

**Monday, January 9, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 9:30 a.m., Prozanski in Chair. Colors were posted and the National Anthem was performed by Senator-elect Mark Meek. Invocation by Senator Deb Patterson. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsler Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Robinson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Wagner, Weber, Woods.

Prozanski moved that a committee of three be appointed, and appointed Frederick as Chair, Gelsler Blouin, and Girod to serve as a Committee on Permanent Organization and Order of Business. Motion carried by voice vote.

Prozanski moved that a committee of three be appointed, and appointed Dembrow as Chair, Findley, and Patterson to serve as a Committee on Credentials. Motion carried by voice vote.

Senate at ease while committees met. Senate reassembled.

The following report by the Committee on Permanent Organization and Order of Business was read:

January 9, 2023

Presiding Officer:

We, your Committee on Permanent Organization and Order of Business, respectfully recommend that the Senate proceed to effect permanent organization of the Senate and that the following orders of business be adopted:

1. Appointment of Committees on Permanent Organization and Order of Business and Credentials.
2. Adoption of reports of the Committees on Permanent Organization and Order of Business and Credentials.
3. Administering Oath of Office to newly-elected, newly-appointed, and re-elected Senators.
4. Election of Permanent Officers of the Senate and administering Oaths of Office.
5. Appointment of Special Committee on Rules.
6. Adopt Permanent Regular Session and Interim Rules of the Senate as recommended by your Special Committee on Rules to be effective beginning January 17, 2023.
8. Appointment of Regular Session Standing Committees.
9. Introduction and First Reading of Senate measures.
10. Adopt a concurrent resolution to set session deadlines and a concurrent resolution to adjourn Senate until January 17, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

Senator Lew Frederick, Chair  
Senator Sara Gelsler Blouin  
Senator Fred Girod

Frederick moved to adopt the report. On motion to adopt the report, the vote was: Ayes, 29. Report adopted.

The following report by the Committee on Credentials was read:

January 9, 2023

Presiding Officer:

We, your Committee on Credentials, after inspecting the official Proclamation from the Secretary of State, respectfully submit the following report:

That the following Senators are entitled to sit in this body until the second Monday in January, 2025, to wit:

Dick Anderson	Bill Hansell
Brian Boquist	Tim Knopp
Michael Dembrow	Kate Lieber
Lynn Findley	Dennis Linthicum
Lew Frederick	Art Robinson
Fred Girod	Kathleen Taylor
Chris Gorsek	

That the following named Senators were elected on November 8, 2022 at the general election for a term of four years beginning the second Monday in January, 2023 and are entitled to sit in this body until the second Monday in January, 2027, to wit:

Daniel Bonham	Floyd Prozanski
Sara Gelsler Blouin	Janeen Sollman
Jeff Golden	Elizabeth Steiner
Cedric Hayden	Kim Thatcher
Kayse Jama	Robert Wagner
James Manning, Jr.	Suzanne Weber
Mark Meek	Aaron Woods
Deb Patterson	

That Wlnsvey Campos was elected on November 8, 2022 at the General Election for a term of two years beginning the second Monday in January, 2023, and is entitled to sit in this body until the second Monday in January, 2025.

That Dallas Heard, a duly-elected Senator from the 1st Senatorial District for a term of four years beginning the second Monday in January, 2021 and terminating the second Monday in January, 2025, resigned on January 1, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

Senator Michael Dembrow, Chair  
Senator Lynn Findley  
Senator Deb Patterson

Dembrow moved to adopt the report. On motion to adopt the report, the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 – Boquist, Robinson. Report adopted.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

The Honorable Meagan A. Flynn, Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court, administered the oath of office to the newly-elected, newly-appointed, and re-elected senators.

Nominations for President of the Senate were declared open by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Steiner nominated Wagner for President of the Senate. Linthicum nominated Thatcher for President of the Senate.

There being no further nominations, nominations were declared closed by the Chair. The roll was called with the following result, for Wagner: 17 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsler Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Wagner, Woods; for Thatcher: 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber. Wagner, having received 17 votes, was duly elected the President of the Senate.

The Honorable Meagan A. Flynn, Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court, administered the oath of office to the newly-elected President of the Senate. President Wagner in

Chair.

Nominations for President Pro Tempore of the Senate were declared open by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Frederick nominated Manning, Jr for President Pro Tempore of the Senate.

There being no further nominations, nominations were declared closed by the Chair. On election of James Manning, Jr. as President Pro Tempore the vote was: Ayes, 29. Manning Jr, having received 29 votes, was duly elected the President Pro Tempore of the Senate.

The Honorable Meagan A. Flynn, Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court, administered the oath of office to the newly-elected President Pro Tempore of the Senate.

Nominations for Secretary of the Senate were declared open by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp nominated Lori L. Brocker for Secretary of the Senate and moved that nominations for Secretary of the Senate be closed. On election of Lori L. Brocker as Secretary of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 – Boquist, Robinson. Lori L. Brocker, having received 27 votes, was duly elected Secretary of the Senate.

The Honorable Meagan A. Flynn, Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court, administered the oath of office to the newly-elected Secretary of the Senate.

President Wagner made the following remarks to the Senate:

My fellow Senators, thank you for your support. Thank you for your encouragement. Thank you for your spirited debate and active participation in our Democracy.

To the Secretary of the Senate and your team; the Legislative Fiscal, Revenue, Legislative Administration, policy, research and counsel staff, State Police; the CAMS, facilities and visitors staff; the committee staff and Senate staff — thank you for your service to the people of Oregon. Thank you all for your shared love of our State and our Oregon Senate.

And to my parents, my siblings and their partners and children, my children and my incredible wife Laurie — Thank you for making my public service possible.

It was the summer of 1979; I was 6 years old - and my parents decided that my sister Rebecca and I should see Oregon the way it was meant to be seen. They spent weeks drying pears and homemade beef jerky - and getting backpacks ready to spend a week in the mountains on the trail in the Wallawas to Eagle Cap Wilderness.

We arrived at the East Lostine River on a beautiful late morning. In my small knapsack, I carried a teddy bear, and some sugar lemon drops and trail mix (with extra M&Ms), while my parents carried propane tanks and grills, all the tents, the bulky old sleeping bags and every morsel of food.

The trail itself, in Senator Hansell's district, starts at a mile high and ascends into the mountains. It's 13.2 miles round trip and has an elevation gain of 2200 feet - most of which you climb in the first 3 miles through about twenty switchbacks on your way to the most incredible field of wildflowers - lupine, paintbrush, and balsamroot.

We started out - and I made it almost to the second switchback, I looked up at my Mom and Dad and said "Are we there yet?" Out popped the sugar lemon drops to stuff one in my mouth...my knapsack went on top of my Dad's backpack...until we made the next switchback - "Mom - are we there yet?"

"Are we there yet?"

Twenty years later in 1999, I found myself on another of my favorite walks (this one of my own volition). It was after I had served as a staffer in the Oregon Legislature - I was studying at George Washington University in DC. I didn't have a car, so I walked a lot. My favorite walk is through the cherry blossoms around the reflecting pool. Always starting from the Lincoln Memorial, I am reminded of his First Inaugural, in which Lincoln, reflecting on the Constitution's promise that we make not the perfect union, but a more perfect union, one constantly in the process of forming, evolving, becoming.

"Are we there yet?"

It's twenty years later - Now my children have been subject to all those treks up Oregon's majestic mountains.... multiple times...(I still carry the M&Ms). We've also done that DC memorial walk together as a family from Lincoln, past FDR and Jefferson - and now to the Martin Luther King Jr. Memorial. There, one of my favorite lines, from a speech Dr. King delivered at the National Cathedral 5 days before his death. Engraved in marble, his words — "the arc of the moral universe is long, but it bends toward justice."

"Are we there yet?"

Here in Oregon, today, as we gather to organize the Senate in the 82nd Legislative Assembly, we should reflect on the work we have been sent to do on behalf of our constituents and all Oregonians. Every one of our constituents has a unique story...and each with their own reason to love this divine state. Right here in this room, your story might be the story of family members who fell in love at the soda fountain in Athena, or a family that can trace back to the Oregon Trail, or building a small business. Or your own story of coming to Oregon as an adult, as a career in healthcare, or a career as a teacher on the coast or at an urban community college. Or your children's education and exposure to a different life from California, or for the natural beauty of this place, or as a refugee fleeing violence in the Horn of Africa. Each of us with our own distinct perspective but the same mission: to serve.

To tackle tough problems facing Oregon families: housing and education and health care, jobs and infrastructure, public safety and caring for our most vulnerable, and equitable opportunity for all.

There are very few guarantees over the next several months. In our committees and on this floor there will be bills and policies and budgets - and in the vast majority of times, we will largely agree with our names in green lights. There will be times when we have fierce debate and disagreement. Let us give each other grace. Let us assume the best of intentions for each other. Let us lead with ideas and innovation. Let us lead with kindness and charity and good will. Let us remember that it is the honor of a lifetime to serve the people of Oregon. Let us hike that trail, with all its switchbacks, to form a more perfect union and bend the arc of the moral universe toward justice.

"Mom and Dad - we aren't there yet, but we're on our way."

Pursuant to the provisions of the Report of the

Committee on Permanent Organization and Order of Business, the Chair made the following appointments to the Special Committee on Rules:

#### SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON RULES

Kate Lieber, Chair  
Tim Knopp, Vice Chair  
Bill Hansell  
James Manning, Jr  
Elizabeth Steiner

Senate at ease while the committee met. Senate reassembled.

Leiber moved that the Regular and Interim Rules for the Eighty-first Legislative Assembly, as amended and distributed, be adopted as the Permanent Senate Rules for the Regular Session and Interim Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly. On adoption of rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber. Permanent Rules and Interim Rules of the Senate adopted.

### RULES OF THE SENATE

#### ~~82nd~~<sup>81st</sup> LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

~~Adopted January 11, 9, 2023~~<sup>2021</sup> and amended  
~~June 25, 2021, and February 1, 2022~~

#### DEFINITIONS

##### 1.01 Definitions.

- (1) "Chamber" means the entire area of the Senate floor and the side aisles.
- (2) "Chamber area" includes the entire area of the Senate floor including the areas immediately adjacent to the Senate Chamber, ~~and, during a public health emergency, includes the Senate Chamber gallery.~~
- (3) "Constitutional majority (16)" means a majority of the members of the Senate except in the case of those measures requiring an otherwise constitutionally designated majority vote.
- (4) "Courtesy of the floor" means admittance within the bar granted upon request of a member in accordance with SR 17.01(2).
- (5) "Distributed" includes printing and electronic delivery and other means of reproducing a copy.
- (6) "Informational meeting" means a committee meeting during which only invited or public testimony is taken on an issue. No public hearing or work session on a measure may be held during an informational meeting.
- (7) "Legislative assistant" means a person employed to assist a member of the Senate, to assist the Senate President or to assist the majority or minority offices of the Senate.
- (8) "Long Session" means the regular annual session of the Legislative Assembly beginning in an odd-numbered year under section 10(1)(a), Article IV of the Oregon Constitution.
- (9) "Majority" means a majority of those members present.
- (10) "Measure" means bill, resolution or memorial, but does not include amendments.
- (11) "Member" means member of the Senate.
- (12) "Remonstrance" may be considered as a "protest" under section 26, Article IV of the Oregon Constitution.
- (13) "Session day" means a day during which the Senate is convened in floor session with a quorum present.
- (14) "Short Session" means the regular annual session of the Legislative Assembly beginning in an even-numbered year under section 10(1)(b), Article IV of the Oregon Constitution.

(15) "Within the bar" means within the area of the chamber that is enclosed by waist-high partitions and that contains the members' desks and the rostrum.

(16) Appendix A - Interim Rules identifies specific rules governing the interim periods.

#### RULES

##### 2.01 Use of *Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure*.

*Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure* shall apply to cases not provided for by the Oregon Constitution, the Senate Rules, custom of the Senate or statute.

##### 2.05 Procedure for Adopting, Amending, or Rescinding Rules.

No standing rule of the Senate shall be adopted, amended or rescinded except upon the affirmative vote of a constitutional majority (16). After the Organizational Session of the Senate, the adoption, amendment or rescission of rules shall be proposed in writing, read at a regular business session, printed, distributed to members' desks and allowed to lie on the table for at least one session day prior to any vote thereon.

##### 2.10 Procedure for Suspending Rules.

(1) No rule of the Senate shall be suspended except by unanimous consent of the members or by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members (20). In suspending a provision of the Oregon Constitution, as provided by the Oregon Constitution, an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members is required. The vote shall be a roll call vote.

(2) When a motion to suspend the rules is defeated, the motion shall not be renewed until after an intervening recess or adjournment.

##### 2.20 Rules of the Senate.

(1) Except as modified or rescinded under SR 2.05, these rules shall be in effect for the entire term of the Legislative Assembly whether the Senate is in session or has adjourned sine die.

(2) The Senate shall follow the recommendations of the concurrent resolution(s), if any, adopted by the ~~82nd~~<sup>81st</sup> Legislative Assembly to set the legislative schedule for the regular sessions. The provisions of any such concurrent resolution may be suspended by a two-thirds majority (20) of elected members. If no concurrent resolution sets a legislative schedule for the session, the Senate may adopt rules setting its own legislative schedule.

##### 2.50 Organizational Session.

(1) In accordance with sections 4, 10 and 11, Article IV of the Oregon Constitution, an Organizational Session shall be held on the second Monday of January of the odd-numbered years for the following purposes only:

- (a) Credentialing of Senate members;
- (b) Administration of the oaths of office to Senate members;
- (c) Election of Senate officers for the ~~82nd~~<sup>81st</sup> Legislative Assembly;
- (d) Adoption of Senate Organizational Session Rules, Regular Session Rules and Interim Rules;
- (e) Appointment of Regular Session Committees for the Long Session;
- (f) Election of members of Senate Committee on Conduct; and
- (g) Introduction of measures for the Long Session.

(2) SR 2.50 shall apply during the period between January ~~11, 2021~~<sup>19, 2023</sup> and the convening of the Long Session; provided, however, that SR 13.15 sets deadlines for requesting measures before and during the convening of the Long Session.

(3) The Regular Session and Interim Rules, as approved by the Senate on January ~~11, 2021~~<sup>19, 2023</sup>, will go into effect on January ~~19, 2021~~<sup>19, 2023</sup>.

(4) During the period between the adjournment of the Organizational Session and the convening of the Long Session on January ~~19, 2021~~<sup>19, 2023</sup>,

the President shall refer all measures within eight calendar days following First Reading.

(5) At the completion of the Organizational Session, the Senate shall adjourn until the convening of the Long Session on January 19~~7~~, 202~~4~~~~3~~.

## CONVENING

### 3.01 Quorum.

(1) A quorum of the Senate is 20 members.

(2) If a quorum is present, the Senate shall proceed with the transaction of business. When there is no quorum present, a lesser number of members may adjourn from day to day and compel the attendance of absent members.

### 3.05 Session Hour; Deliberations Open; ~~Deliberations During Global Pandemic.~~

(1) Unless otherwise ordered by a majority of the members present, the hour of meeting shall be designated by the President.

~~(2) The Senate recognizes that this session is currently being conducted during a global pandemic in which a novel coronavirus has sickened millions of people and taken the lives of many Americans. Because the virus spreads through close personal contact and through the air, the Senate finds that routine procedures must be modified to preserve health and safety while continuing to satisfy the obligations placed on the Legislative Assembly by the Oregon Constitution, including obligations to conduct open deliberations. Accordingly: All deliberations of the Senate and its committees shall be open to the public. This provision does not prohibit clearing the gallery or hearing room in the event of a disturbance, during which time deliberations shall be in recess.~~

~~(a) All floor sessions and committee meetings must be contemporaneously streamed on the Internet and broadcast on one or more television monitors at a location proximate to the Capitol and accessible to the public enabling the public to observe all legislative deliberations.~~

~~(b) Any floor session vote or committee meeting vote must be visually observable by and audible to the public through the means described in paragraph (a) of this subsection.~~

~~(c) For committee meetings, members may participate in and be counted toward quorum using remote videoconferencing technology if the technology permits each member, when they are speaking, to be visually observed by all other committee members and by the public. If visual observation of a committee member is not possible, a member may participate and be counted toward quorum if the member is audible at all times to other committee members and to the public.~~

~~(d) If a committee holds a public hearing, witnesses shall be allowed to testify before the committee using videoconferencing or teleconferencing technology. Nothing in this rule modifies a chair's existing authority to place time limits on witness testimony or limit testimony to topics germane to the committee's agenda. A testimony station that is proximate to the Capitol shall be made available to permit interested members of the public to testify at each public hearing using teleconferencing means.~~

~~(e) Bona fide members of the press may be physically present in the Capitol during hours that legislative proceedings are taking place, though the total number of persons allowed in the Capitol may be restricted during the public health emergency.~~

### 3.10 Attendance.

(1) A member shall attend all sessions of the Senate unless excused by the President. A request by a member to be excused from a session shall be in writing. The President shall indicate approval or disapproval of the request in writing. The Journal will record on each roll call all members "present," "excused," or "absent."

(2) The President or committee chair may excuse a member from committee meetings. The minutes of the committee shall record all committee members as "present," "excused," or "absent."

## VOTING

### 3.15 Roll Call.

(1) A roll call vote of audible "ayes" and "nays" shall be taken and

recorded on the final passage of all measures, with the exception of memorials and resolutions that affect only the Senate and do not appropriate money.

(2) Upon demand of two members, a roll call shall be taken and recorded on any question.

(3) If the presiding officer is in doubt on any motion considered on voice vote, the presiding officer shall order a roll call vote.

### 3.20 Requirements for Voting.

(1) Every member who is in attendance when the question is stated shall vote.

(2) Except by unanimous consent, no member shall be permitted to vote on any question unless in attendance at the time the question is put. A member shall be considered in attendance if the member is in the chamber ~~area~~.

### 3.30 Voting by President.

The President shall vote whenever a roll call is required. The President's name is called last.

### 3.33 Announcement of Conflict of Interest.

(1) When involved in an actual or potential conflict of interest as defined by ORS 244.020, a member shall announce, on the Senate floor or in the committee meeting, the nature of the actual or potential conflict prior to voting on the issue giving rise to the actual or potential conflict.

(2) The member's announcement of an actual or potential conflict of interest shall be recorded in the journal or in the committee minutes. If the member desires to have more than the announcement recorded, the member shall reduce to writing the nature of the actual or potential conflict as given in the oral explanation and file it with the Secretary of the Senate or the committee assistant. The written statement must be filed by 5:00 p.m. of the next session day following the vote on the measure.

(3) A complaint against a Senate member alleging violation of subsection (1) of this rule must meet the following criteria:

(a) The complaint must be in writing;

(b) The complaint must be specific in its allegations and be accompanied by documentation supporting the allegations;

(c) The complaint must be signed by at least two persons who witnessed the conduct that is the subject of the complaint; and

(d) The complaint, in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate, must be filed with the Secretary's office within 10 calendar days of the alleged violation.

(4) The Secretary shall transmit copies of the written and signed complaint to the President of the Senate and the Senate Caucus Leaders as soon as practicable.

(5) The President shall refer any written complaint that has been filed in accordance with subsection (3) of this rule to the Senate Committee on Conduct within 30 calendar days of receipt of the complaint.

(6) The committee shall investigate any written complaint to determine whether the alleged conduct constitutes violation of subsection (1) of this rule and shall conduct such investigation in accordance with procedures set forth in the committee rules.

(7) The committee must complete the investigation and report recommended sanctions, if any, to the full Senate within 45 calendar days of receiving the complaint as referred by the President. The President may permit a reasonable extension of time at his or her discretion.

(8) Any recommended sanction resulting from a written complaint against a Senate member must be proportionate to the seriousness of the offense. The committee may recommend the following sanctions:

(a) Reprimand;

(b) Censure; or

(c) Expulsion.

(9) In reporting to the full Senate, the committee shall include in its report:

- (a) A copy of the complaint;
  - (b) Whether or not there was a violation of subsection (1) of this rule;
  - (c) Recommended sanctions, if any; and
  - (d) The basis for the committee's recommendation.
- (10) The committee report must be signed by the committee chair and submitted to the Secretary of the Senate within ~~five~~ three ~~calendar~~ session days of final committee action.
- (11) The report shall be placed on the calendar for final consideration on the session day following the reading and distribution of the report. If the report is submitted during the interim, it shall be read and considered at the next convening of the Senate.
- (12) Before taking action against a Senate member under this section, the Senate must approve the committee report recommending a sanction by a two-thirds majority vote (20).

(13) If the committee recommends no action, the formal procedure is concluded and the complaint shall be considered dismissed. The report shall be submitted in accordance with subsection (10) of this rule and read under reports from committees on the session day at the next convening of the Senate following distribution of the report.

### 3.35 Explanation of Vote.

- (1) Any member may explain a vote on any matter for which a roll call vote is taken. The member may make the oral explanation from the floor following completion of the roll call and announcement of the result. Oral explanations shall not exceed two minutes.
- (2) The vote explanation must be germane to the subject and shall not reflect on the honor or integrity of other members of the Legislative Assembly. If the explanation offered from the floor does not meet the requirements of this section, the President may call the member to order.
- (3) If the member wishes the explanation to be entered in the journal, the member must file a written explanation with the Secretary of the Senate by 5:00 p.m. of the next session day following the day the vote was taken. The President may direct the Secretary of the Senate to delete out of order material from the journal.

### 3.45 Distributed Measures Required for Voting.

- ~~(1) No measure, or amendment to a measure, shall be finally voted on until it has been distributed except as provided by SR 5.40.~~
- ~~(2) An error in a measure or amendments to a measure under consideration of the Senate shall be considered corrected if the correction is made on the original copy and initialed by the appropriate member. The original measure is found in the original measure folder at the Senate Desk.~~

### 3.50 Third Reading Requirements.

Except for resolutions and memorials that affect the Senate only, no measure shall pass the Senate until after Third Reading nor shall any measure be read more than once in any one day.

### 3.55 Call of the Senate.

- (1) Three members may demand a call of the Senate at any time there is a pending question and before a roll call has commenced.
- (2) Upon a call of the Senate, the chamber doors shall be closed until proceedings under the call have been terminated. No other business shall be transacted until the proceedings under the call are terminated. A member must remain in attendance until proceedings under the call are terminated. A member shall be considered in attendance when in the chamber area. However, a member must comply with the provisions of SR 3.20 for the purpose of voting.
- (3) Upon a call of the Senate, the Sergeant at Arms shall cause all members not excused to come to the floor. If the Sergeant at Arms cannot locate an unexcused member, that fact shall be reported to the President who shall announce the fact to the members.
- (4) Proceedings under a call of the Senate shall be considered terminated only when the question for which the call was invoked has been voted on, or when a motion to remove the call is approved by at least two-thirds (20) of the members of the Senate.

(5) A motion to remove the call shall be in order when the Sergeant at Arms reports that unexcused members cannot be located. If there is no quorum after the report of the Sergeant at Arms is received, the Senate may remove the call by the consent of the majority of the members present.

(6) Under the proceedings of a call of the Senate:

- (a) Senate guests may leave the chamber at will; however, they shall not be permitted to return until the proceedings are terminated or the call has been removed.
- (b) Members of the House, the press and Senate staff on Senate business may leave the chamber and return at will during the proceedings under the call.

## ORDER OF BUSINESS

### 4.01 Order of Business.

- (1) The general order of business shall be:
- (a) ~~Roll Call~~ Call to Order
  - (b) Honors to the Colors and the Pledge of Allegiance
  - (c) Invocation, Greeting, or Opening Performance
  - ~~(d)~~ (d) Courtesies of the Senate
  - ~~(e)~~(e) Roll Call
  - ~~(f)~~(f) Remonstrances
  - ~~(g)~~(g) Reports from Committees
  - ~~(h)~~(h) Propositions and Motions
  - ~~(i)~~(i) Action on Executive Appointments Requiring Senate Confirmation
  - ~~(j)~~(j) Introduction and First Reading of Senate Measures
  - ~~(k)~~(k) Second Reading of Senate Measures
  - ~~(l)~~(l) Third Reading of Senate Measures
  - ~~(m)~~(m) First Reading of House Measures
  - ~~(n)~~(n) Second Reading of House Measures
  - ~~(o)~~(o) Third Reading of House Measures
  - ~~(p)~~(p) Other Business of the Senate
  - ~~(q)~~(q) Announcements
- (2) Special performances for opening ceremonies shall be in accordance with policies developed by the President.
- (3) Messages from the Governor or the House may be read at any time. Courtesies may be extended at any time.
- (4) Questions relating to the priority of business shall be decided without debate.
- (5) The general order of business shall not be varied except upon suspension of the rules. However, any subject before the Senate may be made a special order of business upon the vote of a majority of the members present. When the appropriate time for consideration of the subject arrives, the Senate shall take up the subject.
- (6) Under the order of business of Remonstrances, no member may speak for longer than two minutes, or for a second time, or yield time to another member. The motives or integrity of any member of the House or Senate shall not be impugned.

## MOTIONS

### 5.01 Moving a Motion.

- (1) When a motion is moved, it shall be stated by the President. If the motion is in writing, it shall be handed to the Secretary of the Senate and read aloud before debate on the motion begins.

- (2) A motion shall be reduced to writing upon request of any member.
- (3) No second to a motion is required.

#### 5.05 Motion in Possession of the Senate.

After a motion is stated by the President, or read by the Secretary of the Senate or the Secretary's designee, it is in the possession of the Senate. The motion may be withdrawn only with the permission of the Senate and prior to a decision on the motion.

#### 5.10 Precedence of Motions.

- (1) When a question is under debate, only the following motions shall be made:
  - (a) To adjourn
  - (b) To recess
  - (c) To lay on the table
  - (d) To move the previous question
  - (e) To postpone to a certain day
  - (f) To refer or rerefer
  - (g) To amend
  - (h) To postpone indefinitely
  - (i) To withdraw a motion
- (2) The motions listed in subsection (1) of this section shall have precedence in the order in which they are listed.

#### 5.15 Undebatable Motions.

- (1) The following motions are undebatable:
  - (a) To adjourn
  - (b) To recess
  - (c) To suspend the Rules
  - (d) To lay on the table
  - (e) To move the previous question
  - (f) To amend an undebatable motion
  - (g) To take from the table
- (2) All incidental questions shall be decided without debate.
- (3) An appeal to the committee chair or the President is undebatable, although the member making the appeal may state briefly the reason for the appeal, and the chair or the President may state briefly the rationale for the ruling.

#### 5.17 Form of Previous Question.

- (1) The previous question shall be put in this, or similar, form: "Shall the main question be now put?" The main question is the question immediately under consideration.
- (2) The previous question shall only be admitted when demanded by a majority of the members present. Until it is decided, it shall preclude all amendments and further debate on the question, except for closing arguments.

#### 5.20 Form of Question on a Motion.

The question on a motion shall be put in this, or similar, form: "Those in favor say, 'aye'" and after the response, "Those opposed say, 'no'."

#### 5.25 Effect of Motion to Indefinitely Postpone.

- (1) When a measure or question has been indefinitely postponed, no further action on the measure or question shall be allowed in the same session of the Legislative Assembly. The vote is not subject to a motion

for reconsideration.

- (2) When the motion to indefinitely postpone a measure or question fails, the motion shall not be allowed again on the same day or at the same stage of the measure or question.

#### 5.30 Division of the Question.

- (1) Any member may call for a division of a question if the question presents propositions so distinct in substance that if one is taken away, a substantive proposition remains for the decision of the Senate.
- (2) The question of final passage or adoption of any measure is not subject to division.

#### 5.40 Amendments from the Floor.

No measure shall be amended on the floor unless unanimous consent is given and a written statement of the proposed amendment is filed with the Secretary of the Senate.

### DEBATE AND DECORUM

#### 6.01 Decorum.

- (1) When a member is speaking, no one shall walk between the member and the rostrum. No one shall leave the chamber or hearing room in a manner disruptive of the proceedings. When the Senate is in daily session, or a hearing is being conducted, no one in the chamber, gallery or hearing room shall act in a manner disruptive of the proceedings.
- (2) Laptop computers and hand-held electronic devices used as a computer may be used by members and staff in the Senate Chamber. Any device making an audible noise, including cellphones and computers that distract from the decorum of the Senate, is prohibited inside the bar of the Senate. Cellphone conversations may be conducted in the Senate phone booths at the back of the chamber.

#### 6.05 Recognition of Members.

When a member seeks to be recognized by the presiding officer, the member shall use the electrical signal device at the member's desk, or the member shall rise and respectfully address the presiding officer. Exceptions to this rule are:

- (a) When demanding a call of the Senate or a roll call.
- (b) When allowed to interrupt a speaker for one of the purposes listed in *Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure*, section 92.

#### 6.10 Conduct in Debate.

- (1) In speaking, a member must confine remarks to the question under debate and shall avoid personalities. A member may refer to the actions of a committee if such actions are relevant to the debate, but a member shall not impugn the motives of another Senate or House member's vote or argument.
- (2) In speaking, a member may address another member by using the appellation of Senator or the appellation of Senator and the member's district number or other description of the member's district.
- (3) A member's right to read from any paper or book as a part of a speech is subject to the will of the Senate. If any member objects to such reading, the matter shall be immediately put to a vote without debate.
- (4) No member is permitted to use audio or visual aids during debate unless unanimous consent has been granted.
- (5) No one other than a member may speak during debate.

#### 6.20 Questioning a Member.

- (1) All questions asked of a member shall be addressed through the presiding officer.
- (2) Members responding to a question shall confine remarks to the question only.

#### 6.25 Frequency with which Member may Speak.

- (1) The mover of a motion or the member designated to carry a measure shall have the privilege of closing the debate on the motion or the

measure.

(2) Except as authorized by subsection (1) of this rule, no member shall speak more than once on any question until every member wishing to speak has spoken.

(3) If a pending question is lost by reason of adjournment and is revived on the following session day, a member who has previously spoken on the question shall not be permitted to speak again until every member wishing to speak on the question has spoken.

(4) No member may speak more than twice on any question.

### **6.30 Limitation on Duration of Debate.**

The following rules apply to the length of time a member shall have the floor in debate:

(1) On the final passage of a measure, the chair of the committee reporting the measure, or a member designated by the chair, may speak for ten minutes. In the case of multiple carriers, each member may speak for five minutes. Other members may speak for five minutes.

(2) On a motion to adopt or substitute a committee report, the member who moves the motion may speak for ten minutes. Other members may speak for five minutes.

(3) The member closing debate on final passage or moving to adopt or substitute a committee report may speak for ten minutes. In the case of multiple carriers, one member shall be designated to close.

(4) On other debatable motions, a member may speak for five minutes.

(5) Any member may yield the time allowed under this rule to another member. However, no additional time may be yielded to a member closing debate.

(6) When a member who has the floor asks a question of another member, the time used in answering shall be taken from the questioning member.

### **6.35 Call to Order.**

(1) If a member transgresses the rules of the Senate, the President, or any member through the President, may call the member to order. Unless permitted by the President to explain, the member called to order shall be seated immediately.

(2) The member who is called to order may appeal the ruling of the President. If the Senate decides the appeal in favor of the member, the member may proceed with the debate. If the Senate decides the appeal against the member, the member may proceed "in order" or be liable to a motion of censure by the Senate.

### **6.40 Discipline.**

If a member is called to order for words spoken in debate, the member objecting shall immediately repeat the words to which objection is taken and they shall be recorded in the journal. However, if any other member has spoken or other business has intervened after the words were spoken and before the objection was made, the member shall not be held answerable or subject to censure.

## **PRESIDING OFFICER**

### **7.01 Election of Presiding Officer; Pro Tempore Presiding Officer.**

(1) During the Organizational Session under SR 2.50, the members of the Senate shall elect by a roll call vote a President of the Senate. A constitutional majority (16) is required to elect a President.

(2) During the Organizational Session under SR 2.50, the members shall also elect by a roll call vote a President Pro Tempore of the Senate. A constitutional majority (16) is required to elect a President Pro Tempore.

(3) The officers of the Senate for the Long Session, Short Session, and any special sessions shall be those elected during the Organizational Session under SR 2.50.

### **7.05 Temporary Presiding Officer.**

(1) The President may designate a member other than the President

Pro Tempore to act temporarily as the presiding officer. The designation shall not extend beyond adjournment on the day of the appointment. The member does not lose the right to vote while presiding. The President may resume the chair at his or her pleasure.

(2) If, at any time, the office of the President of the Senate becomes vacant, the President Pro Tempore shall become President until a new President is elected. If, at any time, the office of the President Pro Tempore becomes vacant, the Senate shall elect a new President Pro Tempore at its next convening.

### **7.10 Duties of Presiding Officer.**

(1) The President shall take the chair every day at the designated hour as provided in SR 3.05.

(2) The President shall immediately call the members to order and have the roll called.

(3) The President shall preside over deliberations of the Senate, preserve order and decorum and decide questions of order, subject to appeal by any two members.

(4) The President shall have general control and direction of all Senate employees and all employees of the Legislative Assembly when they are in the Senate Chamber.

(5) The President shall have control of the Senate Chamber and adjacent areas.

## **COMMITTEES**

### **8.05 Committee Appointments.**

(1) The President shall establish standing committees to operate during the Long Session, interim committees to operate during the interim periods, and standing committees to operate during the Short Session. The President may establish special committees and conference committees. The Senate Committee on Conduct shall be approved by a majority vote of the Senate.

(2) Members of all committees, and the chairs and vice-chairs thereof, shall be appointed by the President. This provision does not apply to the Senate Committee on Conduct.

(3) The President shall appoint members to other committees as necessary or as required by law.

(4) The President shall be an ex officio member of each committee and have the power to vote. As an ex officio member on committees the President does not increase the size of the respective committee, but is counted for purposes of a quorum. Ex officio membership does not increase the number of members required to provide a quorum. This provision does not apply to the Senate Committee on Conduct.

### **8.10 Committee Quorum; Rules.**

(1) A majority of the members appointed to a committee shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business before the committee.

(2) Final action on a measure in committee shall be taken only on the affirmative vote of a majority of the membership. Voting in committee by electronic means shall include an audible and, if possible, video record of affirmative or negative voting by each member present.

(3) All committees shall be governed by committee rules adopted by a majority of committee members, the Senate Rules and *Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure* and statute.

(4) An affirmative vote of a majority of the Senate members appointed to joint committees is required for final action.

### **8.15 Committee Meetings.**

(1) All committees shall meet at the call of the committee chair. The chair shall cause notice of the meeting to be given to the public, and notice of all committee meetings shall be made available electronically to all members. The chair may designate a time certain for an agenda item. The chair shall begin a time certain agenda item at the appointed time and accommodate witnesses wishing to testify to the extent practicable.

(a) During the Long Session, written notice is to be posted outside the Senate Chamber, if possible, and electronically through the Oregon Legislative Information System, and in any other place

reasonably designed to give notice to the public at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting, except during the first week of session when notice for informational meetings is to be posted at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting only.

(b) During the Short Session, any special session, and interim periods, written notice is to be posted outside the Senate Chamber, if possible, and electronically through the Oregon Legislative Information System, and in any other place reasonably designed to give notice to the public at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting and, whenever possible, such meetings shall be announced on the floor while the Senate is in session.

(2) In the event that the committee does not complete the scheduled agenda, the items may be carried over to the next scheduled meeting with the following guidelines:

(a) The measure must have been initially scheduled with the notice required under SR 8.15(1) (a) or (b).

(b) The measure must be carried over for the same type of meeting.

(c) The chair announces in committee the chair's intent to schedule the measure at the next meeting.

(d) A revised agenda listing the measures that originally received the notice required under SR 8.15(1) (a) or (b) shall be posted as soon as possible following adjournment of the committee meeting.

(3) No committee shall meet during the time the Senate is in session without approval of the President.

(4) Committee meetings held at a time or place not provided for in the Joint Legislative Schedule require the advance approval of the President.

(5) Approval of the President must be obtained if the location of a meeting will require the expenditure of state monies for travel.

(6) Any meeting of a Senate committee held through the use of telephonic or other electronic communication shall be conducted in accordance with SR 8.15.

#### **8.16 Committee Meeting—less than the Notice Required under SR 8.15.**

When the President has reason to believe that adjournment sine die of the session is imminent, the President may invoke the following provisions by announcement from the rostrum during floor session:

Notwithstanding the provision of SR 8.15, the committee chair may call a meeting of a committee with less than the notice required under SR 8.15(1) (a) or (b) if, at least one hour prior to the meeting, notice is given to the Secretary of the Senate's Office and posted outside the Senate Chamber, if possible, electronically through the Oregon Legislative Information System, and in any other place reasonably designed to give notice to the public and interested persons. Whenever possible, such meetings shall be announced on the floor while the Senate is in session. Notwithstanding the provision of SR 8.20, a committee or joint committee may take action on a measure or amendment with less than the notice required under SR 8.20 (3) only after the full text of the measure or amendment has been made publicly available online for at least one hour.

For the purpose of expediting the Short Session and any special session, committees may hold informational meetings on the first calendar day and the morning of the second calendar day of the Short Session and any special session, provided that, at least one hour prior to the meeting, notice is given to the Secretary of the Senate's Office and posted outside the Senate Chamber and in any other place reasonably designed to give notice to the public and interested persons.

#### **8.20 Committee Action Required.**

(1) Upon written request of a majority of committee members filed with the committee chair and the Secretary of the Senate, the chair shall order a hearing or work session on any measure in the possession of the committee. The hearing or work session shall be held only after notice as required by SR 8.15(1) or SR 8.16, if applicable, but shall be held within a reasonable time.

(2) The committee shall not report a measure to the floor of the Senate unless the written Legislative Counsel amendments accompanying the report have been approved by a majority of the members of the committee at a meeting called for that purpose.

(3) ~~The unique circumstances of COVID-19 have expanded how the public engages with the Legislature, but also require additional notice of committee interactions.~~ Except by a suspension of the rules by a two-thirds vote of the committee, a committee or joint committee may take action on a measure or amendment only after the full text of the measure or amendment has been made publicly available online for at least ~~twenty-four~~one hours.

#### **8.25 Committee Meeting Records.**

(1) Except as provided in subsection (3) of this rule, each meeting of a committee or subcommittee shall be sound recorded. A recording log shall be maintained to provide reference to the sound recording. The recording log shall contain at least the following information:

(a) Attendance of members and staff;

(b) Names of all witnesses;

(c) Recorded vote on all official actions;

(d) Any announcements of conflicts of interest; and

(e) References to the recording log, sufficient to serve as an index to the original sound recording.

(2) Testimony and exhibits submitted in writing or electronically shall be considered part of the official record.

(3) A written summary of the committee's activities may be prepared in lieu of a sound recording when the committee conducts a tour, inspection, or other similar activity outside the Capitol; provided, however, that a sound recording and recording log must be made if any public hearing or work session is held.

### **REFERRAL OF MEASURES TO COMMITTEE**

#### **8.40 Referral to Committee.**

(1) Within seven calendar days following First Reading of a measure, the President shall refer the measure to an appropriate committee and may refer it to not more than one additional committee. Any measure appropriating money, allocating money, or requiring the expenditure of money may also be referred to the Joint Committee on Ways and Means, the Joint Committee on Tax Expenditures, or the Senate Committee on Finance and Revenue. Subsequent referrals may occur before or after having been referred to and reported out of any other committee. The President may, at any time, rescind a subsequent referral.

(2) At the request of a committee reporting on a measure, the President may rescind or add a subsequent referral to another committee.

(3) The Secretary of the Senate shall publish and distribute to the members a current listing of measures referred. A list of measures referred shall be placed in the journal. The President may either announce the referral decisions or order the referrals made in accordance with the printed/published list.

#### **8.42 Withdrawing Measure from Committee.**

A measure, including one referred by the President to a joint committee, may be withdrawn from a committee by a motion to withdraw, and by the affirmative vote of a constitutional majority (16) of the members of the Senate.

#### **8.43 Motion to Refer or Rerefer.**

A measure may be referred or rereferred to committee either under Propositions and Motions or on Third Reading. An affirmative vote of a majority of those present is necessary for such referral or rereferral. A measure may be referred or rereferred with recommendations to a committee. These recommendations must be in writing and filed with the Secretary of the Senate before the vote is taken on the motion to refer with recommendations.

### **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

#### **8.50 Committee Reports.**

(1) All committee reports on measures shall be signed by the committee chair and shall comply with the following rules:



(a) During the Long Session, committee reports on measures with no amendments must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate on or before the third session day following final committee action on the measure.

(b) During the Long Session, committee reports on measures with amendments must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate on or before the fifth session day following final committee action on the measure.

(c) During the Short Session and any special session, committee reports on all measures, with or without amendments, must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate as soon as possible, but no later than the third session day following final committee action on the measure.

(d) When a committee requests a subsequent referral or requests a referral be rescinded, the request shall be in writing and accompany the committee report.

(2) If a minority report is to be filed, notice must be given to the committee on the day the report was adopted. The minority report, together with the committee report, shall be filed jointly in accordance with SR 8.50(1) (a), (b) or (c).

(3) All committee reports shall be filed in a manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate. Reports that are not in the proper form and style may be returned to the committee or corrected by the Secretary of the Senate and the President or their designees. Any substantive changes must be approved by the committee.

(4) In reporting a measure out, a committee shall include in its report:

(a) The measure in the form reported out.

(b) The recommendation of the committee.

(c) A staff measure summary for all measures except appropriation bills.

(d) A fiscal impact statement, if applicable, prepared by the Legislative Fiscal Officer for all measures except for concurrent resolutions of a congratulatory or memorial substance.

(e) A revenue impact statement, if applicable, prepared by the Legislative Revenue Officer for all measures except for concurrent resolutions of a congratulatory or memorial substance.

(f) Budget notes, if applicable, as adopted by a majority of the Committee on Ways and Means.

(g) Revenue notes, if applicable, as adopted by a majority of the Committee on Revenue.

#### **8.52 Committee Reports—Read or Announced.**

(1) At the discretion of the President, committee reports at the Senate Desk may be either read or announced under the proper order of business. If reports are announced, the Secretary of the Senate shall distribute to the members a summary of all reports and measures passed to the calendar.

(2) The Secretary of the Senate shall cause the committee report to be entered in the measure history and journal.

#### **8.55 Second Reading of Measures.**

(1) Measures reported favorably without amendments and having no subsequent referral shall be placed on the Second Reading calendar for the same session day on which the report is read or announced.

(2) Measures reported favorably with amendments and having no subsequent referral shall be placed on the Second Reading calendar for the same session day on which amendments are distributed.

#### **8.60 Dissents; Minority Reports.**

(1) Any member of a committee who dissents from the committee recommendations shall be listed in the committee report as not concurring. Upon request to the Secretary of the Senate before adjournment sine die, the names of members not concurring shall be recorded in the measure history and journal. No minority reports may be filed in joint committees.

(2) If a minority report, subscribed to by at least two members dissenting from the committee report, accompanies the committee report, both reports shall be filed jointly and the names of the members not concurring shall be recorded in the measure history and journal. On the session day next following distribution of amendments, it shall be in order under Propositions and Motions to move the adoption of the committee report and then to move that the minority report be substituted for the committee report. When action on the minority report is completed, the measure shall be read for the third time and considered immediately.

(3) No member of a committee may subscribe to more than one minority report respecting a given committee report.

(4) Committee members may subscribe to a minority report only if present during the committee meeting when action was taken.

(5) During the Short Session and any special session, and notwithstanding any committee rule to the contrary, members of the committee wishing to file a minority report must notify the chair or committee staff before adjournment of the committee meeting during which the action was taken, and the minority draft amendments must be requested from Legislative Counsel within one hour following the adjournment of the committee where notice was given. In order for staff to complete their work in an orderly and practical manner, the draft amendments shall be submitted to committee staff within an hour after receipt from Legislative Counsel.

#### **8.65 Without Recommendation.**

If a measure is reported without recommendation by a committee, the report shall be filed and the measure placed on the Second Reading calendar for the same session day on which the report is read or announced, and on the Third Reading calendar in accordance with SR 8.80. If the measure has amendments, Second Reading shall occur on the same session day on which amendments are distributed. The measure shall be carried on the floor by the chief Senate sponsor, the committee chair, or committee member designated by the committee chair at the discretion of the committee chair.

#### **8.70 Adverse Committee Report.**

(1) When a measure is reported with a do not pass recommendation, the effect of the adoption of an adverse committee report is the indefinite postponement of the measure. A motion to adopt the report is required.

(2) The Secretary of the Senate must notify, in writing, the President and the sponsors of the measure of an adverse report within 24 hours of receipt of the report by the Secretary of the Senate. No action shall be taken on any adverse report until 24 hours after the Secretary of the Senate has notified the President and the sponsors of the measure.

#### **8.75 Germaneness.**

If, at any time after filing of a committee report, including a conference committee report, and before final action by the Senate on the measure, a member raises the question of the germaneness of the amendments, the President shall decide the question based on section 402 of *Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure* and announce the decision from the rostrum.

#### **8.80 Third Reading and Final Passage.**

(1) Except as provided in SR 3.50, measures shall be placed on the calendar for Third Reading and final passage the next session day following Second Reading.

(2) When a measure is reported favorably but with amendments, the amendments must be distributed before the measure comes up for Third Reading and final passage. The measure shall be placed on the calendar for Third Reading and final passage on the session day following the day of distribution of the printed amendments.

(3) Upon the recommendation of the committee chair reporting a measure with amendments, the amendments shall be engrossed within the measure. If the measure is printed engrossed, it shall not be considered for final reading sooner than the session day following distribution of the printed engrossed measure unless the amendments have been distributed.

#### **8.85 Order of Consideration for Final Passage.**

(1) When placed on the calendar for final passage, measures shall be considered in their numerical order. However, appropriation bills shall

take precedence over all other bills from the same house of origin.

(2) Except as otherwise provided in these rules, no motion is required to adopt a committee report.

### RECONSIDERATION

#### 10.01 Reconsideration.

(1) A motion for reconsideration may be made by a member who voted on the prevailing side when:

- (a) A measure or executive appointment has passed or been confirmed;
- (b) A measure or executive appointment has failed to pass or has been denied;
- (c) A non-procedural motion has been adopted; or
- (d) A non-procedural motion is defeated.

A motion for reconsideration is not in order on a vote whereby a measure was indefinitely postponed.

(2) Notice of intent to move for reconsideration must be given orally by the member who intends to move the motion. Notice must be given prior to adjournment on the day on which the vote to be reconsidered was taken.

(3) A motion to reconsider may be debated together with the main question, if the subject of the main question is debatable.

(4) During the Long Session, the motion to reconsider must be made on the day when the vote to be reconsidered was taken, or on the next session day. During the Short Session or any special session, the motion to reconsider must be made prior to adjournment on the day the vote to be reconsidered was taken.

(5) A majority affirmative vote of those present and voting is required to reconsider a vote, including a measure requiring an otherwise constitutionally designated majority vote. There shall be only one reconsideration of any final vote even though this action reverses the previous action.

#### 10.05 Transmitting Measures that may be Reconsidered.

When a member has given notice of intention to move for reconsideration of the final vote passing a measure, the Secretary of the Senate shall not transmit that measure until a motion for reconsideration has been made or the time for making a motion has expired. However, if the measure subject to reconsideration was passed on what the President has reasonable cause to believe is one of the final days of the session, the President shall immediately put the motion for reconsideration before the Senate.

