the pause button.

A vote for this bill is not a vote for Measure 114. I remain firmly opposed to it. But delaying implementation while the legal challenges proceed is the responsible thing to do.

For these reasons, I voted “Yes” on HB 4145B.

Sincerely,

Ed Diehl
Representative
House District 17