#### 10.10 Recall of a Measure.

In order to reconsider the vote on a measure no longer in possession of the Senate, a motion to recall the measure is in order. Measures originating in either the House or the Senate may be recalled from the Governor at any time prior to signing and filing of the measure by the Governor. A motion to request the return of a measure shall be acted upon immediately and without debate.

### CONCURRENCE AND CONFERENCE

#### 11.01 Vote to Concur in Amendments of other House.

(1) Upon return to the Senate of a Senate measure that has been amended in the House, the vote to concur and repass the measure, or not to concur with the House amendments, shall not be taken:

- (a) Before the next session day after the message from the House has been read during the Long Session; or
- (b) Sooner than one hour after the message from the House has been read during the Short Session or any special session.

(2) A motion to concur and repass the measure, or not to concur with the House amendments, shall come under the order of business of Propositions and Motions and is not subject to referral to a committee.

(3) On the motion to concur and repass the measure, a roll call vote is

required and a constitutional majority (16) is needed for concurrence and repassage, except in the case of a measure requiring an otherwise constitutionally designated majority vote.

(4) On a motion not to concur, the affirmative vote of a majority of the members present is needed. If the motion not to concur is adopted, the President shall appoint a conference committee.

(5) If a motion not to concur is defeated, the President shall immediately order a roll call vote on the question of concurrence and repassage of the measure.

(6) If the motion to concur and repass the measure is defeated, the President shall appoint a conference committee.

#### 11.05 Conference Committee.

Upon receipt of a message from the House that it has failed to concur with Senate amendments to a House measure, the President shall appoint a conference committee of two or more members to represent the Senate and meet with a similar committee of the House. At least one member appointed shall have served on the Senate committee that considered the measure. The President may request the committee chair to designate one of the members.

#### 11.10 Authority of Conference Committee.

(1) The conference committee has authority to propose amendments only within the scope of the issue between the houses.

(2) The Senate conferees shall meet with the House conferees as soon as is practicable after appointment. The time and place shall be agreed upon by a majority of all the conferees. The committee shall immediately notify the President and the Secretary of the Senate of the time and place of the meeting. The Secretary of the Senate shall immediately cause notice of the meeting to be given to the public and posted outside the Senate Chamber. Notice of the meeting shall be announced on the floor, if the Senate is in session.

#### 11.15 Adoption of Conference Committee Report.

(1) If a majority of conference committee members of each house agree to an amendment, or otherwise resolve the issue between the houses, the report shall be filed with both houses. A majority of conferees from each house shall sign the report. A dissenting conferee may indicate that fact when signing the report.

(2) No motion is required to adopt the conference committee report if repassage of the measure is not required. When repassage is required, a motion to adopt the conference committee report and repass the measure is necessary.

(a) During the Long Session, a motion shall not be made sooner than the next session day after the conference committee report has been distributed and then may be made at any time.

(b) During the Short Session and any special session, the motion shall not be made sooner than one hour after the conference committee report has been distributed and then may be made at any time.

(3) On the motion to adopt the conference committee report and repass the measure, a roll call vote is required and the affirmative vote of a constitutional majority (16) is needed, except in the case of a measure requiring an otherwise constitutionally designated majority vote.

(4) If the motion to adopt the conference committee report and repass the measure fails, the President may appoint another conference committee.

(5) On a motion to refuse to adopt the conference committee report, the affirmative vote of a majority of those present is needed. If the motion is adopted, the President may appoint a conference committee.

(6) It shall not be in order to refer, rerefer or amend a conference committee report.

(7) When the conference committee report concerns a measure that originated in the House, the Senate may take action in accordance with subsections (1) and (2) without waiting for action by the House.

#### 11.20 Discharge of Conferees.

(1) If a majority of conference committee members cannot agree within

a reasonable time, the Senate conferees shall advise the President of their inability to agree with the House conferees and request discharge. The President shall then discharge the Senate conferees and may appoint a new conference committee to represent the Senate.

(2) If a conference committee does not report within a reasonable period of time after its appointment, the President may discharge the Senate conferees and appoint new conference committee members to represent the Senate.

## SPONSORSHIP

### 12.01 Sponsorship.

(1) Every measure introduced in the Senate shall bear the name of the chief sponsor(s) and shall comply with ORS 171.127.

(2) When a measure is in the possession of the Senate, a member may be added to the measure as a sponsor after First Reading and prior to final consideration.

(3) When a measure is in the possession of the Senate, the President may order the name of a sponsor deleted from a printed engrossed or enrolled measure if a sponsor requests in writing to have the name removed because it was placed on the measure by error or because the measure has been so substantially altered that the sponsor can no longer sponsor it. If the removal is so ordered, the name shall be removed from the list of sponsors at the next printing of the measure and from the measure history.

(4) If removal of the sponsor's name leaves the measure without sponsorship, the name of the committee that reported the measure shall be named as sponsor.

### 12.02 Requester.

(1) Every measure introduced at the request of an individual, organization, state agency, or legislative interim committee shall indicate that it is introduced by request and identify the requester in accordance with ORS 171.127.

(2) When the measure is in the possession of the Senate, the President may order the name of a requester added or deleted from a printed engrossed or enrolled measure if the chief sponsor or the requester asks in writing to have the name added or removed.

(3) A requester's name may be removed because it was placed on the measure by error or because the measure has been so substantially altered that the requester can no longer support the measure.

(4) If the addition or removal is so ordered, the requester's name shall also be added or removed from the measure at the next printing and from the measure history.

### 12.03 Proposed Amendments.

(1) Every proposed amendment shall bear the name of the member or committee that requested the proposed amendment. A member or committee may request that the proposed amendment state that the amendment is at the request of an individual, organization, state agency or local government.

(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this rule, if the member or committee requesting the proposed amendment elects to omit from the proposed amendment the name of the requesting member or committee, the member or committee shall make the election in writing, and must state the name of the individual, organization, state agency or local government upon whose behalf the amendment was requested. The election must be filed with Legislative Counsel at the time the request for the proposed amendment is made. If an election is made and filed timely, the proposed amendment shall bear only the name of the individual, organization, state agency or local government upon whose behalf the amendment was requested.

### 12.05 Committee Sponsorship.

Any measure to be sponsored by a committee must be approved for such sponsorship by a majority of the committee members and must be signed by the committee chair.

## INTRODUCTION OF MEASURES

### 13.01 Requirements for Presentation of Measures for Introduction; Bill backs.

(1) The sponsor of a measure for introduction shall present to the Secretary of the Senate one copy of the measure, which has a bill back submitted by the sponsor(s). Such presentation may be made only by a member, authorized staff of a member or, in the case of a committee, by the chair or authorized committee staff. The Secretary of the Senate or a person authorized by the Secretary of the Senate shall, upon request, provide a time-dated receipt to the person presenting the measure.

(2) A copy of the measure designated as the original shall be placed in the original measure folder. Copies of all **adopted** amendments and reports and a record of all actions on the measure shall be maintained with the original measure folder.

(3) Immediately after presentation to the Secretary of the Senate, the measure shall be sent to Legislative Counsel for examination and compliance with the "Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures" and preparation of a copy for the State Printer. No corrections that might affect the substance of the measure shall be made without the consent of the sponsor of the measure.

### 13.02 Measure Summary.

(1) No measure shall be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction without an impartial summary of the measure's content, describing new law and changes in existing law proposed by the measure. Any measure presented to the Secretary of the Senate that does not comply with this requirement shall be returned to the member or committee that presented it.

(2) The summary may be edited by Legislative Counsel and must be printed on the first page of the measure. The summaries of measures may be compiled and published by the appropriate legislative agency.

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

(4) When a measure is amended, Legislative Counsel shall prepare an amended summary. The amended summary may be a part of the amendment. The summary shall be amended to show proposed changes in the measure in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

(5) All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

### 13.08 Introduction of Agency Bills during the Long Session.

A state agency that did not file a measure prior to the Long Session pursuant to ORS 171.130 may request, in writing, to have the measure introduced during the Long Session by submitting the measure to the Senate Committee on Rules. If the committee concludes that the agency's reason for not filing the measure under ORS 171.130 is adequate, the committee may introduce the measure as a committee measure or with whatever other sponsorship is requested.

### 13.11 Confidentiality; Consolidation of Requests.

(1) A member may designate that a request for measure drafting services be treated as confidential in accordance with ORS 173.230. Requests from a committee may not be treated confidentially.

(2) Whenever a request is made for measure drafting services, Legislative Counsel shall inform the requester of all non-confidential requests for similar measures and attempt to consolidate all such requests in one measure. Legislative Counsel shall also inform requesters of confidential drafts when similar but non-confidential requests are made. This will be done in order to determine whether the requester wishes to consolidate the confidential request with similar but non-confidential requests.

### 13.15 Deadline on Drafting Requests and Introductions during the Long Session.

(1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this rule, the following deadlines apply to drafting requests and introductions during the Long Session:

(a) Senate members or committees may submit drafting requests to the Legislative Counsel without limitation until 5:00 p.m. on

January 15~~3~~, 2024~~3~~.

- (b) Legislative Counsel shall return all such drafts by 5:00 p.m. on February 19~~7~~, 2024~~3~~.
  - (c) Measures must be filed for introduction with the Secretary of the Senate no later than 5:00 p.m. on February 23~~1~~, 2024~~3~~.
- (2) The deadlines in subsection (1) of this rule do not apply as follows:
- (a) Every Senate member is entitled to five drafting requests and five measure introductions after the deadlines in subsection (1) of this rule.
  - (b) Every Senate committee is entitled to four drafting requests and four measure introductions after the deadlines in subsection (1) of this rule, of which the committee chair is allowed two drafting requests and the committee chair and vice-chair in agreement are allowed two drafting requests.
  - (c) As determined by the caucus leader, each caucus shall be entitled to two drafting requests and two measure introductions after the deadlines in subsection (1) of this rule.
  - (d) Appropriation or fiscal measures approved for drafting by the Joint Committee on Ways and Means are not subject to the deadlines in subsection (1) of this rule.
  - (e) The President may approve member or committee proposals for drafting and introduction after the deadlines in subsection (1) of this rule.
- (3) All measures introduced by a member, caucus, or committee at any time under this rule shall be referred to committee as provided in SR 8.40, and any chamber posting or work session deadlines affecting the committee receiving the measure shall apply.
- (4) Nothing in this rule prohibits Legislative Counsel from providing drafting services for amendments to measures at the request of a member or a committee.
- (5) For the Short Session, all drafting requests and measure introductions are governed by SIR 213.20, SIR 213.21, and the applicable concurrent resolution adopted by the ~~82nd<sup>st</sup>~~ Legislative Assembly.

## PUBLICATIONS AND RECORDS

### 14.01 Journal; Measure History.

- (1) The Senate shall cause a journal of its proceedings to be maintained. The journal shall contain a full, true and correct chronological record of all proceedings of the Senate.
- (2) The Senate shall cause a measure history to be composed daily during regular and any special sessions of the Legislative Assembly. The measure history shall be posted on the Oregon Legislative Information System. The measures shall be listed in numerical order and shall contain the title, sponsor and a history of actions taken in each house.
- (3) The President may direct publication of measure history on a weekly cumulative basis.

### 14.03 Senate Records.

- (1) As used in this rule, "Senate record" means a measure or amendment of a measure, a document, book, paper, photograph, sound recording or other material produced by the Senate, a Senate committee or staff member, in connection with the exercise of legislative or investigatory functions, but does not include the record of an official act of the Legislative Assembly kept by the Secretary of State under section 2, Article VI of the Oregon Constitution.
- (2) Subject to the needs of Senate members and Senate staff in the performance of official duties, Senate records in the possession of the Senate shall be available for public inspection, subject to such requirements as may be imposed by the President to ensure their safety.
- (3) Recordings shall be made of every floor session of the Senate and be kept in the custody and control of the Secretary of the Senate. Recordings shall be made of every committee meeting and be kept in the custody and control of the Legislative Administrator.
- (4) A Senate committee or Senate staff member having possession of

Senate records that are not required for the regular performance of official duties shall, within 10 calendar days after the adjournment sine die of the session, deliver all such Senate records to the Legislative Administrator.

- (5) Senate records shall not be loaned except to staff of the Legislative Assembly who require access to such records in the performance of official duties. Arrangements for having records copied may be made and an appropriate fee to meet costs may be imposed. All monies collected under this rule shall be promptly turned over to the Legislative Administrator or designee.

### 14.05 Other Legislative Publications.

- (1) Unless otherwise directed by resolution, the President is authorized to implement the powers vested in the Senate by ORS 171.206.
- (2) All orders for printing and distribution of publications printed for the Senate, except those publications the printing or distribution of which are governed specifically by statute or otherwise, shall be signed by the President or by a designee.

### 14.08 Records Retention.

- (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this rule, records of members of the Senate and their legislative assistants that contain information relating to the conduct of the public's business must be retained for one year after the records are created.
- (2) The following paragraphs are exceptions to subsection (1) of this rule.
  - (a) A member of the Senate must retain notices of amounts of expenses required by ORS 244.100 for five years.
  - (b) A member of the Senate must retain documents in support of statements of economic interest required by ORS 244.050 for five years.
  - (c) A member of the Senate must retain relevant documents that are in the member's possession when the member receives a public records request, or a request for discovery of records issued in a court or administrative proceeding, until the request for records is resolved.
  - (d) Ephemeral communications including, but not limited to, voicemail, text messages and instant messages, are not required to be retained.

- (3) A member of the Senate or legislative assistant may, at any time, deliver records required to be retained under this rule to the Legislative Administrator. A person who ceases to be a member of the Legislative Assembly shall deliver records under subsection (2) of this rule to the Legislative Administrator within 60 calendar days after the member ceases to be a member. Records delivered to the Legislative Administrator under this rule must identify the person delivering the records and specify the date on which the records may be destroyed.

- (4) In order to ensure consistent and timely compliance with the disclosure provisions of the Public Records Law, a member of the Senate may designate the Legislative Administrator to receive public records requests on their behalf. The Legislative Administrator then will assist the member in preparing responses to requests. Regardless of whether or not a member has designated the Legislative Administrator to receive their public records requests, the member may request assistance from the Legislative Administrator or Legislative Counsel in responding to public records requests at any time.

- (5) This rule applies to all records of members of the Senate and legislative assistants, whether created before, on or after the effective date of this rule.

### 14.10 Distribution of Legislative Publications.

- (1) In implementation of ORS 171.206, and for the proper functioning of the Senate, the Secretary of the Senate shall order from the Legislative Administrator a sufficient number of copies of all publications printed or electronically distributed for either house of the Legislative Assembly.
- (2) Mailings of legislative publications requested by members shall not be made to any person who is a lobbyist, as defined in ORS 171.725.

### 14.15 Fact-Finding Mission Applications; Records.

The following provisions govern whether fact-finding missions will be officially sanctioned under ORS 244.020(7)(b)(H)(i) if the expense per member is estimated to exceed \$50:

(1) An application must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate no later than 14 calendar days before the start of the mission, unless as determined by the Secretary good cause exists for submitting the application after the deadline. The Secretary shall prepare an application form to collect information required by the Secretary. The application must include the following information as an attachment:

(a) A written opinion from the Oregon Government Ethics Commission concluding that the event is a permitted fact-finding mission under ORS 244.020(7)(b)(H)(i) and the rules of the commission; and

(b) A written itinerary or agenda for all scheduled meetings, events, presenters, meals, travel, lodging, or other activities planned during the mission.

(2) Applications will be approved by the Secretary of the Senate only if the applicant provides all the information required under subsection (1) of this rule and also attests in writing that:

(a) The mission is limited to a factual investigation or other educational purposes; and

(b) The mission is not conducted for campaign or partisan political purposes.

(3) During a fact-finding mission, members may not deliberate if a quorum of a committee or task force is present.

(4) No later than 30 calendar days after the completion of the mission, the applicant must submit to the Secretary of the Senate the following:

(a) An attendance sheet listing all Senators, staff, relatives, household members, lobbyists, and all others who participated in the mission.

(b) The aggregate value of food, travel, and lodging expenses provided to each Senator, staff member, relative, and household member of the Senator. The aggregate value of expenses for each person shall be determined in the same manner as required by the Oregon Government Ethics Commission for disclosure on a Statement of Economic Interest under ORS 244.060(6).

(c) Written confirmation that the mission was conducted substantially according to the itinerary or agenda submitted with the application and, if the mission varied materially from the submitted itinerary or agenda, a written account describing the material variations.

(5) Failure to submit the information required under subsection (4) of this rule within 30 calendar days after completion of the mission will result in an automatic denial of all future applications submitted by the applicant and the person or entity identified in the application as paying expenses.

(6) All approved applications shall be posted promptly on the Secretary of the Senate's webpage, and all required information submitted under this rule shall be posted promptly on the Secretary of the Senate's webpage.

#### 14.20 Legislative Newsletters.

(1) Each member may issue legislative newsletters or other informational material to constituents. Costs for newsletters and informational material may be billed to the member's individual expense account. Such newsletters or other informational material charged in whole or in part against a member's individual expense account may be distributed at any time during a member's term with the following exceptions:

(a) The period commencing 60 calendar days before the primary election until the day following the election if the member is a candidate for election or reelection at the primary election.

(b) The period commencing 60 calendar days before the regular general election until the day following the election if the member is a candidate for election or reelection at the general election.

(2) As used in this rule "legislative newsletter" and "informational material" means material suitable for distribution to members of the

public informing them of official activities of a legislator or actions occurring before the Legislative Assembly or its committees or affecting its activities. Notwithstanding any other provision of this rule, ORS 260.432 applies to members, member staff, and any other public employee subject to that statutory provision.

(3) As used in this rule "distributed" means that the legislative newsletter or informational material has left the possession and control of the member.

### OFFICERS; PERSONNEL

#### 15.01 Secretary of the Senate; Election and Duties.

(1) The members shall elect a Secretary of the Senate who shall be considered an officer of the Senate and shall serve at its pleasure until the convening of the next Organizational Session of the Legislative Assembly. In the event the office becomes vacant at a time when the Senate is not in session, the President may appoint an acting Secretary of the Senate to serve until the next regular or special session or meeting of the Senate to consider executive appointments, at which time the members shall elect a Secretary of the Senate.

(2) Under the direction of the President, the Secretary of the Senate, in addition to performing those duties provided by law or other provisions of these rules, shall:

(a) Authorize and supervise the preparation and distribution of all measures, measure history, status reports, journals and related publications of the Senate.

(b) Be responsible for the keeping of the measures, papers and records of the proceedings and actions of the Senate and have charge of the publications and distribution of publications related thereto.

(c) Instruct and supervise staff of Senate committees in the preparation of official Senate records.

(d) Provide receipts for documents transmitted to the Senate and take receipts from persons, including Senate committees, receiving documents from the Senate.

(e) Serve as parliamentarian for the Senate.

(f) Instruct and supervise Senate employees engaged in carrying out the duties described in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) herein, and employees, other than members' personal staff, assigned to duties in or related to the chamber area.

#### 15.02 Sergeant at Arms; Appointment and Duties.

(1) The Secretary of the Senate, in consultation with the President, shall appoint a Sergeant at Arms.

(2) Under direction of the President and the Secretary, the Sergeant at Arms, assisted by Capitol ~~Executive~~ Security when directed by the President or Secretary, shall maintain order in the chamber and other areas assigned to the Senate and perform such other duties as the President or Secretary may direct. The Sergeant at Arms shall permit such ingress and egress to the chamber during sessions as may be directed by the President or Secretary or allowed by the rules.

#### 15.04 Senate Desk and Floor Personnel.

(1) The Secretary of the Senate, in consultation with the President of the Senate, shall appoint Senate Desk staff, floor personnel and receptionists as necessary to conduct the business of the Senate and in accordance with the current Legislative Assembly budget.

(2) Personnel assigned to the Senate Desk and the Senate floor, including receptionists, shall perform duties as directed by the Secretary of the Senate and the President.

#### 15.05 Other Personnel.

(1) Subject to the provisions of this rule, a member may appoint personal staff for a session or the interim or both, according to the allowance provided in the current Legislative Assembly budget.

(a) Compensation and employee benefits shall be determined by Legislative Administration.

(b) If a member has a balance in the member's staff allowance account at adjournment sine die of the preceding regular session,

the member may use the balance during the interim for personnel or for legislative newsletters or other informational material.

- (2) The caucus leaders may each appoint such staff as is necessary to conduct the business of the caucus as provided in the current Legislative Assembly budget.
- (3) The President may appoint such staff as is necessary to perform the duties of the offices of the President or to assist the Senate.
- (4) In consultation with each committee chair, the President may appoint a committee analyst or counsel and committee assistant to conduct the business of the committee and, in accordance with the current Legislative Administration budget, may appoint other personnel as determined necessary for the proper operation of the committee.
- (5) Employees of the Senate serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority and shall be appointed or dismissed by written notice thereof to the Legislative Administrator.
- (6) The time of service for all employees begins on the date contained in their letter of appointment, which shall be filed with the Legislative Administrator's office.
- (7) To maintain professionalism in the legislative process, dress code policies may be established for positions that support decorum and protocol of the Senate.
- (8) Labor negotiations concerning employees of the legislative branch are not considered legislative deliberations under Article IV, section 14, of the Oregon Constitution and shall be conducted in executive session under the Oregon public meetings law.

#### 15.10 Salaries.

All salaries for Senate employees shall be reported in the Senate journal.

#### 15.20 Expense Allowance.

~~(1) During the Long Session for the 82nd1st Legislative Assembly, each member has an allowance of \$72,60086,943 for personal staff as defined in SR 15.05, services and supplies as defined in SR 16.01, and legislative newsletters as defined in SR 14.20.~~

~~(2) During the interim periods and the Short Session for the 82nd1st Legislative Assembly, each member has an allowance of \$147,522 for personal staff as defined in SR 15.05, services and supplies as defined in SR 16.01, and legislative newsletters as defined in SR 14.20.~~

### SERVICES AND SUPPLIES

#### 16.01 Office Supplies, Stationery and Equipment.

- (1) The Legislative Administrator shall issue office supplies directly to Senate members and staff in accordance with the Rules of the Senate and policies of the Legislative Administration Committee. Members and staff shall comply with ORS 171.136.
- (2) New members will receive a one-time allowance of \$2,5200 for start-up expenses, in addition to an allocation from funds available in the legislative branch budget as determined by the Senate President. The costs of requisitioned services and supplies shall be charged against the member's individual services and supplies account. Monthly reports of the status of the member's services and supplies accounts shall be provided to each member.
- (3) Services and supplies that may be obtained under this rule include:
  - (a) Postage (all classes);
  - (b) Subscriptions to newspapers and periodicals;
  - (c) Office supplies;
  - (d) Copying, facsimile charges;
  - (e) Newsletter printing, postage and labels; and
  - (f) Any other service or supply authorized by the President.
- (4) All orders for stationery and printing may be placed with the Secretary of the Senate.
- (5) Each member's office in the Capitol and committee office in the

Capitol shall be provided with office furniture and equipment necessary to assist in the conduct of Senate business. Requests for additional furniture or equipment shall be placed with the President.

- (6) Any amount remaining unexpended or unobligated in the member's individual services and supplies account upon adjournment sine die of the preceding regular session may be used during the interim for the following:
  - (a) Postage (all classes);
  - (b) Office supplies;
  - (c) Copying, facsimile charges;
  - (d) Newsletter printing, postage and labels;
  - (e) Interim staff; and
  - (f) Any other service or supply authorized by the Senate President.

(7) Except as provided in this subsection, out-of-state travel for legislative business must be pre-approved by the President. Pre-approval is not required for meetings of organizations for which the Legislature provides dues or approves member payment of dues. Pre-approval is not required for official meetings of organizations in which member participation is identified in statute and where the member has been officially appointed to the organization by the President. For other out-of-state travel, members must submit appropriate documentation prior to travel such as a letter of invitation, conference agenda or completed registration form. Itemized receipts must be submitted for reimbursement upon completion of travel. Unless a member is a part of an official state-organized delegation, no out-of-country travel will be reimbursed.

(8) Any member who spends in excess of the allowance provided under these rules shall reimburse the Legislative Assembly for the overdraft.

#### 16.02 Assembly Transition.

Those members not returning to serve in the next Long Session shall have until December 10th of the even-numbered year, or until the Friday immediately following the last set of Legislative Days in an even-numbered year, whichever is later, to vacate their office space in the Capitol.

#### 16.05 Requests for Attorney General Opinions.

Requests for opinions from the Attorney General require approval of the President as a necessary condition for authorizing payment from legislative funds. This rule takes precedence over subsection (2) of ORS 180.060. Legislative Counsel shall provide legal advice and opinions to the members of the Senate without prior approval of the President.

### PRIVILEGES

#### 17.01 Floor Privileges.

- (1) When the Senate is in session, no person shall be permitted within the bar except:
  - (a) Members of the Senate;
  - (b) Desk and floor personnel of the Senate;
  - (c) Members of the House of Representatives;
  - (d) Accredited representatives of the news media;
  - (e) Staff of the Senate President's office and caucus offices; and
  - (f) One member of a senator's personal staff or a member of the staff of a Senate committee may be seated at a member's desk. Additional Senate staff members are permitted on the side aisles.
- ~~(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1) of this rule, staff, personnel, and media access to the floor may be limited during a public health emergency or due to construction impacts. All persons allowed access to the floor or chamber area during a public health emergency shall wear appropriate face coverings as defined by public health guidance. All persons allowed access to the floor or chamber area shall adhere to any law or regulation of general application pertaining to a public health emergency or other emergency.~~

~~(3)~~(2) Courtesies of the floor may be extended only to special dignitaries, former members of the Legislative Assembly and members of the family of a member to whom courtesies of the floor have been extended in accordance with policies as set by the President. Courtesies shall not be extended to any former member who is a lobbyist.

~~(4)~~(3) No person who is a lobbyist as defined in ORS 171.725 shall be permitted in the Senate Chamber area during its daily session. Any person transgressing this subsection shall be removed from the chamber area and shall be subject to the penalties provided by law for violation of lobbying regulations. Notes from a lobbyist are prohibited while the Senate is in daily floor session.

~~(5)~~(4) Admission to the side aisles beyond the bar shall be reserved for the families and guests of members of the Senate, local and state elected officials and such other persons as may be authorized by the President. However, the privilege shall not be granted to any person actively engaged in seeking the passage or defeat of any measure, except during consideration of Concurrent Resolutions as may be authorized by the President.

~~(6)~~(5) No food, beverage other than water, or smoking is permitted on the side aisles or within the bar.

~~(7)~~(6) While the Senate is in daily session, the center aisle of the floor shall be kept clear of all persons, except ~~members~~legislators and the Secretary of the Senate or someone acting under the direction of the Secretary of the Senate and conducting the business of the Senate. Access to the chamber during a daily session shall be by the side doors and side aisles.

~~(8)~~(7) Beginning 15 minutes before the opening of each session and ending 15 minutes after the session, no person shall be permitted in the chamber area except those authorized to be in the chamber under this rule.

#### 17.02 Accreditation of News Media.

(1) To be accredited and receive privileges of the floor, news media shall register with the Oregon Legislative Correspondents Association and be approved by the Secretary of the Senate. The Secretary of the Senate shall provide a list of accredited news media representatives to the Offices of the President of the Senate, the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader. However, any representative of the news media ~~who is~~ attending the session as a lobbyist, as defined in ORS 171.725, shall not be entitled to accreditation or the privileges of the floor.

(2) Accredited representatives of the news media may use still cameras on the side aisles. The use of motion picture or television cameras in the chamber, or still cameras within the bar, may be permitted by the President. The Secretary of the Senate shall provide ~~a~~ adequate camera locations for accredited representatives of the news media in the Senate gallery. Personnel of Legislative Media Services are subject to this rule.

#### 17.03 Distribution of Materials on Floor.

(1) No materials on any measure that is on the Third Reading calendar or on the agenda may be distributed on the floor except materials prepared for, or by, a member of the Senate.

(2) No anonymous material shall be distributed to members on the floor at any time. A copy of any material distributed to members' desks must be filed with the Secretary of the Senate prior to distribution.

(3) Nothing in the rule prohibits a member from requesting and receiving specific material delivered by legislative staff.

(4) The Secretary of the Senate shall enforce this rule.

(5) Distribution of materials to the floor may be limited to electronic distribution, ~~when necessary during a public health emergency.~~

#### 17.05 Lounge Privileges.

~~[Redacted. Lounge closed during construction.] The lounge is for the convenience of senators. Supervision, operation and use of the Senate Lounge shall be directed through agreement of the caucus leaders and implemented by the Secretary of the Senate.~~

### PERSONNEL AND CONTRACTING RULES

#### 18.01 Legislative Branch Personnel and Contracting Rules.

(1) The Legislative Branch Personnel Rules, as amended and in effect on November 22, 2021, and January 26, 2022, are incorporated into the Senate Rules by this reference as rules of proceeding of the Senate. The Respectful Workplace Policy, as adopted by the Joint Committee on Conduct on December 22, 2020, is incorporated into the Senate Rules by this reference as rules of proceeding of the Senate.

(2) The Legislative Branch Contracting Rules, as ~~amended and in effect on March 29, 2017, adopted by the Legislative Administration Committee on January 15, 2016,~~ are incorporated into the Senate Rules by this reference as rules of proceeding of the Senate.

(3) Building Policy XV – Capitol Security, as adopted by the Legislative Administration Committee on January 26, 2022, is incorporated into the Senate Rules by this reference as rules of proceeding of the Senate.

(4) The Legislative Branch Personnel Rules, the Respectful Workplace Policy, the Building Policy XV, and the Legislative Branch Contracting Rules apply to the nonpartisan offices of the legislative branch when both the Senate and the House of Representatives adopt the personnel rules, Respectful Workplace Policy, Building Policy XV, and contracting rules as rules of proceeding

### EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENTS

#### 19.10 Referral to Committee.

Following reading of the message from the Governor appointing a person to a position or office requiring confirmation by the Senate, the President shall refer the appointment to an appropriate committee and may refer it to not more than one additional committee. The committee shall consider the appointment as soon as practicable.

#### 19.20 Committee Review of Appointees.

(1) All persons initially appointed to boards, commissions or agencies, subject to the provisions of section 4, Article III of the Oregon Constitution, shall appear before the appropriate Senate committee prior to confirmation by the Senate.

(2) The chair of the executive appointments committee, with the consent of the President of the Senate and a majority of the committee members, may waive appearance before the committee of persons appointed by the Governor.

#### 19.35 Committee Action.

(1) The committee may, after public hearing, take action on the appointment and promptly file the report with the Secretary of the Senate. On final action the committee shall recommend that:

- (a) The Senate confirm;
- (b) The Senate confirm *en bloc*;
- (c) The Senate refuse to confirm; or
- (d) The appointment be reported to the Senate without recommendation.

#### 19.40 Additional Time for Consideration.

(1) If any appointment submitted by the Governor and subject to Senate confirmation is submitted too late for the Senate to review the recommendation of the committee or otherwise consider the appointment, that appointment shall be carried over to the next convening of the Senate as required by section 4, Article III of the Oregon Constitution and ORS 171.562 and 171.565.

(2) The proposed appointment shall not be considered rejected, or confirmation denied, if the appointment is carried over. The action of carrying over consideration of the appointment shall be duly recorded in the journal.

#### 19.55 Consideration of Committee Reports.

(1) Action on a committee report recommending Senate confirmation shall be placed on the calendar for the session day immediately following reading or distribution of the report during the Long Session, or as soon as possible following reading or distribution of the report during the Short Session.

(2) If the committee recommendation is to refuse to confirm or no recommendation, action on the report shall take place on the second

session day after the session day the report is read or distributed during the Long Session, or as soon as possible following reading or distribution of the report during the Short Session.

(3) The vote on confirmation shall be taken by roll call. The affirmative vote of a constitutional majority (16) is necessary for confirmation.

#### 19.60 Confirmation *En Bloc*.

(1) If a committee reports on nominees *en bloc*, the report shall be placed on the calendar for confirmation the next session day after reading or distribution of the list of appointments during the Long Session, or as soon as possible following reading or distribution of the list of appointments during the Short Session.

(2) The motion to recommend a list of multiple boards and commissions to the full Senate is in order. Individuals whose appearance before the committee has been waived under SR 19.20, may be considered *en bloc* upon recommendation of the committee.

(3) Any member may require a separate vote by requesting that an appointee be considered separately. The request shall be submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Senate one hour before the session on the day that the confirmation is on the calendar. The request will be announced at the appropriate time and the appointee considered separately from the *en bloc* vote.

### Appendix A

#### INTERIM RULES OF THE SENATE 82~~nd~~<sup>1st</sup> LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

##### 202.01 Use of *Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure*.

*Mason's Manual of Legislative Procedure* shall apply to cases not provided for by the Oregon Constitution, the Senate Rules, custom of the Senate or statute.

##### 203.05 Session Hour; Deliberations Open.

(1) The Senate shall meet at the call of the President, or when requested by a constitutional majority (16) of the members.

(2) All deliberations of the Senate and its committees shall be open to the public. However, nothing in this provision limits the procedures used for a call of the Senate. This provision does not prohibit clearing the gallery in the event of a disturbance, during which time the Senate shall be in recess.

##### 203.11 Interim Meetings.

When the full Senate meets to consider executive appointments and other interim business, it shall not be considered to be in regular or special legislative session or meeting as a committee of the whole.

### VOTING

##### 203.15 Roll Call.

(1) A roll call vote of audible "ayes" and "nays" shall be taken.

(2) Upon the demand of two members, a roll call vote shall be taken and recorded on any question.

(3) If the presiding officer is in doubt on an oral vote, the presiding officer shall order a roll call vote.

(4) The vote on confirmation shall be taken by roll call. The affirmative vote of a constitutional majority (16) is necessary for Senate confirmation of executive appointments.

### ORDER OF BUSINESS

##### 204.01 Order of Business.

(1) The general order of business shall be:

- (a) ~~Roll Call~~ Call to Order
- (b) Honors to the Colors and the Pledge of Allegiance
- (c) Invocation, Greeting, or Opening Performance

(d) Courtesies of the Senate

~~(e)~~ (e) Roll Call

~~(f)~~ (f) Remonstrances

~~(g)~~ (g) Reports from Committees

~~(h)~~ (h) Propositions and Motions

~~(i)~~ (i) Action on Executive Appointments Requiring Senate Confirmation

~~(j)~~ (j) Reading of Senate Memorials and Resolutions

~~(k)~~ (k) Other Business of the Senate

~~(l)~~ (l) Announcements

(2) Messages from the Governor may be read at any time. Courtesies may be extended at any time.

(3) Questions relating to the priority of business shall be decided without debate.

(4) The general order of business shall not be varied except upon suspension of the rules. However, any subject before the Senate may be made a special order of business by the vote of a majority of the members present. When the appropriate time for consideration of the subject arrives, the Senate shall take up the subject.

### PRESIDING OFFICER

##### 207.01 Presiding Officer; Other Officers.

The officers of the Senate during the interim shall be those elected by the Senate for the 82~~nd~~<sup>1st</sup> Legislative Assembly under SR 7.01 and 15.01.

### COMMITTEES

##### 208.01 Names of Committees.

(1) The President shall establish interim committees, including a Committee on Rules and Executive Appointments; provided, however, the Committee on Rules and Executive Appointments shall have at least five, but not more than 11 members.

(2) The President may appoint special committees. Referral of executive appointments to special committees requires approval of a majority of the members of the Senate.

### EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENTS

##### 209.15 Transmittal of Executive Appointments.

(1) Upon receipt of a message from the Governor appointing a person to a position or office requiring the confirmation by the Senate, the Secretary of the Senate shall transmit the message to the chair of the Committee on Rules and Executive Appointments.

(2) A summary list of executive appointments received by the Secretary of the Senate and transmitted to committee shall be distributed to members at least 72 hours in advance of the scheduled convening of the Senate.

##### 209.20 Committee Review of Appointees.

The chair of the Committee on Rules and Executive Appointments, with the consent of the President of the Senate and a majority of the committee members, may waive appearance before the committee of persons appointed by the Governor.

##### 209.35 Committee Action Required.

(1) The committee shall, after public hearing, take action on the appointment and promptly file its report with the Secretary of the Senate. The committee shall recommend that:

- (a) The Senate confirm;
- (b) The Senate confirm *en bloc*;
- (c) The Senate refuse to confirm;



- (d) Report the appointment to the Senate without recommendation; or
  - (e) Report that no final action was taken and that the appointment shall be carried over.
- (2) The committee may include material in its report that the committee deems appropriate.

#### 209.40 Additional Time for Consideration.

(1) If any appointment submitted by the Governor and subject to Senate confirmation does not receive final action by the committee, the appointment shall be carried over to the next convening of the Senate or shall be considered at the next special session.

(2) The proposed appointment shall not be considered rejected or confirmation denied if the appointment is carried over. The action of carrying over consideration of the appointment shall be recorded in the journal.

#### 209.45 Dissents.

Any member of the committee who dissents from the committee recommendation shall be listed in the committee report as not concurring therein. Upon request before adjournment of the Senate's meeting to consider executive appointments, the names of the members not concurring shall be recorded in the journal and measure history.

### ACTION ON COMMITTEE REPORTS

#### 209.50 Reading of Committee Reports.

At the discretion of the President, committee reports at the Senate Desk may be either read or announced under the proper order of business. If reports are announced, the Secretary of the Senate shall distribute to members a committee report summary with the pertinent information included. Committee reports shall be recorded in the journal.

#### 209.55 Consideration of Committee Reports.

Reports from committees shall be considered in appropriate order at the next convening of the Senate. The committee report summary for such meeting shall be distributed to each Senator prior to the convening of the Senate.

#### 209.60 Confirmation *En Bloc*.

(1) The motion to recommend a list of multiple boards and commissions to the full Senate is in order. Individuals whose appearance before the committee has been waived under SIR 209.20, may be considered *en bloc* upon recommendation of the committee.

(2) Any member may require a separate vote by requesting that an appointee be considered separately. The request shall be submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Senate at least one hour before the session on the day that the confirmation is on the calendar. The request shall be announced at the appropriate time and the appointee separated from the *en bloc* vote.

#### 209.65 Withdrawing Appointment from Committee.

(1) An appointment by the Governor requiring Senate confirmation may be withdrawn from a committee by a motion to withdraw the appointment. The motion requires a constitutional majority (16) for adoption.

(2) The effect of withdrawal shall be the same as if the committee had reported the appointment to the Senate without recommendation.

### RECONSIDERATION

#### 210.01 Reconsideration of Executive Appointments.

(1) When an executive appointment has been confirmed, or the Senate has refused to confirm, it shall be in order for any member voting on the prevailing side to move for reconsideration of the vote. A motion for reconsideration is not in order on a vote that indefinitely postponed an appointment.

(2) Notice of intent to move for reconsideration must be given orally by the member who intends to move the motion.

(3) The motion to reconsider must be made and voted upon before final adjournment of the Senate meeting during which the vote being reconsidered was taken.

(4) A motion to reconsider may be debated together with the main question, providing the subject of the main question is debatable. There shall be only one reconsideration of any final vote, even though this action may reverse the previous action.

(5) The affirmative vote of a constitutional majority (16) is required to adopt a motion to reconsider the vote.

### PRESESSION FILING

#### 213.06 Pre-session Filing.

Pre-session filing and printing of measures shall be in accordance with the rules and practices of the preceding sessions, customs of the Senate, and statutes. Members, members-elect, and committees may not request drafting services from the Legislative Counsel for an agency or officer of the executive or judicial departments unless the agency or officer has arranged to pay any charge the Legislative Counsel imposes under ORS 173.130.

#### 213.07 Measure Drafting and Filing by the Executive and Judicial Branches of State Government Before the Long Session.

(1) The Executive Department, administrative agencies, boards and commissions, and the Judicial Branch, shall have all measures for pre-session filing with the Senate drafted by Legislative Counsel.

(2) All pre-session filing drafts shall be submitted in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) ~~One~~~~Two~~ backed copy~~ies~~ of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Agency name;
- (d) Signature of agency director or designee; and
- (e) Contact person and telephone number.

(3) All pre-session filing drafts must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate by 5:00 p.m. on December 15th of the even-numbered years. If the 15th falls on a weekend, the last business day prior to the deadline will apply.

#### 213.09 Measure Drafting and Pre-session Filing Before the Long Session by Statutory Committees or Interim Committees of the Legislative Assembly.

(1) All measures for pre-session filing must be drafted by Legislative Counsel.

(2) All pre-session filing drafts shall be submitted in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) ~~One~~~~Two~~ backed copy~~ies~~ of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Name of committee;
- (d) Signature of committee chair; and
- (e) Contact person and telephone number.

(3) All pre-session filing drafts must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate by 5:00 p.m. on December 21st of the even-numbered years. If the 21st falls on a weekend, the business day prior to the deadline shall apply.

#### 213.15 Measure Drafting and Pre-session Filing Before the Long Session by Members Who Will Serve in the Regular Session.

(1) Drafts may be filed after the 2nd Monday in November of the even-numbered year, or following certification of election if a recount is required, or has been requested, under ORS 258.150 to 258.300.

(2) Every pre-session filing draft submitted by a member shall bear the name of the chief sponsor(s) and shall comply with ORS 171.127 and 171.130.

(3) All pre-session filing drafts shall be submitted in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate, and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) ~~One~~<sup>Two</sup> backed copy~~ies~~ of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Name of the requester;
- (d) Signature of the member or newly-elected official; and
- (e) Contact person and telephone number.

(4) All pre-session filing drafts must be submitted to Secretary of the Senate by 5:00 p.m. on December 21st of the even-numbered years. If the 21st falls on a weekend, the last business day prior to the deadline shall apply.

#### **213.16 Measure Drafting and Pre-session Filing Before the Long Session by Newly Elected Statewide Officials.**

(1) Drafts may be filed after the 2nd Monday in November of the even-numbered year, or following certification of election if a recount is required, or has been requested, under ORS 258.150 to 258.300.

(2) All pre-session filing drafts shall be submitted in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate, and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) ~~One~~<sup>Two</sup> backed copy~~ies~~ of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Name of requester;
- (d) Signature of the newly elected statewide official; and
- (e) Contact person and telephone number.

(3) All pre-session filing drafts must be submitted to the Secretary of the Senate by 5:00 p.m. on December 21st of the even-numbered years. If the 21st falls on a weekend, the last business day prior to the deadline shall apply.

#### **213.20 Measure Drafting and Pre-session Filing Before the Short Session by Members, Caucuses, and Interim Committees.**

(1) Except as otherwise provided herein, pre-session drafting requests and measure introductions shall be governed by the applicable concurrent resolution adopted by the ~~82nd~~<sup>81st</sup> Legislative Assembly.

(2) Of the three drafting requests for each committee granted under the concurrent resolution, the committee chair is allowed two drafting requests, and the committee chair and vice-chair in agreement are allowed one drafting request.

(3) Each Senate caucus may request from the President, and the President shall grant pursuant to his authority under the concurrent resolution, no more than two drafting requests and measure introductions, as determined by the caucus leader, that may be used before or during the Short Session.

~~(4) Committees appointed for the interim shall vote on measure introductions no later than January 14, 2022.~~

~~(5)~~<sup>(4)</sup> Every pre-session filing draft submitted under this rule shall bear the name of the chief sponsor(s) and shall comply with ORS 171.127 and 171.130.

~~(6)~~<sup>(5)</sup> All pre-session filing drafts shall be limited to:

- (a) ~~One~~<sup>Two</sup> backed copy~~ies~~ of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Name of requester;
- (d) Signature of the member, committee chair, or caucus leader; and

(e) Contact person and telephone number.

~~(7)~~<sup>(6)</sup> The Joint Committee on Ways and Means is exempt from this rule such that drafting and introduction of appropriation or fiscal measures sponsored by the Joint Committee on Ways and Means is allowed before or during the Short Session without limitation.

~~(8)~~<sup>(7)</sup> Any exceptions to this rule are subject to approval by the President. Unless permitted under this rule, the concurrent resolution, or otherwise approved by the President, there shall be no other measure drafting requests or measure introductions by members, committees, or caucuses before or during the Short Session. Measures introduced in a prior regular or special session do not carryover for continued consideration during the next regular or special session.

#### **213.21 Measure Drafting and Pre-session Filing Before the Short Session by the Executive and Judicial Branches of State Government.**

(1) Except as otherwise provided herein, pre-session drafting requests and measure introductions by the Executive and Judicial Branches shall be governed by the applicable concurrent resolution adopted by the ~~82nd~~<sup>81st</sup> Legislative Assembly.

(2) All pre-session filing drafts shall be submitted in the manner prescribed by the Secretary of the Senate and shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) ~~One~~<sup>Two</sup> backed copy~~ies~~ of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Branch or specific agency name;
- (d) Signature of the Governor, Chief Justice, or their respective designee; and
- (e) Contact person and telephone number.

(3) Unless permitted under the concurrent resolution, there shall be no other drafting requests or measure introductions by the Executive Branch or Judicial Branch before or during the Short Session. Measures introduced in a prior regular or special session do not carryover for continued consideration during the next regular or special session.

#### **213.28 Introduction Ordered by the President.**

(1) A pre-session filing measure may be introduced by order of the President. The measure shall bear a statement that introduction is by order of the President and by request. The measure must identify the sponsor and indicate neither advocacy nor opposition on the part of the President.

(2) The measure must be filed in conformance with SIR 213.07, 213.09, 213.15, 213.16, 213.20, or 213.21.

#### **213.30 Confidentiality; Consolidation of Requests.**

(1) A requester may designate that a request for a Legislative Counsel draft be considered confidential in accordance with ORS 173.230. Requests from a legislative committee shall not be treated confidentially.

(2) When a request is made for measure drafting services, Legislative Counsel shall inform the requester of all nonconfidential requests of a similar nature previously submitted. An attempt shall be made to consolidate all such requests in one measure.

## **PUBLICATIONS**

#### **214.01 Journal.**

(1) The Senate shall maintain a journal of its proceedings. The journal shall contain a full, true and correct chronological record of all proceedings.

(2) At the discretion of the President, the Secretary of the Senate shall publish such information as may be required to inform the public of Senate actions and proceedings.

(3) Newsletters may be distributed in accordance with SR 14.20.

## **PERSONNEL**

**215.07 Interim Staff.**

A member may employ interim staff subject to the provisions of SR 15.05 and as limited by the Legislative Assembly budget. Funds allocated for interim staff salaries may also be used to reimburse a member for vouchered in-district travel expense and vouchered services and supplies.

Lieber moved, pursuant to Legislative Branch Personnel Rule 27, that the Senate confirm en bloc the following majority party members to the Committee on Conduct:

Aaron Woods, Member  
Michael Dembrow, Alternate  
Jeff Golden, Alternate  
Deb Patteron, Alternate

On confirmation en bloc, the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 – Bonham, Linthicum. Confirmed en bloc.

Lieber moved, pursuant to Legislative Branch Personnel Rule 27, that the Senate confirm Floyd Prozanski as Co-Chair of the Committee on Conduct: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Nomination confirmed.

Knopp moved, pursuant to Legislative Branch Personnel Rule 27, that the Senate confirm en bloc the following minority party members to the Committee on Conduct:

Dick Anderson, Co-Chair  
Suzanne Weber, Member  
Lynn Findley, Alternate  
Bill Hansell, Alternate  
Tim Knopp, Alternate

On confirmation en bloc, the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 – Bonham, Boquist, Robinson. Confirmed en bloc.

President Wagner made the following committee assignments:

**SENATE STANDING COMMITTEES****EDUCATION**

Michael Dembrow, Chair  
Suzanne Weber, Vice Chair  
Lew Frederick  
Dick Anderson  
Sara Gelsner Blouin  
Art Robinson  
Rob Wagner

**ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT**

Janeen Sollman, Chair  
Lynn Findley, Vice Chair  
Jeff Golden  
Cedric Hayden  
Kate Lieber

**FINANCE AND REVENUE**

Mark Meek, Chair  
Brian Boquist, Vice Chair  
Jeff Golden  
Lynn Findley  
Kayse Jama

**HEALTH CARE**

Deb Patterson, Chair  
Cedric Hayden, Vice Chair  
Wlnsvey Campos  
Daniel Bonham  
Chris Gorsek

**HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT**

Kayse Jama, Chair  
Dick Anderson, Vice Chair  
Deb Patterson  
Tim Knopp  
Janeen Sollman

**HUMAN SERVICES**

Sara Gelsner Blouin, Chair  
Art Robinson, Vice Chair  
James Manning, Jr.  
Suzanne Weber  
Floyd Prozanski

**JUDICIARY**

Floyd Prozanski, Chair  
Kim Thatcher, Vice Chair  
Michael Dembrow  
Dennis Linthicum  
Sara Gelsner Blouin  
James Manning Jr.  
To Be Appointed: Senator from District 1

**LABOR AND BUSINESS**

Kathleen Taylor, Chair  
Daniel Bonham, Vice Chair  
Kayse Jama  
Bill Hansell  
Deb Patterson

**NATURAL RESOURCES**

Jeff Golden, Chair  
Fred Girod, Vice Chair  
Floyd Prozanski  
Kathleen Taylor  
To Be Appointed: Senator from District 1

**RULES**

Kate Lieber, Chair  
Tim Knopp, Vice Chair  
James Manning, Jr.  
Bill Hansell  
Elizabeth Steiner

**VETERANS, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, FEDERAL AND WORLD AFFAIRS**

James Manning, Jr., Chair  
Kim Thatcher, Vice Chair  
Chris Gorsek  
Art Robinson  
Aaron Woods

**JOINT COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS**

Elizabeth Steiner, Co-Chair  
Fred Girod, Co-Vice Chair  
Wlnsvey Campos  
Dick Anderson  
Michael Dembrow  
Lynn Findley  
Lew Frederick  
Bill Hansell  
Sara Gelsner Blouin  
Tim Knopp  
Janeen Sollman

**CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION SUBCOMMITTEE**

Fred Girod, Co-Chair  
Lew Frederick  
Bill Hansell  
Elizabeth Steiner  
Rob Wagner

**EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE**

Lew Frederick, Co-Chair  
Michael Dembrow  
Suzanne Weber

#### GENERAL GOVERNMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

Deb Patterson, Co-Chair  
Daniel Bonham  
Wlmsvey Campos

#### HUMAN SERVICES SUBCOMMITTEE

Wlmsvey Campos, Co-Chair  
Cedric Hayden  
Sara Gelsner Blouin

#### NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE

Kathleen Taylor, Co-Chair  
Dick Anderson  
Lew Frederick

#### PUBLIC SAFETY SUBCOMMITTEE

Janeen Sollman, Co-Chair  
Dennis Linthicum  
Chris Gorsek

#### TRANSPORTATION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

Aaron Woods, Co-Chair  
Bill Hansell  
Mark Meek

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON TAX EXPENDITURES

Mark Meek, Co-Chair  
Brian Boquist, Co-Vice Chair  
Jeff Golden  
Lynn Findley  
Kayse Jama

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

Chris Gorsek, Co-Chair  
Brian Boquist, Co-Vice Chair  
Lew Frederick  
Lynn Findley  
Aaron Woods

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE INTERSTATE 5 BRIDGE

Chris Gorsek, Co-Chair  
Brian Boquist  
Lew Frederick  
Lynn Findley

#### JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND TECHNOLOGY

Aaron Woods, Co-Chair  
Kim Thatcher  
Kathleen Taylor

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE AUDITS

Elizabeth Steiner, Co-Chair  
Kim Thatcher  
Kathleen Taylor

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON SEMICONDUCTORS

Janeen Sollman, Co-Chair  
Tim Knopp, Co-Vice Chair  
Kate Lieber  
Bill Hansell  
Mark Meek

23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

HCR 9, 23 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HCR 23 – Under the provisions of the Report of the Committee on Permanent Organization and Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 3 - Bonham, Boquist, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HCR 9 – Under the provisions of the Report of the Committee on Permanent Organization and Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Prozanski. On adoption

SCR 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 23; SJM 1, 2, 3; SJR 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25; SB 1, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,

of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 2 - Boquist, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

Message from the House announcing that the House of Representatives has organized and elected the following officers: Speaker of the House, Representative Dan Rayfield; Speaker Pro Tempore, Representative Paul Holvey; Chief Clerk, Timothy Sekerak; and is ready for the business of the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly.

Message from the House inviting members of the Senate to meet in Joint Session at 1:00 p.m. for the purpose of receiving the Inaugural Address from the Honorable Tina Kotek, Governor of Oregon.

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, January 17, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Tuesday, January 17, 2023 – Morning Session

Convening of the Senate delayed to 11:15 a.m. Senate convened at 11:15 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused, Robinson

The following report by the Committee on Credentials was read.

January 17, 2023

Presiding Officer:

We, your Committee on Credentials, after inspecting the official certificate from the Secretary of State, respectfully submit the following report:

That David Brock Smith, a qualified elector from Senate District 1, was duly appointed on January 11, 2023 to the office of State Senator by the Board of Commissioners for the Counties of Douglas, Coos and Curry, and is entitled to sit in this body until the second Monday in January, 2025. The certificate of appointment is attached.

That David Brock Smith was sworn into office on January 17, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

Senator Michael Dembrow, Chair  
Senator Lynn Findley  
Senator Deb Patterson

SB 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on January 11 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 1, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 17 Education

SB 25 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
SB 30 Labor and Business  
SB 31 Labor and Business  
SB 32 Labor and Business  
SB 33 Labor and Business  
SB 34 Labor and Business  
SB 35 Labor and Business  
SB 37 Labor and Business  
SB 47 Natural Resources  
SB 48 Education  
SB 49 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 52 Labor and Business  
SB 57 Natural Resources  
SB 58 Natural Resources  
SB 60 Health Care  
SB 69 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
SB 70 Natural Resources  
SB 71 Education; Tax Expenditures  
SB 77 Education  
SB 79 Labor and Business  
SB 80 Natural Resources  
SB 81 Natural Resources  
SB 82 Natural Resources  
SB 83 Natural Resources  
SB 84 Natural Resources  
SB 85 Natural Resources  
SB 86 Natural Resources  
SB 87 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 89 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
SB 90 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
SB 91 Human Services; Ways and Means  
SB 92 Human Services  
SB 93 Human Services  
SB 94 Human Services  
SB 95 Human Services  
SB 98 Human Services  
SB 99 Human Services  
SB 101 Human Services  
SB 103 Human Services  
SB 105 Human Services  
SB 106 Human Services  
SB 107 Human Services  
SB 108 Human Services  
SB 109 Human Services  
SB 110 Human Services  
SB 111 Human Services  
SB 113 Human Services  
SB 116 Human Services  
SB 117 Human Services  
SB 119 Labor and Business  
SB 121 Education  
SB 122 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 127 Finance and Revenue; Education  
SB 183 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 193 Education  
SB 197 Education  
SB 215 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 217 Education  
SB 218 Education  
SB 238 Education  
SB 239 Education  
SB 242 Education  
SB 243 Education  
SB 248 Education

SB 253 Education  
 SB 255 Education  
 SB 259 Education  
 SB 260 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 261 Education; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 262 Education  
 SB 263 Education  
 SB 264 Education  
 SB 265 Education  
 SB 266 Education  
 SB 267 Education  
 SB 268 Education  
 SB 269 Education  
 SB 270 Education  
 SB 271 Education  
 SB 272 Education  
 SB 273 Education  
 SB 274 Education  
 SB 275 Education  
 SB 276 Education  
 SB 277 Education  
 SB 278 Education  
 SB 279 Education  
 SB 280 Education  
 SB 281 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 282 Labor and Business  
 SB 283 Education  
 SB 284 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 285 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 286 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 287 Education  
 SB 288 Education  
 SB 289 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 290 Education  
 SB 291 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 292 Education  
 SB 293 Education  
 SB 294 Education  
 SB 297 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 407 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 409 Education  
 SB 414 Education  
 SB 415 Education  
 SB 416 Education  
 SB 421 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 423 Education  
 SB 424 Education  
 SB 425 Education  
 SB 426 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 427 Education  
 SB 449 Education  
 SB 453 Education  
 SB 458 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 459 Finance and Revenue; Education  
 SB 473 Education  
 SB 482 Education  
 SB 489 Education  
 SB 493 Finance and Revenue; Education  
 SB 516 Education  
 SB 517 Education  
 SB 518 Education  
 SB 521 Education  
 SB 523 Education  
 SB 526 Natural Resources; Ways and Means

SB 531 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 538 Labor and Business  
 SB 549 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 550 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 551 Education  
 SB 552 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 553 Natural Resources  
 SB 555 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 556 Human Services  
 SB 557 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 561 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 569 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 570 Human Services  
 SB 574 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 575 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 576 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 578 Education; Finance and Revenue  
 SB 580 Energy and Environment  
 SB 587 Education  
 SB 595 Education; Finance and Revenue  
 SB 599 Housing and Development  
 SB 600 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 604 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 610 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 620 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 622 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 633 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 639 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 641 Education  
 SB 651 Education  
 SB 652 Education  
 SB 658 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 672 Education  
 SB 674 Education  
 SB 677 Education  
 SB 692 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 SB 706 Education  
 SB 707 Education  
 SB 710 Natural Resources  
 SB 713 Natural Resources  
 SB 715 Human Services  
 SB 731 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 733 Natural Resources  
 SB 734 Labor and Business

The following measures were referred to committee on January 12 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 2, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 96 Human Services  
 SB 97 Human Services  
 SB 100 Human Services  
 SB 102 Human Services  
 SB 104 Human Services  
 SB 112 Human Services  
 SB 114 Human Services  
 SB 115 Human Services  
 SB 118 Human Services  
 SB 201 Human Services  
 SB 202 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 208 Human Services  
 SB 209 Human Services  
 SB 210 Human Services

SB 230	Human Services	SB 366	Judiciary
SB 231	Human Services; Ways and Means	SB 367	Judiciary
SB 241	Human Services	SB 368	Judiciary
SB 300	Human Services	SB 369	Judiciary
SB 305	Judiciary	SB 370	Judiciary
SB 306	Judiciary	SB 371	Judiciary
SB 307	Judiciary	SB 372	Judiciary
SB 308	Judiciary	SB 373	Judiciary
SB 309	Judiciary	SB 374	Judiciary
SB 310	Judiciary	SB 375	Judiciary
SB 311	Judiciary	SB 376	Judiciary
SB 312	Judiciary	SB 377	Judiciary
SB 313	Judiciary	SB 378	Judiciary
SB 314	Judiciary	SB 379	Judiciary
SB 315	Judiciary	SB 380	Judiciary
SB 316	Judiciary	SB 381	Judiciary
SB 317	Judiciary	SB 382	Judiciary
SB 318	Judiciary	SB 383	Judiciary
SB 319	Judiciary	SB 384	Judiciary
SB 320	Judiciary	SB 385	Judiciary
SB 321	Judiciary	SB 386	Judiciary
SB 322	Judiciary	SB 387	Judiciary
SB 323	Judiciary	SB 388	Judiciary
SB 324	Judiciary; Ways and Means	SB 389	Judiciary
SB 325	Judiciary	SB 390	Judiciary
SB 326	Judiciary	SB 391	Judiciary
SB 328	Judiciary	SB 392	Judiciary
SB 329	Judiciary	SB 393	Judiciary
SB 330	Judiciary	SB 394	Judiciary
SB 331	Judiciary	SB 395	Judiciary
SB 332	Judiciary	SB 396	Judiciary; Ways and Means
SB 333	Judiciary	SB 397	Judiciary
SB 334	Judiciary	SB 400	Human Services
SB 335	Judiciary	SB 402	Human Services
SB 336	Judiciary	SB 420	Human Services; Ways and Means
SB 337	Judiciary	SB 542	Energy and Environment
SB 338	Judiciary	SB 543	Energy and Environment
SB 339	Judiciary	SB 544	Energy and Environment; Ways and Means
SB 340	Judiciary	SB 545	Energy and Environment
SB 341	Judiciary	SB 547	Judiciary
SB 342	Judiciary	SB 560	Education
SB 343	Judiciary	SB 571	Labor and Business
SB 344	Judiciary	SB 572	Education; Ways and Means
SB 345	Judiciary	SB 596	Education; Ways and Means
SB 346	Judiciary	SB 609	Human Services
SB 347	Judiciary		
SB 348	Judiciary		
SB 349	Judiciary		
SB 350	Judiciary		
SB 351	Judiciary		
SB 352	Judiciary		
SB 353	Judiciary		
SB 354	Judiciary		
SB 355	Judiciary		
SB 356	Judiciary		
SB 357	Judiciary		
SB 358	Judiciary		
SB 359	Judiciary		
SB 360	Judiciary		
SB 361	Judiciary		
SB 362	Judiciary		
SB 363	Judiciary		
SB 364	Judiciary		
SB 365	Judiciary		

The following measures were referred to committee on January 12 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 3, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJM 1 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 13 Energy and Environment  
 SB 15 Energy and Environment  
 SB 20 Energy and Environment  
 SB 23 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 123 Energy and Environment  
 SB 124 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 125 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 126 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 130 Natural Resources; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 132 Natural Resources; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 161 Natural Resources  
 SB 171 Natural Resources

SB 199 Energy and Environment  
 SB 220 Natural Resources  
 SB 221 Natural Resources  
 SB 222 Natural Resources  
 SB 223 Natural Resources; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 398 Natural Resources  
 SB 399 Natural Resources  
 SB 439 Natural Resources  
 SB 455 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 466 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 496 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 514 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 541 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 548 Human Services  
 SB 573 Human Services  
 SB 583 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 602 Human Services  
 SB 606 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 613 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 629 Health Care  
 SB 646 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 685 Human Services  
 SB 694 Human Services; Ways and Means

The following measures were referred to committee on January 13 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 4, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 1 Rules  
 SJM 3 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SJR 3 Judiciary; Rules  
 SJR 4 Rules  
 SJR 5 Rules  
 SJR 23 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 54 Energy and Environment; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 59 Natural Resources; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 64 Energy and Environment  
 SB 65 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 167 Rules  
 SB 168 Rules  
 SB 169 Rules  
 SB 170 Rules  
 SB 172 Natural Resources  
 SB 173 Rules  
 SB 174 Rules  
 SB 175 Rules  
 SB 176 Rules  
 SB 177 Rules  
 SB 178 Rules  
 SB 179 Rules  
 SB 180 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 181 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Tax Expenditures  
 SB 182 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 184 Labor and Business  
 SB 185 Judiciary  
 SB 186 Human Services  
 SB 187 Labor and Business  
 SB 188 Judiciary  
 SB 189 Health Care  
 SB 190 Health Care  
 SB 191 Health Care  
 SB 192 Health Care

SB 194 Labor and Business  
 SB 195 Labor and Business  
 SB 196 Judiciary  
 SB 198 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 200 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Ways and Means  
 SB 211 Judiciary  
 SB 212 Judiciary  
 SB 213 Labor and Business  
 SB 214 Labor and Business  
 SB 216 Health Care  
 SB 244 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 245 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 246 Education  
 SB 247 Natural Resources  
 SB 249 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 250 Rules  
 SB 251 Energy and Environment; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 252 Education  
 SB 254 Judiciary  
 SB 256 Judiciary  
 SB 257 Judiciary  
 SB 258 Rules  
 SB 295 Education  
 SB 299 Rules  
 SB 301 Judiciary  
 SB 303 Health Care  
 SB 304 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 SB 471 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 479 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 480 Natural Resources  
 SB 488 Energy and Environment  
 SB 494 Energy and Environment  
 SB 502 Natural Resources  
 SB 505 Natural Resources  
 SB 507 Natural Resources  
 SB 509 Natural Resources  
 SB 546 Energy and Environment  
 SB 582 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 588 Energy and Environment  
 SB 617 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 634 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 643 Natural Resources  
 SB 644 Natural Resources  
 SB 648 Housing and Development  
 SB 676 Energy and Environment  
 SB 678 Energy and Environment  
 SB 679 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 681 Energy and Environment  
 SB 682 Natural Resources  
 SB 691 Energy and Environment  
 SB 712 Health Care  
 SB 718 Natural Resources  
 SB 721 Energy and Environment  
 SB 724 Energy and Environment

The following measures were referred to committee on January 13 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 5, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 3 Rules  
 SCR 5 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SCR 6 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs



SCR 7 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SJR 1 Rules  
 SJR 2 Transportation  
 SJR 6 Finance and Revenue  
 SJR 7 Rules  
 SJR 8 Finance and Revenue  
 SJR 9 Rules  
 SJR 10 Rules  
 SJR 11 Rules  
 SJR 12 Rules  
 SJR 13 Rules  
 SJR 14 Rules  
 SJR 15 Rules  
 SJR 16 Rules  
 SJR 17 Finance and Revenue  
 SJR 18 Rules  
 SJR 20 Rules  
 SJR 21 Rules  
 SJR 22 Rules  
 SJR 24 Rules  
 SJR 25 Rules  
 SB 11 Rules  
 SB 12 Health Care  
 SB 14 Transportation  
 SB 16 Transportation  
 SB 18 Judiciary  
 SB 19 Judiciary  
 SB 21 Judiciary  
 SB 22 Rules  
 SB 24 Rules  
 SB 27 Rules  
 SB 28 Rules  
 SB 29 Rules  
 SB 36 Labor and Business  
 SB 38 Rules  
 SB 39 Rules  
 SB 40 Rules  
 SB 41 Rules  
 SB 42 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 43 Rules  
 SB 44 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 SB 53 Rules  
 SB 62 Judiciary  
 SB 73 Judiciary  
 SB 74 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 75 Judiciary  
 SB 76 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 120 Capital Construction  
 SB 296 Judiciary  
 SB 527 Judiciary  
 SB 528 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 529 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 532 Judiciary  
 SB 536 Judiciary  
 SB 539 Health Care  
 SB 554 Judiciary  
 SB 558 Health Care  
 SB 559 Health Care  
 SB 562 Judiciary  
 SB 563 Judiciary  
 SB 565 Health Care  
 SB 566 Judiciary  
 SB 567 Judiciary  
 SB 568 Judiciary

SB 577 Judiciary  
 SB 598 Transportation  
 SB 605 Judiciary  
 SB 607 Health Care  
 SB 608 Health Care  
 SB 612 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 614 Judiciary  
 SB 615 Judiciary  
 SB 618 Judiciary  
 SB 619 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 689 Judiciary  
 SB 690 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 695 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 697 Judiciary  
 SB 698 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 699 Judiciary  
 SB 700 Judiciary  
 SB 701 Judiciary  
 SB 703 Health Care  
 SB 704 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 705 Judiciary  
 SB 711 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 716 Health Care  
 SB 717 Health Care  
 SB 723 Rules  
 SB 725 Rules  
 SB 727 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 729 Judiciary  
 SB 730 Rules  
 SB 732 Rules  
 SB 735 Judiciary

The following measures were referred to committee on January 14 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 6, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 46 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 51 Education  
 SB 56 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 61 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 63 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 66 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 68 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 72 Transportation; Ways and Means  
 SB 78 Rules  
 SB 88 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 128 Labor and Business  
 SB 136 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 137 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 140 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 141 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 150 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 151 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 152 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 153 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 154 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 160 Rules  
 SB 162 Rules  
 SB 163 Rules  
 SB 164 Rules  
 SB 165 Rules  
 SB 166 Rules

SB 203 Rules	SB 445 Transportation; Ways and Means
SB 204 Rules	SB 446 Finance and Revenue; Ways and Means
SB 205 Finance and Revenue	SB 447 Finance and Revenue
SB 206 Finance and Revenue	SB 448 Labor and Business
SB 207 Rules	SB 450 Health Care
SB 219 Judiciary	SB 451 Finance and Revenue
SB 224 Labor and Business	SB 452 Finance and Revenue
SB 225 Finance and Revenue	SB 454 Finance and Revenue
SB 226 Health Care	SB 456 Finance and Revenue
SB 227 Health Care	SB 457 Labor and Business; Ways and Means
SB 228 Labor and Business	SB 460 Rules; Ways and Means
SB 229 Health Care	SB 461 Capital Construction; Ways and Means
SB 232 Health Care	SB 462 Judiciary
SB 233 Rules	SB 463 Health Care
SB 234 Judiciary	SB 464 Rules
SB 235 Judiciary; Ways and Means	SB 465 Finance and Revenue
SB 236 Judiciary	SB 467 Judiciary
SB 504 Energy and Environment	SB 468 Labor and Business
SB 522 Energy and Environment	SB 469 Health Care; Ways and Means
SB 525 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means	SB 470 Judiciary
SB 530 Natural Resources; Ways and Means	SB 472 Judiciary
SB 540 Finance and Revenue	SB 474 Judiciary
SB 647 Energy and Environment	SB 475 Judiciary
SB 653 Natural Resources; Ways and Means	SB 476 Health Care
SB 654 Natural Resources	SB 477 Judiciary; Ways and Means
SB 665 Natural Resources	SB 478 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs
SB 714 Finance and Revenue	SB 481 Labor and Business
SB 722 Finance and Revenue	SB 483 Judiciary
SB 726 Finance and Revenue	SB 484 Health Care; Ways and Means

The following measures were referred to committee on January 14 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 7, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 237 Judiciary	SB 485 Health Care
SB 240 Judiciary	SB 486 Health Care; Ways and Means
SB 298 Labor and Business	SB 487 Health Care; Ways and Means
SB 302 Health Care	SB 490 Health Care; Ways and Means
SB 327 Judiciary	SB 491 Health Care
SB 401 Health Care	SB 492 Health Care
SB 403 Labor and Business	SB 495 Health Care
SB 404 Health Care	SB 497 Health Care
SB 405 Energy and Environment	SB 498 Finance and Revenue
SB 406 Housing and Development	SB 499 Rules
SB 408 Health Care; Ways and Means	SB 500 Rules
SB 410 Health Care	SB 501 Rules; Ways and Means
SB 411 Health Care	SB 503 Housing and Development
SB 412 Health Care	SB 506 Rules
SB 413 Judiciary; Ways and Means	SB 508 Rules
SB 417 Rules	SB 510 Rules
SB 418 Labor and Business	SB 511 Health Care
SB 419 Human Services; Ways and Means	SB 512 Transportation
SB 422 Judiciary	SB 513 Health Care
SB 428 Labor and Business	SB 515 Finance and Revenue
SB 429 Natural Resources	SB 519 Judiciary
SB 430 Judiciary	SB 520 Health Care; Ways and Means
SB 431 The Interstate 5 Bridge; Ways and Means	SB 524 Judiciary; Ways and Means
SB 432 Health Care; Ways and Means	SB 533 Finance and Revenue
SB 440 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means	SB 534 Housing and Development; Ways and Means
SB 441 Health Care; Ways and Means	SB 535 Labor and Business; Tax Expenditures
SB 442 Labor and Business	SB 537 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures
SB 443 Energy and Environment	SB 564 Health Care; Ways and Means
SB 444 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means	SB 579 Judiciary; Ways and Means
	SB 581 Judiciary; Ways and Means
	SB 584 Health Care; Ways and Means
	SB 585 Rules
	SB 586 Judiciary
	SB 589 Judiciary

The following measures were referred to committee on January 15 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 8, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 2 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SCR 4 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SCR 8 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SCR 9 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SCR 23 Rules  
 SJM 2 Rules  
 SJR 19 Transportation  
 SB 26 Labor and Business; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 45 Finance and Revenue; Ways and Means  
 SB 50 Education; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 55 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 67 Housing and Development; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 129 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 131 Housing and Development; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 133 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 134 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 135 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 138 Labor and Business; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 139 Energy and Environment; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 142 Housing and Development; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 143 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 144 Labor and Business; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 145 Energy and Environment; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 146 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 147 Housing and Development; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 148 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 149 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 155 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 156 Housing and Development; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 157 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 158 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 159 Labor and Business  
 SB 433 Health Care  
 SB 434 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 435 Housing and Development; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 436 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 437 Housing and Development  
 SB 438 Human Services  
 SB 590 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 591 Labor and Business  
 SB 592 Labor and Business  
 SB 593 Labor and Business  
 SB 594 Labor and Business  
 SB 597 Judiciary  
 SB 601 Housing and Development  
 SB 603 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 611 Housing and Development  
 SB 616 Labor and Business  
 SB 621 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 623 Judiciary  
 SB 624 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 625 Information Management and Technology; Ways and Means  
 SB 626 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 627 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 628 Health Care  
 SB 630 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 631 Labor and Business  
 SB 632 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 635 Transportation

SB 636 Health Care  
 SB 637 Rules  
 SB 638 Health Care  
 SB 640 Rules  
 SB 642 Health Care  
 SB 645 Transportation  
 SB 649 Judiciary  
 SB 650 Judiciary  
 SB 655 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 656 Natural Resources  
 SB 657 Health Care  
 SB 659 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 660 Rules  
 SB 661 Rules  
 SB 662 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 663 Labor and Business  
 SB 664 Judiciary  
 SB 666 Health Care  
 SB 667 Rules  
 SB 668 Health Care  
 SB 669 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 670 Judiciary  
 SB 671 Transportation  
 SB 673 Natural Resources  
 SB 675 Labor and Business  
 SB 680 Information Management and Technology; Ways and Means  
 SB 683 Natural Resources  
 SB 684 Housing and Development  
 SB 686 Judiciary  
 SB 687 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 688 Judiciary  
 SB 693 Transportation  
 SB 696 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 702 Housing and Development  
 SB 708 Labor and Business  
 SB 709 Rules  
 SB 719 Transportation  
 SB 720 Housing and Development  
 SB 728 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Tax Expenditures

President Wagner made the following committee assignments:

#### **JUDICIARY**

Michael Dembrow - Discharged

#### **NATURAL RESOURCES**

David Brock Smith

#### **VETERANS, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, FEDERAL AND WORLD AFFAIRS**

Art Robinson - Discharged  
 Dennis Linthicum - Appointed

#### **JOINT COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS, NATURAL RESOURCES SUBCOMMITTEE**

Kathleen Taylor, Co-Chair – Discharged  
 Michael Dembrow, Co-Chair – Appointed

#### **JOINT COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS, PUBLIC SAFETY SUBCOMMITTEE**

Dennis Linthicum – Discharged  
David Brock Smith – Appointed

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATION

Rob Wagner, Co-Chair  
Tim Knopp  
Kate Lieber  
Bill Hansell  
James Manning, Jr

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Rob Wagner, Co-Chair  
Tim Knopp  
Kate Lieber  
Kim Thatcher  
Floyd Prozanski

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL SUBCOMMITTEE ON PUBLIC RECORDS

Floyd Prozanski, Co-Chair  
Kim Thatcher

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE POLICY AND RESEARCH

Rob Wagner, Co-Chair  
Tim Knopp  
Michael Dembrow  
Dick Anderson  
Lew Frederick  
Kim Thatcher

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Thursday, January 19,  
by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Thursday, January 19, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson.

SJR 26, 27, 28; SB 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on January 17 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 9, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 736 Education  
SB 737 Education  
SB 738 Judiciary  
SB 739 Judiciary

SB 740 Education  
SB 741 Education  
SB 742 Labor and Business  
SB 743 Education  
SB 744 Housing and Development  
SB 745 Judiciary  
SB 746 Health Care  
SB 747 Natural Resources  
SB 748 Natural Resources  
SB 749 Education  
SB 750 Natural Resources  
SB 751 Natural Resources  
SB 752 Natural Resources  
SB 753 Natural Resources; Ways and Means

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, January 24,  
by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Tuesday, January 24, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

HCR 9, 23 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on January 17.

SB 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on January 23 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 10, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJR 26 Finance and Revenue  
SJR 27 Judiciary  
SJR 28 Finance and Revenue  
SB 754 Judiciary  
SB 755 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 756 Education  
SB 757 Human Services  
SB 758 Education  
SB 759 Human Services  
SB 760 Education  
SB 761 Education  
SB 762 Judiciary  
SB 763 Judiciary  
SB 764 Judiciary  
SB 765 Judiciary  
SB 766 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
SB 767 Education  
SB 768 Education  
SB 769 Human Services  
SB 770 Human Services

SB 771 Transportation  
 SB 772 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 773 Human Services  
 SB 774 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 775 Rules  
 SB 776 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Ways and Means  
 SB 777 Judiciary  
 SB 778 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 779 Judiciary  
 SB 780 Judiciary  
 SB 781 Judiciary  
 SB 782 Judiciary  
 SB 783 Education  
 SB 784 Judiciary  
 SB 785 Judiciary

HCR 9, 23 - President Wagner signed on January 24.

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, January 31,  
 by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, January 31, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in  
 Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged  
 allegiance to the flag. Invocation by former Senator Charles  
 Starr. The following members were present: Anderson,  
 Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick,  
 Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden,  
 Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek,  
 Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman,  
 Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

HCR 2 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Committee Report Summary No. 1, listing the following  
 reports, was distributed to members January 26. Summary  
 list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the  
 President.

SB 199 - Report by Committee on Energy and  
 Environment without recommendation as to passage and be  
 returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to  
 Committee on Natural Resources by order of the President.

SB 295 - Report by Committee on Education without  
 recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's  
 desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Judiciary by  
 order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 2, listing the following  
 reports, was distributed to members January 27. Summary  
 list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the  
 President.

SB 26 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business  
 without recommendation as to passage. Referred to  
 Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 138 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business  
 without recommendation as to passage. Referred to  
 Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 144 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business  
 without recommendation as to passage. Referred to  
 Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 535 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business  
 without recommendation as to passage. Referred to  
 Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 3, listing the following  
 report, was distributed to members January 30. Summary  
 list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the  
 President.

SB 189 - Report by Committee on Health Care  
 recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 4, listing the following  
 report, was distributed to members January 30. Summary  
 list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the  
 President.

SB 431 - Report by Committee on The Interstate 5 Bridge  
 without recommendation as to passage. Referred to  
 Committee on Transportation by order of the President and  
 Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 5, listing the following  
 reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list  
 recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the  
 President.

SB 225 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue  
 without recommendation as to passage and requesting  
 referral to Committee on Housing and Development.  
 Referred to Committee on Housing and Development by  
 order of the President.

SB 226 - Report by Committee on Health Care  
 recommending passage.

SB 227 - Report by Committee on Health Care  
 recommending passage.

SB 229 - Report by Committee on Health Care  
 recommending passage.

SB 232 - Report by Committee on Health Care  
 recommending passage.

SB 271 - Report by Committee on Education  
 recommending passage.

SB 307 - Report by Committee on Judiciary  
 recommending passage.

SB 309 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 316 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 3, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 189, 226, 227, 229, 232, 271, 307, 309, 316 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HCR 2 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on January 25 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 11, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 786 Rules  
 SB 787 Judiciary  
 SB 788 Energy and Environment  
 SB 789 Natural Resources  
 SB 790 Human Services  
 SB 791 Human Services  
 SB 792 Human Services  
 SB 793 Judiciary  
 SB 794 Human Services  
 SB 795 Human Services  
 SB 796 Health Care  
 SB 797 Health Care  
 SB 798 Rules  
 SB 799 Housing and Development  
 SB 800 Rules

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Thursday, February 2, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Thursday, February 2, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Woods.

HCR 8, 11 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2029, 2032 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 6, listing the following report, was distributed to members January 31. Summary

list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 420 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 7, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 1. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 493 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue without recommendation as to passage. Requesting rescission of subsequent referral to Committee on Education and requesting referral to Committee on Tax Expenditures. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 8, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 119 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SB 201 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 9, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 410 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 629 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 5501, 5502, 5503, 5504, 5505, 5506, 5507, 5508, 5509, 5510, 5511, 5512, 5513, 5514, 5515, 5516, 5517, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5522, 5523, 5524, 5525, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5535, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5539, 5540, 5541, 5542, 5543, 5544, 5545, 5546, 5547, 5548, 5549, 5550, 5551; SB 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 119, 201, 410, 629 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 189 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 189 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 189 vote explanation. We all need to recognize the burial rights of every citizen, religion and tribal culture. That is the intent of the bill. However, questions on the definition of “pathological waste” under ORS 459.386 as applied to ORS 97.010 were contradictory or unclear in the committee hearing. The recording log has not been posted for the work session to deconflict two different opinions of this definition as it may or may not apply to fetuses ‘emulating from surgery.’ Other states adopting similar laws respecting cultural and religious freedoms in this arena have exception definitions in law but not Oregon. This needs clarified in the bill or in the committee in the House on the record as that is the intent the courts will utilize in legal proceedings. My preference would be the House amend the bill ensuring a solid legal definition is in place. This could be done by citing another statute or in the text of the bill.

SB 226 - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 227 - Read third time. Carried by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Girod; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 229, 232, 271, 307, 309, 316 - Carried over to February 7 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 8, 11; HB 2029, 2032 - Read first time and referred to President’s desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 1 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 12, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 3 Education  
 SB 803 Energy and Environment  
 SB 804 Rules  
 SB 805 Judiciary  
 SB 806 Judiciary  
 SB 807 Judiciary  
 SB 808 Judiciary  
 SB 809 Judiciary  
 SB 810 Judiciary  
 SB 811 Judiciary  
 SB 812 Natural Resources  
 SB 813 Health Care  
 SB 818 Health Care  
 SB 819 Education  
 SB 820 Human Services  
 SB 821 Education  
 SB 822 Education  
 SB 823 Human Services  
 SB 824 Health Care

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, February 7, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, February 7, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged

allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

HCR 12 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2146, 2324, 2325, 2329 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 10, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 6. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 306 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 11, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 228 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SB 311 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 616 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SB 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President’s desk.

SB 228, 306, 311, 616 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 229 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 232 - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 271 – Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 3 – Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 119, 201, 307, 309, 316, 410, 629 - Carried over to February 9 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 12; HB 2146, 2324, 2325, 2329 - Read first time and referred to President’s desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 2 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 13, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5501 Ways and Means  
 SB 5502 Ways and Means  
 SB 5503 Ways and Means  
 SB 5504 Ways and Means  
 SB 5505 Ways and Means  
 SB 5506 Ways and Means  
 SB 5507 Ways and Means  
 SB 5508 Ways and Means  
 SB 5509 Ways and Means  
 SB 5510 Ways and Means  
 SB 5511 Ways and Means  
 SB 5512 Ways and Means  
 SB 5513 Ways and Means  
 SB 5514 Ways and Means  
 SB 5515 Ways and Means  
 SB 5516 Ways and Means  
 SB 5517 Ways and Means  
 SB 5518 Ways and Means  
 SB 5519 Ways and Means  
 SB 5520 Ways and Means  
 SB 5521 Ways and Means  
 SB 5522 Ways and Means  
 SB 5523 Ways and Means  
 SB 5524 Ways and Means  
 SB 5525 Ways and Means  
 SB 5526 Ways and Means  
 SB 5527 Ways and Means  
 SB 5528 Ways and Means  
 SB 5529 Ways and Means  
 SB 5530 Ways and Means  
 SB 5531 Ways and Means  
 SB 5532 Ways and Means  
 SB 5533 Ways and Means  
 SB 5534 Ways and Means  
 SB 5535 Ways and Means  
 SB 5536 Ways and Means  
 SB 5537 Ways and Means  
 SB 5538 Ways and Means  
 SB 5539 Ways and Means  
 SB 5540 Ways and Means  
 SB 5541 Ways and Means  
 SB 5542 Ways and Means  
 SB 5543 Ways and Means  
 SB 5544 Ways and Means  
 SB 5545 Ways and Means  
 SB 5546 Ways and Means  
 SB 5547 Ways and Means  
 SB 5548 Ways and Means  
 SB 5549 Ways and Means  
 SB 5550 Ways and Means  
 SB 5551 Ways and Means  
 SB 801 Transportation  
 SB 802 Energy and Environment  
 SB 815 Transportation; Ways and Means  
 SB 816 Judiciary  
 SB 817 Judiciary  
 SB 826 Education

SB 827 Education  
 SB 828 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 829 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 830 Natural Resources  
 SB 831 Energy and Environment  
 SB 832 Energy and Environment  
 SB 833 Energy and Environment  
 SB 834 Energy and Environment  
 SB 836 Rules  
 SB 837 Natural Resources  
 SB 838 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 839 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 840 Natural Resources  
 SB 841 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 842 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 SB 843 Judiciary  
 HCR 2 Rules

The following measures were referred to committee on February 3 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 14, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 814 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 825 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 835 Natural Resources  
 SB 844 Judiciary  
 SB 845 Labor and Business  
 SB 846 Transportation  
 SB 847 Housing and Development  
 SB 848 Judiciary  
 SB 849 Labor and Business  
 SB 850 Labor and Business  
 SB 851 Labor and Business  
 SB 852 Energy and Environment  
 SB 853 Labor and Business  
 SB 854 Education  
 HCR 8 Rules  
 HCR 11 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2032 Rules  
 HB 2039 Rules

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Thursday, February 9, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Thursday, February 9, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Reverend Dan Shankel, St. Mark Methodist Episcopal Church, Eugene, Oregon. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Findley, Gorsek.

HCR 10 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2129, 2130, 2287, 2292, 2330, 2411, 2689 – Message from the House announcing passage.



Committee Report Summary No. 12, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 7. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 46 - Report by Committee on Health Care without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Housing and Development by order of the President, then Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 795 - Report by Committee on Human Services without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Natural Resources by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 13, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 8. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 308 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 599 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 14, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 234 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 235 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 310 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 702 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 15, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 4 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SCR 6 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SB 496 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 119 – Lieber moved that the bill be taken from today's third reading calendar and placed on February 14 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion carried.

SB 316 – Lieber moved that the bill be taken from today's third reading calendar and placed on February 16 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion carried.

SJM 4; SB 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 4, 6; SB 234, 308, 310, 599, 702 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 307 - Read third time. Carried by Thatcher. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 309 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 309 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 309 needs to be clarified in the House committee that notices must be published online in lieu of newspapers. Meaning it needs to be multiple places but online can accomplish this notice. Simple fix. Far too often some estates take advantage of posting notices in rarely seen or located places. Long history of this problem in Oregon. Again, simple language changes would make the bill better for citizens in Oregon.

SB 201 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 410, 629, 228, 306, 311, 616 - Carried over to February 13 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 10; HB 2129, 2130, 2287, 2292, 2330, 2411, 2689 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Monday, February 13, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, February 13, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged

allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smtih, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; excused - Gelser Blouin, Lieber, Thatcher.

HB 2031, 2110, 2266, 2267, 2280, 2289 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 16, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 9. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 403 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 627 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 17, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 10. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 577 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 18, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 1 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SB 194 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SCR 6 – Sollman moved that the resolution be taken from today's calendar and placed on February 14 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 – Gelser Blouin, Lieber, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SB 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 1; SB 194, 577 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 410 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Excused, 3 - Gelser Blouin, Lieber, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 629 - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 1 - Girod; Excused, 3 - Gelser Blouin, Lieber, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 228 - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 3 - Gelser Blouin, Lieber, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 306, 311, 616; SCR 4; SB 234, 308, 310, 599, 702 - Carried over to February 14 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2031, 2110, 2266, 2267, 2280, 2289 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 9 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 15, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 855 Human Services  
 SB 856 Human Services  
 SB 857 Labor and Business  
 SB 858 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 859 Housing and Development  
 SB 860 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 861 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 862 Judiciary  
 SB 863 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 865 Human Services  
 SB 866 Human Services  
 SB 867 Judiciary  
 SB 868 Energy and Environment  
 SB 869 Energy and Environment  
 SB 870 Energy and Environment  
 SB 871 Energy and Environment  
 SB 872 Natural Resources  
 SB 874 Natural Resources  
 SB 875 Housing and Development  
 SB 876 Health Care  
 SB 877 Rules  
 SB 878 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 879 Judiciary  
 SB 880 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 885 Education; Ways and Means  
 HCR 12 Rules  
 HB 2146 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2324 Judiciary  
 HB 2325 Judiciary  
 HB 2329 Judiciary

The following measures were referred to committee on February 13 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 16, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 864 Judiciary  
 SB 873 Natural Resources  
 SB 881 Labor and Business  
 SB 882 Labor and Business

SB 883 Judiciary  
 SB 884 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 886 Natural Resources  
 SB 887 Natural Resources  
 SB 888 Transportation  
 SB 889 Transportation  
 SB 890 Natural Resources  
 SB 891 Judiciary  
 SB 892 Housing and Development  
 SB 893 Housing and Development  
 SB 894 Housing and Development  
 HCR 10 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2129 Judiciary  
 HB 2130 Judiciary  
 HB 2287 Labor and Business  
 HB 2292 Judiciary  
 HB 2330 Judiciary  
 HB 2411 Natural Resources  
 HB 2689 Natural Resources

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, February 14, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Tuesday, February 14, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Musical performance by Amy Shapiro of “Oregon, my Oregon.” The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

Committee Report Summary No. 19, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 13. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 178 - Report by Committee on Rules without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President’s desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs by order of the President.

SB 184 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 20, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 427 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 529 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage and requesting subsequent referral

to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SCR 6 – Lieber moved that consideration of the resolution be made a Special Order of Business immediately. Motion carried by voice vote.

SCR 6 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Sollman. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 30. Resolution adopted.

SB 907, 908, 909 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President’s desk.

SB 184, 427, 529 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 306 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Girod, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 306 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 306 Vote Explanation. The bill’s intent to help citizens who are pro se with family court cases by allowing less expensive paralegals to assist with basic advice and forms is good. The question is effectiveness and committee testimony. Apparently, there already exists a family law facilitator in 34 of 36 counties within the Judicial Branch. We reviewed this program online. There was nothing of this existing resource in the staff measure summary. The existing court program should be enhanced before turning to a for profit solution. Further, buried in SB 306 is a definitional change to attorney client privilege. This privilege is the most abused legal procedure in the practice of law. Worse, the SB 306 privilege change on page 13 of the bill is undefined. And the change is littered across the bill. As a pro se, given corruption in the system, this across-the-board privilege change is not only unacceptable, but its expanded use in government could easily amount to official misconduct. Oregon needs a better way to assist self-represented citizens against lawyers in the courtroom.

SB 311, 616; SCR 4; SB 234, 308, 310, 599, 702; SCR 1; SB 119, 194, 577 - Carried over to February 15 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 13 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 17, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 895 Judiciary  
 SB 896 Health Care  
 SB 897 Health Care  
 SB 898 Housing and Development  
 SB 899 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 901 Human Services  
 SB 902 Judiciary  
 SB 903 Judiciary  
 SB 904 Judiciary  
 SB 905 Education

SB 906 Education

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Wednesday, February 15, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, February 15, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

HCR 4 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2033, 2159, 2112, 2278, 2286, 2523, 2645, 2676, 2737, 2764, 2975 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 21, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 14. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 276 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 521 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 538 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 22, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 272 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 424 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 272, 424, 538 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 311 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 6 - Boquist,

Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

SB 616 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 4 - Hansell, Hayden, Sollman, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SCR 4 - Read final time. Carried by Hansell. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 30. Resolution adopted.

SB 234 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 10 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

SB 308 A-Eng – Moved to the foot of today's third reading calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 310 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

SB 599 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner, Anderson. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Linthicum. Bill passed.

SB 308, 702; SCR 1; SB 119, 194, 577, 184, 427, 529 - Carried over to February 16 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 4; HB 2033, 2112, 2159, 2278, 2286, 2523, 2645, 2676, 2737, 2764, 2975 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 14 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 18, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJM 4 Natural Resources  
 SB 900 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 HB 2031 Rules  
 HB 2110 Labor and Business  
 HB 2266 Rules  
 HB 2267 Rules  
 HB 2280 Education

The following measure was referred to committee on February 14 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 19, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2289 Transportation

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, February 16, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Thursday, February 16, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

Committee Report Summary No. 23, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 15. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 2 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 24, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 128 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 25, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2289 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage.

SB 316 – Lieber moved to make the bill a Special Order of Business immediately. Motion carried by voice vote.

SB 316 – Under Special Order of Business, read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 2; SB 128 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 308, 702; SCR 1; SB 119, 194, 577, 184, 427, 529, 272, 424, 538 - Carried over to February 20 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2289 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 15 and recorded on Committee Referral List No.

20, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 907 Labor and Business

SB 908 Ways and Means

SB 909 Judiciary

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, February 20, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Monday, February 20, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m, President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

Committee Report Summary No. 26, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 16. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 131 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 142 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 147 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 27, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 17. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 224 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 28, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 305 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 531 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 597 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 842 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 926, 927, 928, 929, 930 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 224, 305, 597 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 308 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 30. Bill passed. Linthicum, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 702 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SCR 1 - Read final time. Carried by Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber. Resolution adopted.

SCR 1 - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SCR 1 Vote Explanation: The intent of this resolution is to support election workers and the elected county clerks of Oregon. It condemns the threat of violence against election workers. There is no place for violence in elections. No clear why this is an Oregon centric issue. While I agree with this premise and condemn violence, I did not support the resolution as written given some of the 'whereas' statements are not back be facts. If someone questions the integrity of an election or the process, this should not be looked at as misinformation or a threat of violence. I am hopeful that this bill can be amended in the House to remove inaccurate statements so that I can support and repass this amended resolution.

SCR 1 - Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SCR 1 Vote Explanation: The bulk of this resolution is intended to support election workers and the work that they do. It condemns the threat of violence against these workers, which is admirable. There is no place for violence in elections or politics, this is rather obvious and doesn't really need to be stated. Although I agree with this premise and condemn violence, I did not support the resolution because I could not agree with all of the statements that it makes. If someone questions the integrity of an election or the process, this should not be looked at as misinformation or a threat of violence. I am hopeful that this bill can be amended in the House to remove inaccurate statements so that I can support and repass this amended resolution.

SB 119, 194, 577, 184, 427, 529, 272, 424, 538; SCR 2; SB 128 - Carried over to February 21 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2289 - Carried over to February 21 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 16 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 21, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 910 Judiciary  
 SB 911 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 912 Labor and Business  
 SB 913 Labor and Business  
 SB 914 Judiciary  
 SB 915 Judiciary  
 HCR 4 Rules  
 HB 2033 Rules  
 HB 2112 Rules  
 HB 2159 Rules  
 HB 2278 Health Care  
 HB 2286 Health Care  
 HB 2523 Labor and Business  
 HB 2645 Judiciary  
 HB 2676 Judiciary  
 HB 2737 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2764 Labor and Business  
 HB 2975 Labor and Business

The following measures were referred to committee on February 20 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 22, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 916 Health Care  
 SB 917 Labor and Business  
 SB 918 Housing and Development  
 SB 919 Housing and Development  
 SB 920 Housing and Development  
 SB 921 Housing and Development  
 SB 922 Health Care  
 SB 923 Education  
 SB 924 Rules  
 SB 925 Labor and Business

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, February 21, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, February 21, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Hansell. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner.

Committee Report Summary No. 29, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 20. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 181 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 30, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HCR 8 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HCR 12 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SB 4, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 119 - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 7 - Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 194 - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Excused, 1 - Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 194 - Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

Since I was unable to provide a verbal testimony for a vote explanation, I offer the following:

As a former emergency management official who has worked with an organizational structure that utilized first line supervisors such as Sergeants, I believe it is critical to include the position into the organizational chain as a supervisor. While the position does not "hire and fire" they direct the work of and provide for the safety and welfare of subordinates.

SB 577 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 184 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 10 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 184 A-Eng. - Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 184 Vote Explanation: It is obvious that people should pay their court ordered or agreed upon child support in a timely manner. The State's process for collection of delinquent child support through employers is well established. Oregon employers know that this is a requirement, along with collection of payroll taxes and other items. These employers know they must have a process for meeting this requirement and many are efficient at it, so the state gets the benefit of the private businesses work.

To now require that all Oregon businesses implement this process for independent contractors puts a burden on these businesses that they cannot reasonably meet. Not to mention that this requirement would create more work for the employer that they must pay for somehow. These businesses have no way to know if an independent contractor that they are working with has an unpaid, delinquent child support obligation.

This is an unreasonable bureaucratic mandate where the State is attempting to take advantage of businesses that are more efficient than they are at performing their duties

SB 427 - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 529, 272, 424, 538; SCR 2; SB 128, 224, 305, 597 - Carried over to February 22 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 8, 12 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2289 - Carried over to February 22 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, February 22, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, February 22, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson.

HCR 13 - Message from the House announcing adoption.

Committee Report Summary No. 31, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 21. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 5 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption with amendments.

SB 728 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 32, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 317 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 583 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

SB 685 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

HB 2287 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SCR 10; SJR 29, 30, 31; SB 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 5; SB 317, 583, 685 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 529 - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 272 - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 424 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp, President Wagner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 2 - Girod, Thatcher; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 538 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Thatcher; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SCR 2 A-Eng. - Read final time. Carried by Thatcher. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Resolution adopted.

SB 128 - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 305 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 597 - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Findley; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 224 - Carried over to February 27 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 13 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2287 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2289 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 2 - Girod, Thatcher; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed.

HCR 8 - Read final time. Carried by Sollman. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Hayden; Excused, 3 - Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson. Resolution adopted.

HCR 12 - Carried over to February 27 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 20 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 22, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 916 Health Care  
 SB 917 Labor and Business  
 SB 918 Housing and Development  
 SB 919 Housing and Development  
 SB 920 Housing and Development  
 SB 921 Housing and Development  
 SB 922 Health Care  
 SB 923 Education  
 SB 924 Rules  
 SB 925 Labor and Business

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, February 27, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Monday, February 27, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor DeLeesa Meashintubby, St Mark CME Church in Eugene, Oregon. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Girod,



Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Robinson.

Committee Report Summary No. 33, listing the following reports, was distributed to members February 24. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 581 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 853 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 34, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 141 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SB 279 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 510 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 594 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SB 882 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SB 900 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Judiciary and Ways and Means by prior reference.

HCR 2 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HB 2029 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

SCR 5 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's calendar and be made a Special Order of Business on March 2 calendar. On motion to take and place, the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 – Robinson. Motion carried.

SJM 5; SR 1; SB 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059,

1060, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1076 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 141, 581, 594, 853, 882 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 224 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 317 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Girod, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 583 - Read third time. Carried by President Wagner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Girod, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 685 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Girod, Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 685 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 685 should be helping expand deaf access. That is the intent. That is the request online in testimony. The floor debate noted an “and” in the bill that looks to limit access. It is quite unclear. The bill should be reviewed in the House to ensure it meets the intent. The Carrier thought it was an expansion. Committee members thought it was an expansion except one. Other members thought it was limiting. It is not clear. I do not know. Therefore, a no vote.

HCR 2; HB 2029 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HCR 12; HB 2287 - Carried over to February 28 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 22 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 23, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 926 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 927 Health Care  
 SB 928 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 929 Rules  
 SB 930 Judiciary  
 SB 931 Natural Resources  
 SB 932 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 933 Transportation  
 SB 934 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 935 Housing and Development  
 SB 936 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 937 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 938 Natural Resources; Ways and Means

SB 939 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 995 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 1016 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 1024 Human Services  
 SB 1028 Human Services  
 SB 1030 Human Services  
 SB 1031 Rules

The following measures were referred to committee on February 23 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 24, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 940 Education  
 SB 941 Rules  
 SB 942 Rules  
 SB 943 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 SB 944 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 945 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 946 Natural Resources  
 SB 947 Natural Resources  
 SB 948 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 949 Natural Resources  
 SB 950 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 951 Natural Resources  
 SB 952 Judiciary  
 SB 953 Judiciary  
 SB 954 Judiciary  
 SB 955 Judiciary  
 SB 956 Natural Resources  
 SB 957 Judiciary  
 SB 958 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 959 Energy and Environment  
 SB 960 Energy and Environment  
 SB 961 Energy and Environment  
 SB 962 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Ways and Means  
 SB 963 Natural Resources  
 SB 964 Natural Resources  
 SB 965 Health Care  
 SB 966 Health Care  
 SB 967 Health Care  
 SB 968 Health Care  
 SB 969 Health Care  
 SB 970 Health Care  
 SB 971 Health Care  
 SB 972 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 973 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 974 Judiciary  
 SB 975 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 976 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 977 Housing and Development  
 SB 978 Housing and Development  
 SB 979 Housing and Development  
 SB 980 Energy and Environment  
 SB 981 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 982 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 983 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 984 Judiciary  
 SB 985 Rules  
 SB 986 Judiciary  
 SB 988 Judiciary  
 SB 989 Rules

SB 992 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 993 Judiciary  
 SB 994 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 996 Transportation

The following measure was referred to committee on February 23 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 25, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 4 Semiconductors; Ways and Means

The following measures were referred to committee on February 24 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 26, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 990 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 991 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 997 Judiciary  
 SB 998 Housing and Development  
 SB 999 Labor and Business  
 SB 1000 Human Services; Ways and Means  
 SB 1001 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 1002 Education  
 SB 1003 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Ways and Means  
 SB 1004 Rules  
 SB 1005 Judiciary  
 SB 1006 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 1008 Rules  
 SB 1009 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 1010 Judiciary  
 SB 1011 Transportation  
 SB 1012 Natural Resources  
 SB 1013 Housing and Development  
 SB 1014 Natural Resources  
 SB 1015 Energy and Environment; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 1017 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 1018 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 1019 Natural Resources; Ways and Means  
 SB 1020 Housing and Development; Ways and Means  
 SB 1021 Rules; Ways and Means  
 SB 1022 Judiciary  
 SB 1023 Judiciary  
 SB 1025 Energy and Environment  
 SB 1026 Judiciary  
 SB 1027 Judiciary  
 SB 1029 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 1032 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs;  
 Ways and Means  
 SB 1033 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 1034 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SB 1035 Judiciary  
 SB 1036 Energy and Environment; Ways and Means  
 SB 1037 Health Care  
 SB 1038 Labor and Business  
 SB 1039 Judiciary  
 SB 1040 Labor and Business  
 SB 1041 Health Care  
 SB 1042 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 HCR 13 Rules

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, February 28, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, February 28, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; excused - Robinson, Thatcher.

HCR 2 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's calendar and be made a Special Order of Business on March 21 calendar. On motion to take and place, the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SCR 11 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 279 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 141 - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Excused, 4 - Anderson, Prozanski, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 581, 594, 853, 882 - Carried over to March 1 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 12; HB 2287, 2029 - Carried over to March 1 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on February 27 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 27, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 10 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
SJR 29 Judiciary  
SJR 30 Rules  
SJR 31 Rules  
SB 987 Labor and Business  
SB 1007 Natural Resources

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, March 1, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Wednesday, March 1, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor,

Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Robinson. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner.

HB 2058, 2240, 2447, 3111 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2289 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on February 28.

Committee Report Summary No. 35, listing the following report, was distributed to members February 28. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 819 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 36, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 231 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 794 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

SB 901 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

SB 2, 1077 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 231, 794, 819, 901 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 581 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Brock Smith; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 594 - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 853 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 882 - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 279 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Linthicum, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

HB 2058, 2240, 2447, 3111 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 12 - Read final time. Carried by Hansell. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Anderson, Robinson. Resolution adopted.

HB 2287 - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Anderson, Prozanski, Robinson. Bill passed.

HB 2029 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Anderson, Prozanski, Robinson. Bill passed.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, March 2, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, March 2, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Robinson, Steiner, Taylor.

Committee Report Summary No. 37, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 281 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SCR 5 A-Eng. - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

SCR 5 A-Eng. - Read final time. Carried by Golden. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Robinson, Steiner, Taylor. Resolution adopted.

SB 231 - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Linthicum; Excused, 3 - Robinson, Steiner, Taylor. Bill passed.

SB 794 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Robinson, Steiner, Taylor. Bill passed.

SB 819 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 1 - Boquist; Excused, 4 - Lieber, Robinson, Steiner, Taylor. Bill passed.

SB 819 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 819 is an attempt as the Carrier stated to get the state and districts to “follow the law” regarding disabled students. The US Constitutional and Oregon Constitution require equal treatment in education as Senators stated on the floor. Given the Constitution is violated in the legislature every day, it is hypocrisy to drum roll the ‘constitution,’ when providing zero enforcement in the proposed law. As was stated on the floor, “bill after bill” has been brought forward to solve the problem. Being the parent of a child that would have been under this law, I know the Attorney General and other government lawyers have protected the state and districts. My wife and I got zero ‘government’ support so we pull our child out of school. Government lawyers are in the court today per a Senator’s statement. This is the problem. The state and districts hide behind the Attorney General. This bill will change nothing. The state will continue to hide behind the same Attorney General and other government lawyers. Parents with disabled children cannot afford to challenge the enforcement of old laws, let alone, parents have no money to enforce this proposed law. The school pays nothing in lawyer fees in any lawsuit. The AG or insurance pay. If we want to fix the problem, then it needs teeth. It needs to block the Attorney General from defending the Oregon Department of Education and Teacher Standards and Practices Commission plus intervening with other government lawyers at the district level. Block Attorney General funds from defending the violations. The failure of the enforcement of the law to date is the fault of the Oregon Attorney General. I hope the House will put in real enforcement such as a violation, right of action, block the AG from defending violations, or direct path to a judicial decision. Amend the bill in the House.

SB 901 - Carried over to March 6 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 1 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 28, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 11 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 SJM 5 Natural Resources  
 SR 1 Rules  
 SB 1043 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 1044 Health Care  
 SB 1045 Education  
 SB 1046 Health Care  
 SB 1047 Labor and Business  
 SB 1049 Ways and Means  
 SB 1050 Education  
 SB 1051 Housing and Development  
 SB 1052 Judiciary  
 SB 1053 Labor and Business  
 SB 1054 Judiciary; Ways and Means  
 SB 1055 Education

SB 1056 Human Services  
 SB 1057 Education  
 SB 1058 Natural Resources  
 SB 1059 Natural Resources  
 SB 1060 Judiciary  
 SB 1061 Judiciary  
 SB 1062 Labor and Business  
 SB 1063 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 1064 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 1065 Judiciary  
 SB 1066 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 1067 Labor and Business  
 SB 1068 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 1069 Housing and Development  
 SB 1070 Judiciary  
 SB 1072 Transportation; Ways and Means  
 SB 1073 Information Management and Technology; Ways and Means  
 SB 1074 Health Care; Ways and Means  
 SB 1075 Education; Ways and Means  
 SB 1076 Health Care

HB 2289 - President Wagner signed on March 2.

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Monday, March 6, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Monday, March 6, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; excused – Robinson, Thatcher.

The following messages from the Governor, dated March 3, subject to Senate confirmation provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, were received and referred to the President's desk:

*(For complete term information, see measure history. SPG - Serves at the Pleasure of the Governor)*

#### Director of the Department of Administrative Services (ORS 184.315)

Berri Leslie (Salem) SPG

#### Superintendent of State Police (ORS 181.200)

Casey Coddling (Eugene) 4-Year

HB 2056, 2108, 2275, 2282, 2295 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 1 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HCR 8 - Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 2.

Committee Report Summary No. 38, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 2. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 292 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 401 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 412 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 829 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 39, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 3. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 202 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 208 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 437 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

SB 548 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 592 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 767 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2032 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 40, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list

recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 133 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 134 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 135 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 148 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 586 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2058 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2329 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 41, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2523 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 2975 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

SB 202, 208, 437, 548, 586, 592, 767 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 901 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Boquist; Excused, 2 - Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 901 - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 901 would expand the power of the Department of Human Services Child Protective Services. Giving expanded subpoena power is not appropriate. DHS and local law enforcement jointly handle investigations of reported abuse. Law enforcement has investigative subpoena procedures in conjunction with prosecuting attorneys. They work as a team. Letting DHS work outside this team, given their history of massive errors, and the need to work together with law enforcement, it is a step too far. There was no testimony listed on OLIS. The single Committee verbal testimony sign up was opposed. DHS itself did not submit testimony on the bill. No school testified on the bill. Should DHS and law enforcement find in the future there is an issue, then maybe it

could be considered then. For now, this statewide expansion is not merited in any manner.

HCR 1; HB 2056, 2108, 2275, 2282, 2295 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2032, 2058, 2329, 2523, 2975 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 2 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 29 which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 2 Finance and Revenue  
 SB 1048 Labor and Business; Ways and Means  
 SB 1071 Natural Resources  
 HB 2058 Ways and Means  
 HB 2240 Education  
 HB 2447 Human Services  
 HB 3111 Rules

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, March 7, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Tuesday, March 7, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Pro Tempore Manning Jr in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Elizabeth Steiner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Robinson, President Wagner.

HB 2395, 2708 - Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 12; HB 2029, 2287 - Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 6.

Committee Report Summary No. 42, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 6. Summary list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 129 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Finance and Revenue, then Ways and Means by prior reference. Referral to Finance and Revenue denied by order of the President. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President. Referred to Tax Expenditures by order of the President.

SB 507 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 785 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 935 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs. Referred to Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs by order of the President.

SB 951 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Judiciary. Referred to Committee on Judiciary by order of the President.

SB 956 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs. Referred to Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs by order of the President.

SB 958 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Natural Resources by order of the President and Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 43, listing the following report, was distributed to members March 6. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 618 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 44, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 211 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 479 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 699 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 787 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2325 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 211, 479, 507, 618, 699, 785, 787 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 202 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum; Excused, 2 - Robinson, President Wagner. Bill passed.

SB 202 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 202 may or may not be a good idea. Despite the statements of the Carrier there was no testimony listed in OLIS. There was no testimony written or verbal from DHS the theoretical proponent. Again, no testimony on the witness list. Again, no written testimony. The House Committee needs to require DHS testimony then place into the record the intent of the bill. Again, may be good but simply no guidance in the record.

SB 208 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Robinson, President Wagner. Bill passed.

SB 208 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 208 may or may not be a good idea. Despite the statements of the Carrier there was no testimony listed in OLIS. It was stated it was a work group product but not such testimony is in OLIS. There was no testimony written or verbal from DHS the theoretical proponent. Again, no testimony on the witness list. Again, no written testimony. Where it came from is a mystery. The House Committee needs to require DHS testimony then place into the record the intent of the bill. Again, may be good but simply no guidance in the record.

SB 437 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Manning Jr; Excused, 2 - Robinson, President Wagner. Bill passed.

SB 548 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 2 - Girod, Linthicum; Excused, 2 - Robinson, President Wagner. Bill passed. Findley granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

SB 586 - Read third time. Carried by Jama. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Robinson, President Wagner. Bill passed.

SB 592, 767 - Carried over to March 8 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2395, 2708 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2325 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2032, 2058, 2329, 2523, 2975 - Carried over to March 8 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 12; HB 2029, 2287 - President Wagner signed on March 7.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, March 8, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, March 8, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods.

SCR 4, 6 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

SB 271 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2093, 2094, 2158, 2218, 2584, 2618, 2898, 3160, 3161 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 45, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 7. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 183 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 423 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 487 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 514 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 46, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 7. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 130 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 132 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 767 – Linthicum moved that the bill be rereferred to the Committee on Education. On motion to rerefer the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 17 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner. Motion failed.

SB 1078 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 423 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 592 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 10 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber. Bill passed.

SB 592 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 592 updates and addresses repeat offenders regarding work places. However, it raises the minimum fines over a thousand percent with no latitude to the regulator. The agencies actions during the political pandemic was less than stellar. Future legal actions will created serious liability for the agency and state. SB 592 should be amended in the House to have a reasonable and flexible minimum fine.

SB 767 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 13 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber. Bill passed.

SB 767 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 767 that makes changes to charter schools was opposed by hundreds of pieces of written testimony. This is the old issue of school choice for parents. The idea the committee heard the testimony then amended the original bill so it is OK does not hold water in a democratic process. If the amendment addresses the issues raised in testimony then a second public hearing should not have been held. It was not. That emails to the Carrier not in the public record on OLIS makes it all better then that new testimony should be in the OLIS record, It is not. The citizens who testified in the hundreds deserve a second hearing not a gut and stuff amendment passed with no new citizen input. This will happen in the House.

SB 211 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 30. Bill passed.

SB 479 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 30. Bill passed.

SB 507 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Nays, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.



SB 618 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozenski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 7 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson. Bill passed. Bonham granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

SB 699 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 11 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 699 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 699 is well intended to assist OLCC agents should they become injured. However, before even being put in harms way these agents should be fully trained as public safety officers as such dangers exist in their routine duties. This would be the first step to helping OLCC regulators. Get them trained properly, equipped properly, and make them law enforcement officers. This would then add them to these additional benefits. Simply allowing them into harms way without proper training then giving them potential benefits is a dangerous disservice.

SB 785, 787 - Carried over to March 9 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2093, 2094, 2158, 2218, 2584, 2618, 2898, 3160, 3161 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2032, 2058, 2329, 2523, 2975, 2325 - Carried over to March 9 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, March 9, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, March 9, 2023 – Morning Session**

Convening of the Senate delayed to 10:45 a.m. Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozenski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Robinson.

Committee Report Summary No. 47, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 8. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 210 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 455 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 573 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 634 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 48, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 8. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 497 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 574 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 992 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Education. Referred to Committee on Education by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 49, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 216 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 852 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HCR 4 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SB 1079 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 210, 216, 573, 574 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 785 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 787 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Linthicum; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

SB 787 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 787 is a very well-intended bill but misses the mark. It expands the law to theoretically help protect off duty drivers and transit workers. The problem is the district attorneys have not been prosecuting crimes against drivers and transit workers now. The DA's have a catch and release policy with further endangers the victim. The victim can then have to face the offender a second, third or fourth time. Then the criminal is not prosecuted. Passing a law that will be ignored by a criminal and the district attorney is not a solution. The legislature needs to force DA's to prosecute or lose public funding if that is what it takes to enforce existing laws.

SB 423 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

HCR 4 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2032 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Linthicum, Weber; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

HB 2058 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 10 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

HB 2058 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

HB 2058 is the creation of new government program to theoretically help farmers with impacts of overtime hour requirements passed by the legislature. HB 4002 created this problem. Creating a new government program is not a solution to private sector fiscal challenges due to overtime pay requirements. HB 2058 will be too complex for most farmers. If there is a good solution short of HB 4002 repeal, it is to use tax credits or special deductions at the employer level not another government program. This is a real problem that needs a real solution. HB 2058 is not the solution.

HB 2329 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Robinson. Bill passed.

HB 2523 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Robinson, Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2975, 2325 - Carried over to March 13 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 8 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 30,

which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1078 Housing and Development

HCR 1 Rules

HB 2056 Judiciary

HB 2108 Rules

HB 2275 Education

HB 2282 Labor and Business

HB 2295 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs

HB 2395 Health Care

HB 2708 Rules

#### **Director of the Department of Administrative Services (ORS 184.315)**

Berri Leslie

Rules

#### **Superintendent of State Police (ORS 181.200)**

Casey Codding

Rules

The following measures were referred to committee on March 9 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 31, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2093 Finance and Revenue

HB 2094 Finance and Revenue

HB 2158 Finance and Revenue

HB 2218 Finance and Revenue

HB 2584 Health Care

HB 2618 Education

HB 2898 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs

HB 3160 Energy and Environment

HB 3161 Energy and Environment

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, March 13, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Monday, March 13, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Steiner.

HB 2966 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 50, listing the following report, was distributed to members March 9. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 696 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 51, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 10. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 304 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 442 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 545 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments.

SB 576 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 628 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 52, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 458 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 463 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 757 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

HB 2110 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1080 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 442, 463, 545, 628, 757 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 210 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

SB 216 - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 13 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

SB 573 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Findley; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

SB 574 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 11 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed. Thatcher granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

SB 574 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 574 attempts to ensure out of state placement of students is safe. Oregon should ensure the safety of its students it sends abroad for whatever reason as a state responsibility. Unfortunately, as written SB 574 is simply unconstitutional. Oregon has no legal authority to issue a license to an entity outside of the State of Oregon that is not physically in Oregon in some manner. Nor can the State of Oregon regulate an entity say in Nebraska. Maybe the answer is to develop interstate agreements with government in another state. Or local districts refrain from sending students to another state that is not regulated by that state.

HB 2966 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2110 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2975 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2325 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 13 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HCR 4 - Read final time. Carried by Knopp. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Resolution adopted.

SCR 4, 6; SB 271 - President Wagner signed on March 9.

HCR 8 - President Wagner signed on March 13.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Tuesday, March 14, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, March 14, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Musical performance by Willamette University choir. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson,

Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Frederick, Gorsek.

SB 316; HB 2531, 2669, 2905 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 4, 6; SB 271 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 13.

Committee Report Summary No. 53, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 13. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 186 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 285 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 692 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 796 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 54, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 13. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 568 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 745 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 55, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 806 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HCR 13 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SB 545 A-Eng – Lieber moved that the bill be taken from today's third reading calendar and placed on March 15

calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 14; Nays, 13 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 – Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Motion failed.

SB 1081, 1082, 1083 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 285, 568, 692, 745, 806 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 442 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Linthicum, Thatcher; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 463 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 545 A-Eng. – Bill read third time.

SB 545 A-Eng. – Lieber moved to postpone until March 15 calendar. On motion to postpone the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 3 – Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 3 – Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Motion passed.

SB 628 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Excused, 4 - Findley, Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 757 - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Excused, 4 - Findley, Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 2531, 2669, 2905 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 13 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2110 - Carried over to March 15 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 13 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 32, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1079 Health Care  
SB 1080 Rules  
HB 2966 Natural Resources

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., March 15, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, March 15, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged

allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods.

HCR 24 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2486, 2530, 2600, 2627, 2864, 2902, 2915, 3037, 3273 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 56, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5543 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5544 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5545 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SJM 6; SJR 32; SB 1084 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 285 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 545 A-Eng. - Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 545 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 545 will allow consumers to use self-provided carryout containers in restaurants after OHA develops rules. This is good but, the unresolved issue in self-use containers and bags is the contamination liability. Oregon has a hodge podge of answers to this question. Various agencies have set rules on how to handle food contamination liability. Given lawyers, the State needs to establish a single liability policy on all types of self or reusable containers. I encourage the House to attempt to address the liability issue to prevent lawyer free for all in the future.

SB 568 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 692 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 745 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr, Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

SB 806 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. Potential conflict of interest declared by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Woods. Bill passed.

HCR 5 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 3019 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 5, 24; HB 2486, 2530, 2600, 2627, 2864, 2902, 2915, 3037, 3273, 5019 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 13; HB 2110 - Carried over to March 16 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 14 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 33, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1081 Energy and Environment  
SB 1082 Education; Ways and Means  
SB 1083 Energy and Environment  
HB 2531 Energy and Environment  
HB 2669 Education  
HB 2905 Education

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Thursday, March 16, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Thursday, March 16, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Frederick, Gorsek, Manning Jr.

HB 2001 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 4; HB 2058, 2325, 2329, 2523, 2975 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 14.

Committee Report Summary No. 57, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 15. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 579 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, recommending passage with amendments. Minority report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher,

recommending passage with different amendments, and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Request denied by Order of the President. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 797 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 908 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Transportation by order of the President.

SB 972 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 58, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 849 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 970 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 1085, 1086 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 5543, 5544, 5545; SB 797, 970 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2001 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2110 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Manning Jr. Bill passed.

HB 2110 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

HB 2110 is a technical and fee setting bill update for the Secretary of State. The bill passed the chambers. However, one fee was not reset. Instead, the CPA fee was reworded to allow the Secretary to set future CPA fees. Supposedly all the CPAs in Oregon agree with this change. Not. Therefore, as a reminder that the Legislature should not cede its revenue authority to the Executive, and when the CPAs come back complaining the fee is too high, they can be reminded how we got there. As long as the Legislature continues to cede its constitutional authority to the Executive we can expect Oregon's standard of living and livability to continue to decline.

HCR 13 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 13 - Read final time. Carried by Meek. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Frederick, Gorsek, Manning Jr. Resolution adopted.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 15 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 34, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJM 6 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
SJR 32 Rules

SB 316 - President Wagner signed on March 16.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, March 20, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, March 20, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek, Thatcher.

HCR 25 - Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2687, 2996, 3097, 3164, 3195, 3211, 3223 - Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 59, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 17. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 82 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 422 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 503 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 60, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 902 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 903 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 5019 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2001 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2324 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 82, 422, 503, 902, 903 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 5543 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 5544 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 5545 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 797 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 970 - Read third time. Carried by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HCR 25; HB 2687, 2996, 3097, 3164, 3195, 3211, 3223 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 5019; HB 2001, 2324 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 16 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 35, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1085 Health Care  
HB 5019 Ways and Means  
HB 2001 Ways and Means

HCR 4, 13; HB 2058, 2110, 2325, 2329, 2523, 2975 - President Wagner signed on March 20.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Tuesday, March 21, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

## Tuesday, March 21, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

HCR 21 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 5045, 2237, 2426, 2535, 2719, 3331, 3383 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 316; HCR 13; HB 2110 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 20.

Committee Report Summary No. 61, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 20. Summary list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 4 – Report by Committee on Semiconductors recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 438 – Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 509 – Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 569 – Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting rescission of subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means rescinded by the order of the President.

SB 912 – Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 62, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 20. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 54 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 124 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and

referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 125 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 200 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 478 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments.

SB 877 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 63, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 3 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SCR 7 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SCR 8 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SB 207 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HCR 1 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HB 2031 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2033 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HB 2112 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2159 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HB 2266 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HB 2267 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HCR 2 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 2 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Brock Smtih. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SB 1087 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 3, 7, 8; SB 207, 478, 569, 877, 912 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 82 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Meek, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 422 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Findley, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 503 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 12 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 902 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Hayden; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 903 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

Senate recessed until 5:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, March 21, 2023 – Evening Session**

Recess extended to 5:10 p.m. at the request of the Chair. Senate reconvened at 5:10 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hayden.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2279, 2446, 2573 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 21; HB 5045; HB 2237, 2279, 2426, 2446, 2535, 2573, 2719, 3331, 3383 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.



HCR 1; HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 5019 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hayden. Bill passed.

HB 5019 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

HB 5019 and HB 2001 (which were presented as a package) are allegedly the #1 priority of the legislative majority yet it spends less on homelessness than corporate semiconductors. The majority Democrats were joined by big government Republicans to pass this supposed priority. We will spend a minimum of \$210 million out of a Governor's budget of \$166 billion, yet, much less is devoted in these bills. How is this the priority? With \$240 billion in resources per the Governor we can do better. The title "Establishes Oregon Housing Needs Analysis" yet the State can define the number of employ units on the State in the Senate Revenue Committee. The bill creates dozens and dozens of new government and non-profit jobs. Though in reality there is nothing new. Cities asked for direct funding to help homeless yet that was refused. The bill does not construct new homes. The bill does not reduce the government regulation blocking construction. The bill does not resolve mental health care shortages, OHA continues to block the construction of new mental health beds in Oregon. The State is tossing affordable housing off the rolls rather than extend low cost units to citizens. The bill empowers LCDC to allegedly help the same housing crisis it helped create. Hypocrisy at its best. Then the bill gives the Governor emergency powers already in law. Why? Funding should be direct to the cities and locales that need resources not more bureaucracy.

HB 5019 – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

I voted against HB 5019 and HB 2001, which were presented as package, because these measures will do virtually nothing to help the homeless.

It does; however, create a new enormous government bureaucracy that will no doubt create many more government jobs for the liberal establishment.

This package of bills does not address the Oregon housing crisis. Neither of these bills address the cost of housing or Oregon's strangulating land use system.

If the majority, who has been in control for dozens of years, were serious about increasing the affordability and availability of family housing, I could support a bill that does that. These two bills are not going to help

HB 2001 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Jama, Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hayden. Bill passed.

HB 2001 B-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

HB 2001 is allegedly the #1 priority of the legislative majority yet it spends less on homelessness than corporate semiconductors. The majority Democrats were joined by big government Republicans to pass this supposed priority. We will spend a minimum of \$210 million out of a Governor's budget of \$166 billion, yet, much less is devoted in HB 2001. How is this the priority? With \$240 billion in resources per the Governor we can do better. The title "Establishes Oregon Housing Needs Analysis" yet the State can define the number of employ units on the State in the Senate Revenue Committee. The bill creates dozens and dozens of new government and non-profit jobs. Though in reality there is nothing new. Cities asked for direct funding to help homeless yet that was refused. The bill does not construct new homes. The bill does not reduce the government regulation blocking construction. The bill does not resolve mental health care shortages, OHA continues to block the construction of new mental health beds in Oregon. The State is tossing affordable housing off the rolls rather than extend low cost units to citizens. The bill empowers LCDC to allegedly help the same housing crisis it helped create. Hypocrisy at its best. Then the bill gives the Governor emergency powers already in law. Why? Funding should be direct to the cities and locales that need resources not more bureaucracy.

HB 2001 B-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

I voted against HB 5019 and HB 2001, which were presented as package, because these measures will do virtually nothing to help the homeless. It does; however, create a new enormous government bureaucracy that will no doubt create many more government jobs for the liberal establishment. This package of bills does not address the Oregon housing crisis. Neither of these bills address the cost of housing or Oregon's strangulating land use system. If the majority, who has been in control for dozens of years, were serious about increasing the affordability and availability of family housing, I could support a bill that does that. These two bills are not going to help.

HB 2324 - Read third time. Carried by Linthicum. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hayden. Bill passed.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 20 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 36, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1084 Finance and Revenue; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 1086 Rules  
 HCR 5 Rules  
 HCR 24 Rules  
 HB 2486 Health Care  
 HB 2530 Energy and Environment  
 HB 2600 Health Care  
 HB 2627 Health Care  
 HB 2864 Labor and Business  
 HB 2902 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 3037 Education  
 HB 3273 Energy and Environment

The following measures were referred to committee on March 20 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 37, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HCR 25 Rules

HB 2687 Natural Resources  
 HB 2915 Judiciary  
 HB 2996 Health Care  
 HB 3097 Natural Resources  
 HB 3164 Natural Resources  
 HB 3195 Natural Resources  
 HB 3211 Natural Resources  
 HB 3223 Health Care

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Wednesday, March 22, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, March 22, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsner, Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

The following messages from the Governor, dated March 22, subject to Senate confirmation provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, were received and referred to the President's desk:

*(For complete term information, see measure history. SPG - Serves at the Pleasure of the Governor)*

#### Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs (ORS 185.610)

Padma Akkaraju (Corvallis)	4-Year
Lorne Bulling (Beaverton)	Unexpired 4-Year
Aparna Rajagopal-Durbin (Eugene)	Unexpired 4-Year
Joselito Tanega (r) (Happy Valley)	4-Year
Joselito Tanega (Happy Valley)	Unexpired 4-Year

#### Director of the Oregon Department of Aviation (ORS 835.106)

Thomas Kenji Sugahara (Salem)	SPG
-------------------------------	-----

#### Behavior Analysis Regulatory Board (s.2, SB 696)

Meghan Johns (r) (Hood River)	4-Year
-------------------------------	--------

#### Commission on Black Affairs (ORS 185.420)

Mitchell Asemota (Portland)	Unexpired 4-Year
Lakayana Drury (Portland)	Unexpired 4-Year
LaNicia Duke (Warrenton)	4-Year

#### Oregon Board of Dentistry (ORS 679.230)

Reza Sharfi (r) (Portland)	4-Year
----------------------------	--------

#### State Board of Denture Technology (ORS 680.556)

Ronald Farris (r) (Gresham)	4-Year
-----------------------------	--------

#### State Board of Direct Entry Midwifery (ORS 687.470)

Christine Morales (r) (Salem)	3-Year
Brianna Peters (Coos Bay)	3-Year
Lynette Pettibone (r) (Tigard)	3-Year

#### Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University (ORS 352.076)

Kerry Thompson (r) (Hermiston)	2-Year
Kerry Thompson (Hermiston)	Unexpired 2-Year

#### Electrical and Elevator Board (ORS 455.144)

Frank Sonnabend (Eugene)	Partial 4-Year
--------------------------	----------------

#### Employment Relations Board (ORS 240.065)

Benjamin O'Glasser (Salem)	Unexpired 4-Year
----------------------------	------------------

#### Oregon Growth Board (c.90, OL 2012)

Amy Nelson (Banks)	4-Year
Sabrina Parsons (r) (Eugene)	4-Year

#### Health Evidence Review Commission (ORS 414.688)

Devan Kansagara (r) (Portland)	4-Year
--------------------------------	--------

#### Health Insurance Exchange Advisory Committee (s.13, SB 1)

Shannon Lee (Silverton)	2-Year
Danielle Nichols (Springfield)	2-Year

#### Commission on Hispanic Affairs (ORS 185.320)

Katya Butler (Portland)	4-Year
Humberto Marquez Mendez (Portland)	4-Year
Christina Wood (r) (Salem)	4-Year
Christina Wood (Salem)	Unexpired 4-Year

#### Land Use Board of Appeals (ORS 197.810)

Michelle Rudd (r) (Portland)	4-Year
------------------------------	--------

#### Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots (ORS 776.105)

Mitchell Allgower (Portland)	4-Year
Carrie Outhier Banks (Lake Oswego)	Unexpired 4-Year
Carrie Outhier Banks (r) (Lake Oswego)	4-Year

#### State Board of Massage Therapists (ORS 687.115)

Rami Layous (Portland)	4-Year
------------------------	--------

#### Oregon Medical Board (ORS 677.235)

Robby Azar (Oregon City)	Partial 3-Year
Sheronne Blasi (Salem)	Partial 3-Year
David Cook (Portland)	Partial 3-Year
Katja Daoud (Portland)	Unexpired 3-Year
Katja Daoud (r) (Portland)	3-Year

Alexandria Mageehon (r) (Coos Bay) 3-Year  
 Jill Shaw-Braem (r) (Portland) 3-Year

**Occupational Therapy Licensing Board (ORS 675.310)**

Ellen Stauder (Beaverton) Unexpired 4-Year

**Oregon Board of Optometry (ORS 683.250)**

Laurie Goolsby (r) (Klamath Falls) 3-Year

**OregonServes Commission (ORS 660.500)**

Sierra Barnes (r) (Portland) 3-Year  
 June Bass (Portland) 3-Year

**Oregon Patient Safety Commission Board of Directors (ORS 442.830)**

Lisa Bui (r) (Oregon City) 4-Year  
 Hollie Caldwell (Portland) Partial 4-Year  
 Erin Sprando (West Linn) Partial 4-Year

**Oregon Board of Physical Therapy (ORS 688.160)**

Rebecca Reisch (r) (Portland) 4-Year

**Public Employees Retirement Board (ORS 238.630)**

Suzanne Linneen (Portland) 3-Year

**Quality Education Commission (ORS 327.500)**

John Rexford (r) (Bend) 4-Year

**Oregon Racing Commission (ORS 462.210)**

Quinn Berry (Wallowa) 4-Year

**Residential Ombudsman and Public Guardianship Advisory Board (ORS 441.137)**

Melanie St. John (Portland) Partial 4-Year

**State Board of Licensed Social Workers (ORS 675.590)**

Dragosh Negrea (Astoria) Partial 4-Year

**Tri-Met Board (ORS 267.090)**

Tyler Frisbee (Portland) 4-Year

**Commission for Women (ORS 185.520)**

Alicia Chapman (Portland) Unexpired 4-Year  
 Libra Forde (Damascus) 4-Year  
 Irene Konev (Canby) 4-Year  
 Ashley Lancaster (Portland) 4-Year

**Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (ORS 656.790)**

Ryan Hearn (Eugene) 3-Year  
 Scott Strickland (r) (Portland) 3-Year  
 Camilla Thurmond (Portland) 3-Year

SB 271, 316 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on March 21.

Committee Report Summary No. 64, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 21. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 913 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1024 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 65, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 212 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 339 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 644 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 766 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 867 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 887 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

SB 890 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

HCR 1 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 1 – Lieber moved that consideration of the resolution be made a Special Order of Business immediately. Motion carried by voice vote.

HCR 1 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Golden. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SCR 7, 8 – Lieber moved that the resolutions be taken from today's calendar and placed on March 28 calendar as Special Orders of Business. Motion carried by voice vote.

SB 867, 887, 890, 913, 1024 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SCR 3 - Read final time. Carried by Hansell. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SB 207 - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 207 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 207 gives the Oregon Government Ethics Commission's lawyers, whom are the Attorney General, the authority to initiate complaints, with the commission's approval against elected officials who might have violated executive session laws. It turns the Commission into a police agency instead of a complaint driven agency. Worse, the Legislative Assembly is exempted. It is hypocrisy. Just as the Attorney General's self-investigation into OLCC and legislative corruption. Do as I say not as I do. The legislature ignores Masons Rules daily including open deliberations i.e. executive sessions. The Senate Rules including open deliberations are violated daily. The Senate violates Or Const Art IV Section 14 requiring open deliberations daily along with other constitutional clauses. Yet, the Oregon State Senate voted to use the Attorney General and Ethics Commission to root out elected city, county and district public officials. It is hypocrisy and a legal double standard. If the Legislative Assembly wants ethical standards it needs to police itself before policing local government. SB 207 should either die in the House, or be amended to include the Legislative Assembly which is likely unconstitutional. Since the Legislature does not appear capable of leading by example, then SB 207 should die in the House. We can maybe be hopeful future elections will restore ethical standards to the legislative process at all levels. Votes need to hold public officials accountable.

SB 478 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 569 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 877, 912 - Carried over to March 23 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267 - Carried over to March 23 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 2 - President Wagner signed on March 22.

Senate adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Thursday, March 23, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, March 23, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 11:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President

Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

SB 307, 583; HB 2620, 3043, 3141, 3151, 3220 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 1, 2; HCR 18 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HCR 2 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 22.

Committee Report Summary No. 66, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 22. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 92 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 411 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 451 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 469 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 558 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 564 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1078 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

HB 2764 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill and requesting referral to Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 67, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 450 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 703 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 969 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 68, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 940 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 212, 339, 411, 450, 558, 644, 703, 940, 969 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 877 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 912 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 867 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 867 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 867 is a tragic bill. The issue is defendants criminally threatening witnesses. Yet, the Attorney General and District Attorneys do not want to prosecute the threatener. Instead, they want to admit unconstitutional hearsay. The floor letter for the bill states “the defendant forfeits their constitutional objection” which violates the Oregon Constitution and United States Constitution. The legislature has no authority to pick and choose what parts of the constitutions it will shred. It defies all claims of constitutionality when only two Senators stand up to say this is unconstitutional. Why did they not demand the AG and DAs enforce criminal laws to protect the victims? Actions like this have resulted genocide. Actions like this have resulted in Japanese Americans places in Oregon prison camps. Actions like this led to the disastrous three years since March 13, 2020. The solution is for District Attorneys and the Attorney General to do their job. Prosecute the crime. Protect the victims.

SB 887 - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 890 - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 913, 1024 - Carried over to March 27 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 18; HB 2620, 3043, 3141, 3151, 3220 - Read first time and referred to President’s desk.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267 - Carried over to March 27 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following appointments were referred to committee on March 22 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 38, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

**Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs (ORS 185.610)**

Padma Akkaraju	Rules
Lorne Bulling	Rules
Aparna Rajagopal-Durbin	Rules
Joselito Tanega	Rules

**Director of the Oregon Department of Aviation (ORS 835.106)**

Thomas Kenji Sugahara	Rules
-----------------------	-------

**Behavior Analysis Regulatory Board (s.2, SB 696)**

Meghan Johns (r)	Rules
------------------	-------

**Commission on Black Affairs (ORS 185.420)**

Mitchell Asemota	Rules
Lakayana Drury	Rules
LaNicia Duke	Rules

**Oregon Board of Dentistry (ORS 679.230)**

Reza Sharfi (r)	Rules
-----------------	-------

**State Board of Denture Technology (ORS 680.556)**

Ronald Farris (r)	Rules
-------------------	-------

**State Board of Direct Entry Midwifery (ORS 687.470)**

Christine Morales (r)	Rules
Brianna Peters	Rules
Lynette Pettibone (r)	Rules

**Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Kerry Thompson (r)	Rules
Kerry Thompson	Rules

**Electrical and Elevator Board (ORS 455.144)**

Frank Sonnabend	Rules
-----------------	-------

**Employment Relations Board (ORS 240.065)**

Benjamin O’Glasser	Rules
--------------------	-------

**Oregon Growth Board (c.90, OL 2012)**

Amy Nelson	Rules
Sabrina Parsons (r)	Rules

**Health Evidence Review Commission (ORS 414.688)**

Devan Kansagara (r) Rules

**Health Insurance Exchange Advisory Committee (s.13, SB 1)**

Shannon Lee Rules

Danielle Nichols Rules

**Commission on Hispanic Affairs (ORS 185.320)**

Katya Butler Rules

Humberto Marquez Mendez Rules

Christina Wood Rules

Christina Wood (r) Rules

**Land Use Board of Appeals (ORS 197.810)**

Michelle Rudd (r) Rules

**Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots (ORS 776.105)**

Mitchell Allgower Rules

Carrie Outhier Banks (r) Rules

Carrie Outhier Banks Rules

**State Board of Massage Therapists (ORS 687.115)**

Rami Layous Rules

**Oregon Medical Board (ORS 677.235)**

Robby Azar Rules

Sheronne Blasi Rules

David Cook Rules

Katja Daoud Rules

Katja Daoud (r) Rules

Alexandria Mageehon (r) Rules

Jill Shaw-Braem (r) Rules

**Occupational Therapy Licensing Board (ORS 675.310)**

Ellen Stauder Rules

**Oregon Board of Optometry (ORS 683.250)**

Laurie Goolsby (r) Rules

**OregonServes Commission (ORS 660.500)**

Sierra Barnes (r) Rules

June Bass Rules

**Oregon Patient Safety Commission Board of Directors (ORS 442.830)**

Lisa Bui (r) Rules

Hollie Caldwell Rules

Erin Sprando Rules

**Oregon Board of Physical Therapy (ORS 688.160)**

Rebecca Reisch (r) Rules

**Public Employees Retirement Board (ORS 238.630)**

Suzanne Linneen Rules

**Quality Education Commission (ORS 327.500)**

John Rexford (r) Rules

**Oregon Racing Commission (ORS 462.210)**

Quinn Berry Rules

**Residential Ombudsman and Public Guardianship Advisory Board (ORS 441.137)**

Melanie St. John Rules

**State Board of Licensed Social Workers (ORS 675.590)**

Dragosh Negrea Rules

**Tri-Met Board (ORS 267.090)**

Tyler Frisbee Rules

**Commission for Women (ORS 185.520)**

Alicia Chapman Rules

Libra Forde Rules

Irene Konev Rules

Ashley Lancaster Rules

**Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (ORS 656.790)**

Ryan Hearn Rules

Scott Strickland (r) Rules

Camilla Thurmond Rules

SCR 1, 2; SB 307, 583 - President Wagner signed on March 23.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Monday, March 27, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Monday, March 27, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

SB 308, 309; HB 2160, 2490, 2609, 2806, 3076, 3200, 3208 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 1, 2; SB 307, 583; HCR 1; HB 5019, 2001, 2324 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 23.

Committee Report Summary No. 69, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 23. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 225 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Finance and Revenue.

Referred to Committee on Finance and Revenue by order of the President.

SB 491 - Report by Committee on Health Care without recommendation as to passage, but with amendments. Return to President for referral to another committee. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 679 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 753 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 818 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 899 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 916 - Report by Committee on Health Care without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 70, listing the following report, was distributed to members March 23. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 937 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 71, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 24. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 145 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 217 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 238 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 444 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 543 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments.

SB 630 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 776 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 814 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments.

SB 904 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 968 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 72, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 10 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SCR 11 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SB 4 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 203 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

SB 206 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SB 810 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 864 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 895 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 981 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SB 994 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

SB 1013 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage.

SB 1033 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

HB 5045 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 73, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 53 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HB 2108 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2708 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HB 3111 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

SB 5 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 10, 11; SB 53, 203, 206, 217, 238, 543, 810, 814, 864, 895, 904, 968, 981, 994, 1013, 1033 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 913 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 1024 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 212 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 339 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 411, 450, 558, 644, 703, 940, 969 - Carried over to March 28 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2160, 2490, 2609, 2806, 3076, 3200, 3208 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 5045; HB 2108, 2708, 3111 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267 - Carried over to March 28 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures and appointment were referred to committee on March 24 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 39, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HCR 18 Rules  
HCR 21 Rules  
HB 5045 Ways and Means  
HB 2237 Finance and Revenue  
HB 2446 Health Care  
HB 2573 Labor and Business  
HB 3141 Rules  
HB 3151 Housing and Development  
HB 3220 Energy and Environment  
HB 3331 Labor and Business  
HB 3383 Education

#### **Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs (ORS 185.610)**

Joselito Tanega Rules

SB 308, 309; HCR 1; HB 5019; HB 2001, 2324 - President Wagner signed on March 27.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., March 28, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Tuesday, March 28, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

SB 5543, 5544, 5545; HB 2147, 2270, 2296, 2599, 2804, 3187 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 308, 309 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 27.

Committee Report Summary No. 74, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 27. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.



SB 31 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 169 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 220 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 221 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 661 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

SB 704 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Rules and then referral to Ways and Means by prior reference. Referred to Rules by order of the President. with subsequent referral to Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1016 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 1034 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Finance and Revenue and Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Finance and Revenue with subsequent referral to Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1083 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Transportation by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 75, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 27. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 615 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 807 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 900 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 76, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 166 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

SB 222 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

HB 2282 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SCR 10 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's calendar and placed on April 6 calendar as a Special Order of Business. Motion carried by voice vote.

SCR 7, 8 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

SCR 7 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Girod. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SCR 8 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Girod. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SB 4, 31, 166, 222, 615, 661, 807, 1016 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 411 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 450 - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 558 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 644 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. Potential conflict of interest declared by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 703 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 940 - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 940 – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 940 directs the Department of Education to conduct a study on improving a process that they should already have streamlined. It is an unnecessary piece of legislation and is likely a bill that will be “amended” in the House. This term called “gut and stuff” is typical of the majority party.

SB 940, a two paragraph, 8-line bill was passed in the Senate on March 28, 2023. This bill will likely come back to the Senate from the House as a much more egregious bill.

I voted No for both these reasons.

SB 969 - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SCR 11 - Read final time. Carried by Knopp. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SB 53, 203, 206, 217, 238, 543, 810, 814, 864, 895, 904, 968, 981, 994, 1013, 1033 - Carried over to March 29 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2147, 2270, 2296, 2599, 2804, 3187 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2282 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 5045, 2108, 2708, 3111 - Carried over to March 29 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 27 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 40, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1087 Rules  
 HB 2279 Judiciary  
 HB 2426 Energy and Environment  
 HB 2535 Health Care  
 HB 2620 Labor and Business  
 HB 2719 Judiciary  
 HB 3043 Energy and Environment

SB 5543, 5544, 5545 - President Wagner signed on March 28.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Wednesday, March 29, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, March 29, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser, Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

HB 2086, 2405, 2593, 2594, 2634, 2753, 2922, 2984, 2987, 3009, 3127 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2032 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

Committee Report Summary No. 77, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 28. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 318 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 340 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 812 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 955 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1038 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1040 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 78, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 28. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 57 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 4 B-Eng. – Lieber moved the bill be made a Special Order of Business immediately. On motion to be made a Special Order of Business the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 7 –

Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion carried.

SB 4 B-Eng. – Under Special Order of Business, read third time. Carried by Sollman, Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change from from nay to aye.

SB 4 B-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 4 is an attempt by Oregon to acquire CHIPS Act manufacturing for defense grade semiconductors in Oregon. The present plan will spend more on global corporate handouts and state funds than spent in this session to date on homelessness. Since homelessness is the #1 priority how is pursuing a false red flag getting more funding? The US Department of Defense and U.S. Government has spend decades moving defense industry production and military bases out of Alaska, Washington and Oregon due to the proximity to Chinese and Russian bombers and missiles. Military industrial complexes are being moved out of enemy range. So who in Washington DC is going to fund a defense grade manufacturing plant in the target zone? Nobody. While Oregon's small footprint research and development entities may be recipients of CHIPS, and should be, the aspiration to acquire low paying assembly jobs when near zero affordable housing exists in Portlandia area is foolhardy. Intel and other chip manufactures are expanding outside of Oregon not inside of Oregon. The Legislature gave Nike and Intel huge 50 year tax breaks in 2013 yet where are they expanding? Not Oregon. Oregon's focus should be on expanding high paying research and development business not aspiring to make Oregon a wider nuclear target of China, Russia, North Korea and other adversaries. Even the USG claims it will bomb Taiwan's semiconductor plants if China were to invade Taiwan. So who would China bomb? Portland and Klamath Falls are already first strike nuclear targets thus let's not make Oregon citizens more vulnerable. Focus on research and development. No vote.

SB 4 B-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

I voted no on SB 4. If Oregon is not as competitive as it could be, is the answer to throw taxpayer money at particular private businesses, or is it better to work to craft policies that help competition and businesses thrive for EVERYONE in our state? It is not "business friendly" to spend public money to only "maybe/perhaps" attract one particular industry.

Regardless of all the wonderful and aspirational visions for all the great things that might happen when this bill passes, there is no guarantee that any of this money will actually bring the desired result. There IS, however, a guarantee that Oregon will have less money to spend on homelessness, mental health, drug abuse, law enforcement, schools, veterans, and the list goes on and on. These are issues that Oregonians care about....NOT whether we set up public money giveaways for one private industry.

There is a lot of justification for spending this money. There is a lot of hope, and faith, in this proposal. How many times have we all been promised rainbows and unicorns and how many times are we going to fall for it?

Let's quit spending money on rainbows and unicorns and get to work helping Oregonians. Just wait for it. It will become a boondoggle like so many other similar proposals before it but I hope I am wrong.

SB 4 B-Eng. – Weber requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

Given the uniqueness of the legislation, I felt it proper to file an explanation of my vote.

I wrestled with how I should vote on this legislation, but ultimately chose to vote no, knowing full well that it had the votes to pass. I do support the vast majority of the bill. However, I cannot support granting the governor such sweeping authority over land use.

The reality is that we seem to find ourselves with at least a handful of bills every session that seek to circumvent our land use system. And yet, when a developer requires action to begin work on a development, he or she gets no special treatment. When a business needs a variance to expand, they get no special treatment. When the creation and expansion of factories to accommodate the growth in semiconductor manufacturing leads to an increase of employment (a very good thing), where will these new employees live? Will this body give the governor the authority to quickly rezone land for residential use to accommodate development of homes for these new employees?

The time has come for a complete, ground-up revamping of Oregon's state land use system. Rather than rushing legislation to circumvent it, we should beginning legislation to make it less onerous, less bureaucratic, and more supportive of residential development. Passing yearly piecemeal exceptions is not a logical or equitable way to develop. If we are to take the Governor at her word that housing is her number-one priority, we should assist her in this by remaking our land use laws to help her realize success in such a laudable goal, not passing oneoff exceptions year after year.

SB 57, 340, 812 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 53, 203, 206, 217, 238, 543, 810, 814, 864, 895, 904, 968, 981, 994, 1013, 1033, 31, 166, 222, 615, 661, 807, 1016 - Carried over to March 30 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3140, 3584 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2086, 2405, 2593, 2594, 2634, 2753, 2922, 2984, 2987, 3008, 3127, 3140, 3584 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 5045, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282 - Carried over to March 30 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Thursday, March 30, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Thursday, March 30, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser, Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozenski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

SB 307, 308, 309, 583 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on March 29.

SB 5543, 5544, 5545; HB 2032 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on March 29.

Committee Report Summary No. 79, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 29. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 835 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HB 2645 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 80, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 789 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

SB 1032 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 81, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1047 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 5045 A-Eng. – Lieber moved that the bill be made a Special Order of Business immediately. On motion to be made a Special Order of Business the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 – Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion carried.

HB 5045 A-Eng. – Under Special Order of Business, read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 1088, 1089 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 789, 1047 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 53, 203, 206, 217, 238, 543, 810, 814, 864, 895, 904, 968, 981, 994, 1013, 1033, 31, 166, 222, 615, 661, 807, 1016,

57, 340, 812 - Carried over to April 3 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282 - Carried over to April 3 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2032 - President Wagner signed on March 30.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Monday, April 3, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, April 3, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Bill Hansell. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsner, Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

HB 3201, 3425, 3560 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 82, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 30. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 839 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1015 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 83, listing the following report, was distributed to members March 30. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 490 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 84, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 31. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 168 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

SB 209 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 343 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 418 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 536 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 571 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 758 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 792 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 862 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 893 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 85, listing the following reports, was distributed to members March 31. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 155 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 502 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage, but with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Finance and Revenue. Referred to Committee on Finance and Revenue by order of the President.

SB 519 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 609 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 820 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 894 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 919 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Finance and Revenue. Referred to Committee on Finance and Revenue by order of the President.

SB 954 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1046 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 86, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 851 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

HCR 5 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HCR 24 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HCR 25 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SCR 12; SB 1090 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 168, 209, 343, 418, 519, 536, 571, 758, 792, 862, 893, 954 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 53 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 53 - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 53 is intended to help ensure the integrity of the elections process. The definitions in the text of the bill conflicted with the Carrier and other Speakers on the Floor. Exactly what was defined as "member of household" along with which was the appropriate statute to utilized was debated. The impact was unclear, therefore, cast a No vote with hopes of clarity being addressed in the House of Representatives in favor of a stronger statutory reference.

SB 53 - Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

Definition of a "member of household" is not consistent within Oregon law. It is also not clearly defined within this bill. This bill also gives the Secretary of State more authority, which is not necessary.

SB 203 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

SB 203 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 203 downgrades the equity position from the Office of the Governor transferring it to the backwaters of DAS then removes Senate confirmation. The transfer if merited should be of equal status with continued Senate confirmation. Going backwards.

SB 203 – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

Senate confirmation of Governor's appointees is an important part of the checks and balances of American government. SB 203 is the majority party's attempt to circumvent this by placing this position within a bureaucracy.

SB 206 - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 217 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 217 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 217 moves "board" authority from the HECC to what seems an appropriate agency in the name of public health. The same public health system that has failed miserably since March 13, 2020. A better shift would be government deregulation. A better move would be to reduce the authority of the Health Licensing Office. It is very likely these so called 'public health' agencies will not be held accountable until a new generation of prosecutors comes of age in 10-15 years. History will not treat them well.

SB 217 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

During testimony in committee, only one person who is subject to licensing by the Board of Cosmetology was permitted to testify. That person said that to transfer this authority was a very bad idea. I believe that person's testimony over the agency.

SB 238 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 238 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

Oregon has legalized almost all drugs. The majority party supported these efforts and pushes an agenda that decriminalizes drug use. I do not think that the same group that advocates for drug use should be determining curricula to our children about the dangers of "certain drugs". (certain drugs is the term used in the bill summary).

This bill further promotes drug use under the disguise of protecting against drug overdoses. The bill clearly states that the curricula to be taught to our children, would include "Laws that provide immunity or other protections for persons who report drug or alcohol use..."

I do not think that children need to be taught about laws that provide immunity for drug use.

If we are going to teach our children about the dangers of drug use, it should be done locally, by local law enforcement subject to the supervision of locally elected school boards. The same way that was done in public schools throughout Oregon in the 1980's, 1990's, and early 2000's.

SB 543 A-Eng. – Manning Jr in Chair. Read third time. Carried by Sollman. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 9 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 543 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 543 is an admirable environmental goal. It is not a good health policy without existing options statewide. We have done several such type bills that urban knows better than rural people. Neutrality does resolve cost and supply chain issues. Supply issues that are driving citizens out of their homes. The 2025 date does nothing to change the economic impacts let alone the short-term health impacts. If the date was 2027 or 2029, maybe the private sector could respond with new environmentally friendly options, but it would have to be nationwide at a minimum to be economical. It is interesting some cities have determined they want to do it, thus, the rest of the state including small cities and counties should be forced into it now. Adding this at this time, simply means the large corporations get to survive over locally owned business. And poorer citizens get to pay the largest price.

SB 543 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

I voted against SB 543 because SB 543 has problems like SB 569. I spoke against 569 and pointed out that it was a small requirement, but unconstitutional and wrong.

Some other Senators felt differently. They thought it would improve access for some people. This bill has other excuses.

It was pointed out that close captioning might help teach children to read. Yes, it's true, the brief access children might have to restaurant closed captioning – mostly sports bars – might actually have a significant impact on our children's ability to read, what with the schools being so bad and all.

But most importantly it was emphasized that it was a small requirement. It was only minimal work on the part of the restaurant. Some restaurants might actually be opposed to close captioning regardless of the time involved, but we just trampled on those rights.

Here we go again.

Another small requirement. We are now deciding what disposable containers restaurants may use. This bill also bans a lot of other useful products.

There are alternatives, of course. Maybe it's not a big deal. They can use those. But again, this bill will trample on their rights.

Why are restaurants using polystyrene containers? Obviously because they prefer them to the alternatives. Maybe cost. Maybe they feel their food presents better and looks more appetizing in them.

We don't know. But we do know that we will further restrict restaurants options with this bill.

Colleagues, all these little requirements add up.

Maybe if we work hard enough the pizza restaurants, that I referred to the other day will be in as bad shape as the medical system.

I guess that's one way to fix the medical system. We just get rid of the efficiency in other industries that makes them look bad.

I also note that we recently passed SB 545 to allow non-disposable containers in restaurants. Now we are eliminating certain types of disposable containers. Is the goal to eliminate single use containers entirely?

America was founded on the principles of freedom. Free enterprise has provided us with a wonderful quality of life. Single use containers are one of those inventions which enhances our life with virtually zero downsides.

Littering, which is mentioned as a reason for passing this bill is entirely a separate issue. We should not try to make people behave by eliminating their technology.

Again, I encourage you to stand for freedom. Let's not pretend that the definition of freedom is forcing Oregonians to do anything we think is good for them.

SB 810, 814, 864, 895, 904, 968, 981, 994, 1013, 1033, 31, 166, 222, 615, 661, 807, 1016, 57, 340, 812, 789, 1047 - Carried over to April 4 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3201, 3425, 3560 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 5, 24, 25 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282 - Carried over to April 4 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on March 30 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 41, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5 Semiconductors; Tax Expenditures  
 SB 1089 Rules  
 HB 2160 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2490 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2599 Human Services  
 HB 2609 Education  
 HB 2804 Human Services  
 HB 2806 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 3076 Human Services  
 HB 3200 Labor and Business  
 HB 3208 Natural Resources

The following measures were referred to committee on March 31 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 42, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2086 Finance and Revenue  
 HB 2147 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2270 Labor and Business  
 HB 2296 Labor and Business  
 HB 2405 Judiciary  
 HB 2593 Judiciary

HB 2594 Judiciary  
 HB 2634 Housing and Development  
 HB 2753 Education  
 HB 2922 Labor and Business  
 HB 2984 Housing and Development  
 HB 2987 Housing and Development  
 HB 3008 Health Care  
 HB 3140 Labor and Business  
 HB 3187 Natural Resources  
 HB 3584 Education

HB 5045 - President Wagner signed on April 3.

Senate adjourned until 10:45 a.m., Tuesday, April 4, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Tuesday, April 4, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:45 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator James Manning Jr. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

HB 2759, 2982, 3167, 3242 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 5045 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on April 3.

Committee Report Summary No. 87, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 3. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 123 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments.

SB 275 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 409 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 421 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 426 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 520 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 582 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 88, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 3. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 3 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 161 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 756 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 881 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1044 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 89, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 11 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

SB 218 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 607 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

SB 736 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 90, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 482 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 11, 123, 161, 218, 275, 409, 482, 607, 736, 756, 851, 881 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 810 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 814 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 864 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 895 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 904 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Linthicum. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 968 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 - Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 968 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 968 discussion on the floor tried to make the bill about suicide but that is not the mission of care advisory council. Oregon's public health system has failed miserably since March 13, 2020. It is the bureaucracy that created the disaster. Creating the SCAC along with working bureaucracies of OHA, DHS and ODE is not the answer. Oregon Health Authority has been a disaster since its creation. DHS has proven itself just as problematic. OYA has gone backwards since overhaul by the former Governor. The idea of adding the Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction whom was just fired by the Governor seems the wrong directions as well. More bureaucracy is not the answer.

SB 981 - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 994, 1013, 1033, 31, 166, 222, 615, 661, 807, 1016, 57, 340, 812, 789, 1047, 168, 209, 343, 418, 519, 536, 571, 758, 792, 862, 893, 954 - Carried over to April 5 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2759, 2982, 3167, 3242 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 5, 24, 25; HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282 - Carried over to April 5 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 4 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 43, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1088 Housing and Development  
HB 3127 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs



Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, April 5, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, April 5, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Suzanne Weber. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek, Sollman.

The following message from the Governor, dated April 4, announcing appointment subject to Senate confirmation as provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, was withdrawn:

*(For complete term information, see measure history.)*

#### **Worker's Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (ORS 656.790)**

Camilla Thurmond (Portland)                      3-year

HB 3243 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 91, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 4. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 678 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 999 - Report by Committee on Rules without recommendation as to passage, but with amendments. Return to President for referral to another committee. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 1058 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 1067 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 1071 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 92, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 4. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 48 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 759 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 769 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 884 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and be referred to Tax Expenditures by prior reference and requesting referral to Ways and Means. Referred to Tax Expenditures with subsequent referral to Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1009 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 93, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 718 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 928 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Report by Committee on Rules with recommendations on the following Governor's appointments:

#### **Director of the Department of Administrative Services**

Berri Leslie                      Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Superintendent of State Police**

Casey Coddling                      Be confirmed en bloc.

SB 759, 769 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 994 - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Linthicum. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Sollman. Bill passed.

SB 1013 - Read third time. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Hayden. Potential conflict of interest declared by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Sollman. Bill passed.

SB 1033 - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Sollman. Bill passed.

SB 31 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Sollman. Bill passed.

SB 166 - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Sollman. Bill passed.

SB 222 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On question of passage the vote was: Ayes, 14; Nays, 14 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Sollman. Prozanski changed vote from aye to nay. Bill failed. Prozanski, having voted on the prevailing side, served notice of possible reconsideration.

SB 615, 661, 807, 1016, 57, 340, 812, 789, 1047, 168, 209, 343, 418, 519, 536, 571, 758, 792, 862, 893, 954, 11, 123, 161, 218, 275, 409, 482, 607, 736, 756, 851, 881 - Carried over to April 6 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3243 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24, 25 - Carried over to April 6 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 4 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 44, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 12 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
SB 1090 Rules  
HB 3201 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
HB 3425 Health Care  
HB 3560 Education

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, April 6, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### Thursday, April 6, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Daniel Bonham. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham,

Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek, Manning Jr, Robinson, Steiner.

HB 2095, 2274, 2285, 2484, 2509, 2520, 3042, 3068, 3412 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 94, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 5. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 215 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 256 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 326 – Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, recommending passage with amendments. Minority Report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with different amendments.

SB 344 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 546 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 910 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, recommending passage. Minority Report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with amendments.

SB 935 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1012 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 95, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 5. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 192 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 608 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 624 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1074 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 96, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 106 - Report by Committee on Human Services without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 471 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 492 - Report by Committee on Rules without recommendation as to passage, but with amendments. Return to President for referral to another committee. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 556 - Report by Committee on Human Services without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 570 - Report by Committee on Human Services without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 951 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

SB 966 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1041 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HB 2094 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

HB 2218 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SCR 10 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

SCR 10 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 26; Excused, 4 - Gorsek, Manning Jr, Robinson, Steiner. Resolution adopted.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Lieber moved that the Senate confirm en bloc the Governor's appointments as listed.

**Director of the Department of Administrative Services**

Berri Leslie

**Superintendent of State Police**

Casey Coddling

On confirmation the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Findley, Linthicum, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 4 - Gorsek, Manning Jr, Robinson, Steiner. Confirmed en bloc.

SB 192, 215, 256, 326, 608, 718, 910, 951, 1012 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 615 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 4 - Gorsek, Manning Jr, Robinson, Steiner. Bill passed.

SB 661 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp, Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Linthicum; Excused, 4 - Gorsek, Manning Jr, Robinson, Steiner. Bill passed.

SB 807, 1016, 57, 340, 812, 789, 1047, 168, 209, 343, 418, 519, 536, 571, 758, 792, 862, 893, 954, 11, 123, 161, 218, 275, 409, 482, 607, 736, 756, 851, 881, 759, 769 - Carried over to April 10 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2095, 2274, 2285, 2484, 2509, 2520, 3042, 3068, 3412 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2094, 2218 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24, 25 - Carried over to April 10 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 5 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 45,

which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2759 Labor and Business  
HB 2982 Labor and Business  
HB 3167 Rules  
HB 3242 Judiciary  
HB 3243 Judiciary

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, April 10, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, April 10, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek, Hansell.

SB 5543, 5544, 5545 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on April 6.

SB 4 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 97, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 6. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 432 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 861 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 98, listing the following report, was distributed to members April 6. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1048 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 99, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 7. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 205 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments.

SB 585 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

SB 907 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments.

SB 962 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1043 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means be rescinded. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President.

SB 1069 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 100, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 85 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 178 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 398 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 406 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

SB 918 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 956 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1068 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

HCR 18 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HCR 21 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HB 2093 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SB 326 A-Eng. - Linthicum moved Minority Report be substituted for the Committee Report. Motion failed, the vote being: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 - Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell.

SB 326 A-Eng. – Bill, as amended by committee report, read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 910 - Linthicum moved Minority Report be substituted for the Committee Report. Call of the Senate demanded by Linthicum, joined by Thatcher and Girod. All present except, excused – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed, the vote being: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 - Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell.

SB 910 – Bill, as amended by committee report, read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

Senate recessed until 2:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, April 10, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 2:00 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek, Hansell.

HB 3135, 3275 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 4 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on April 10.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Propositions and Motions, resumed under that order.

SB 430 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. Call of the Senate demanded by Bonham, joined by Thatcher and Linthicum. All present except, excused – Gorsek, Hansell. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 –

Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 430 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 430 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 430 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 430 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 430 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 430 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 430 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 430 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 430 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will

impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 295 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 295 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 295 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 295 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 295 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 295 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 295 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 295 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 295 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 295 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative

process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 462 - Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 462 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 462 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 462 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 462 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 462 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 462 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 462 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 462 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the

appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 462 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 986 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 986 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal;

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 986 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 986 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 986 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 986 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 986 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been

filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 986 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 986 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 986 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 475 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 475 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 475 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 475 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real

solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 475 - Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 475 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 475 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 475 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 475 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 475 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 639 – Brock Smith moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. Call of the Senate demanded by Knopp, joined by Thatcher and Findley. All present except, excused – Gorsek, Hansell. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 639 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 639 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB

639 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 639 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 639 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 639 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 639 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 639 from the Senate Education Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom of educators, grandma, and former school board member, I take the safety and well-being of our students and staff very seriously. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 639 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself. Thank you.

SB 649 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Liber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.



SB 649 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 649 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 649 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 649 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 649 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 649 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 649 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 649 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 649 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 650 - Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 650 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 650 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 650 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 650 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 650 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 650 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 650 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 650 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 650 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies

could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 664 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. Call of the Senate demanded by Knopp, joined by Anderson and Thatcher. All present except, excused – Gorsek, Hansell. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 664 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 664 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 664 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 664 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 664 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 664 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 664 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 664 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 664 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 727 - Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 727 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 727 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 727 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 727 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 727 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 727 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 727 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 727 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 727 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 735 - Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 735 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 735 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 735 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 735 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 735 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 735 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 735 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 735 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 735 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 74 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 74 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 74 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 74 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 74 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted ‘no’ on SB 74 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 74 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It’s unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 74 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 74 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 430 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won’t happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians’ hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 75 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 75 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today’s procedural motion to withdraw SB 75 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state’s most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 75 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 75 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted ‘no’ on SB 75 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 75 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It’s unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 75 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 75 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 75 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won’t happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians’ hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 988 - Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on

Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 988 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 988 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 988 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 988 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 988 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 988 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 988 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 988 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 988 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been

analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 1022 - Anderson moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 1022 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 1022 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 1022 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 1022 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 1022 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 1022 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 1022 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 1022 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 1022 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 1023 - Hayden moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Hansell. Motion failed.

SB 1023 – Meek requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on today's procedural motion to withdraw SB 1023 from committee. My vote was on the motion and not the actual bill itself.

Nothing is more important to me than the safety of our families and communities. Oregonians are expecting us as legislators to work together and deliver real solutions to our state's most pressing problems, including gun violence and public safety. My vote on the motion to withdraw SB 1023 should not be misconstrued as disapproval of the legislation or undermining the importance of this topic. As a father and a veteran, I am passionate about making Oregon a safer place for everyone to live, work and raise a family.

My public safety priorities are simple. Fund law enforcement. Hold violent criminals accountable. And keep dangerous weapons out of the hands of those who would cause harm to others.

Taking a bill out of committee is a procedural move that stops lawmakers from hearing opinions, thinking about necessary changes, or checking how it affects the state budget before making a new law. I stand ready to work with all lawmakers – in both parties – to deliver real solutions that will reduce gun violence and improve safety for every Oregon family. Our constituents deserve nothing less.

SB 1023 – Prozanski requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted 'no' on SB 1023 because the motion to withdraw it from committee was simply a delaying tactic to slow work in the Senate. SB 1023 was considered in the normal committee process and did not move out of committee. (For perspective, 1189 bills and resolutions have been filed in the Senate. Not every bill can be heard and considered during a session.)

It's unfortunate that this tactic is being used to slow the important work of the Senate to pass needed policy and budget measures.

SB 1023 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 10th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 1023 from the Senate Judiciary Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 16 bills back-to-back.

As a mom and grandma, I am passionate about improving public safety within our communities. For years I have been an advocate for reducing crime and recidivism, addressing gun violence, and supporting victims. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 1023 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 205, 585, 907, 1043, 1068, 1069 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 807 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 1016 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 1016 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Senate Bill 1016 is a good idea that was of course ruined by the majority party. The original bill required that the Department of Human Services, in consultation with the Family Support Advisory Council, to establish regional family support networks. Sounds like a great idea, a State agency collaborating to help families.

The majority party pushed through an amendment to the bill removing the consultation with the Family Support Advisory Council. This only makes the bureaucracy of the agency bigger and requires them to establish another program, this time without smart collaboration.

I supported the original idea, but not the growth of more Oregon government bureaucracy.

SB 57 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 340 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 340 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 340 is a pathetic response to retail theft in which prosecutors have refused to prosecute retail theft. When District Attorneys came under political pressure for allowing massive retail theft we get this bill. It does nothing. It makes a crime of repeat offender theft but District Attorneys do not prosecute the first theft so the public gets nothing. Police and Sheriffs are told not to arrest retail thieves as public prosecutors will not take thieves to court. Now to combat public outrage that hurts the reelection of elected officials we get this bill referencing “repeat property offenders.” Since thieves are not being charged by elected District Attorneys the first time, then, what repeat offenders exist for a judge to sentence? Enforce existing laws. Then SB 340 extends the theft statute of limitations and allows charge bundling to make it look like prosecutors were powerless in the past. They were not. RICO and other ORSs allowed prosecution but DAs have not prosecuted. The closure and departure of corporate stores is 100% the fault of failing District Attorneys. This bill is purely political. Where is the Attorney General?

SB 340 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Theft in Oregon is already illegal. The majority party has done such a poor job of controlling Oregon’s out of control crime that they think they can fix the problem they created by passing another law. It is not enough to have theft be illegal, they want to make a certain kind of theft, more illegal.

This is ludicrous, have we heard the children’s song about swallowing the spider to catch the fly, swallowing the bird to catch the spider...

More laws will not fix the problem, and these thieves will not be deterred because the type of theft they are engaging in is now more illegal.

SB 812 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 812 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the Journal:

SB 812 should allow local control over ground launch from its parks. However, setting three exceptions in the law for utilities and government itself. It sets no review process for citizens. Can be banned completely without due process. Good idea for local control but not without standardized implementation procedures with no state set exemptions.

SB 789 - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

Senate recessed until 7:15 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Monday, April 10, 2023 – Evening Session**

Senate reconvened at 7:15 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek, Hansell.

HB 2281, 2420, 2504, 2576, 3055, 3148, 3256 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under that order.

SB 1047 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 9 - Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 168 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 11 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 209 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. Lieber moved the previous question. Motion carried by voice vote. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed. Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

SB 343 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 418 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Hansell. Bill passed.

SB 519, 536, 571, 758, 792, 862, 893, 954, 11, 123, 161, 218, 275, 409, 482, 607, 736, 756, 851, 881, 759, 769, 192, 215, 256, 608, 718, 951, 1012 - Carried over to April 11 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3178, 3204, 3234 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2281, 2420, 2504, 2576, 3055, 3135, 3148, 3178, 3204, 3234, 3256, 3275 - Read first time and referred to President’s desk.

HCR 18, 21; HB 2093 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24, 25; HB 2094, 2218 - Carried over to April 11 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 4 - President Wagner signed on April 10.

Senate adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, April 11, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Tuesday, April 11, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Justin Lehman, Grandview Baptist Church, Beavercreek. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

Committee Report Summary No. 101, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 10. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 158 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments, and requesting subsequent referral to Committee on Tax Expenditures be rescinded, and be referred to Committee on Ways and Means. Subsequent referral to Tax Expenditures rescinded by order of the President. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 575 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 593 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 643 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 768 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 847 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 873 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 923 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 992 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1050 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1073 - Report by Committee on Information Management and Technology recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1079 - Report by Committee on Health Care without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 102, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJM 6 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SB 70 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources without recommendation as to passage, but with amendments. Requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 80 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 91 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 93 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 99 – Report by Committee on Human Services, signed by Gelser Blouin, Chair, without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Minority Report, signed by Weber and Robinson, recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 104 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 105 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.



SB 225 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 269 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 270 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 273 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 278 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Finance and Revenue. Referred to Committee on Finance and Revenue by order of the President.

SB 319 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 449 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 523 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

SB 530 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 572 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 600 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 606 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 610 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 658 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 695 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 790 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments and requesting

referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 816 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 823 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 865 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage with amendments.

SB 872 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 885 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 915 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 931 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 957 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1006 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 665 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 665 – Golden requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I would like to thank my Republican colleagues for calling out, in comments on their April 11 motions to withdraw SB 653 and SB 665 from committee, the vital need to reduce wildfire risk with more effective management of forest fuels. I strongly support that goal.

I opposed their motions for the same reason that I expressed to some of them when those bills were referred to the Senate Natural Resources Committee. The activities they are advocating are the exact purpose of SB 762, Section 18 (page 10), entitled REDUCTION OF WILDFIRE RISK. SB 762 became law in the 2021 legislative session.

I specifically ask them to review Section 18 (3). There they will find the mandate for a rigorous process, involving a broad range of stakeholders around the state, for determining the best and most cost-effective plan for optimizing forest-based risk reduction with however much funding we can secure for that purpose.

Section 18 (2) directs ODF to “develop a 20-year strategic plan...that prioritizes restoration actions and geographies for wildfire risk reduction. The plan must be able to be used to direct federal, state and private investments in a tangible way.” That plan has now been developed, again through a rigorous stakeholder process with citizen input. It is the best possible blueprint for guiding investment of our limited resources in a way that gives our state the biggest bang for the buck over the next twenty years.

My colleagues’ unmistakable passion for wildfire risk reduction gives me hope that they’ll join me in advocating for increases in ODFW and OSFM budgets to meaningfully implement the activities laid out in this carefully-crafted 20-year plan. Diverting funds to activities that have NOT received this rigorous level of analysis—for example, dividing an appropriation in equal amounts to ten different counties—strikes me as a much less strategic and responsible approach.

I am sharing this vote explanation with colleagues who spoke for bill withdrawal as an invitation to join me in asking for more SB 762-related funding in this session’s budget process.

SB 665 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 11th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 665 from the Senate Natural Resources Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 4 bills back-to-back today.

As a mom, grandma, environmental advocate, and current Chair of the Senate Energy & Environment, I am passionate about protecting our environment and health of our communities. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 665 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians can weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won’t happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians’ hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 647 - Findley moved to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Frederick, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 647 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 11th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 647 from the Senate Energy & Environment Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 4 bills back-to-back today.

As a mom, grandma, environmental advocate, and current Chair of the Senate Energy & Environment, I am passionate about protecting our environment and health of our communities. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 647 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians can weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won’t happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians’ hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 653 – Brock Smith moved to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources the vote was: Ayes, 11; Nays, 17 – Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Frederick, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 653 - Golden requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I would like to thank my Republican colleagues for calling out, in comments on their April 11 motions to withdraw SB 653 and SB 665 from committee, the vital need to reduce wildfire risk with more effective management of forest fuels. I strongly support that goal.

I opposed their motions for the same reason that I expressed to some of them when those bills were referred to the Senate Natural Resources Committee. The activities they are advocating are the exact purpose of SB 762, Section 18 (page 10), entitled REDUCTION OF WILDFIRE RISK. SB 762 became law in the 2021 legislative session.

I specifically ask them to review Section 18 (3). There they will find the mandate for a rigorous process, involving a broad range of stakeholders around the state, for determining the best and most cost-effective plan for optimizing forest-based risk reduction with however much funding we can secure for that purpose.

Section 18 (2) directs ODF to “develop a 20-year strategic plan...that prioritizes restoration actions and geographies for wildfire risk reduction. The plan must be able to be used to direct federal, state and private investments in a tangible way.” That plan has now been developed, again through a rigorous stakeholder process with citizen input. It is the best possible blueprint for guiding investment of our limited resources in a way that gives our state the biggest bang for the buck over the next twenty years.

My colleagues’ unmistakable passion for wildfire risk reduction gives me hope that they’ll join me in advocating for increases in ODFW and OSFM budgets to meaningfully implement the activities laid out in this carefully-crafted 20-year plan. Diverting funds to activities that have NOT received this rigorous level of analysis—for example, dividing an appropriation in equal amounts to ten different counties—strikes me as a much less strategic and responsible approach.

I am sharing this vote explanation with colleagues who spoke for bill withdrawal as an invitation to join me in asking for more SB 762-related funding in this session's budget process.

SB 653 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 11th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 653 from the Senate Natural Resources Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 4 bills back-to-back today.

As a mom, grandma, environmental advocate, and current Chair of the Senate Energy & Environment, I am passionate about protecting our environment and health of our communities. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 653 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians can weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 724 - Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment the vote was: Ayes, 11; Nays, 17 – Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Frederick, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 724 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 11th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 724 from the Senate Energy & Environment Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of 4 bills back-to-back today.

As a mom, grandma, environmental advocate, and current Chair of the Senate Energy & Environment, I am passionate about protecting our environment and health of our communities. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 724 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians can weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been

analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SCR 13 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SJM 6; SB 225, 269, 406, 449, 643, 923, 992 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 519 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Hayden; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 536 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

Senate recessed until 1:45 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, April 11, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 1:45 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek.

HB 3443 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under that order.

SB 571 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. Potential conflict of interest declared by Gelser Blouin and Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 758 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Girod, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 792 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 862 A-Eng. - Read third time. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 9 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Hayden, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 893 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 954 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 11 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 123 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 161 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 218 - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Thatcher, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 275 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 7 - Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Thatcher, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote no.

SB 409 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. Senate at ease. Senate reassembled. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Manning Jr, Patterson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Thatcher, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 409 A-Eng. – Patterson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I am writing to explain my vote on SB 409 A. For the success of students, it is important that parents be involved in their children's education, and knowing what the curriculum is, and what the expectations of the students are, is a critical part of that process. Transparency is important and it is best achieved through regular communication.

Instructional materials used in Oregon's classrooms must reflect the most current information and research and be aligned to academic content standards, as is clearly stated on the website of the Oregon Department of Education. It further states that, "these instructional materials are subject, by law, to a review process and must meet established specifications and criteria in order to be approved by the State Board of Education for use in classrooms." A link to these approved instructional materials can be found on the ODE website here: Oregon Department of Education : Instructional Materials : Instructional Materials : State of Oregon.

I voted no on SB 409 A because I believe it adds an additional administrative burden on teachers and school administrators. It also may add extra cost for smaller districts which may not have the technological capability to easily implement this requirement.

Having raised two children, one with profound special needs, I was at their schools a great deal for meetings with their teachers, and to volunteer in the classroom. I firmly believe that direct communication with teachers and administrators is the best way for parents to be involved in their children's success at school and to know what they are learning.

I stand with teachers and parents who are working together for the success of every child and am opposed to layering more administrative burdens on educators that duplicate what is already being done.

SB 409 A-Eng. – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 11th, I voted yes on SB 409 A.

As a mom of public school educators and a former school board member, it is critically important that we continue to build relationships of trust within our public school system and with the educators that are providing our students with a world-class education.

SB 409 A is a step towards making the Standards of Education in Oregon easier to access to the public. SB 409 A will require all 197 school districts in Oregon to put a link on their district website to the Oregon Department of Education that includes the Education Standards and the approved Oregon Department of Education Curriculum. This bill is straight-forward and a simple step towards transparency. Each school district still can adopt curriculum specific for their district, as long as the curriculum adopted meets the Standards in place by the Oregon Department of Education.

SB 482 - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Thatcher, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

Senate recessed until 7:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, April 11, 2023 – Evening Session**

Senate reconvened at 7:00 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under that order.

SB 607 - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 736 - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 736 – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

This bill is a study bill, it is not legislation, it directs the Department of Education to look into a perceived problem. It will need to be amended in the House and come back to the Senate, I cannot support this bill in its current form. But who knows what it may be when it returns.

SB 756 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 756 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 756 started as a good bill to compensation, aid in scheduling and training for school employees who are not certified teachers. This costs money. Yet, the Senate was not willing to provide those funds. Instead, the bill passes the requirement to local schools with no money. Meaning it will not happen. This issue has been before the legislature multiple times. SB 756 should be referred by the Speaker to Joint Committee on Ways and Means. The legislature funds schools. How is there no fiscal? Fund the training. Fund the original bill.

SB 756 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

This bill should have gone to Ways & Means to be funded; otherwise this problem will not be solved. I voted No in committee because the committee was not referring to Ways & Means. I voted No on the floor because this bill is another unfunded mandate from the majority party.

SB 851 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 - Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 881 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Knopp. Potential conflict of interest declared by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 881 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 881 fixes a previous bill in which this Senator opposed. The fix is technical to the original bill. The fix is fine but does not need another vote. The original bill remains problematic.

SB 759 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 769, 192, 215, 256, 608, 718, 951, 1012, 205, 585, 907, 1043, 1068, 1069 - Carried over to April 12 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3426, 3435, 3442, 3471 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 3426, 3435, 3442, 3443, 3471 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 5, 18, 21, 24, 25; HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HB 2094, 2218, 2093 - Carried

over to April 12 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, April 12, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, April 12, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Rabbi Eli Herb from Temple Beth Shalom. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

Committee Report Summary No. 103, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 11. Summary list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1 – Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 303 – Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 549 – Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 596 – Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1075 – Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 1082 – Report by Committee on Education recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 104, listing the following report, was distributed to members April 11. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1002 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Finance and Revenue and Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Finance and Revenue then Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 105, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 262 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 283 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 404 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HB 2095 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2864 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 106, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 510 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 3160 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3161 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage.

SB 192 A-Eng. - Lieber moved to refer to Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion carried.

SB 681 – Brock Smith moved to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 681 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday, April 12th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 681 from the Senate Energy & Environment Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back today.

As a mom, grandma, environmental advocate, and current Chair of the Senate Energy & Environment, I am passionate about protecting our environment and health of our communities. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 681 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians can weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SJM 3 – Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SJR 23 – Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 448 – Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Labor and Business. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Labor and Business the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 17 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 448 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday, April 12th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 448 from the Senate Labor and Business Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back today.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians can weigh in about how these policies could

affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 58 - Findley moved to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 58 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday, April 12th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 58 from the Senate Natural Resources Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back today.

As a mom, grandma, environmental advocate, and current Chair of the Senate Energy & Environment, I am passionate about protecting our environment and health of our communities. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 58 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. This bill did not receive a work session in its policy committee and did not go through the full pathway a bill goes through before coming to the floor.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 93, 105, 270, 273, 303, 510, 523, 816, 823, 865, 872, 957 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 769 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Gelser Blouin. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

Senate recessed until 1:45 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, April 12, 2023 – Afternoon Session

Senate reconvened at 1:45 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under that order.

SB 215 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SB 215 A-Eng. – On question of passage the vote was: Ayes, 14; Nays, 15 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Dembrow, Findley, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Taylor, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Dembrow changed vote from aye to nay. Bill failed. Dembrow, having voted on the prevailing side, served notice of possible reconsideration.

SB 256 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Thatcher. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 256 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 256 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits counterfeit airbags from being made, sold, transferred, 64.2 or imported. It allows a person to sue for a violation of the law.

FLESCH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 256 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 256 should cover counterfeit airbags. However, the Carrier and other members pointed out the text of the bill may prevent the sale of a vehicle with a nonfunctioning air bag cannot be sold even with disclosure. The bill needs amended in the House to clarify the sale of a vehicle in which a nonfunctioning airbag is identified by the seller to the buyer.

SB 608 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 608 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 608 is a great concept for the future retention of pharmacies in Oregon. However, local pharmacies can go bankrupt in a matter of months not years as inflation and drug companies change rates. OHA has done a terrible job since inception so have no faith in OHA getting this right. CMMS rates are adjusted annually. The bill would allow three years to pass between adjustment requests. This should be done every

year. The losses in commercial activities tax alone would pay for an annual effort. If we have to change the CAT to save pharmacies the cost exceeds \$10 million per year. Amend in the House to yearly adjustment application.

SB 718 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 951 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 13 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 951 – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

As I mentioned in my verbal vote explanation, SB 951 is an unsound fiscal and economic move that should be avoided, not embraced.

I referred to The Prison Policy Initiative website: [https://www.prisonpolicy.org/reports/grading\\_parole.html](https://www.prisonpolicy.org/reports/grading_parole.html) which displays the following.

I further went on to reference the number of certified parole and probation officers employed by State Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision. This represents an extremely large number of individuals and each of these people will gain immediately and lucrative financial benefits regardless of whether their jobs is in the “high risk, high stress” category.

These costs will negatively impact the current budget, and all future budgets. Additionally, it will impact early retirement rates for the next five to ten years, and therefore there are yet unforeseen policy drawbacks.

During the closing portion of my comments, I used the phrase “political payback.” This phrase caused the Senate President to ask for clarification. The Senate President wanted to warn me about impugning members of the Senate.

I explained that I was not impugning any members of the Senate. My speech, instead was a reference to the number of NGOs, non-profits, and activist organizations that are interested in pursuing this agenda, including a broad spectrum of union employees and their advocates.

SB 1012 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Girod. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 205 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 585, 907, 1043, 1068, 1069; SJM 6; SB 225, 269, 406, 449, 643, 923, 992 - Carried over to April 13 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3558, 3564 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 3558, 3564 - Read first time and referred to President’s desk.

HB 2095, 2864, 3160, 3161 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24, 25; HB 2094, 2218, 2093; HCR 18, 21 - Carried over to April 13 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 11 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 46, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2274 Labor and Business  
 HB 2281 Education  
 HB 2285 Labor and Business  
 HB 2420 Health Care  
 HB 2484 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2504 Education  
 HB 2509 Judiciary  
 HB 2520 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2576 Finance and Revenue  
 HB 3042 Housing and Development  
 HB 3055 Energy and Environment  
 HB 3068 Education  
 HB 3135 Education  
 HB 3148 Judiciary  
 HB 3178 Education  
 HB 3204 Education  
 HB 3234 Human Services  
 HB 3256 Human Services  
 HB 3275 Judiciary  
 HB 3412 Labor and Business

The following measures were referred to committee on April 11 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 47, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 13 Rules  
 HB 2095 Transportation

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, April 13, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Thursday, April 13, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

HB 2057, 2144, 2522, 3307, 3417, 3440 – Message from the House announcing passage.



Committee Report Summary No. 107, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 12. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5501 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5508 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5517 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 16 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage with amendments.

SB 353 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 488 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 522 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 619 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 803 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 848 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 868 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 869 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means.

Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 870 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 871 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 108, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 489 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 215 A-Eng. – Dembrow, having voted on the prevailing side, and having served notice of possible reconsideration on April 12, moved that the vote whereby the bill failed to pass be reconsidered. On motion to reconsider the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Vote reconsidered.

SB 215 A-Eng. – Lieber moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion carried.

SJR 15 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SJR 15 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SJR 15 is a constitutional referral enshrining the CAT funding into the FSS. This was a bill pull to the floor for debate. Senate Rules do not allow discussion of the merits of the bill. Unfortunately, the Carrier of the motion to withdrawal did not explain the bill other than was part of the Student Success Fund. We had no advance notice thus was unfamiliar with the bill. Public debate is always good. We should always support open legislative debate and deliberations. Upon acquiring a computer have studied the resolution. Having reviewed the bill, I would in the future vote against the measure without changes. It looks to enshrine portions of the commercial activities tax which remains problematic. Again, the failure of previous deliberations is why bills are motioned to the floor.

SB 707 - Hansell moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber,

Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 707 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Thursday, April 13th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 707 from the Senate Education Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

As a mom of educators, grandma, and former school board member, I take the safety and wellbeing of our students and staff very seriously. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 707 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 259 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 259 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Thursday, April 13th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 259 from the Senate Education Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

As a mom of educators, grandma, and former school board member, I take the safety and wellbeing of our students and staff very seriously. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 259 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will

impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 550 - Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Gorsek, Knopp, Sollman. Motion failed.

SB 550 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Thursday, April 13 th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 550 from the Senate Education Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

As a mom of educators, grandma, and former school board member, I take the safety and well-being of our students and staff very seriously. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 550 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 239 - Anderson moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Gorsek, Knopp, Sollman. Motion failed. Linthicum, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 239 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Thursday, April 13 th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 239 from the Senate Education Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

As a mom of educators, grandma, and former school board member, I take the safety and wellbeing of our students and staff very seriously. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 239 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 77 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Gorsek, Knopp, Sollman. Motion failed.

SB 77 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Thursday, April 13 th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 77 from the Senate Education Committee without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 77 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 706 - Linthicum moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Gorsek, Knopp, Sollman. Motion failed.

Sb 706 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Thursday, April 13 th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 706 from the Senate Education Committee without following the

appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

As a mom of educators, grandma, and former school board member, I take the safety and well-being of our students and staff very seriously. My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 706 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SJM 6 – Lieber moved that the memorial be rereferred to the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs. On motion to rerefer the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 – Gorsek, Knopp, Sollman. Motion carried.

SB 5501, 5508, 5517; SB 16, 489 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 585 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Linthicum; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Sollman and Knopp, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye. Girod granted unanimous consent to change vote to aye.

SB 585 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 585 rightfully would allow a new U.S. citizen to participate in the major party structure. Every new citizens should have the right if naturalized after some artificial deadline. However, the State of Oregon subsidizes the two major parties which it should not. All taxpayers pay for the support of elections in both major parties but none of the minor parties. My own party is not subsidized by the government. History shows one major party has used this subsidy better than the other major party. Oregon should subsidize all parties or no parties. I support no subsidies for any party.

President Wagner in Chair.

SB 907 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Sollman, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 907 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 907 is a good employee protection concept but void of clarity. Clearly, private sector employers would face state regulations but what about state employees. Questions asked to the agencies were less than clear. The Carrier read bill text that DCBS OSHA was solely responsible. This Senator was told something different in writing so there is confusion. Questions asked of the agencies in regard to applicability to legislative employees were less than clear. Though still waiting, OSHA pointed to BOLI as having employee protection responsibility but BOLI failed legislative employees in the past. OSHA cited federal authority over the Legislative Assembly. The Eleventh Amendment arguments by the Oregon Attorney General indicated something completely different. The Attorney General claims to represent all present and past state-legislative employees along with all the agencies that would be involved against a civitized government employee. The federal EEOC claimed support to legislative employees in the building, yet, abandoned employees as soon as challenged by the Senate President's Legislative Counsel and Legislative Administration backed by the Oregon Attorney General. Neither state agency could clarify whom has authority to deal with the Speaker or President of the Legislative Assembly should a legislative employee be impacted. Any avenue under LBPR 27 for an employee would be blocked by the politically elected conduct committees per historical records along with employees legally responsible to the presiding officers. Without clarity as to protecting legislative employees the bill is opposed.

Senate recessed until 1:45 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, April 13, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 1:45 p.m. President Pro Tempore Manning Jr in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek.

SB 4 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on April 13.

HB 2053, 2127, 2178, 2192, 2235, 2238, 2556, 2875, 2921, 3028, 3227, 3485 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 28 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under that order.

SB 1043 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by President Wagner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Linthicum. Bill passed. Hayden, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 1068 - Read third time. President Wagner in Chair. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Linthicum. Bill passed. Hansell, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye. Hayden, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote no.

SB 1069 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek,

Linthicum. Bill passed. Hayden, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 225 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 – Hayden; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Linthicum. Bill passed. Hayden, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote no.

SB 269 - Read third time. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Linthicum. Bill passed. Hayden, excused, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

SB 406 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Linthicum. Bill passed.

SB 449 - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Linthicum. Bill passed.

SB 643, 923, 992, 93, 105, 270, 273, 303, 510, 523, 816, 823, 865, 872, 957 - Carried over to April 17 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2421, 2513, 2519, 2817, 2865, 2904, 2929 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 28; HB 2053, 2057, 2127, 2144, 2178, 2192, 2235, 2238, 2421, 2513, 2519, 2522, 2556, 2817, 2865, 2875, 2904, 2921, 2929, 3028, 3227, 3307, 3417, 3440, 3485 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24, 25; HB 2094, 2218, 2093; HCR 18, 21; HB 2095, 2864, 3160, 3161 - Carried over to April 17 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 12 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 48, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 3426 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 3435 Education  
 HB 3442 Housing and Development  
 HB 3443 Housing and Development  
 HB 3471 Labor and Business

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, April 17, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Monday, April 17, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by poet Aimee Okotie-Oyekan from Eugene, Oregon. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden,

Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Findley, Gorsek.

The following messages from the Governor, dated April 17, subject to Senate confirmation provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, were received and referred to the President's desk:

*(For complete term information, see measure history.)*

**Columbia River Gorge Commission (ORS 196.160)**

Carina Miller (r) (Warm Springs) 4-Year

**Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Gary George (Pendleton) 4-Year  
Charles Hofmann (Meridian) Unexpired 4-Year  
Erin Lair (Cove) Unexpired 4-Year

**Fair Dismissal Appeals Board (ORS 342.930)**

Laura Latham (Medford) Unexpired 4-Year  
Laura Latham (r) (Medford) 4-Year  
Sascha McKeon (Pendleton) 4-Year

**Health Evidence Review Commission (ORS 414.688)**

Kathryn Schabel (r) (Portland) 4-Year

**Oregon Investment Council (ORS 293.706)**

Alline Akintore (Portland) 4-Year

**Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability (ORS 1.410)**

Kevin Kebede-Berhana (Happy Valley) 4-Year  
Anton Leof (Portland) 4-Year

**Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots (ORS 776.105)**

George Wales (r) (Coos Bay) 4-Year

**Board of Medical Imaging (ORS 688.545)**

Nourolhoda Birouti (r) (Clackamas) 3-Year  
Dustin Degman (Florence) 3-Year

**Oregon State Board of Nursing (ORS 678.140)**

Margaret Hill (Portland) Partial 3-Year

**Occupational Therapy Licensing Board (ORS 675.310)**

Maxwell Perkins (r) (Bend) 4-Year

**Board of Trustees of Oregon Institute of Technology (ORS 352.076)**

Cecilia Amuchastegui (Klamath Falls) 4-Year  
David Cauble (Klamath Falls) 4-Year  
Vijay Dhir (Santa Monica) 4-Year  
Johnnie Early II (Tallahassee) 4-Year  
Don Gentry (Klamath Falls) 4-Year

**Board of Trustees of Oregon State University (ORS 352.076)**

Kasaundra Bonanno (Portland) 2-Year  
Stephanie Bulger (Eugene) Unexpired 4-Year  
Karla Chambers (Corvallis) 4-Year  
Grant Kitamura (Fruitland) 4-Year  
Gregroy Macpherson (Albany) 4-Year  
Elise McClure (Portland) 4-Year

**OregonServes Commission (ORS 660.500)**

Shenika Cumberbatch-Corpas (r) (Redmond) 3-Year  
Nathan Rix (r) (Portland) 3-Year

**Oregon Board of Physical Therapy (ORS 688.160)**

Erin Crawford (Carlton) 4-Year  
Dwight Terry (r) (Portland) 4-Year  
Dwight Terry (Portland) Unexpired 4-Year

**Board of Commissioners of the Port of Portland (ORS 778.215)**

Mike DeVaughn (Portland) Unexpired 4-Year

**Board of Trustees of Portland State University (S.168, C768, OL 2013)**

Benjamin Berry (r) (Lake Oswego) 4-Year  
Judith Ramaley (r) (Portland) 4-Year  
Vicki Reitenauer (Portland) 2-Year  
Elizabeth Tarasawa (r) (Portland) 4-Year  
Edgar (Wally) Van Valkenburg (r) (Portland) 4-Year

**Oregon Board of Psychology (ORS 675.100)**

David Greaves (Portland) 3-Year

**Public Records Advisory Council (192.481)**

Jose Hernandez (r) (Portland) 4-Year

**Board on Public Safety Standards and Training (ORS 181.620)**

James Adams (r) (Bend) 3-Year  
Scott Nowning (r) (Salem) 3-Year

**Oregon Racing Commission (ORS 462.210)**

Diego Conde (r) (Lake Oswego) 4-Year

**Salem Area Mass Transit District (ORS 267.090)**

Sadie Carney (r) (Salem) 4-Year  
Ian Davidson (r) (Salem) 4-Year  
Nicholas Fortey (Salem) Unexpired 4-Year

Nicholas Fortey (r) (Salem) 4-Year  
 William Holmstrom (r) (Salem) 4-Year

**Board of Trustees of Southern Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Miranda Pieper (r) (Ashland) 2-Year

**State Library Board (ORS 350.010)**

Benjamin Tate (r) (Salem) 4-Year

**Oregon Transportation Commission (ORS 184.612)**

Jeff Baker (Lake Oswego) Unexpired 4-Year  
 Alicia Chapman (r) (Portland) 4-Year  
 Alicia Chapman (Portland) Unexpired 4-Year

**Tri-Met Board (ORS 267.090)**

Robert Kellogg (Tualatin) 4-Year

**Board of Trustees of University of Oregon (S.168,C.768, OL 2013)**

Steve Holwerda (r) (Lake Oswego) 4-Year  
 Elisa Hornecker (r) (Portland) 4-Year  
 Ed Madison (r) (Eugene) 2-Year  
 Connie Seeley (r) (Lake Oswego) 4-Year  
 Andy Storment (Eugene) 4-Year  
 Amy Tykeson (Ovando) 4-Year  
 Ruby Wool (Eugene) 2-Year

**Board of Trustees of Western Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Jerardo Ambris (r) (Salem) 4-Year  
 Cas Wonsowicz (Portland) Unexpired 4-Year

**Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (ORS 656.790)**

Sarah Merrick (Salem) 3-Year

The following message from the Governor, dated April 17, announcing appointments subject to Senate confirmation as provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, were withdrawn:

*(For complete term information, see measure history.)*

**State Board of Licensed Social Workers (ORS 675.590)**

Dragosh Negrea (Astoria) Partial 4-Year

SB 427; HB 2283, 2345, 2372, 2740, 2870, 2889, 3143, 3205, 3258, 3260, 3294, 3309, 3362, 3385, 3421, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3532, 3561, 3563, 3572, 3596, 5001, 5007, 5021, 5023, 5032, 5038 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 5, 7, 8, 11 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Committee Report Summary No. 109, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 13. Summary list

recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 380 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 470 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 554 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1061 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 110, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 14. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 321 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 337 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referral denied by order of the President. Referred to Rules by order of the President with subsequent referral to Ways and Means.

SB 348 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Minority report, signed by Thatcher and Linthicum, recommending passage with different amendments. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 355 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 376 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 614 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 697 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Minority

report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 698 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, without recommendation as to passage and requesting rescission of subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Minority report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with amendments and requesting rescission of subsequent referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Subsequent referral to Ways and Means rescinded by order of the President. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 780 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 808 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 886 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments.

SB 965 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

SB 974 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1045 - Report by Committee on Education without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

SB 1060 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 111, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 473 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

SB 1052 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2411 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

HB 2687 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2689 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

HB 2966 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HCR 21 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's third reading calendar and be made a Special Order of Business on May 4 calendar. On motion to take and place, the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion carried.

HCR 25 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's third reading calendar and be made a Special Order of Business on May 23 calendar. On motion to take and place, the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion carried.

SB 640 - Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 640 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 17th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 640 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of six bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 640 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 637 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 637 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 17th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 637 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the

appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of six bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 637 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 667 - Hansell moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 667 - Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Monday, April 17th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 667 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of six bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 667 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SJR 11 - Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 10; Nays, 18 – Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SJR 13 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SJR 30 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SCR 14; SR 2; SB 1091, 1092 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 355, 376, 473, 614, 780, 808, 886, 965, 974, 1052, 1060 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 643 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 923 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 9 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 923 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 923 appears in text to change the school formula. The staff measure summary indicates in may impact over 78,000 students. The potential loss of funding to certain schools based on ADM impacts would be detrimental. It is unfathomable to claim to support students then remove up to 78,000 from funding based on a new counting method. Hopefully, the House will address the ADM issue related to the school formula.

SB 992 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 992 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 992 eliminates some school options that will negatively impact students. The bill takes Oregon in the wrong direction as bases education on time in a school room not merits. Changing alternative certificates to 'certificate of attendance' is demeaning to young Oregonians who receive such certificates. There is no testimony listed on OLIS under public testimony. The school board association in verbal testimony witness registration opposed the bill. The school administrators opposed the bill in witness registration as well.

SB 992 A-Eng. - Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:



SB 992 was opposed by the school board administrators and the school boards because of the burden that it would put on their organizations. The concept is well intentioned, but the execution is flawed. I believe in local control for schools, this is more of the same top-down approach.

Senate recessed until 5:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, April 17, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 5:00 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Findley, Gorsek.

The following message from the Governor, dated April 17, subject to Senate confirmation provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, was received and referred to the President's desk:

*(For complete term information, see measure history.)*

#### **Director of Employment Department (ORS 657.608)**

David Gerstenfeld (St. Paul)

4-Year

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, resumed under that order.

SB 93 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 6 – Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed. Bonham, Linthicum, Brock Smith, and Thatcher granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

SB 93 A-Eng. - Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On the A-engrossed version of Senate Bill 93-A, Page 1, lines 19-21 reads: (G) The Department of Human Services or other child-caring agency if the department has taken the child or ward into protective custody or if the department or agency has temporary custody of the child or ward; and

Last week during testimony on the Senate Floor, we heard that nearly 90% of all child custody allegations against parents are either, unfounded or false. Therefore, the blatant disregard for verifiable evidence of abuse or wrong-doing by a parent or guardian is a weakness that cannot be justified. The “has taken the child” language should set off alarms for all parents, grandparents and legal guardians. Was this “taking” warranted? justified? Appropriate?

Typically, in order to grant temporary custody, the court must find by a fair preponderance of the evidence that because the parent or guardian has performed acts of omission or commission, the child is suffering from serious physical illness or injury or is in immediate physical danger.

A legitimate grant for “temporary custody” would simply follow existing procedures (as seen in existing language.) However, the new language, “department has taken the child or ward into protective custody,” cannot be justified as it fails to provide due process rights for parents and legal guardians.

Hence, my vote against SB 93-A.

SB 105 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 9 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 270 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 273 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 303 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 11 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 510 - Read third time. Carried by Thatcher. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 523 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow, Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 523 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 523 simply allows the four-year nursing programs at community colleges to be titled BS Degrees in Nursing. Simple equitable application to each graduate. However, despite floor debate, the present nursing shortage is due to the termination of qualified nurses at hospitals and long-term care facilities commencing March 13, 2020 under executive powers of the Governor. This bill changes nothing other than setting equity between college and university degrees.

SB 816 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 823 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 823 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 823 is a halfway bill per the staff measure summary. The text is no better. The SMS states abuse reports should be released upon request in order just like private abuse reports. Then the SMS states the amendment excludes certain state agencies from disclosure. Hiding

abuse at state agencies is not acceptable. Nothing more than a cover up of government abuse.

SB 865 A-Eng. - Read third time. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 865 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 865 received no testimony in committee. There were no witnesses in public hearings. There is no testimony in OLIS. Nothing. The agencies and branch impacted were not present nor submitted any position or understanding of the bill. None. The impact on the Judicial Branch latitude given to Judges appears to be removed for some reason. Parts of the bill sound good but what is the purpose of the changes? Readings of the bill and summary provided little clarity of the issue more government would resolve.

SB 865 A-Eng. - Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted against this bill although I support the concept of this legislation. My main opposition to this bill is that most of these decisions are done on a case-by-case basis and so I feel it is a bad idea to put this into legislation and rules. It has the potential to limit what individual case workers can do.

Again, I am supportive of the concept that the carrier on the floor described. Unfortunately, this bill does more than what was said on the floor and is somewhat different than was described.

SB 872 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smtih. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 957 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 5501 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 5508 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 5517 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 16 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 1 - Meek; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 489 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 10 - Bonham, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock

Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 489 - Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

During committee testimony the Oregon School Boards Association stated that this was an additional cost for school districts and was not funded by the State.

This means that the local school districts would have to pick up the additional cost for this program. I have been speaking on the floor for more than two years now about the poor way we fund education and the dangers of the state bureaucracy on our children's education. This is another example of this.

HB 5001, 5007, 5021, 5023, 5032, 5038; HB 2235, 2283, 2345, 2372, 2740, 2817, 2870, 2889, 3143, 3205, 3258, 3260, 3294, 3309, 3362, 3385, 3421, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3532, 3561, 3563, 3572, 3596 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2411, 2687, 2689, 2966 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24; HB 2094, 2218, 2093; HCR 18; HB 2095, 2864, 3160, 3161 - Carried over to April 18 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, April 18, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, April 18, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Kate Lieber. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Findley, Gorsek.

Committee Report Summary No. 112, listing the following report, was distributed to members April 17. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 292 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 113, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 17. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 891 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, without recommendation as to passage and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Minority

report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with amendments printed A-Engrossed. Request denied by order of the President. Referred to Committee on Health Care by order of the President.

SB 953 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, recommending passage with amendments. Minority Report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with different amendments. Referred to Committee on Health Care by order of the President.

SB 1070 - Report by Committee on Judiciary without recommendation as to passage, but with amendments, and requesting referral to Committee on Rules. Request denied by order of the President. Referred to Committee on Human Services by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 114, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5522 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 990 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 990 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday April 18th , Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 990 from the Senate Committee on Finance and Revenue without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 990 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 435 - Hayden moved to withdraw from the Committee on Housing and Development. On motion to withdraw from

the Committee on Housing and Development the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 435 – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 435 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 435 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee, but instead a vote against their attempt to undermine our democratic and legislative process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee advances bills before they have been appropriately considered by experts and the public. It is central to our democratic process that the public can provide insight and share their opinions on the bills that we pass and that they know what we are working on every step of the way. Transparency and accessibility must be the cornerstones of our work as legislators. When we bypass the committee process, we shut the public out of conversations about legislation that could affect their communities, families, and lives.

Furthermore, making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor means that financial impact statements have not been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. I cannot in good conscience vote in favor of a fiscally irresponsible process.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee, not the merits of the actual bill itself.

SB 435 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday April 18th , Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 435 from the Senate Committee on Housing and Development without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 435 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SJR 17 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue the vote was: Ayes, 12;

Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SJR 17 – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SJR 17 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SJR 17 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee, but instead a vote against their attempt to undermine our democratic and legislative process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee advances bills before they have been appropriately considered by experts and the public. It is central to our democratic process that the public can provide insight and share their opinions on the bills that we pass and that they know what we are working on every step of the way. Transparency and accessibility must be the cornerstones of our work as legislators. When we bypass the committee process, we shut the public out of conversations about legislation that could affect their communities, families, and lives.

Furthermore, making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor means that financial impact statements have not been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. I cannot in good conscience vote in favor of a fiscally irresponsible process.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee, not the merits of the actual bill itself.

SB 436 - Linthicum moved to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 436 – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 436 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 436 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee, but instead a vote against their attempt to undermine our democratic and legislative process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee advances bills before they have been appropriately considered by experts and the public. It is central to our democratic process that the public can provide insight and share their opinions on the bills that we pass and that they know what we are working on every step of the way. Transparency and accessibility must be the cornerstones of our work as legislators. When we bypass the committee process, we shut the public out of conversations about legislation that could affect their communities, families, and lives.

Furthermore, making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor means that financial impact statements have not been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax

dollars. I cannot in good conscience vote in favor of a fiscally irresponsible process.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee, not the merits of the actual bill itself.

SB 436 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 436 from the Senate Committee on Finance and Revenue without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 436 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SJM 1 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SJM 1 – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SJM 1 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SJM 1 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee, but instead a vote against their attempt to undermine our democratic and legislative process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee advances bills before they have been appropriately considered by experts and the public. It is central to our democratic process that the public can provide insight and share their opinions on the bills that we pass and that they know what we are working on every step of the way. Transparency and accessibility must be the cornerstones of our work as legislators. When we bypass the committee process, we shut the public out of conversations about legislation that could affect their communities, families, and lives.

Furthermore, making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor means that financial impact statements have not been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will

impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. I cannot in good conscience vote in favor of a fiscally irresponsible process.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee, not the merits of the actual bill itself.

SB 446 - Anderson moved to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 446 – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 446 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 446 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee, but instead a vote against their attempt to undermine our democratic and legislative process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee advances bills before they have been appropriately considered by experts and the public. It is central to our democratic process that the public can provide insight and share their opinions on the bills that we pass and that they know what we are working on every step of the way. Transparency and accessibility must be the cornerstones of our work as legislators. When we bypass the committee process, we shut the public out of conversations about legislation that could affect their communities, families, and lives.

Furthermore, making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor means that financial impact statements have not been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. I cannot in good conscience vote in favor of a fiscally irresponsible process.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee, not the merits of the actual bill itself.

SB 446 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 446 from the Senate Committee on Finance and Revenue without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 446 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will

impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 447 - Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 447 – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday, April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 447 from the Senate Committee on Rules without following the appropriate legislative process.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 447 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee, but instead a vote against their attempt to undermine our democratic and legislative process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee advances bills before they have been appropriately considered by experts and the public. It is central to our democratic process that the public can provide insight and share their opinions on the bills that we pass and that they know what we are working on every step of the way. Transparency and accessibility must be the cornerstones of our work as legislators. When we bypass the committee process, we shut the public out of conversations about legislation that could affect their communities, families, and lives.

Furthermore, making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor means that financial impact statements have not been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars. I cannot in good conscience vote in favor of a fiscally irresponsible process.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee, not the merits of the actual bill itself.

SB 447 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Tuesday April 18th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 447 from the Senate Committee on Finance and Revenue without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of seven bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 447 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will

impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SCR 15 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 292 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 355 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 376 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Linthicum. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 473 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 614 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 10 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Girod, Golden, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 614 A-Eng. - Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 614 no vote. The SB 614 bill summary states "permits law enforcement agency to collect and maintain information about person's political, religious or social views or activities if information was collected and maintained for lawful purpose, including activities carried out in accordance with policy for officer-worn video cameras." Section 1 (2) states it is OK to spy on citizens if "the information was collected and maintained for any other lawful purpose, including but not limited to, activities carried out in accordance with ORS 133.741." There is no testimony in favor on OLIS testimony link. One opposed. Verbal testimony by sheriffs was neutral. Despite discussion on the floor, seemingly unrelated to the bill, the words in the law are quite clear. It limits nothing. It expands political and religious surveillance authority. Further, the USDHS, USDOJ, and FBI have been very clear in advising local law enforcement anyone opposing the policies of government at any level should be considered a potential domestic terrorist thus subject of investigation. The USDOJ has targeted Catholic and other churches including in Oregon. Local law enforcement has been advised in Oregon to monitor churches, school boards, political organizations, and social media just like the rest of the nation. The original intent of SB 614 was to provide body camera clarifications but now widens government surveillance without a judicial warrant. The bill looks like a blatant attempt to circumvent the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution as amended.

SB 614 A-Eng. – Campos requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

For the record, my "No" vote on SB 614A on Tuesday, April 18th, was due to concerns I have around the language in Section 1, Subsection 2

(lines 12-13 of the bill), which exempts law enforcement from constraints described in Section 1 in lines 6-8 of the bill, if "...the information was collected and maintained for any other lawful purpose, including but not limited to, activities carried out in accordance with ORS 133.741." This language is overly broad in its expansion of the exemption to civil liberties protections and expands the bill past what I understand to be its intended purpose. I believe this subsection should be amended in the House of Representatives to address only the appropriate change in the law within its needed scope. If these changes are made in the House of Representatives, I would be happy to have the opportunity to support the amended version of SB 614 on concurrence.

SB 614 A-Eng. - Golden requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

My "No" vote today on SB 614A was based on the wording of Section 1, Subsection 2 (lines 12-13 of the bill), which exempts law enforcement from constraints described in Section 1 in lines 6-8 of the bill, if

"...the information was collected and maintained for any other lawful purpose, including but not limited to, activities carried out in accordance with ORS 133.741."

My examination of material related to the bill leaves me with the concern that this wording, particularly the phrase I've highlighted above, is more vague and arguably broader than the intent of the bill, as I understand it, requires. Because it expands the exemption to an important civil liberties protection, I believe this subsection should be re-written to narrow and clarify its scope.

My hope is that SB 614A will be amended accordingly in the House of Representatives, and that I have the opportunity to support the amended version on concurrence.

SB 780 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 808, 886, 965, 974, 1052, 1060 - Carried over to April 19 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 577, 785; HB 2507, 2680, 3179, 3199, 3213, 3320, 3343 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2507, 2680, 3179, 3199, 3213, 3320, 3343 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24; HB 2094, 2218, 2093; HCR 18; HB 2095, 2864, 3160, 3161, 2411, 2687, 2689, 2966 - Carried over to April 19 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures and appointments were referred to committee on April 17 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 49, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2053 Labor and Business  
HB 2057 Labor and Business

HB 2127 Housing and Development  
 HB 2144 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
 HB 2178 Labor and Business  
 HB 3558 Human Services  
 HB 3564 Education

**Columbia River Gorge Commission (ORS 196.160)**

Carina Miller (r) Rules

**Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Gary George Rules  
 Charles Hofmann Rules  
 Erin Lair Rules

**Fair Dismissal Appeals Board (ORS 342.930)**

Laura Latham (r) Rules  
 Laura Latham Rules  
 Sascha McKeon Rules

**Health Evidence Review Commission (ORS 414.688)**

Kathryn Schabel (r) Rules

**Oregon Investment Council (ORS 293.706)**

Alline Akintore Rules

**Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability (ORS 1.410)**

Kevin Kebede-Berhana Rules  
 Anton Leaf Rules

**Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots (ORS 776.105)**

George Wales (r) Rules

**Board of Medical Imaging (ORS 688.545)**

Nourolhoda Birouti (r) Rules  
 Dustin Degman Rules

**Oregon State Board of Nursing (ORS 678.140)**

Margaret Hill Rules

**Occupational Therapy Licensing Board (ORS 675.310)**

Maxwell Perkins (r) Rules

**Board of Trustees of Oregon Institute of Technology (ORS 352.076)**

Cecilia Amuchastegui Rules  
 David Cauble Rules  
 Vijay Dhir Rules  
 Johnnie Early II Rules  
 Don Gentry Rules

**Board of Trustees of Oregon State University (ORS 352.076)**

Kasaundra Bonanno Rules  
 Stephanie Bulger Rules  
 Karla Chambers Rules  
 Grant Kitamura Rules  
 Gregory Macpherson Rules  
 Elise McClure Rules

**OregonServes Commission (ORS 660.500)**

Shenika Cumberbatch-Corpas (r) Rules  
 Nathan Rix (r) Rules

**Oregon Board of Physical Therapy (ORS 688.160)**

Erin Crawford Rules  
 Dwight Terry Rules  
 Dwight Terry (r) Rules

**Board of Commissioners of the Port of Portland (ORS 778.215)**

Mike DeV Vaughn Rules

**Board of Trustees of Portland State University (S.168, C768, OL 2013)**

Benjamin Berry (r) Rules  
 Judith Ramaley (r) Rules  
 Vicki Reitenauer Rules  
 Elizabeth Tarasawa (r) Rules  
 Edgar (Wally) Van Valkenburg (r) Rules

**Oregon Board of Psychology (ORS 675.100)**

David Greaves Rules

**Public Records Advisory Council (192.481)**

Jose Hernandez (r) Rules

**Board on Public Safety Standards and Training (ORS 181.620)**

James Adams (r) Rules  
 Scott Nowning (r) Rules

**Oregon Racing Commission (ORS 462.210)**

Diego Conde (r) Rules

**State Resilience Officer (s.1, HB 2270)**

Jonna Papaefthimiou Rules

**Salem Area Mass Transit District (ORS 267.090)**

Sadie Carney (r) Rules  
 Ian Davidson (r) Rules  
 Nicholas Fortey (r) Rules  
 Nicholas Fortey Rules  
 William Holmstrom (r) Rules

**Board of Trustees of Southern Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Miranda Pieper (r) Rules

**State Library Board (ORS 350.010)**

Benjamin Tate (r) Rules

**Oregon Transportation Commission (ORS 184.612)**

Jeff Baker Rules  
 Alicia Chapman (r) Rules  
 Alicia Chapman Rules

**Tri-Met Board (ORS 267.090)**

Robert Kellogg Rules

**Board of Trustees of University of Oregon (S.168,C.768, OL 2013)**

Steve Holwerda (r)	Rules
Elisa Hornecker (r)	Rules
Ed Madison (r)	Rules
Connie Seeley (r)	Rules
Andy Stormont	Rules
Amy Tykeson	Rules
Ruby Wool	Rules

**Board of Trustees of Western Oregon University (ORS 352.076)**

Jerardo Ambris (r)	Rules
Cas Wonsowicz	Rules

**Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee (ORS 656.790)**

Sarah Merrick	Rules
---------------	-------

The following measures were referred to committee on April 17 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 50, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HCR 28 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs

HB 2192 Natural Resources  
 HB 2235 Health Care  
 HB 2238 Natural Resources  
 HB 2421 Health Care  
 HB 2513 Health Care  
 HB 2519 Judiciary  
 HB 2522 Natural Resources  
 HB 2556 Labor and Business  
 HB 2817 Health Care  
 HB 2865 Housing and Development  
 HB 2921 Labor and Business  
 HB 2929 Natural Resources  
 HB 3028 Labor and Business  
 HB 3227 Education  
 HB 3307 Labor and Business  
 HB 3417 Housing and Development  
 HB 3440 Natural Resources  
 HB 3485 Natural Resources

SCR 5, 7, 8, 11; SB 427 - President Wagner signed on April 18.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, April 19, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Wednesday, April 19, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Musical performance of "Fanfare" by Portland Youth Harmonic, conducted by David Hattner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Findley, Gorsek.

Committee Report Summary No. 115, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

Report by Committee on Rules with recommendations on the following Governor's appointments:

*(r - reappointment, aw - appearance waived)*

**Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs**

Lorne Bulling	Be confirmed en bloc.
Joselito Tanega	Be confirmed en bloc.
Joselito Tanega (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.

**Director of the Oregon Department of Aviation**

Thomas Kenji Sugahara	Be confirmed en bloc.
-----------------------	-----------------------

**Behavior Analysis Regulatory Board**

Meghan Johns (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.
------------------	-----------------------

**Commission on Black Affairs**

Mitchell Asemota	Be confirmed en bloc.
Lakayana Drury	Be confirmed en bloc.
LaNicia Duke	Be confirmed en bloc.

**Oregon Board of Dentistry**

Reza Sharifi (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.
------------------	-----------------------

**State Board of Denture Technology**

Ronald Farris (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.
-------------------	-----------------------

**State Board of Direct Entry Midwifery**

Christine Morales (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.
Lynette Pettibone (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.

**Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University**

Kerry Thompson (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.
Kerry Thompson	Be confirmed en bloc.

**Employment Relations Board**

Benjamin O'Glasser	Be confirmed en bloc.
--------------------	-----------------------

**Oregon Growth Board**

Amy Nelson	Be confirmed en bloc.
Sabrina Parsons (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.

**Health Evidence Review Commission**

Devan Kansagara (r)	Be confirmed en bloc.
---------------------	-----------------------

**Health Insurance Exchange Advisory Committee**

Shannon Lee	Be confirmed en bloc.
Danielle Nichols	Be confirmed en bloc.

**Commission on Hispanic Affairs**

Katya Butler	Be confirmed en bloc.
--------------	-----------------------



Humberto Marquez Mendez Be confirmed en bloc.  
Christina Wood (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Christina Wood Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Land Use Board of Appeals

Michelle Rudd (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots

Mitchell Allgower Be confirmed en bloc.  
Carrie Outhier Banks Be confirmed en bloc.  
Carrie Outhier Banks (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### State Board of Massage Therapists

Rami Layouts Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Medical Board

Robby Azar Be confirmed en bloc.  
Sheronne Blasi Be confirmed en bloc.  
David Cook Be confirmed en bloc.  
Katja Daoud Be confirmed en bloc.  
Katja Daoud (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Alexandria Mageehon (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Jill Shaw-Braem (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Occupational Therapy Licensing Board

Ellen Stauder Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Board of Optometry

Laurie Goolsby (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### OregonServes Commission

Sierra Barnes (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
June Bass Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Patient Safety Commission Board of Directors

Lisa Bui (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Hollie Caldwell Be confirmed en bloc.  
Erin Sprando Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Board of Physical Therapy

Rebecca Reisch (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Public Employees Retirement Board

Suzanne Linneen Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Quality Education Commission

John Rexford (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Racing Commission

Quinn Berry Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Residential Ombudsman and Public Guardianship Advisory Board

Melanie St. John Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Tri-Met Board

Tyler Frisbee Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Commission for Women

Alicia Chapman Be confirmed en bloc.  
Libra Forde Be confirmed en bloc.  
Irene Konev Be confirmed en bloc.  
Ashley Lancaster Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee

Ryan Hearn Be confirmed en bloc.  
Scott Strickland (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

SB 641 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 641 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 641 summary states “provides that Oregon Health Authority and Department of Education may not adopt rules requiring immunization against COVID-19 as a condition of attendance in any school, children’s facility or post-secondary institution of education.” This was a bill pull to the floor to debate government mandated immunizations of an experimental medical procedure as ruled upon by the U.S. Federal Drug Administration and Center for Disease Control. The FDA and CDC are funded by corporate pharmaceutical corporations. The mandating of experimental procedures violates international treaties. Treaties established to theoretically prevent genocide and crimes against humanity. These treaties are a result of the Nuremberg Trials after World War II. The medical defendants in those trials claimed they were only following government orders. In the 1960s, the children of World War II became prosecutors who then started prosecutions in earnest against those crimes against humanity decades earlier. History repeats itself. Future prosecutors will take up these crimes in the future. Those prosecutors will need evidence in the government records as to what happen. For this reason. I voted to pull SB 641 to the Floor to establish a record for these future prosecutors. Every Oregon State Senator registered Democrat voted against debating this measure.

SB 641 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday April 19th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 641 from the Senate Committee on Education without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 641 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won’t happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 638 - Girod moved to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 638 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 638 summary states “makes discrimination against individual based on vaccination history, individual's refusal to obtain vaccination or individual's failure to provide proof of immunity to communicable disease unlawful employment practice.” SB 638 upon passage would have recognized past errors then prevented future medical malpractice on an epic scale. This was a bill pull to the floor to debate government mandated immunizations of an experimental medical procedure as ruled upon by the U.S. Federal Drug Administration. The FDA and CDC are funded by corporate pharmaceutical corporations. The mandating of experimental procedures violates international treaties. Treaties established to theoretically prevent genocide and crimes against humanity. These treaties are a result of the Nuremberg Trials after World War II. The medical defendants in those trials claimed they were only following government orders. A claim made in the Legislative Assembly since March 13, 2020. In the 1960s, the children of World War II became prosecutors who then started prosecutions in earnest against those crimes against humanity decades earlier. History repeats itself. Future prosecutors will take up these crimes in the future. Those prosecutors will need evidence in the government records as to what happen. For this reason. I voted to pull SB 638 to the Floor to establish a record for these future prosecutors. Every registered Democrat in the Oregon State Senate voted against debating this measure.

SB 638 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday April 19th , Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 638 from the Senate Committee on Health Care without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 638 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been

analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 642 - Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 642 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday April 19th , Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 642 from the Senate Committee on Health Care without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 642 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 666 - Linthicum moved to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 666 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday April 19th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 666 from the Senate Committee on Health Care without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 666 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative

process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 25 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Labor and Business. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Labor and Business the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 25 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 25 summary states “directs state agencies in executive branch to offer to reinstate employees whose employment with agency was terminated because person refused to obtain vaccination against COVID-19.” SB 25 passage would have admitted errors then taken corrective action to prevent future mistakes. This was a bill pull to the floor to debate government mandated immunizations of an experimental medical procedure as ruled upon by the U.S. Federal Drug Administration. The FDA and CDC are funded by corporate pharmaceutical corporations. The mandating of experimental procedures violates international treaties. Treaties established to theoretically prevent genocide and crimes against humanity. These treaties are a result of the Nuremberg Trials after World War II. The medical defendants in those trials claimed they were only following government orders. In the 1960s, the children of World War II became prosecutors who then started prosecutions in earnest against those crimes against humanity decades earlier. History repeats itself. Future prosecutors will take up these crimes in the future. Those prosecutors will need evidence in the government records as to what happen. For this reason. I voted to pull SB 25 to the Floor to establish a record for these future prosecutors. Every registered Democrat in the Oregon State Senate voted against debating this measure.

SB 25 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday April 19th , Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 25 from the Senate Committee on Labor and Business without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of five bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 25 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies

could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SCR 16; SJR 33 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 5522 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 808 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Prozanski. Potential conflict of interest declared by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 808 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 808 places more undue authority in the Attorney General whom should be appointed by the Governor. This is a continued consolidation of power by a “sole” person in the Executive Branch whom then claims to represent every member and employee of the Judicial Branch and Executive Branch as well. The Oregon Constitution allegedly requires separation of power for which the Attorney General does not believe in at all. SB 808 is bad policy.

SB 886 A-Eng. – Read third time. President Wagner in Chair.

SB 886 A-Eng. – Lieber moved that the bill be taken from today's third reading calendar and placed on April 25 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 – Findley, Gorsek, Meek. Motion carried.

SB 965 A-Eng. – Read third time.

SB 965 A-Eng. – Lieber moved that the bill be taken from today's third reading calendar and placed on April 25 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 – Findley, Gorsek, Meek. Motion carried.

SB 974 A-Eng. – Moved to the foot of today's third reading calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 1052 - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 3 - Findley, Gorsek, Meek. Bill passed.

SB 1052 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 1052 contains improvements to the human trafficking laws. The Attorney General's enforcement record is dismal. Have zero faith in the ODOJ on this issue. None-the-less, it should be passed. However, the debt bondage involuntary servitude portion appears to make any loan problematic. This needs clarified in the House as Section 2 needs as robust definition discussion with written clarification. Would the charging of high interested rates fall under the written text of the bill. Would high interest rates charged by state agencies and taxing authorities that make individuals homeless fall under debt bondage. Clarifications in the House are requested. Look forward to the return of the measure from the House.

SB 1060 A-Eng. – Moved to the foot of today's third reading calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 292 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Excused, 4 - Findley, Gorsek, Prozanski, Steiner. Bill passed.

At ease. Senate reassembled.

SB 974, 1060 - Carried over to April 20 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24; HB 2094, 2218, 2093; HCR 18; HB 2095, 2864, 3160, 3161, 2411, 2687, 2689, 2966 - Carried over to April 20 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 577, 785 - President Wagner signed on April 19.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, April 20, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, April 20, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Rabbi Avrohom Perlstein, Chabad Jewish Center. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Campos, Findley, Gorsek.

SCR 5, 7, 8, 11; SB 427 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on April 19.

SB 577, 785 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on April 20.

Committee Report Summary No. 116, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 19. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 528 - Report by Committee on Judiciary, signed by Prozanski, Chair, recommending passage with amendments. Minority Report, signed by Linthicum and Thatcher, recommending passage with different amendments and requesting subsequent referral to Ways and Means be rescinded. Request denied by order of the President. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 542 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments.

SB 254 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 1010 – Brock Smith moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 477 - Hansell moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 11; Nays, 16 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 302 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Health Care the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 3 – Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Motion failed.

Lieber moved that the Senate confirm en bloc the Governor's appointments as listed:

#### **Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs**

Lorne Bulling  
 Joselito Tanega  
 Joselito Tanega (r)

#### **Director of the Oregon Department of Aviation**

Thomas Kenji Sugahara

**Commission on Black Affairs**

Mitchell Asemota  
Lakayana Drury  
LaNicia Duke

Alicia Chapman  
Libra Forde  
Irene Konev  
Ashley Lancaster

**Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University**

Kerry Thompson  
Kerry Thompson (r)

**Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee**

Ryan Hearn  
Scott Strickland (r)

**Employment Relations Board**

Benjamin O'Glasser

On confirmation the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Linthicum; Excused, 3 - Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Confirmed en bloc.

**Oregon Growth Board**

Amy Nelson  
Sabrina Parsons (r)

Lieber moved that the Senate confirm en bloc the Governor's appointments as listed.

**Commission on Hispanic Affairs**

Katya Butler  
Humberto Marquez Mendez  
Christina Wood  
Christina Wood (r)

**Behavior Analysis Regulatory Board**

Meghan Johns (r)

**Land Use Board of Appeals**

Michelle Rudd (r)

**Oregon Board of Dentistry**

Reza Sharifi (r)

**Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots**

Mitchell Allgower  
Carrie Outhier Banks  
Carrie Outhier Banks (r)

**State Board of Denture Technology**

Ronald Farris (r)

**OregonServes Commission**

Sierra Barnes (r)  
June Bass

**State Board of Direct Entry Midwifery**

Christine Morales (r)  
Lynette Pettibone (r)

**Public Employees Retirement Board**

Suzanne Linneen

**Health Evidence Review Commission**

Devan Kansagara (r)

**Quality Education Commission**

John Rexford (r)

**Health Insurance Exchange Advisory Committee**

Shannon Lee  
Danielle Nichols

**Oregon Racing Commission**

Quinn Berry

**State Board of Massage Therapists**

Rami Layous

**Residential Ombudsman and Public Guardianship Advisory Board**

Melanie St. John

**Oregon Medical Board**

Robby Azar  
Sheronne Blasi  
David Cook  
Katja Daoud  
Katja Daoud (r)  
Alexandria Mageehon (r)  
Jill Shaw-Braem (r)

**Tri-Met Board**

Tyler Frisbee

**Occupational Therapy Licensing Board**

Ellen Stauder

**Commission for Women**

**Oregon Board of Optometry**

Laurie Goolsby (r)

**Oregon Patient Safety Commission Board of Directors**

Lisa Bui (r)  
Hollie Caldwell  
Erin Sprando

**Oregon Board of Physical Therapy**

Rebecca Reisch (r)

On confirmation the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 3 - Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Confirmed en bloc.

SB 1093 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SB 542 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 974 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek, Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 - Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 1060 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 2 - Boquist, Robinson; Excused, 3 - Campos, Findley, Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 1060 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 1060 needs amended in the House then will be a good bill. Section 1, 1c by the text of the bill will allow hear say which is a constitutional violation in a criminal action in the courts. The text states “testimony from witnesses indicating that the person alleged” which needs clarified to meet constitutional muster. Look forward the amended clarified bill from the House upon its return to the Senate.

SB 1060 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted No on this bill because there is one provision within this legislation that needs correction in the House. The bill allows for hearsay which does not comport with constitutional rights. I support the premise of the bill and can support the entire bill when corrected.

SB 5522 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 - Campos, Findley, Gorsek. President Wagner in Chair. Bill passed.

HB 2031, 2033, 2112, 2159, 2266, 2267, 2108, 2708, 3111, 2282; HCR 5, 24; HB 2094, 2218, 2093; HCR 18; HB 2095, 2864, 3160, 3161, 2411, 2687, 2689, 2966 - Carried over to April 24 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures and appointment were referred to committee on April 19 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 51, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 14 Rules  
SCR 15 Conduct  
SR 2 Rules  
SB 1091 Rules  
SB 1092 Finance and Revenue  
HB 2283 Labor and Business  
HB 2345 Judiciary  
HB 2372 Judiciary  
HB 2507 Tax Expenditures  
HB 2680 Housing and Development  
HB 2740 Education  
HB 2870 Labor and Business  
HB 2875 Labor and Business  
HB 2889 Housing and Development  
HB 2904 Judiciary  
HB 3143 Energy and Environment  
HB 3179 Energy and Environment  
HB 3199 Education  
HB 3205 Labor and Business  
HB 3213 Labor and Business  
HB 3258 Health Care  
HB 3260 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
HB 3294 Judiciary  
HB 3309 Housing and Development  
HB 3320 Health Care  
HB 3343 Natural Resources  
HB 3362 Natural Resources  
HB 3385 Labor and Business  
HB 3421 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
HB 3458 Natural Resources  
HB 3462 Housing and Development  
HB 3464 Natural Resources  
HB 3532 Labor and Business  
HB 3561 Education  
HB 3563 Education  
HB 3572 Labor and Business  
HB 3596 Health Care  
HB 5001 Ways and Means  
HB 5007 Ways and Means  
HB 5021 Ways and Means  
HB 5023 Ways and Means  
HB 5032 Ways and Means  
HB 5038 Ways and Means

**Director of Employment Department (ORS 657.608)**

David Gerstenfeld Rules

President Wagner announced the following committee assignments, effective April 20:

**Joint Committee on Transportation**

Chris Gorsek, Co-Chair – Discharged  
Lew Frederick, Co-Chair – Appointed  
Rob Wagner – Appointed

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, April 24, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Monday, April 24, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Deb Patterson. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek.

Committee Report Summary No. 117, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 21. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 198 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments.

SB 625 - Report by Committee on Information Management and Technology recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

SB 999 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 118, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2237 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3164 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

HB 3195 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 119, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5001 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5007 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5021 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5023 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5032 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5038 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2270 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 3140 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 3200 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 20 - Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 20 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 20 summary states “requires Public Utility Commission to study feasibility of converting Portland General Electric into a people’s utility district.” SB 20 was motioned to the floor. The Governor by executive order implemented environmental climate standards that have resulted in huge power increases to Oregonians. PGE just raised rates 14% this past year. Another rate increase of 14-18% is pending. Working Oregonian cannot afford these electricity rates let alone those on fixed incomes experiences rate increases over \$100 dollars each month. Searching for options to reduce the draconian rates is the responsibility of elected officials. Simply standing by while Oregonians go homeless, cold and broke based on the actions of the Governor is unacceptable. Unfortunately, the majority of the Senate did not want to address possible solutions to help Oregonians.

SB 21 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Judiciary the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 22 - Linthicum moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 22 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 22 bills summary states “that declarations and extensions of states of emergency... be accompanied by written explanations. Establishes durational limits for states of emergency.... Provides that certain extensions of states of emergency may be made only with approval of Legislative Assembly. Provides that emergency rules and orders expire upon termination of state of emergency. Provides that, after termination of state of emergency, Governor may not declare another state of emergency for same purpose unless so authorized by Legislative Assembly.” Recent history shows the legislature must be more involved in determining ‘emergency’ response as stated in *Elkhorne v. Brown* (2020). SB 22 would have simply provided transparency to citizens then require elected legislators to act in support or opposition in a timely manner to emergencies declared by the Governor.

SB 26 - Hansell moved to withdraw from the Committee on Tax Expenditures. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Tax Expenditures the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 800 - Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 800 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 800 summary states “provides for Governor to appoint Attorney General” instead of elections as the AG is not a constitutional position as is the Governor. The Attorney General appears nowhere in the Oregon Constitution. It is claimed the Attorney General is an ‘executive’ branch entity, yet, the AG claims to represent the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Branches all at the same time. The AG claims to represent every legislator and legislative employee along with every former legislator and former legislative employee at this time. This is a serious constitutional conflict of interest. The separation of powers no longer exists in Oregon. The AG provides legal direction to numerous committees of the legislative assembly at this time in violation of the Oregon Constitution. The AG claims to represent both sides of state level government conflicts at the same time. The Attorney General’s gross violation of Or Const Art III Sec 1 separation of powers must be ended. Make the Governor responsible as the public perceives today. The Governor is the chief executive of the Executive Branch. The Governor should appoint the chief prosecutor of the executive branch of the State of Oregon.

SB 798 - Bonham moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 798 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

SB 798 summary states “establishes General Counsel Committee within Legislative Assembly and directs committee to select General Counsel. Sets forth duties and qualifications of General Counsel.” SB 798 would have provided general legal advice to members of the legislature while Legislative Counsel drafts bills, measures and even unopposed opinions. Presently, LC provides counsel to both sides of an issue in direct violation of professional rules of conduct established by the Chief Justice of the Oregon Supreme Court. As a matter of law, a lawyer or law firm cannot represent opposing sides of an issue. However, this practice of double representation is a matter of policy by Legislative Counsel. If a private lawyer or law firm was caught double representing opposing clients they would be disbarred. The Legislative Assembly constantly passes laws to govern others then exempts itself. The Legislative Assembly needs independent counsel on general legal issues along with an impartial representation for conflicted issues and conflicted members. The double standard needs to end.

SB 1094, 1095 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President’s desk.

SB 198, 999 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 542 A-Eng – Read third time.

SB 542 A-Eng – Lieber moved that the bill be taken from today’s third reading calendar and placed on April 25 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 13 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Campos, Gorsek. Motion carried.

HB 5001, 5007, 5021, 5023, 5032, 5038; HB 2237, 2270, 3140, 3164, 3195, 3200 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2031 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Campos, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2033 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Linthicum; Excused, 2 - Campos, Gorsek. Bill passed.

Senate recessed until 5:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, April 24, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 5:00 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, excused – Gorsek.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of House Measures, resumed under that order.



HB 2112 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2112 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2112 A summary states “updates definitions and terminology used in public records law pertaining to records retention.” The bill requires the expanded retention of audio visual records including for legal, administrative, [legal] fiscal, tribal cultural, historical or research [and research] purposes. The bill is from the Secretary of State in the executive branch. This applies to the Judicial Branch which submitted no testimony. Why? How does the Executive Branch direct the Chief Justice of the Judicial Branch under the separations of powers. Then it continues to exempt the Legislative Branch from the public records changes. So much for transparency. Do as I say not as I do!

HB 2159 - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 27; Nays, 2 - Linthicum, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2266 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2267 - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

HB 2267 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2267 summary states “removes requirement that term of office for voting member of Oregon Geographic Information Council begins on January 1. Modifies term of office when vacancy occurs so successor voting member serves new four-year term and nonvoting member serves new two-year term.” Initially, it appeared there was a problem getting members for this unknown council. During debate, a review of the Council online, revealed no such problem. The council contains a long list of members. It is a bureaucrat organization. Why it exists with so little oversight is unknown? Not even clear why the Council even exists when executive power could accomplish the same mission at a substantially lower costs.

HB 2108 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2708 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 3111 - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 3111 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 3111 bills text states public records held “by another retirement system operated by a public body” would be exempt from disclosure. While we all want to protect all citizen’s data to the extent possible the bill runs afoul of the third party doctrine. The Fourth Amendment protections do not exist under this court doctrine. This is an expanding U.S. Supreme Court doctrine that personal records held by third parties have no protection from release. The Fifth Circuit has expanded this doctrine recently as well. We have seen this play out in the political pandemic of 2020-21. We are seeing it play out in the District of Columbia at this time. The bill while a noteworthy in effort is likely unconstitutional based on U.S. Government actions backed by federal courts. Oregon’s public employees should be told that their records are not confidential as claimed by state, county, district and city governments. Employees deserve to know the truth their records held by others are not protected as claimed by the government.

HB 2282 A-Eng. - Read third time. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 5, 24 – Lieber moved that the resolutions be taken from today’s calendar and placed on April 26 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion carried.

HB 2094 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2094 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2094 raises the limit cap on charges for asset management of Oregon’s investment funds. It raises no new fees. It authorizes no new expenditures. It simply raises the cap in case a future downturn, which is very likely, so the Emergency Board or Ways & Means can make adjustments if necessary in the future. Simple preparation. We can all pray the economy holds steady but if not we should be prepared.

HB 2218 - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HCR 18 - Read final time. Carried by Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

HB 2093 - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Girod,

Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2095 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 9 - Bonham, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2864 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Findley, Girod, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 3160 A-Eng. - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 3161 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2411 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2687 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2689 - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 7 - Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Jama, Manning Jr, Sollman, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed. Manning Jr granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

HB 2966 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Jama; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 21 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 52, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 16 Rules

SJR 33 Rules

SB 1093 Ways and Means

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, April 25, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, April 25, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged

allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek.

HB 5046 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 10; HCR 26 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Committee Report Summary No. 120, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 611 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development, signed by Jama, Chair, recommending passage with amendments. Minority report, signed by Anderson and Knopp, recommending passage with different amendments. Referred to Committee on Rules by order of the President.

HCR 10 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

HCR 11 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

SB 674 – Brock Smith moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 1055 – Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 1057 – Anderson moved to withdraw from the Committee on Education. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Education the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 1025 – Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment. On motion to withdraw from

the Committee on Energy and Environment the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 681 – Hansell moved to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Energy and Environment the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 1011 – Brock Smith moved to withdraw from the Committee on Transportation. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Transportation the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 750 – Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion failed.

SB 198 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Boquist. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 542 A-Eng. – Read third time by title only. Lieber moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 – Campos, Sollman, Taylor; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion carried.

SB 886 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. Potential conflict of interest declared by Hayden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 11 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 965 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 999 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor, Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

SB 5501, 5508, 5517; SB 16, 82, 305, 306; HB 2109, 3058 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 26; HB 5046; HB 2109, 3058 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HCR 10, 11 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 5001, 5007, 5021, 5023, 5032, 5038, 2237, 2270, 3140, 3164, 3195, 3200 - Carried over to April 26 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, April 26, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, April 26, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Musical performance by Advanced Orchestra and Choir from Howard Street Charter School in Salem. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Gorsek, Prozanski.

Committee Report Summary No. 121, listing the following report, was distributed to members April 25. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 612 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 122, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2296 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2330 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 3097 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3141 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HB 3331 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HCR 5 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 5, 24 – Lieber moved that the resolutions be made Special Orders of Business immediately. On motion to be made special orders of business the vote was: Ayes, 27; Excused, 3 – Gorsek, Prozanski, Sollman. Motion carried.

HCR 5 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Steiner. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Prozanski. Resolution adopted.

HCR 24 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Steiner. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Resolution adopted.

HCR 10 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's calendar and be made a Special Order of Business on May 1 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Prozanski. Motion carried.

SB 875 – Linthicum moved to withdraw from the Committee on Housing and Development. Senate at ease. Senate reassembled. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Housing and Development the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Prozanski. Motion failed.

SB 875 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday, April 26th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 875 from the Senate Committee on Housing and Development without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of three bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 875 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 1030 – Thatcher moved to withdraw from the Committee on Human Services. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Human Services the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson,

Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Prozanski. Motion failed.

SB 1030 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday April 26th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 1030 from the Senate Committee on Human Services without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of three bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 1030 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

SB 1056 – Weber moved to withdraw from the Committee on Human Services. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Human Services the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Prozanski. Motion failed.

SB 1056 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

On Wednesday, April 26th, Senate Republicans made a motion to pull SB 1056 from the Senate Committee on Human Services without following the appropriate legislative process. They did this with a total of three bills back-to-back.

My vote against this procedural motion was not a vote against the merits of SB 1056 or any of the other bills Senate Republicans attempted to remove from committee.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee is a procedural maneuver that undermines our democratic and legislative process. It advances bills before they have been properly vetted by experts and the public. By attempting to bypass the legislative committee process, we are essentially shutting the door to the public. When bills are in committee, Oregonians are able to weigh in about how these policies could affect their communities and their everyday lives. That won't happen if they bypass the committee process.

Making a motion to move a bill directly from committee to the floor is also fiscally irresponsible. No financial impact statements have been analyzed or posted. We have no way of knowing how these bills will impact state, county, or local budgets or Oregonians' hard earned tax dollars.

Again, I voted no on the procedural motion to pull the bill from committee (the process), not the merits of the actual bill (the content) itself.

HB 2296, 2330, 3097, 3141, 3331 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 5001 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 5001 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 5001 is the budget for the Oregon Advocacy Commission Office. It is an 18% reduction. The commission coordinates Asian, Pacific, Black Hispanic, and Women affairs per the budget report. Almost every aspect of state government claims huge disparities exist that must be addressed. Yet, the budget is cut 18%. The supporting letters call for 29 FTE yet only 4 FTE are in this budget. The budget report claims there is other money someplace else? Where? The budget summary blames the reduction on the expiration of a task force that made recommendations to address the above population needs. So where are the recommendation implementations? How could these recommendations be implemented with an 18% reduction. SB 5001 simply does not add up at this time.

HB 5007 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 5 - Boquist, Girod, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 5021 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 5021 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 5021 is the Oregon Government Ethics Commission budget. It is an 18% increase but the Legislative Assembly has exempted itself from its jurisdiction during Sessions. The Legislative Assembly refuses to abide by OGE education, investigative, and policy standards during Sessions. The Legislative Assembly's official position in law is 'do as I say not as I do.' SB 929 calls for a plan to dissolve the OGE in favor of each branch of government regulating itself with the voters regulating the elected officials. The OGE should be given full authority and funding to regulate all equally in all three branches (Executive, Legislative, Judicial) or be disbanded. An independent agency would be preferred.

HB 5023 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 5032 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 5032 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 5032 is the Public Records Advocate's budget. It should be fully funded by the general fund. It should be completely independent. The new bureaucratic idea of making assessments to every state agencies budget is total nonsense. Creating hundreds of other funds assessments is a complete waste of the taxpayer funds and taxpayer funding time spent. State government needs to be efficient. HB 5032 is the exact opposite. HB 5032 creates bureaucracy for no valid reason. Budget tricks like this are a prime example of why the majority of the citizens in my district do not trust state government.

HB 5038 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 2 - Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 2237 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 2270 - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Gorsek, Prozanski. Bill passed.

HB 3140, 3164, 3195, 3200; HCR 11 - Carried over to April 27 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on April 26 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 53, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1094 Rules  
SB 1095 Rules  
HCR 26 Rules  
HB 5046 Ways and Means  
HB 2109 Rules  
HB 3058 Transportation

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, April 27, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Thursday, April 27, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Wlnsvey Campos. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser, Blouin, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Robinson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith.

SB 427, 577, 785 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on April 26.

SB 543, 545, 581, 644 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 20 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HCR 18; HB 2031, 2033, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2108, 2112, 2159, 2218, 2266, 2267, 2282, 2411, 2687, 2689, 2708, 2864, 2966, 3111, 3160, 3161 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on April 26.

Committee Report Summary No. 123, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 26. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 15 - Report by Committee on Conduct recommending adoption with amendments.

SB 892 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2865 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs by order of the President.

HB 3443 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development without recommendation as to passage and be returned to President's desk for referral. Referred to Committee on Judiciary by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 124, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5046 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3058 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 125, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2285 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 2447 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3076 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 662 – Anderson moved to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Finance and Revenue the vote was:

Ayes, 10; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 5 – Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Motion failed.

SB 723 – Knopp moved to withdraw from the Committee on Rules. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Rules the vote was: Ayes, 10; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 5 – Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Motion failed.

SB 795 – Findley moved to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources. On motion to withdraw from the Committee on Natural Resources the vote was: Ayes, 10; Nays, 15 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 5 – Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Motion failed.

SCR 15; SB 892 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HCR 20 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 5046; HB 2285, 2447, 3058, 3076 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 3140 - Read third time. Carried by Jama. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 5 - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 3164 - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Hayden, Robinson; Excused, 5 - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 3195 - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Excused, 5 - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 3200 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Excused, 5 - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HCR 11 - Read final time. Carried by Sollman. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Excused, 5 - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Resolution adopted.

HB 2296 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Boquist, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 5 - Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 2330 – Moved to the foot of today’s third reading calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3097 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Excused, 6 - Boquist, Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 3141 – Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 – Robinson; Excused, 6 – Boquist, Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 3331 – Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 – Findley, Robinson; Excused, 6 – Boquist, Girod, Gorsek, Linthicum, Prozanski, Brock Smith. Bill passed.

HB 2330 - Carried over to May 1 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SCR 10; SB 5501, 5508, 5517; SB 16, 82, 305, 306, 543, 545, 581, 644; HB 2031, 2033, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2108, 2112, 2159, 2218, 2266, 2267, 2282, 2411, 2687, 2689, 2708, 2864, 2966, 3111, 3160, 3161; HCR 18 - President Wagner signed on April 27.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Monday, May 1, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, May 1, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Lew Frederick. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused, Gorsek.

SB 31, 207, 216, 224, 864; HB 2812, 2955, 3306 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HCR 27 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

SCR 10; SB 5501, 5508, 5517, 16, 82, 305, 306, 543, 545, 581, 644 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 1.

Committee Report Summary No. 126, listing the following reports, was distributed to members April 28. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5 - Report by Committee on Semiconductors recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

SB 149 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

HB 2982 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3412 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 127, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2146 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2530 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3307 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 128, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2507 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for SB 892 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 5 – Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Gorsek. Motion carried.

HCR 10 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 10 – Under Special Order of Business. Read final time. Carried by Frederick. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 29; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SB 1096 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President’s desk.

SCR 15 A-Eng. - Read final time. Carried by Prozanski, Anderson. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 28; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Resolution adopted.

SB 892 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 26; Nays, 3 - Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2812, 2955, 3306; HCR 27 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2146, 2507, 2530, 2982, 3307, 3412 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2330 - Read third time. Manning Jr in Chair. President Wagner in Chair. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Nays, 4 - Boquist, Findley, Linthicum, Robinson; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 5046 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2285 - Read third time. Carried by Hansell.

HB 2285 – Weber raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rules, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

Senate at ease.

Senate recessed until 5:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Monday, May 1, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 5:00 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, Excused, 2 – Gorsek, Girod.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of House Measures, resumed under that order.

HB 2285 – President Wagner, in consultation with Legislative Counsel, ruled that the measure does comply with ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02, as SR 13.02 applies to the introduction of measures or when measures are amended, not at third reading.

HB 2285 – Weber appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Knopp and Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 – Frederick, Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 2285 – Knopp raised a point of order asking under what legal basis was the previous ruling made.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

HB 2285 – President Wagner, in consultation with Legislative Counsel, stated that the decision is consistent with the statute, as affirmed by the majority of the members present.

HB 2285 – Knopp raised a point of order asking if Legislative Counsel had applied the Flesch-Kincaid readability test to the measure.

HB 2285 – President Wagner stated that the point of order is not germane to the previous motion that was sustained on the floor.

HB 2285 – Knopp moved that the bill be rereferred to the Committee on Labor and Business. On motion to rerefer the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Motion failed.

HB 2285 – Linthicum requested the following vote explanation be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register with regard to my “Yes” vote on the Procedural Motion to re-refer HB 2285 to the Senate Committee for Labor and Business Committee.

As I read on the floor, the Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. A re-referral to the Senate Committee for Labor and Business Committee would have corrected this material problem. My Yes vote was in effort to maintain this body's constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate.

HB 2285 – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2285 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on Bill HB 2285 is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward. Thank you.

HB 2285 – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:



Please accept my written Vote Explanation on HB 2285.

The reason I voted no on HB 2285 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and supported the concept in committee, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 2285 – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2285 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions. State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. President Wagner refused to provide the Flesch number on advice of Dexter Johnson (LC) on the Floor. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least twelve (12) such bills have passed the state senate failing to meet constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 2285 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

HB 2285 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The primary reason I voted no on this bill is because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2285 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 2285 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 18.6 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 0

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 2285 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on HB 2285 because of the following legal advice:

Senate Rule 13.02

“13.02 Measure Summary.

“(1) No measure shall be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction without an impartial summary of the measure's content, describing new law and changes in existing law proposed by the measure. Any measure presented to the Secretary of the Senate that does not comply with this requirement shall be returned to the member or committee that presented it.

“(2) The summary may be edited by Legislative Counsel and must be printed on the first page of the measure. The summaries of measures may be compiled and published by the appropriate legislative agency.

“(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

“(4) When a measure is amended, Legislative Counsel shall prepare an amended summary. The amended summary may be a part of the amendment. The summary shall be amended to show proposed changes in the measure in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

“(5) All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.” (Emphasis added.)

The requirement of Senate Rule 13.02 is clear – a measure summary must comply with ORS 171.134, which states: “Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.” If a measure summary does not comply with ORS 171.134, then it cannot be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction under Rule 13.02. This conclusion is based on a plain meaning interpretation of Rule 13.02.

If the Secretary of the Senate accepts a measure that has a material error in the measure summary, then Rule 13.02(3) provides a mechanism for correction of the measure summary. (The plain language states material error in “printed” measure summary, but I don't believe that distinction is definitive.) The Senate Rules do not provide for ignoring the material error in the measure summary. Simply put, the Secretary of the Senate has an obligation to correct the material error in a measure summary. Presumably, the Secretary of the Senate would contact the measure sponsor or committee that presented the measure (Rule 13.02(1)), who would then contact Legislative Counsel and request an amended measure summary (Rule 13.02(3)).

I don't believe there is a serious argument that the failure of compliance with ORS 171.134 as to the readability of a measure summary is not a material error. First, subsection (5) requires compliance with ORS 171.134. Second, Senate Rule 13.01(3) provides: “Immediately after presentation to the Secretary of the Senate, the measure shall be sent to Legislative Counsel for examination and compliance with the ‘Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures’ and preparation of a copy for the State Printer.” The “Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures” states on page 91, the start of Chapter 8 (“Measure Summaries”):

“The Desks will not accept a measure for introduction unless it is accompanied by an impartial summary of the measure's content. See Rules of the Senate and Rules of the House of Representatives. ORS 171.134 requires that measure summaries score at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meet an equivalent standard of a comparable test.”

Thus, it is the clear responsibility of the “Desks” of both chambers, as well as Legislative Counsel, to ensure that a measure summary complies with ORS 171.134.

Senate Bill 543 was introduced in the legislative session of 1979, was passed and signed into law by the Governor, and is codified at ORS 171.134. It requires both the House and Senate to prepare measure summaries that meet a certain standard of readability:

“Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.”

In brief, the history of ORS 171.134 shows that the sponsors of SB 543 (1979) intended that “information supplied for public information should be understandable to the public and the bill would require a reading level of eighth grade.” Testimony of Senator George Wingard, Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979. Further, the proponents wanted the Oregon Legislature to “make sure that the American people are able to understand these bills” it passed, and that SB 543 “is what they call a leveler bill.” Testimony of Senator George Wingard, House Committee on Rules & Operations, May 23, 1979. The Flesch test was specifically mentioned, because a score of 60 would mean that the readability of a measure summary was at the eighth-grade level.

Interestingly, when testifying on behalf of SB 543 before the Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979, Senator Wingard pointed to a requirement in law that tax forms be readable at a score of 60 on the Flesch test. That law, passed in 1977, is now codified at ORS 316.364.2. Senator Wingard “questioned whether the government was doing people a service when public information was written above the level of comprehension.” Other Oregon statutes that require scores on the Flesch test for readability are ORS 455.085 (ninth grade level for building codes) and ORS 743.106 (40 or higher on “Flesch reading ease test” for life and health insurance policies). Former ORS 250.039 required the Secretary of State to “designate a test of readability and adopt a standard of minimum readability for a ballot title.” In compliance, the Secretary of State “designated the ‘Flesch Formula for Readability’ as the test of readability and [ ] adopted as the minimum standard of readability a Reading Ease Score of not less than 60 on a scale between 0 (practically unreadable) and 100 (easy for any literate person).” *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. 250, 259 n.11, 785 P.2d 1045 (1990) (citing OAR 165–14–045 et seq.). A handful of Oregon Supreme Court cases applied the Flesch Formula for Readability to ballot titles to determine whether the ballot title at issue met the test or not. See, e.g., *Greene v. Kulongoski*, 322 Or. 169, 179, 903 P.2d 366 (1995); *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. at 260.

Only one Oregon appellate court opinion has mentioned ORS 171.134, but that was dicta in a footnote. See *City of Damascus v. State by & through Brown*, 367 Or. 41, 54 n.6, 472 P.3d 741 (2020). No Oregon appellate court has applied ORS 171.134 to a bill before a vote in a legislative chamber. What is clear, however, is that past legislatures wanted Oregonians to be able to understand what is being voted on in the House and Senate. That understanding begins with the measure summaries, and ORS 171.134 requires a certain level of readability for those summaries. The text of ORS 171.134 is simple to interpret and simple to apply. The Senate must comply with the law.

#### Oregon Constitution

While there is no provision in the Oregon Constitution for a readability test for information published by the Legislature, Article IV, section 21 provides:

“Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.”

What the founders of Oregon meant by “plainly worded” has not yet been discussed by the Oregon Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has held that an act which contains language that “is not as plainly worded as one might desire,” is nevertheless permissible under Article IV, section 21, because the act “clearly stated its purpose” of charging the relatives

for the maintenance of nonviolent inmates. See *In re Idleman's Commitment*, 146 Or. 13, 30, 27 P.2d 311 (1933). The Oregon Supreme Court has long distinguished between a bill or measure and an “act” under Article IV, section 21. An “act” under Article IV, section 21, is a bill that has been passed by both chambers and signed into law. See *Herbring v. Brown*, 92 Or. 176, 181–82, 180 P. 330 (1919).

The constitutional mandate that the Legislature not evade its duties to the people and their right to understand the laws voted on by the members they elect, however, is imbedded in Article IV, section 21. That provision, like so much of the original Oregon Constitution, was taken from the Indiana Constitution. The Oregon Supreme Court has recognized numerous times the importance of the Indiana Constitution to understanding the meaning of the Oregon Constitution. See generally *Armatta v. Kitzhaber*, 327 Or. 250, 265, 959 P.2d 49 (1998) (“Although not as helpful as history or case law revealing the intent of framers of Oregon Constitution, information demonstrating intent of framers of Indiana Constitution of 1851 can be instructive when interpreting Oregon constitutional provision patterned after Indiana Constitution.”); Hon. Jack L. Landau, *An Introduction to Oregon Constitutional Interpretation*, 55 *Willamette L. Rev.* 261 (2019); Claudia Burton and Andrew Grade, *A Legislative History of the Oregon Constitution of 1857—Part I (Articles I & II)*, 37 *Willamette L. Rev.* 469 (2001) (“There is both direct and circumstantial evidence that the Convention delegates viewed the constitution's provisions as familiar and easily susceptible to understanding by the common man.”); W.C. Palmer, *The Sources of the Oregon Constitution*, 5 *Or. L. Rev.* 200 (1926).

The Indiana Supreme Court stated in 2021 that the provision in the Indiana Constitution that is analogous to Oregon’s Article IV, section 21, which is Article IV, section 20, of the Indiana Constitution, was intended to “further democratize the law.” *Wright v. State*, 168 N.E.3d 244, 257 (Ind. 2021), cert. denied, 212 L. Ed. 2d 215, 142 S. Ct. 1204 (2022).<sup>3</sup> The court discussed how the founders of Indiana wanted nonlawyers to participate in state government in representing themselves in courts and legislative matters. A year later, the Indiana Court of Appeals recognized that the delegate to the Indiana Constitutional convention that proposed Article IV, section 20, “stated that the purpose of this section was to ensure that the laws ‘may be readily understood by every citizen who is bound to obey the laws,’ and ‘[t]he laws ought to be so plain that every man can interpret them for himself, without the aid of a law dictionary. This is a reform that has been called for by the people. They are loudly complaining of the complexity of the laws.’” *Armes v. State*, 191 N.E.3d 942, 952 (Ind. Ct. App.), aff’d on reh’g, 194 N.E.3d 1220 (Ind. Ct. App. 2022) (quoting from 2 Report of the Debates and Proceedings of the Convention for the Revision of the Constitution of the State of Indiana 1128 (1850)). Not only is Article IV, section 21, of the Oregon Constitution derived from the same intent, but it would appear that the same sentiment was shared by the proponents of SB 543 (1979), which is now ORS 171.134.

#### The Flesch readability test

Unlike in 1979 when SB 543 was passed, the Senate today – and Legislative Counsel – can easily apply the Flesch readability test to determine if a measure summary is written at an eighth grade level or a 60 on the Flesch scale. A website that is easy to use is found at: <https://goodcalculators.com/flesch-kincaid-calculator/>.

One need only copy and paste the text of a measure summary into the Flesch-Kincaid calculator to determine if the measure summary meets the standard for ORS 171.134 and, thus, Senate Rule 13.02. I have done that for quite a few bills and must report that I have yet to find a measure summary that complies with law.

Other comparable tests for the Flesch readability test are readily available online. A good one is <https://datayze.com/readability-analyzer>, which lists results for several standards after application.

In sum, ORS 171.134 requires a standard that is easy to measure for the Flesch test – a score of 60. For a comparable test, the measure is an eighth-grade reading level.

HB 2285 – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register with regard to my “NO” vote on HB 2285.

Per the testimony expressed by the minority party member with regard to HB 2285, this bill fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules and thus should be challenged in court.

The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions.

State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020.

OR Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. • OR Const Art IV Sec 25, requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage.

OR Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal.

ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements.

OR Const Art II Sec 10, prevents legislators from holding more than one office.

OR Const Art II Sec 15, requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills.

OR Const Art III Sec 1, prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government.

President Wagner refused to provide the Flesch number on advice of Dexter Johnson (LC) on the Floor.

HB 2285 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required by Senate Rules.

HB 2285 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2285 – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB 2285 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. While I agree with the premise of this bill, it needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2285 – Weber requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Regardless of the merits of this legislation, it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134.

For these reasons, and the content of the legislation notwithstanding, I cannot support this bill.

HB 2447 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Bonham raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous ruling and in consultation with Legislative Counsel, ruled that the measure does comply with ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02, as SR 13.02 applies to the introduction of measures or when measures are amended, not at third reading.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Knopp appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Boquist and Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on HB 2447A is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of the Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This Bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward. Thank you.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB2447 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

The merits of this measure notwithstanding, I can’t in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2447 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel,

parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions. State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. President Wagner could not provide the Flesch number when questioned on the Floor. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least thirteen (13) such bills have passed the state senate failing to meet constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 2447 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 2447A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 20.9 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 0

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on HB 2447 because of the following legal advice:

Senate Rule 13.02

"13.02 Measure Summary.

"(1) No measure shall be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction without an impartial summary of the measure's content, describing new law and changes in existing law proposed by the measure. Any measure presented to the Secretary of the Senate that does not comply with this requirement shall be returned to the member or committee that presented it.

"(2) The summary may be edited by Legislative Counsel and must be printed on the first page of the measure. The summaries of measures may be compiled and published by the appropriate legislative agency.

"(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

"(4) When a measure is amended, Legislative Counsel shall prepare an amended summary. The amended summary may be a part of the amendment. The summary shall be amended to show proposed changes

in the measure in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

"(5) All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134." (Emphasis added.)

The requirement of Senate Rule 13.02 is clear – a measure summary must comply with ORS 171.134, which states: "Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test." If a measure summary does not comply with ORS 171.134, then it cannot be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction under Rule 13.02. This conclusion is based on a plain meaning interpretation of Rule 13.02.

If the Secretary of the Senate accepts a measure that has a material error in the measure summary, then Rule 13.02(3) provides a mechanism for correction of the measure summary. (The plain language states material error in "printed" measure summary, but I don't believe that distinction is definitive.) The Senate Rules do not provide for ignoring the material error in the measure summary. Simply put, the Secretary of the Senate has an obligation to correct the material error in a measure summary. Presumably, the Secretary of the Senate would contact the measure sponsor or committee that presented the measure (Rule 13.02(1)), who would then contact Legislative Counsel and request an amended measure summary (Rule 13.02(3)).

I don't believe there is a serious argument that the failure of compliance with ORS 171.134 as to the readability of a measure summary is not a material error. First, subsection (5) requires compliance with ORS 171.134. Second, Senate Rule 13.01(3) provides: "Immediately after presentation to the Secretary of the Senate, the measure shall be sent to Legislative Counsel for examination and compliance with the 'Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures' and preparation of a copy for the State Printer." The "Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures" states on page 91, the start of Chapter 8 ("Measure Summaries"):

"The Desks will not accept a measure for introduction unless it is accompanied by an impartial summary of the measure's content. See Rules of the Senate and Rules of the House of Representatives. ORS 171.134 requires that measure summaries score at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meet an equivalent standard of a comparable test."

Thus, it is the clear responsibility of the "Desks" of both chambers, as well as Legislative Counsel, to ensure that a measure summary complies with ORS 171.134.

Senate Bill 543 was introduced in the legislative session of 1979, was passed and signed into law by the Governor, and is codified at ORS 171.134. It requires both the House and Senate to prepare measure summaries that meet a certain standard of readability:

"Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test."

In brief, the history of ORS 171.134 shows that the sponsors of SB 543 (1979) intended that "information supplied for public information should be understandable to the public and the bill would require a reading level of eighth grade." Testimony of Senator George Wingard, Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979. Further, the proponents wanted the Oregon Legislature to "make sure that the American people are able to understand these bills" it passed, and that SB 543 "is what they call a leveler bill." Testimony of Senator George Wingard, House Committee on Rules & Operations, May 23, 1979. The Flesch test was specifically mentioned, because a score of 60 would mean that the readability of a measure summary was at the eighth-grade level.

Interestingly, when testifying on behalf of SB 543 before the Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979, Senator Wingard pointed to a requirement in law that tax forms be readable at a score of 60 on the Flesch test. That law, passed in 1977, is now codified at ORS 316.364.2

Senator Wingard “questioned whether the government was doing people a service when public information was written above the level of comprehension.” Other Oregon statutes that require scores on the Flesch test for readability are ORS 455.085 (ninth grade level for building codes) and ORS 743.106 (40 or higher on “Flesch reading ease test” for life and health insurance policies). Former ORS 250.039 required the Secretary of State to “designate a test of readability and adopt a standard of minimum readability for a ballot title.” In compliance, the Secretary of State “designated the ‘Flesch Formula for Readability’ as the test of readability and [ ] adopted as the minimum standard of readability a Reading Ease Score of not less than 60 on a scale between 0 (practically unreadable) and 100 (easy for any literate person).” *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. 250, 259 n.11, 785 P.2d 1045 (1990) (citing OAR 165–14–045 et seq.). A handful of Oregon Supreme Court cases applied the Flesch Formula for Readability to ballot titles to determine whether the ballot title at issue met the test or not. See, e.g., *Greene v. Kulongoski*, 322 Or. 169, 179, 903 P.2d 366 (1995); *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. at 260.

Only one Oregon appellate court opinion has mentioned ORS 171.134, but that was dicta in a footnote. See *City of Damascus v. State by & through Brown*, 367 Or. 41, 54 n.6, 472 P.3d 741 (2020). No Oregon appellate court has applied ORS 171.134 to a bill before a vote in a legislative chamber. What is clear, however, is that past legislatures wanted Oregonians to be able to understand what is being voted on in the House and Senate. That understanding begins with the measure summaries, and ORS 171.134 requires a certain level of readability for those summaries. The text of ORS 171.134 is simple to interpret and simple to apply. The Senate must comply with the law.

#### Oregon Constitution

While there is no provision in the Oregon Constitution for a readability test for information published by the Legislature, Article IV, section 21 provides:

“Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.”

What the founders of Oregon meant by “plainly worded” has not yet been discussed by the Oregon Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has held that an act which contains language that “is not as plainly worded as one might desire,” is nevertheless permissible under Article IV, section 21, because the act “clearly stated its purpose” of charging the relatives for the maintenance of nonviolent inmates. See *In re Idleman's Commitment*, 146 Or. 13, 30, 27 P.2d 311 (1933). The Oregon Supreme Court has long distinguished between a bill or measure and an “act” under Article IV, section 21. An “act” under Article IV, section 21, is a bill that has been passed by both chambers and signed into law. See *Herbring v. Brown*, 92 Or. 176, 181–82, 180 P. 330 (1919).

The constitutional mandate that the Legislature not evade its duties to the people and their right to understand the laws voted on by the members they elect, however, is imbedded in Article IV, section 21. That provision, like so much of the original Oregon Constitution, was taken from the Indiana Constitution. The Oregon Supreme Court has recognized numerous times the importance of the Indiana Constitution to understanding the meaning of the Oregon Constitution. See generally *Armatta v. Kitzhaber*, 327 Or. 250, 265, 959 P.2d 49 (1998) (“Although not as helpful as history or case law revealing the intent of framers of Oregon Constitution, information demonstrating intent of framers of Indiana Constitution of 1851 can be instructive when interpreting Oregon constitutional provision patterned after Indiana Constitution.”); Hon. Jack L. Landau, *An Introduction to Oregon Constitutional Interpretation*, 55 *Willamette L. Rev.* 261 (2019); Claudia Burton and Andrew Grade, *A Legislative History of the Oregon Constitution of 1857—Part I (Articles I & II)*, 37 *Willamette L. Rev.* 469 (2001) (“There is both direct and circumstantial evidence that the Convention delegates viewed the constitution's provisions as familiar and easily susceptible to understanding by the common man.”); W.C. Palmer, *The Sources of the Oregon Constitution*, 5 *Or. L. Rev.* 200 (1926).

The Indiana Supreme Court stated in 2021 that the provision in the Indiana Constitution that is analogous to Oregon’s Article IV, section 21, which is Article IV, section 20, of the Indiana Constitution, was intended to “further democratize the law.” *Wright v. State*, 168 N.E.3d 244, 257 (Ind. 2021), cert. denied, 212 L. Ed. 2d 215, 142 S. Ct. 1204 (2022).<sup>3</sup> The court discussed how the founders of Indiana wanted nonlawyers to participate in state government in representing themselves in courts and legislative matters. A year later, the Indiana Court of Appeals recognized that the delegate to the Indiana Constitutional convention that proposed Article IV, section 20, “stated that the purpose of this section was to ensure that the laws ‘may be readily understood by every citizen who is bound to obey the laws,’ and ‘[t]he laws ought to be so plain that every man can interpret them for himself, without the aid of a law dictionary. This is a reform that has been called for by the people. They are loudly complaining of the complexity of the laws.’” *Armes v. State*, 191 N.E.3d 942, 952 (Ind. Ct. App.), aff’d on reh’g, 194 N.E.3d 1220 (Ind. Ct. App. 2022) (quoting from 2 Report of the Debates and Proceedings of the Convention for the Revision of the Constitution of the State of Indiana 1128 (1850)). Not only is Article IV, section 21, of the Oregon Constitution derived from the same intent, but it would appear that the same sentiment was shared by the proponents of SB 543 (1979), which is now ORS 171.134.

#### The Flesch readability test

Unlike in 1979 when SB 543 was passed, the Senate today – and Legislative Counsel – can easily apply the Flesch readability test to determine if a measure summary is written at an eighth grade level or a 60 on the Flesch scale. A website that is easy to use is found at: <https://goodcalculators.com/flesch-kincaid-calculator/>.

One need only copy and paste the text of a measure summary into the Flesch-Kincaid calculator to determine if the measure summary meets the standard for ORS 171.134 and, thus, Senate Rule 13.02. I have done that for quite a few bills and must report that I have yet to find a measure summary that complies with law.

Other comparable tests for the Flesch readability test are readily available online. A good one is <https://datayze.com/readability-analyzer>, which lists results for several standards after application.

In sum, ORS 171.134 requires a standard that is easy to measure for the Flesch test – a score of 60. For a comparable test, the measure is an eighth-grade reading level.

**HB 2447 A-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

HB 2447 fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules and thus should be challenged in court.

The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions.

State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020.

OR Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded.

OR Const Art IV Sec 25, requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage.

OR Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal.

ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements.

OR Const Art II Sec 10, prevents legislators from holding more than one office.

OR Const Art II Sec 15, requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills.

OR Const Art III Sec 1, prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government.

President Wagner refused to provide the Flesch number on advice of Dexter Johnson (LC) on the Floor.

HB 2447 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required by Senate Rules.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Although I supported HB 2447 in committee this bill does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB 2447 A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2447 A-Eng. – Weber requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Regardless of the merits of this legislation, it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134.

For these reasons, and the content of the legislation notwithstanding, I cannot support this bill.

HB 3058 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Boquist.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Knopp raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous ruling and in consultation with Legislative Counsel, ruled that the measure does comply with ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02, as SR 13.02 applies to the introduction of measures or when measures are amended, not at third reading.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Knopp appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum and Thatcher. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This Bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward. Thank you.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept my written Vote Explanation on HB3058.

The reason I voted no on HB3058 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and support the concept, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 3058 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions. State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. President Wagner claimed on the floor the bill complied with the Flesch law per Legislative Counsel. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least fourteen (14) such bills have passed the state senate failing to meet constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 3058 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The primary reason I voted no on this bill is because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 3058A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 16.5 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 4.7

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on HB 3058 because of the following legal advice:

Senate Rule 13.02

"13.02 Measure Summary.

"(1) No measure shall be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction without an impartial summary of the measure's content, describing new law and changes in existing law proposed by the measure. Any measure presented to the Secretary of the Senate that does not comply with this requirement shall be returned to the member or committee that presented it.

"(2) The summary may be edited by Legislative Counsel and must be printed on the first page of the measure. The summaries of measures may be compiled and published by the appropriate legislative agency.

"(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

"(4) When a measure is amended, Legislative Counsel shall prepare an amended summary. The amended summary may be a part of the amendment. The summary shall be amended to show proposed changes in the measure in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

"(5) All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134." (Emphasis added.)

The requirement of Senate Rule 13.02 is clear – a measure summary must comply with ORS 171.134, which states: "Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test." If a measure summary does not comply with ORS 171.134, then it cannot be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction under Rule 13.02. This conclusion is based on a plain meaning interpretation of Rule 13.02.

If the Secretary of the Senate accepts a measure that has a material error in the measure summary, then Rule 13.02(3) provides a mechanism for correction of the measure summary. (The plain language states material error in "printed" measure summary, but I don't believe that distinction is definitive.) The Senate Rules do not provide for ignoring the material error in the measure summary. Simply put, the Secretary of the Senate has an obligation to correct the material error in a measure

summary. Presumably, the Secretary of the Senate would contact the measure sponsor or committee that presented the measure (Rule 13.02(1)), who would then contact Legislative Counsel and request an amended measure summary (Rule 13.02(3)).

I don't believe there is a serious argument that the failure of compliance with ORS 171.134 as to the readability of a measure summary is not a material error. First, subsection (5) requires compliance with ORS 171.134. Second, Senate Rule 13.01(3) provides: "Immediately after presentation to the Secretary of the Senate, the measure shall be sent to Legislative Counsel for examination and compliance with the 'Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures' and preparation of a copy for the State Printer." The "Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures" states on page 91, the start of Chapter 8 ("Measure Summaries"):

"The Desks will not accept a measure for introduction unless it is accompanied by an impartial summary of the measure's content. See Rules of the Senate and Rules of the House of Representatives. ORS 171.134 requires that measure summaries score at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meet an equivalent standard of a comparable test."

Thus, it is the clear responsibility of the "Desks" of both chambers, as well as Legislative Counsel, to ensure that a measure summary complies with ORS 171.134.

Senate Bill 543 was introduced in the legislative session of 1979, was passed and signed into law by the Governor, and is codified at ORS 171.134. It requires both the House and Senate to prepare measure summaries that meet a certain standard of readability:

"Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test."

In brief, the history of ORS 171.134 shows that the sponsors of SB 543 (1979) intended that "information supplied for public information should be understandable to the public and the bill would require a reading level of eighth grade." Testimony of Senator George Wingard, Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979. Further, the proponents wanted the Oregon Legislature to "make sure that the American people are able to understand these bills" it passed, and that SB 543 "is what they call a leveler bill." Testimony of Senator George Wingard, House Committee on Rules & Operations, May 23, 1979. The Flesch test was specifically mentioned, because a score of 60 would mean that the readability of a measure summary was at the eighth-grade level.

Interestingly, when testifying on behalf of SB 543 before the Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979, Senator Wingard pointed to a requirement in law that tax forms be readable at a score of 60 on the Flesch test. That law, passed in 1977, is now codified at ORS 316.364.2 Senator Wingard "questioned whether the government was doing people a service when public information was written above the level of comprehension." Other Oregon statutes that require scores on the Flesch test for readability are ORS 455.085 (ninth grade level for building codes) and ORS 743.106 (40 or higher on "Flesch reading ease test" for life and health insurance policies). Former ORS 250.039 required the Secretary of State to "designate a test of readability and adopt a standard of minimum readability for a ballot title." In compliance, the Secretary of State "designated the 'Flesch Formula for Readability' as the test of readability and [ ] adopted as the minimum standard of readability a Reading Ease Score of not less than 60 on a scale between 0 (practically unreadable) and 100 (easy for any literate person)." *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. 250, 259 n.11, 785 P.2d 1045 (1990) (citing OAR 165-14-045 et seq.). A handful of Oregon Supreme Court cases applied the Flesch Formula for Readability to ballot titles to determine whether the ballot title at issue met the test or not. See, e.g., *Greene v. Kulongoski*, 322 Or. 169, 179, 903 P.2d 366 (1995); *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. at 260.

Only one Oregon appellate court opinion has mentioned ORS 171.134, but that was dicta in a footnote. See *City of Damascus v. State by &*

through *Brown*, 367 Or. 41, 54 n.6, 472 P.3d 741 (2020). No Oregon appellate court has applied ORS 171.134 to a bill before a vote in a legislative chamber. What is clear, however, is that past legislatures wanted Oregonians to be able to understand what is being voted on in the House and Senate. That understanding begins with the measure summaries, and ORS 171.134 requires a certain level of readability for those summaries. The text of ORS 171.134 is simple to interpret and simple to apply. The Senate must comply with the law.

#### Oregon Constitution

While there is no provision in the Oregon Constitution for a readability test for information published by the Legislature, Article IV, section 21 provides:

“Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.”

What the founders of Oregon meant by “plainly worded” has not yet been discussed by the Oregon Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has held that an act which contains language that “is not as plainly worded as one might desire,” is nevertheless permissible under Article IV, section 21, because the act “clearly stated its purpose” of charging the relatives for the maintenance of nonviolent inmates. See *In re Idleman's Commitment*, 146 Or. 13, 30, 27 P.2d 311 (1933). The Oregon Supreme Court has long distinguished between a bill or measure and an “act” under Article IV, section 21. An “act” under Article IV, section 21, is a bill that has been passed by both chambers and signed into law. See *Herbring v. Brown*, 92 Or. 176, 181–82, 180 P. 330 (1919).

The constitutional mandate that the Legislature not evade its duties to the people and their right to understand the laws voted on by the members they elect, however, is imbedded in Article IV, section 21. That provision, like so much of the original Oregon Constitution, was taken from the Indiana Constitution. The Oregon Supreme Court has recognized numerous times the importance of the Indiana Constitution to understanding the meaning of the Oregon Constitution. See generally *Armatta v. Kitzhaber*, 327 Or. 250, 265, 959 P.2d 49 (1998) (“Although not as helpful as history or case law revealing the intent of framers of Oregon Constitution, information demonstrating intent of framers of Indiana Constitution of 1851 can be instructive when interpreting Oregon constitutional provision patterned after Indiana Constitution.”); Hon. Jack L. Landau, *An Introduction to Oregon Constitutional Interpretation*, 55 *Willamette L. Rev.* 261 (2019); Claudia Burton and Andrew Grade, *A Legislative History of the Oregon Constitution of 1857—Part I (Articles I & II)*, 37 *Willamette L. Rev.* 469 (2001) (“There is both direct and circumstantial evidence that the Convention delegates viewed the constitution's provisions as familiar and easily susceptible to understanding by the common man.”); W.C. Palmer, *The Sources of the Oregon Constitution*, 5 *Or. L. Rev.* 200 (1926).

The Indiana Supreme Court stated in 2021 that the provision in the Indiana Constitution that is analogous to Oregon’s Article IV, section 21, which is Article IV, section 20, of the Indiana Constitution, was intended to “further democratize the law.” *Wright v. State*, 168 N.E.3d 244, 257 (Ind. 2021), cert. denied, 212 L. Ed. 2d 215, 142 S. Ct. 1204 (2022).<sup>3</sup> The court discussed how the founders of Indiana wanted nonlawyers to participate in state government in representing themselves in courts and legislative matters. A year later, the Indiana Court of Appeals recognized that the delegate to the Indiana Constitutional convention that proposed Article IV, section 20, “stated that the purpose of this section was to ensure that the laws ‘may be readily understood by every citizen who is bound to obey the laws,’ and ‘[t]he laws ought to be so plain that every man can interpret them for himself, without the aid of a law dictionary. This is a reform that has been called for by the people. They are loudly complaining of the complexity of the laws.’” *Armes v. State*, 191 N.E.3d 942, 952 (Ind. Ct. App.), aff’d on reh’g, 194 N.E.3d 1220 (Ind. Ct. App. 2022) (quoting from 2 Report of the Debates and Proceedings of the Convention for the Revision of the Constitution of the State of Indiana 1128 (1850)). Not only is Article IV, section 21, of the Oregon Constitution

derived from the same intent, but it would appear that the same sentiment was shared by the proponents of SB 543 (1979), which is now ORS 171.134.

#### The Flesch readability test

Unlike in 1979 when SB 543 was passed, the Senate today – and Legislative Counsel – can easily apply the Flesch readability test to determine if a measure summary is written at an eighth grade level or a 60 on the Flesch scale. A website that is easy to use is found at: <https://goodcalculators.com/flesch-kincaid-calculator/>.

One need only copy and paste the text of a measure summary into the Flesch-Kincaid calculator to determine if the measure summary meets the standard for ORS 171.134 and, thus, Senate Rule 13.02. I have done that for quite a few bills and must report that I have yet to find a measure summary that complies with law.

Other comparable tests for the Flesch readability test are readily available online. A good one is <https://datayze.com/readability-analyzer/>, which lists results for several standards after application.

In sum, ORS 171.134 requires a standard that is easy to measure for the Flesch test – a score of 60. For a comparable test, the measure is an eighth-grade reading level.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 3058 A fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules and thus should be challenged in court.

The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions.

State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020.

OR Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded.

OR Const Art IV Sec 25, requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage.

OR Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal.

ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements.

OR Const Art II Sec 10, prevents legislators from holding more than one office.

OR Const Art II Sec 15, requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills.

OR Const Art III Sec 1, prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government.

President Wagner refused to provide the Flesch number on advice of Dexter Johnson (LC) on the Floor.

HB 3058 A fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required by Senate Rules.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:



The reason I voted no on HB 3058 A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. While I agree with the premise of this bill, it needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 3058 A-Eng. – Weber requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Regardless of the merits of this legislation, it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134.

For these reasons, and the content of the legislation notwithstanding, I cannot support this bill.

HB 3076 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Hansell raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous ruling and in consultation with Legislative Counsel, ruled that the measure does comply with ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02, as SR 13.02 applies to the introduction of measures or when measures are amended, not at third reading.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Hansell appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Findley. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Debate resumed. On question of passage the vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 13 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Meek, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill failed. At ease. Senate reassembled. Lieber and Steiner granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on HB 3076A is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This Bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward. Thank you.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept my written Vote Explanation on HB3076.

The reason I voted no on HB3076 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and support the concept, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 3076 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions. State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. President Wagner claimed on the floor the bill complied with the Flesch counts rule and statute per Legislative Counsel. The President never spoke with Legislative Counsel on the Floor. HB 3076 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 3076A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 14.2 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 21.6

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 3076 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on HB 3076 because of the following legal advice:

Senate Rule 13.02

"13.02 Measure Summary.

"(1) No measure shall be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction without an impartial summary of the measure's content, describing new law and changes in existing law proposed by the measure. Any measure presented to the Secretary of the Senate that does not

comply with this requirement shall be returned to the member or committee that presented it.

“(2) The summary may be edited by Legislative Counsel and must be printed on the first page of the measure. The summaries of measures may be compiled and published by the appropriate legislative agency.

“(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

“(4) When a measure is amended, Legislative Counsel shall prepare an amended summary. The amended summary may be a part of the amendment. The summary shall be amended to show proposed changes in the measure in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

“(5) All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.” (Emphasis added.)

The requirement of Senate Rule 13.02 is clear – a measure summary must comply with ORS 171.134, which states: “Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.” If a measure summary does not comply with ORS 171.134, then it cannot be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction under Rule 13.02. This conclusion is based on a plain meaning interpretation of Rule 13.02.

If the Secretary of the Senate accepts a measure that has a material error in the measure summary, then Rule 13.02(3) provides a mechanism for correction of the measure summary. (The plain language states material error in “printed” measure summary, but I don’t believe that distinction is definitive.) The Senate Rules do not provide for ignoring the material error in the measure summary. Simply put, the Secretary of the Senate has an obligation to correct the material error in a measure summary. Presumably, the Secretary of the Senate would contact the measure sponsor or committee that presented the measure (Rule 13.02(1)), who would then contact Legislative Counsel and request an amended measure summary (Rule 13.02(3)).

I don’t believe there is a serious argument that the failure of compliance with ORS 171.134 as to the readability of a measure summary is not a material error. First, subsection (5) requires compliance with ORS 171.134. Second, Senate Rule 13.01(3) provides: “Immediately after presentation to the Secretary of the Senate, the measure shall be sent to Legislative Counsel for examination and compliance with the ‘Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures’ and preparation of a copy for the State Printer.” The “Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures” states on page 91, the start of Chapter 8 (“Measure Summaries”):

“The Desks will not accept a measure for introduction unless it is accompanied by an impartial summary of the measure’s content. See Rules of the Senate and Rules of the House of Representatives. ORS 171.134 requires that measure summaries score at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meet an equivalent standard of a comparable test.”

Thus, it is the clear responsibility of the “Desks” of both chambers, as well as Legislative Counsel, to ensure that a measure summary complies with ORS 171.134.

Senate Bill 543 was introduced in the legislative session of 1979, was passed and signed into law by the Governor, and is codified at ORS 171.134. It requires both the House and Senate to prepare measure summaries that meet a certain standard of readability:

“Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.”

In brief, the history of ORS 171.134 shows that the sponsors of SB 543 (1979) intended that “information supplied for public information should be understandable to the public and the bill would require a reading level of eighth grade.” Testimony of Senator George Wingard, Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979. Further, the proponents wanted the Oregon Legislature to “make sure that the American people are able to understand these bills” it passed, and that SB 543 “is what they call a leveler bill.” Testimony of Senator George Wingard, House Committee on Rules & Operations, May 23, 1979. The Flesch test was specifically mentioned, because a score of 60 would mean that the readability of a measure summary was at the eighth-grade level.

Interestingly, when testifying on behalf of SB 543 before the Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979, Senator Wingard pointed to a requirement in law that tax forms be readable at a score of 60 on the Flesch test. That law, passed in 1977, is now codified at ORS 316.364.2. Senator Wingard “questioned whether the government was doing people a service when public information was written above the level of comprehension.” Other Oregon statutes that require scores on the Flesch test for readability are ORS 455.085 (ninth grade level for building codes) and ORS 743.106 (40 or higher on “Flesch reading ease test” for life and health insurance policies). Former ORS 250.039 required the Secretary of State to “designate a test of readability and adopt a standard of minimum readability for a ballot title.” In compliance, the Secretary of State “designated the ‘Flesch Formula for Readability’ as the test of readability and [ ] adopted as the minimum standard of readability a Reading Ease Score of not less than 60 on a scale between 0 (practically unreadable) and 100 (easy for any literate person).” *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. 250, 259 n.11, 785 P.2d 1045 (1990) (citing OAR 165–14–045 et seq.). A handful of Oregon Supreme Court cases applied the Flesch Formula for Readability to ballot titles to determine whether the ballot title at issue met the test or not. See, e.g., *Greene v. Kulongoski*, 322 Or. 169, 179, 903 P.2d 366 (1995); *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. at 260.

Only one Oregon appellate court opinion has mentioned ORS 171.134, but that was dicta in a footnote. See *City of Damascus v. State by & through Brown*, 367 Or. 41, 54 n.6, 472 P.3d 741 (2020). No Oregon appellate court has applied ORS 171.134 to a bill before a vote in a legislative chamber. What is clear, however, is that past legislatures wanted Oregonians to be able to understand what is being voted on in the House and Senate. That understanding begins with the measure summaries, and ORS 171.134 requires a certain level of readability for those summaries. The text of ORS 171.134 is simple to interpret and simple to apply. The Senate must comply with the law.

#### Oregon Constitution

While there is no provision in the Oregon Constitution for a readability test for information published by the Legislature, Article IV, section 21 provides:

“Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.”

What the founders of Oregon meant by “plainly worded” has not yet been discussed by the Oregon Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has held that an act which contains language that “is not as plainly worded as one might desire,” is nevertheless permissible under Article IV, section 21, because the act “clearly stated its purpose” of charging the relatives for the maintenance of nonviolent inmates. See *In re Idleman’s Commitment*, 146 Or. 13, 30, 27 P.2d 311 (1933). The Oregon Supreme Court has long distinguished between a bill or measure and an “act” under Article IV, section 21. An “act” under Article IV, section 21, is a bill that has been passed by both chambers and signed into law. See *Herbring v. Brown*, 92 Or. 176, 181–82, 180 P. 330 (1919).

The constitutional mandate that the Legislature not evade its duties to the people and their right to understand the laws voted on by the members they elect, however, is imbedded in Article IV, section 21. That provision, like so much of the original Oregon Constitution, was taken from the Indiana Constitution. The Oregon Supreme Court has

recognized numerous times the importance of the Indiana Constitution to understanding the meaning of the Oregon Constitution. See generally *Armatta v. Kitzhaber*, 327 Or. 250, 265, 959 P.2d 49 (1998) (“Although not as helpful as history or case law revealing the intent of framers of Oregon Constitution, information demonstrating intent of framers of Indiana Constitution of 1851 can be instructive when interpreting Oregon constitutional provision patterned after Indiana Constitution.”); Hon. Jack L. Landau, *An Introduction to Oregon Constitutional Interpretation*, 55 *Willamette L. Rev.* 261 (2019); Claudia Burton and Andrew Grade, *A Legislative History of the Oregon Constitution of 1857—Part I (Articles I & II)*, 37 *Willamette L. Rev.* 469 (2001) (“There is both direct and circumstantial evidence that the Convention delegates viewed the constitution’s provisions as familiar and easily susceptible to understanding by the common man.”); W.C. Palmer, *The Sources of the Oregon Constitution*, 5 *Or. L. Rev.* 200 (1926).

The Indiana Supreme Court stated in 2021 that the provision in the Indiana Constitution that is analogous to Oregon’s Article IV, section 21, which is Article IV, section 20, of the Indiana Constitution, was intended to “further democratize the law.” *Wright v. State*, 168 N.E.3d 244, 257 (Ind. 2021), cert. denied, 212 L. Ed. 2d 215, 142 S. Ct. 1204 (2022).<sup>3</sup> The court discussed how the founders of Indiana wanted non-lawyers to participate in state government in representing themselves in courts and legislative matters. A year later, the Indiana Court of Appeals recognized that the delegate to the Indiana Constitutional convention that proposed Article IV, section 20, “stated that the purpose of this section was to ensure that the laws ‘may be readily understood by every citizen who is bound to obey the laws,’ and ‘[t]he laws ought to be so plain that every man can interpret them for himself, without the aid of a law dictionary. This is a reform that has been called for by the people. They are loudly complaining of the complexity of the laws.’” *Armes v. State*, 191 N.E.3d 942, 952 (Ind. Ct. App.), *aff’d on reh’g*, 194 N.E.3d 1220 (Ind. Ct. App. 2022) (quoting from 2 Report of the Debates and Proceedings of the Convention for the Revision of the Constitution of the State of Indiana 1128 (1850)). Not only is Article IV, section 21, of the Oregon Constitution derived from the same intent, but it would appear that the same sentiment was shared by the proponents of SB 543 (1979), which is now ORS 171.134.

The Flesch readability test

Unlike in 1979 when SB 543 was passed, the Senate today – and Legislative Counsel – can easily apply the Flesch readability test to determine if a measure summary is written at an eighth grade level or a 60 on the Flesch scale. A website that is easy to use is found at: <https://goodcalculators.com/fleschkincaid-calculator/>.

One need only copy and paste the text of a measure summary into the Flesch-Kincaid calculator to determine if the measure summary meets the standard for ORS 171.134 and, thus, Senate Rule 13.02. I have done that for quite a few bills and must report that I have yet to find a measure summary that complies with law.

Other comparable tests for the Flesch readability test are readily available online. A good one is <https://datayze.com/readability-analyzer>, which lists results for several standards after application.

In sum, ORS 171.134 requires a standard that is easy to measure for the Flesch test – a score of 60. For a comparable test, the measure is an eighth-grade reading level

**HB 3076 A-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

HB 3076 A fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules and thus should be challenged in court.

The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other

staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their actions.

State courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020.

OR Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded.

OR Const Art IV Sec 25, requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage.

OR Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal.

ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements.

OR Const Art II Sec 10, prevents legislators from holding more than one office.

OR Const Art II Sec 15, requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills.

OR Const Art III Sec 1, prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government.

President Wagner refused to provide the Flesch number on advice of Dexter Johnson (LC) on the Floor.

HB 3076 A fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required by Senate Rules.

**HB 3076 A-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

Although I supported HB 3076 in committee and very much support the purpose of this bill, this bill does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward. The majority party refused to address their ignorance of this Oregon law when drafting measures and so this bill failed on a party line vote. I support the purpose of HB 3076 and am very sorry I could not vote for it.

**HB 3076 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

**HB 3076 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on HB 3076 A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. While I agree with the premise of this bill, it needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

**HB 3076 A-Eng. – Weber requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

Regardless of the merits of this legislation, it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134.

For these reasons, and the content of the legislation notwithstanding, I cannot support this bill.

The following measure was referred to committee on April 28 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 54, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HCR 20 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs

President Wagner announced the following committee assignments, effective April 28:

**Public Lands Advisory Committee**

Bill Hansell – Discharged  
Mark Meek – Appointed

SB 31, 207, 216, 224, 864 - President Wagner signed on May 1.

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, May 2, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

**Tuesday, May 2, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Jeff Golden. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Hansell, Hayden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber, Woods; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

HB 2002 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 129, listing the following reports, was distributed to members May 1. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 517 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1089 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HB 2531 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 130, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2147 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2295 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

HB 2573 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3208 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3211 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3273 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Knopp moved that the presiding officer be removed as Senate President. At ease. Manning Jr in Chair. Senate reassembled. On motion to remove Wagner as Senate President the vote was: Ayes, 12; Nays, 16 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Motion failed.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Weber raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

President Wagner in Chair.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – President Wagner ruled that as the bill was not introduced in the Senate, it is not subject to SR 13.02.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Weber appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Anderson. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

*Note: President Wagner ruled on issue raised by Weber even though HB 2002 B-Eng. had not yet been first read in the Senate.*

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2002 introduction and rulings of the chair were challenged on the Floor today May 2, 2023. The President's service as presiding officer was challenged on the Floor. President Wagner denied the Oregon State Senate had authority under Or Const Art IV Sec 18 and 26 to make any changes or object to HB 2002. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires bills to be plainly written. ORS 171.134 an enduring rule adopted by the legislature requires every summary to be written to no more than a 60 on the Flesch readability scale an equivalent of 8th grade. Senate Rule 13.02 requires summaries upon first reading introduction to comply with ORS 171.134.

The President sent an email this very morning stating a protest to the constitution, statute, rule must be done on bill introduction. This was denied today by the President of the Senate on the Floor. This includes denying Senate and Mason's rules cited on the Floor. Oddly, the Senate President carried over a SB 1097 for the above reasons but refused to carry over HB 2002 from introduction and first reading in the Oregon State Senate. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage and certain motions. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. These violations happened today. Further, the federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. Elected members are liable for their own actions as elected legislators. Oregon circuit and appellate courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020 as well. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal. Please enter this vote explanation into the Senate Journal.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted no on the decision to sustain the ruling of the President because of the following legal advice:

Senate Rule 13.02

"13.02 Measure Summary.

"(1) No measure shall be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction without an impartial summary of the measure's content, describing new law and changes in existing law proposed by the measure. Any measure presented to the Secretary of the Senate that does not comply with this requirement shall be returned to the member or committee that presented it.

"(2) The summary may be edited by Legislative Counsel and must be printed on the first page of the measure. The summaries of measures may be compiled and published by the appropriate legislative agency.

"(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown. Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

"(4) When a measure is amended, Legislative Counsel shall prepare an amended summary. The amended summary may be a part of the amendment. The summary shall be amended to show proposed changes in the measure in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

"(5) All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134." (Emphasis added.)

The requirement of Senate Rule 13.02 is clear – a measure summary must comply with ORS 171.134, which states: "Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test." If a measure summary does not comply with ORS 171.134, then it cannot be accepted by the Secretary of the Senate for introduction under Rule 13.02. This conclusion is based on a plain meaning interpretation of Rule 13.02.

If the Secretary of the Senate accepts a measure that has a material error in the measure summary, then Rule 13.02(3) provides a mechanism for correction of the measure summary. (The plain language states material error in "printed" measure summary, but I don't believe that distinction is definitive.) The Senate Rules do not provide for ignoring the material error in the measure summary. Simply put, the Secretary of the

Senate has an obligation to correct the material error in a measure summary. Presumably, the Secretary of the Senate would contact the measure sponsor or committee that presented the measure (Rule 13.02(1)), who would then contact Legislative Counsel and request an amended measure summary (Rule 13.02(3)).

I don't believe there is a serious argument that the failure of compliance with ORS 171.134 as to the readability of a measure summary is not a material error. First, subsection (5) requires compliance with ORS 171.134. Second, Senate Rule 13.01(3) provides: "Immediately after presentation to the Secretary of the Senate, the measure shall be sent to Legislative Counsel for examination and compliance with the 'Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures' and preparation of a copy for the State Printer." The "Form and Style Manual for Legislative Measures" states on page 91, the start of Chapter 8 ("Measure Summaries"):

"The Desks will not accept a measure for introduction unless it is accompanied by an impartial summary of the measure's content. See Rules of the Senate and Rules of the House of Representatives. ORS 171.134 requires that measure summaries score at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meet an equivalent standard of a comparable test."

Thus, it is the clear responsibility of the "Desks" of both chambers, as well as Legislative Counsel, to ensure that a measure summary complies with ORS 171.134. ORS 171.134

Senate Bill 543 was introduced in the legislative session of 1979, was passed and signed into law by the Governor, and is codified at ORS 171.134. It requires both the House and Senate to prepare measure summaries that meet a certain standard of readability:

"Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test."

In brief, the history of ORS 171.134 shows that the sponsors of SB 543 (1979) intended that "information supplied for public information should be understandable to the public and the bill would require a reading level of eighth grade." Testimony of Senator George Wingard, Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979. Further, the proponents wanted the Oregon Legislature to "make sure that the American people are able to understand these bills" it passed, and that SB 543 "is what they call a leveler bill." Testimony of Senator George Wingard, House Committee on Rules & Operations, May 23, 1979. The Flesch test was specifically mentioned, because a score of 60 would mean that the readability of a measure summary was at the eighth-grade level.

Interestingly, when testifying on behalf of SB 543 before the Senate Committee on Education, May 3, 1979, Senator Wingard pointed to a requirement in law that tax forms be readable at a score of 60 on the Flesch test. That law, passed in 1977, is now codified at ORS 316.364.2 Senator Wingard "questioned whether the government was doing people a service when public information was written above the level of comprehension." Other Oregon statutes that require scores on the Flesch test for readability are ORS 455.085 (ninth grade level for building codes) and ORS 743.106 (40 or higher on "Flesch reading ease test" for life and health insurance policies). Former ORS 250.039 required the Secretary of State to "designate a test of readability and adopt a standard of minimum readability for a ballot title." In compliance, the Secretary of State "designated the 'Flesch Formula for Readability' as the test of readability and [ ] adopted as the minimum standard of readability a Reading Ease Score of not less than 60 on a scale between 0 (practically unreadable) and 100 (easy for any literate person)." *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. 250, 259 n.11, 785 P.2d 1045 (1990) (citing OAR 165-14-045 et seq.). A handful of Oregon Supreme Court cases applied the Flesch Formula for Readability to ballot titles to determine whether the ballot title at issue met the test or not. See, e.g., *Greene v. Kulongoski*, 322 Or. 169, 179, 903 P.2d 366 (1995); *Deras v. Roberts*, 309 Or. at 260.

Only one Oregon appellate court opinion has mentioned ORS 171.134, but that was dicta in a footnote. See *City of Damascus v. State* by & through Brown, 367 Or. 41, 54 n.6, 472 P.3d 741 (2020). No Oregon appellate court has applied ORS 171.134 to a bill before a vote in a legislative chamber. What is clear, however, is that past legislatures wanted Oregonians to be able to understand what is being voted on in the House and Senate. That understanding begins with the measure summaries, and ORS 171.134 requires a certain level of readability for those summaries. The text of ORS 171.134 is simple to interpret and simple to apply. The Senate must comply with the law.

#### Oregon Constitution

While there is no provision in the Oregon Constitution for a readability test for information published by the Legislature, Article IV, section 21 provides:

“Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.”

What the founders of Oregon meant by “plainly worded” has not yet been discussed by the Oregon Supreme Court. The Supreme Court has held that an act which contains language that “is not as plainly worded as one might desire,” is nevertheless permissible under Article IV, section 21, because the act “clearly stated its purpose” of charging the relatives for the maintenance of nonviolent inmates. See *In re Idleman’s Commitment*, 146 Or. 13, 30, 27 P.2d 311 (1933). The Oregon Supreme Court has long distinguished between a bill or measure and an “act” under Article IV, section 21. An “act” under Article IV, section 21, is a bill that has been passed by both chambers and signed into law. See *Herbring v. Brown*, 92 Or. 176, 181–82, 180 P. 330 (1919).

The constitutional mandate that the Legislature not evade its duties to the people and their right to understand the laws voted on by the members they elect, however, is imbedded in Article IV, section 21. That provision, like so much of the original Oregon Constitution, was taken from the Indiana Constitution. The Oregon Supreme Court has recognized numerous times the importance of the Indiana Constitution to understanding the meaning of the Oregon Constitution. See generally *Armatta v. Kitzhaber*, 327 Or. 250, 265, 959 P.2d 49 (1998) (“Although not as helpful as history or case law revealing the intent of framers of Oregon Constitution, information demonstrating intent of framers of Indiana Constitution of 1851 can be instructive when interpreting Oregon constitutional provision patterned after Indiana Constitution.”); Hon. Jack L. Landau, *An Introduction to Oregon Constitutional Interpretation*, 55 *Willamette L. Rev.* 261 (2019); Claudia Burton and Andrew Grade, *A Legislative History of the Oregon Constitution of 1857—Part I (Articles I & II)*, 37 *Willamette L. Rev.* 469 (2001) (“There is both direct and circumstantial evidence that the Convention delegates viewed the constitution’s provisions as familiar and easily susceptible to understanding by the common man.”); W.C. Palmer, *The Sources of the Oregon Constitution*, 5 *Or. L. Rev.* 200 (1926).

The Indiana Supreme Court stated in 2021 that the provision in the Indiana Constitution that is analogous to Oregon’s Article IV, section 21, which is Article IV, section 20, of the Indiana Constitution, was intended to “further democratize the law.” *Wright v. State*, 168 N.E.3d 244, 257 (Ind. 2021), cert. denied, 212 L. Ed. 2d 215, 142 S. Ct. 1204 (2022).<sup>3</sup> The court discussed how the founders of Indiana wanted nonlawyers to participate in state government in representing themselves in courts and legislative matters. A year later, the Indiana Court of Appeals recognized that the delegate to the Indiana Constitutional convention that proposed Article IV, section 20, “stated that the purpose of this section was to ensure that the laws ‘may be readily understood by every citizen who is bound to obey the laws,’ and [t]he laws ought to be so plain that every man can interpret them for himself, without the aid of a law dictionary. This is a reform that has been called for by the people. They are loudly complaining of the complexity of the laws.” *Armes v. State*, 191 N.E.3d 942, 952 (Ind. Ct. App.), aff’d on reh’g, 194 N.E.3d 1220 (Ind. Ct. App. 2022) (quoting from 2 Report of the Debates and Proceedings of the

Convention for the Revision of the Constitution of the State of Indiana 1128 (1850)). Not only is Article IV, section 21, of the Oregon Constitution derived from the same intent, but it would appear that the same sentiment was shared by the proponents of SB 543 (1979), which is now ORS 171.134.

#### The Flesch readability test

Unlike in 1979 when SB 543 was passed, the Senate today – and Legislative Counsel – can easily apply the Flesch readability test to determine if a measure summary is written at an eighth grade level or a 60 on the Flesch scale. A website that is easy to use is found at: <https://goodcalculators.com/flesch-kincaid-calculator/>.

One need only copy and paste the text of a measure summary into the FleschKincaid calculator to determine if the measure summary meets the standard for ORS 171.134 and, thus, Senate Rule 13.02. I have done that for quite a few bills and must report that I have yet to find a measure summary that complies with law.

Other comparable tests for the Flesch readability test are readily available online. A good one is <https://datayze.com/readability-analyzer>, which lists results for several standards after application.

In sum, ORS 171.134 requires a standard that is easy to measure for the Flesch test – a score of 60. For a comparable test, the measure is an eighth-grade reading level.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register with regard to my “No” vote on the Procedural Motion to uphold the ruling of the Senate President with regard to HB 2002 and the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President’s ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon citizens.

Vote was an effort to maintain this body’s constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Robinson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

President Wagner denied the Oregon State Senate had authority under the Oregon Constitution to make any changes or object to HB 2002. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires bills to be plainly written. ORS 171.134 an enduring rule adopted by the legislature requires every summary to be written to no more than a 60 on the Flesch readability scale an equivalent of 8th grade. Senate Rule 13.02 requires summaries upon first reading introduction to comply with ORS 171.134. The President sent an email with a legal opinion this morning stating a protest to the constitution, statute, rule must be done on bill introduction. This was denied today by the President of the Senate on the Floor. This included denying current Senate Rules and Mason’s Manual of Legislative Procedure that were cited on the Floor. I am astonished by the claim that bills originating in the House are not subject to Senate rules. This is plainly absurd. I voted No against upholding the ruling of the Senate

President multiple times today based upon the information above. Please enter this vote explanation into the Senate Journal.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted NO on upholding the several rulings of the Senate President on May 2, 2023 because not only are these rulings non-compliant with the intent of the Oregon Constitution Article IV Section 21; they also do not comply with rule 13.02 (3) of the Eighty-second Oregon Legislative Assembly Rules of the Senate, adopted January 9, 2023; nor with ORS 171.134 passed in 1979.

Article IV Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution says that all acts need to be plainly worded. Though a summary is not a part of an act or bill, it is what the public relies upon to understand what a bill actually does. That is why the legislature passed a statute in 1979 requiring bill summaries to be written in such a way that they are understandable to the average 8th or 9th grader.

Rule 13.02, adopted by the Senate in January this year mandates that measure summaries conform to ORS 171.134 which says, “Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.”

The rule that refers to the statute happens to fall under a section caption of “Introduction of Measures” which has seemingly been used as an excuse by the Senate President to interpret that the whole of rule 13 only applies to the introduction of measures. I believe that the captions included in the rule book is only for the convenience and organization of the rule book and is not a part of the actual rules. This is similar to how captions in bills are treated. The captions do not become part of the law. Additionally, 13.02 (3) says that “If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary.”

Since Legislative Counsel is fully aware that a material error has occurred which violates Senate Rule and Oregon Statute and is inconsistent with Article IV Section 21, Counsel is to correct the summary. Easy fix. Why the refusal? The same Legislative Counsel has advised the Senate President that he can somehow wave a magic wand and deem a rule as having been complied with. However, there are clear steps laid out in the most recently adopted Rules of the Senate. Specifically, section 2 of the rule book.

Section 2.05 lays out a procedure for rescinding rules. It says that the rule to be rescinded “shall be proposed in writing, read at a regular business session...distributed to members’ desks and allowed to lie on the table for at least one session day prior to any vote thereon” It also says: “No standing rule of the Senate shall be...rescinded except upon the affirmative vote of a constitutional majority...” That’s only a vote of 16. Easily accomplished by the majority party. Why won’t the Senate President follow the rule to rescind the rule regarding measure summaries in Section 13.02?

Further, the rule in Section 2.10 lays out the procedure for suspending a rule. It says: “No rule of the Senate shall be suspended except by unanimous consent...or by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members.” Why doesn’t the Senate President attempt to suspend Section 13.02 as it applies to measure summaries?

Further, if the Senate President is advised by legislative counsel to be granted the authority to declare that chamber rules have been complied with, simply by declaring it so, then why even have rules if there is not going to be even a pretense of an effort to actually comply with all of them? Why bother having statutes that apply to the legislature and further have them referenced in a rule if there is no intention of adhering to them? Why even have rules that direct how a rule is suspended or rescinded if the Senate President can waive a rule by simply deeming it

to have been complied with? To allow such an abuse of the rules, statutes, and spirit of the Oregon constitution in such a manner makes me call into question whether we actually have a true representative democracy.

SB 1097 – Knopp raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

At ease. Senate reassembled.

SB 1097 – President Wagner agreed the bill is subject to SR 13.02 and will take the point of order under advisement and consult with Legislative Counsel. While under advisement the bill will not be first read.

HB 2002 - Read first time and referred to President’s desk.

HB 2147, 2295, 2531, 2573, 3208, 3211, 3273 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

Linthicum raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asked if during the second reading of measures is an appropriate time to raise objections to measures.

President Wagner ruled that measures under second reading are not subject to SR 13.02.

Linthicum appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Bonham. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Knopp raised a point of order citing ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous ruling, ruled that ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02 do not apply as the measure was introduced in the House.

HB 2002 B-Eng. – Knopp appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 2146 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Linthicum. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 28; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2146 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted yes on HB 2146 A is because it complies with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134 and I agree with the concept.

HB 2507 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Thatcher raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous rulings, ruled that ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02 do not apply as the measure was introduced in the House.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Thatcher appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on HB 2507A is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02 or ORS 171.134. This Bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

I agree with the premise of this bill and would have votes Yes if it had complied with the provisions stated.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB2507 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and support the concept, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

ORS 171.134:

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 2507A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 21.4 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 2.8

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register for my "No" vote with regard to HB 2507 A. I voted against HB 2507 A because of the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President's ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon's citizens.

In an effort to maintain this body's constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate, I voted in opposition to the presiding officer's ruling

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2507 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB 2507 A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2530 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Findley raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS



171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous rulings, ruled that ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02 do not apply as the measure was introduced in the House.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Findley appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 133.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this Bill and would have voted YES, I was not able to do so until it comes into compliance with the provisions previously stated.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB2530 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and support the concept, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

ORS 171.134:

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2530 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel,

parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. State circuit and appellate courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. President Wagner ruled in violation of rules, statutes and constitutional clauses. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 requires a member protest to be entered into the Senate Journal. Or Const Art IV Sec 17 and 18 empower the Senate to act independently. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least sixteen (16) such bills have passed the state senate failing to meet constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 2530 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 2530A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 17 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 3.4

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register for my "No" vote with regard to HB 2530 A. I voted against HB 2530 A because of the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President's ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon's citizens.

In an effort to maintain this body's constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate, I voted in opposition to the presiding officer's ruling.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2530 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB 2530 A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. While I agree with the premise of this bill, it needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2982 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Brock Smith raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – President Wagner ruled that the measure summary requirement was not violated, consistent with previous rulings.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Brock Smith appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 11 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 – Girod, Gorsek, Hansell. Ruling sustained.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on HB 2982B is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This Bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of HB 2982B and would have voted Yes if this bill comply with the previously stated provisions.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB2982 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and support the concept, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

ORS 171.134:

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2982 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, and Senate Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. State circuit and appellate courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. President Wagner ruled in violation of rules, statutes, and constitutional clauses. Or Const Art IV Sec 17 and 18 empower the Senate to act independently. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least seventeen (17) such bills have passed the state senate failing to meet constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 2982 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on House Bill 2982B is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 18.7 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 4.3

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 2982 B-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register for my "No" vote with regard to HB 2982 A. I voted against HB 2982 A because of the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected

summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President's ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon's citizens.

In an effort to maintain this body's constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate, I voted in opposition to the presiding officer's ruling.

**HB 2982 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

**HB 2982 B-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on HB 2982 B is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

**HB 3307 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Jama.**

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Bonham raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.**

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – President Wagner, consistent with the previous rulings, ruled that ORS 171.134 and SR 13.02 do not apply as the measure was introduced in the House.**

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Bonham appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.**

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.**

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted NO on HB 3307A is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02 or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of HB 3307A, I am unable to cast a YES vote until this bill complies with the previous stated provision.

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Bonham requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on HB3307 is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

While I agree with the premise of this bill and support the concept, I can't in good conscience and consideration of my oath of office vote to affirm a bill that so clearly violates the law and the constitution.

Thank you, Mr. President.

Article IV, Section 21

Every act, and joint resolution, shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far practicable the use of technical terms.

Senate Rule 13.02:

All summaries must comply with ORS 171.134.

ORS 171.134:

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test.

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

HB 3307 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, Senate Rules and Masons Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate such as legislative counsel, parliamentarians, and other staff are not decision makers in the legislature. State circuit and appellate courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. President Wagner ruled in violation of rules, statutes and constitutional clauses. Or Const Art IV Sec 17 and 18 empower the Senate to act independently. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least eighteen (18) such bills have passed the state senate failing to meet constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 3307 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses thus please enter this protest explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

**HB 3307 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on House Bill 3307A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule

13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 20.1 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 0.8

Thank you, Mr. President.

HB 3307 A-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register for my “No” vote with regard to HB 3307 A. I voted against HB 3307 A because of the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President’s ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon’s citizens.

In an effort to maintain this body’s constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate, I voted in opposition to the presiding officer’s ruling.

HB 3307 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 3307 A-Eng. – Thatcher requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted no on HB 3307 A is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. While I agree with the premise of this bill, it needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

HB 3412 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – Anderson raised a point of order citing Article IV, section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, ORS 171.134, and SR 13.02, asserting that the summary does not comply with Senate Rule, the Oregon Constitution, or statute.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – President Wagner, in consultation with Legislative Counsel, ruled that the objection to the measure summary is not timely as it was not raised during second reading of the engrossed measure.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – Anderson appealed the ruling of the Chair. Joined by Linthicum. On motion to sustain ruling, the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson,

Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Ruling sustained.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please file this vote explanation in the official register with regard to my “No” vote on the Procedural Motion to uphold the ruling of the Senate President with regard to HB 3412 A and the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President’s ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon’s citizens.

In an effort to maintain this body’s constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate, I voted in opposition to the presiding officer’s ruling.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 12 - Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 - Girod, Gorsek. Bill passed.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

The reason I voted NO on this HB 3412 B is because it does not comply with Article 4 Section 21 of Oregon’s Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This Bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward. While I agree with the premise of this Bill, I am unable to cast a YES vote until the bill is in compliance with the provisions previously stated.

HB 3412 B-Eng. – Boquist requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 3412 regardless of intent fails to meet the requirements of the Oregon Constitution, Oregon Revised Statutes, Senate Rules and Masons Rules thus should be challenged in court. The federal courts in 2020 and 2022 ruled employees of the Oregon State Senate and Legislative Assembly are not decision makers in the legislature. Legislators are decision makers only. State circuit and appellate courts ruled statute prevails over rules in 2019 and 2020. President Wagner stated on the Floor any protest would have been required on second reading of HB 3412. President Wagner ruled in violation of rules, statutes and constitutional clauses. Or Const Art IV Sec 17 and 18 empower the Senate to act independently. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires the bill be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 passed by the Legislative Assembly as an enduring rule established wording requirements. Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. At least nineteen (19) such bills have passed the State Senate failing to meet statutory and constitutional requirements. Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires eligible legislators to vote

openly or by voice vote on bills. Or Const Art II Sec 10 prevents legislators from holding more than one office. Or Const Art III Sec 1 prevents legislators from serving in two branches of government. HB 3412 fails on each of these rules, statutes and constitutional clauses. Please enter this protest vote explanation into the Senate Journal as required per Or Const Art IV Sec 26.

**HB 3412 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

While I support this bill, I voted no because this bill does not comply with Article 4, Section 21 of the Oregon Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

**HB 3412 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on House Bill 3412B is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

Catchline/Summary: Flesh-Kincaid Grade Level: 17.6 Flesch Reading Ease Score: 12.8

Thank you, Mr. President.

**HB 3412 B-Eng. – Linthicum requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

Please file this vote explanation in the official register for my "No" vote with regard to HB 3412 A. I voted against HB 3412 A because of the problems associated with the summary violating Rule 13.02.

The Rules of the Senate, for 82nd Legislative Assembly, Rule 13.02 (3) reads:

(3) If a material error in a printed summary is brought to the attention of Legislative Counsel, Counsel shall cause a corrected summary to be prepared that shows the changes made in the summary. Changes shall be shown in the same manner as amendments to existing law are shown.

Counsel shall deliver the corrected summary to the Secretary of the Senate. The President may order the corrected summary distributed as directed by the Secretary of the Senate.

Clearly, a material error has been identified and needs to be corrected. The Senate President's ruling is unfounded and abdicates his fiduciary responsibility to Oregon's citizens.

In an effort to maintain this body's constitutional and statutory obligations and support and uphold the integrity of the Oregon Senate, I voted in opposition to the presiding officer's ruling.

**HB 3412 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

The reason I voted no on this bill is because it does not comply with Article 4 section 21 of Oregon's Constitution, Senate Rule 13.02, or ORS 171.134. This bill needs to comply with these provisions before moving forward.

The following measure was referred to committee on May 2 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 55, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2002 Ways and Means

Senate adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, May 3, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Wednesday, May 3, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Ineda Pearl Adesanya, Willamette University Chaplain and Director of Spiritual and Religious Life. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum; excused – Girod, Gorsek, Hansell, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Steiner and Prozanski. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Hansell, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 5 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum; Excused, 7 – Girod, Gorsek, Hansell, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, May 4.

HCR 5, 11, 24; HB 5001, 5007, 5021, 5023, 5032, 5038; HB 2237, 2270, 2296, 3097, 3140, 3141, 3164, 3195, 3200, 3331 - President Wagner signed on May 3.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 3, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language

which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV) whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 3, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134

that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from floor session in the Senate on: 3-7 May 2023  
Due to:

Have flue or worse. Fully aware a member had covid on the floor this week. None-theless see attached. Actions and failure of action has led to a majority leadership admitted constitutional, statutory and rules crisis. As the President noted to members, the remedy avenue is the Judicial Branch. This is in process today May 4, 2023. This IPO Senator does not want caught in the middle of a very unhealthy unsafe hostile situation until a Judge rules on the matter Wed-Thur or Friday. Or sets a hearing date with a restraining order.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5-7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by, the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 3, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the

First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hayden requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 3, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 3, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 3, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate).

But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

#### Thursday, May 4, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Kelly Wadsworth, Westminster Presbyterian Church, Salem. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Hansell, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber.

The following message from the Governor, dated May 3, announcing appointment and reappointment subject to Senate confirmation as provided by ORS 171.562 and ORS 171.565, was withdrawn:

*(For complete term information, see measure history.)*

#### Salem Area Mass Transit District (ORS 267.090)

Nicholas Fortey (Salem)	Unexpired 4-Year
Nicholas Fortey (r) (Salem)	4-Year



HB 2005, 2107 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2982, 3412 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

SB 31, 207, 216, 224, 864; HCR 5, 11, 24; HB 5001, 5007, 5021, 5023, 5032, 5038; HB 2237, 2270, 2296, 3097, 3140, 3141, 3164, 3195, 3200, 3331 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 2.

HB 5046; HB 2330; HCR 10 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 3.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Steiner and Prozanski. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Hansell, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 5 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Linthicum; Excused, 7 – Girod, Gorsek, Hansell, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 12:00 p.m., Friday, May 5.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 4, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your

interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative, Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 4, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber,

Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from floor session in the Senate on: 3-7 May 2023  
Due to:

Have flue or worse. Fully aware a member had covid on the floor this week. None-theless see attached. Actions and failure of action has led to a majority leadership admitted constitutional, statutory and rules crisis. As the President noted to members, the remedy avenue is the Judicial Branch. This is in process today May 4, 2023. This IPO Senator does not want caught in the middle of a very unhealthy unsafe hostile situation until a Judge rules on the matter Wed-Thur or Friday. Or sets a hearing date with a restraining order.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5-7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134. You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure -- amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon. Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by, the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly,

I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 4, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum., it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hayden requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 4, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 4, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Friday, May 5, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 12:00 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber.

SB 5522; SB 229, 586, 629, 410, 411, 970; HB 2834, 3171, 3188, 3583 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2285, 2447, 3058 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 4.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Prozanski. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist,

Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 5 – Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum; Excused, 7 – Girod, Gorsek, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

HCR 10; HB 5046; HB 2285, 2330, 2447, 3058 – President Wagner signed on May 5.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 9:00 a.m., Saturday, May 6.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5-7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134. You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure -- amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon. Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by, the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Saturday, May 6, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 9:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsler Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Golden and Meek. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 9:00 a.m., Sunday, May 7.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5-7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134. You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure -- amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon. Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by, the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Sunday, May 7, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 9:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Sara Gelser Blouin. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Findley. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp,

Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Monday, May 8.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 5-7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by, the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Monday, May 8, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Marilyn Williams, Salem Mission Faith Ministries. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Hansell, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Steiner. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, May 9.

SB 5522; SB 229, 410, 411, 586, 629, 970 – President Wagner signed on May 8.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction

of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my



absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate on: 8-9 May 2023  
Due to:

We are in Senate District 12 working with hundreds of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful civil and criminal actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O).

Case #23CV18048 against the president, Secretary, and Chief Legislative Counsel remains active before Judge LeIgh. Other complaints and lawsuits are pending. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires bills be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 establishes wording requirements. ORS 173.135 requiring Legislative Counsel Committee approval for outside counsel has been violated again by the President and Speaker. Both have further violated Or Const Art III Sec 1 again in utilizing the Attorney General in violation of the separation of powers.

Federal courts have ruled only elected officials are decision makers in the Oregon State Senate. Non-elected employees have zero authority in the legislative assembly. None.

Proposed actions for second readings violate the Rome Statute inclusive of crimes against humanity under the criminal jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court funded by the U.S. Government.

Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 remain unlawfully stonewalled by the President and others with retribution and retaliation against whistleblowers.

Senate and Mason Rules require a valid majority to pass bill out of committee.

Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires open voice vote on bills.

Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. early twenty bills have been approved by the President and Secretary for passage in violation of this clause.

Or Const Art II Sec 10 blocks holding more than one office.

Or Const Art III Sec 1 separation of powers prevents legislators & executive branch attorneys from serving more than one branch of government. The use by the President and Secretary of the executive branch Attorney General is a gross hypocritical violation of the Oregon Constitution as the AG argues judges have not jurisdiction under the separation of powers. .

The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS I 71. 134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in

the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134

that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

## Tuesday, May 9, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 5501, 5508, 5517; SB 16, 31, 82, 207, 216, 224, 305, 306, 543, 545, 581, 644, 864 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on May 8.

SB 203, 310, 311, 317, 463, 478, 529, 558, 597, 702, 797, 810 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 15 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Campos. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, May 10.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about

time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate on: 8-9 May 2023  
Due to:

We are in Senate District 12 working with hundreds of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful civil and criminal actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. LBPR 27 2(w)(F)(J)(K)(O).

Case #23CV18048 against the president, Secretary, and Chief Legislative Counsel remains active before Judge Leigh. Other complaints and lawsuits are pending. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires bills be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 establishes wording requirements. ORS 173.135 requiring Legislative Counsel Committee approval for outside counsel has been violated again by the President and Speaker. Both have further violated Or Const Art III Sec 1 again in utilizing the Attorney General in violation of the separation of powers.

Federal courts have ruled only elected officials are decision makers in the Oregon State Senate. Non-elected employees have zero authority in the legislative assembly. None.

Proposed actions for second readings violate the Rome Statute inclusive of crimes against humanity under the criminal jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court funded by the U.S. Government.

Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 remain unlawfully stonewalled by the President and others with retribution and retaliation against whistleblowers.

Senate and Mason Rules require a valid majority to pass bill out of committee.

Or Const Art II Sec 15 requires open voice vote on bills.

Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. early twenty bills have been approved by the President and Secretary for passage in violation of this clause.

Or Const Art II Sec 10 blocks holding more than one office.

Or Const Art III Sec 1 separation of powers prevents legislators & executive branch attorneys from serving more than one branch of government. The use by the President and Secretary of the executive branch Attorney General is a gross hypocritical violation of the Oregon Constitution as the AG argues judges have not jurisdiction under the separation of powers. .

The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that

the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the

subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule

3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 9, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Wednesday, May 10, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Bruce Stefanik, Church on the Hill, Turner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 123, 184, 628, 812, 893, 902, 903, 904, 912, 994, 1033; HB 3197 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 913 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

HB 2982, 3412 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 8.

SB 5522; SB 229, 410, 411, 586, 629, 970 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 9.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Golden. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, May 11.

SCR 15; SB 203, 310, 311, 317, 463, 478, 529, 558, 597, 702, 797, 810; HB 2982, 3412 – President Wagner signed on May 10.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon. Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about

time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 10-11 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful civil and criminal actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires bills be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 establishes wording requirements. This violation is admitted in an email from the President. ORS 173.135 continues to be violated. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated utilizing the Attorney General. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 remain unlawfully stonewalled by the President and others with retribution and retaliation against whistleblowers. LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O). Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. Bills on the posted reading lists will require these votes. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. We are in district working with district constituents regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about



time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and

consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV) whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV,

sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 10, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

#### Thursday, May 11, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President

Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Hayden.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Golden and Steiner. All present except, absent - Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek, Hayden.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 9 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 3 – Girod, Gorsek, Hayden. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Monday, May 15.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so -just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule

3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV) whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed

and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 10-11 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful civil and criminal actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 requires bills be plainly worded. ORS 171.134 establishes wording requirements. This violation is admitted in an email from the President. ORS 173.135 continues to be violated. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated utilizing the Attorney General. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 remain unlawfully stonewalled by the President and others with retribution and retaliation against whistleblowers. LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O). Or Const Art IV Sec 25 requires sixteen (16) valid votes for bill passage. Bills on the posted reading lists will require these votes. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. We are in district working with district constituents regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is

and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so -just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33 and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 11, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If

subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Monday, May 15, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Lew Frederick. The following members were present: Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 194, 202, 208; HB 2045 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 15; SB 203, 310, 311, 317, 463, 478, 529, 558, 597, 702, 797, 810; HB 2146, 2507, 2530, 3307 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 11.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Golden. All present except, absent – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 16; Absent, 12 – Anderson, Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, May 16.

SB 123, 184, 628, 812, 893, 902, 903, 904, 912, 994, 1033; HB 2146, 2507, 2530, 3307 – President Wagner signed on May 15.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you

refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 15 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art Sec 1 continues to be violated. You directed to have the AG unconstitutionally argue in court III to block a temporary order that would have allowed Senators back on the floor. ORS 173 .13 continues to be violated. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You choose to deny a medical absence for 3-6 May 2023 under federal FMLA and state OFLA. It is clear you do not care about contaminating other members. Constitutional, statutory, rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O) abound. The list of constitutional, statutory rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. Or Const and Art IV Sec 25 is up for violation on posted bills for May 15, 2023. We are in district working with district constituents regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my

absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you

refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that

the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV) whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was

only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule



3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV) whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Tuesday, May 16, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Senator Elizabeth Steiner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 592; HB 5004, 5009, 5027, 5028 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 123, 184, 628, 812, 893, 902, 903, 904, 912, 994, 1033 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 15.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Patterson and Steiner. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, May 17.

SB 194, 202, 208 – President Wagner signed on May 16.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlines above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to

the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rule. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 16-17 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. You directed to have the AG unconstitutionally argue in court to block a temporary order that would have allowed Senators back on the floor. ORS 173.135 continues to be violated. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You choose to deny a medical absence for 3-6 May 2023 under federal FMLA and state OFLA. It is clear you do not care about contaminating other members. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O) abound. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 is up for violation on posted bills for May 15, 2023. We are in district working with district constituents regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1,

2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is

and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV) whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on Month day, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22 of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Wednesday, May 17, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Mark Henke, Salem First Baptist Church. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson,

Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 5522; SB 229, 410, 411, 629, 970, 586 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on May 16.

SB 209, 231, 585, 594, 661, 806, 816, 1047; HB 2527, 2761 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 194, 202, 208 - Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 16.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Campos and Sollman. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, May 18.

SB 592 – President Wagner signed on May 17.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my

interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I

am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 16-17 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. You directed to have the AG unconstitutionally argue in court to block a temporary order that would have allowed Senators back on the floor. ORS 173.135 continues to be violated. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You choose to deny a medical absence for 3-6 May 2023 under federal FMLA and state OFLA. It is clear you do not care about contaminating other members. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 2(w)(F)(J)(K)(O) abound. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct is too long to list in this request. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 is up for violation on posted bills for May 15, 2023. We are in district working with district constituents regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my

interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I

am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1,

2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV sections 21 and 22 of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 17, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

#### Thursday, May 18, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

HB 5008, 5016, 5037; HB 2013, 2572 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Frederick and Taylor. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.



Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Monday, May 22.

SB 209, 231, 585, 594, 661, 806, 816, 1047 – President Wagner signed on May 18.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article TV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article TV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 18 May 2023

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. You directed the AG unconstitutionally argue in court to block a temporary order that would have put Senators back on the Floor. ORS 173.135 continues to be violated. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You choose to deny a medical absence for 3-6 May 2023 under federal FMLA and state OFLA. You allowed Democrat medical absences. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 continue to be unlawfully

stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O) abound. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct grows daily as do allegations of state and federal criminal violations. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 is up for violation on posted bills for May 18, 2023. We are in district working with district constituents until committee times regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is

and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article TV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 18, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article TV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you

refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Monday, May 22, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 123, 184, 203, 310, 311, 317, 463, 478, 529, 558, 597, 628, 702, 797, 810, 812, 893, 902, 903, 904, 912, 994, 1033 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on May 19.

SB 201, 211, 218, 234, 238, 568, 867; HB 2079, 2161, 2931 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 210, 275 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

SB 592 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 18.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Taylor and Patterson. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, May 23.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage-if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so -just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put-you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 22 May 2023.

The Governor has requested a meeting this day at Floor time. Plan to be in committee if safe later today. We are in district working with district constituents until committee times regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure ( acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the

subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put, you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule

3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence

is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 22, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure -

- amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provision of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Tuesday, May 23, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 418, 536, 569, 599; HB 3080, 3550 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 279 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

SB 616 – Message from the House announcing failure.

SB 209, 231, 585, 594, 661, 806, 816, 1047 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 23.

Effective May 23, 2023, President Wagner announced that he is invoking the provisions of the one-hour notice rule in accordance with Senate Rule 8.16:

“Notwithstanding the provision of SR 8.15, the committee chair may call a meeting of a committee with less than the

notice required under SR 8.15 (1)(a) or (b) if, at least one hour prior to the meeting, notice is given to the Secretary of the Senate's Office and posted outside the Senate Chamber, if possible, electronically through the Oregon Legislative Information System, and in any other place reasonably designed to give notice to the public and interested persons. Whenever possible, such meetings shall be announced on the floor while the Senate is in session.”

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Steiner and Meek. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, May 24.

SB 201, 211, 218, 234, 238, 568, 867 – President Wagner signed on May 23.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134



that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 23, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my

absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Wednesday, May 24, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor John Fehlen, West Salem Foursquare Church. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 57, 232, 270, 272, 303, 340, 376, 479, 482, 510, 571, 573, 615, 685, 692, 756, 759, 767, 814, 851, 887, 890, 940; HB 2101, 2004, 3031 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 11, 161, 189, 226, 227, 339, 969, 1069 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

SB 201, 211, 218, 234, 238, 568, 867 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 23.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Golden and Sollman. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, May 25.

SB 418, 536, 569, 599 – President Wagner signed on May 24.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that

the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 24-25 May 2023

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. You directed the AG unconstitutionally argue in court to block a temporary order that would have put Senators back on the Floor. ORS 173 .13 5 continues to be violated. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 1 71.134 are admitted violations. You choose to deny a medical absence for 3-6 May 2023 under federal FMLA and state OFLA. You allowed Democrat medical absences. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 2(w)((F)(J)(K)(O) abound. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct grows daily as do allegations of state and federal criminal violations. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 is up for violation on posted bills for May 24, 2023. We are in district working with district constituents until committee times regarding Or Const Art I Sec

26. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If

subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and

consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV,

sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 24, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

#### Thursday, May 25, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson,

Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 194, 202, 208, 592 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on May 24.

SB 128, 343, 423, 758, 792, 923, 965, 992; HB 5010, 5011, 5024, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5044, 5047 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 968 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

SB 418, 536, 569, 599 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 25.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Golden and Steiner. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 17; Absent, 11 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 9:00 a.m., Friday, May 26.

SB 57, 232, 270, 272, 303, 340, 376, 479, 482, 510, 571, 573, 615, 685, 692, 756, 759, 767, 814, 851, 887, 890, 940 – President Wagner signed on May 25.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4)

addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134

that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 24-25 May 2023

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding what they believe to be the unlawful actions of the Senate President and Secretary of the Senate. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. You directed the AG unconstitutionally argue in court to block a temporary order that would have put Senators back on the Floor. ORS 173.135 continues to be violated. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You choose to deny a medical absence for 3-6 May 2023 under federal FMLA and state OFLA. You allowed Democrat medical absences. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints back to January 6, 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 2(w)(F)(J)(K)(O) abound. The list of constitutional, statutory and rules violations inclusive of official misconduct grows daily as do allegations of state and federal criminal violations. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 is up for violation on posted bills for May 24, 2023. We are in district working with district constituents until committee times regarding Or Const Art I Sec 26. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate).



But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that

the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and

demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 25, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1,

2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Friday, May 26, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 9:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the President adjourned the Senate without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, May 30.

### **Tuesday, May 30, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 105, 141, 198, 206, 225, 285, 355, 449, 489, 507, 519, 607, 608, 718, 736, 745, 757, 794, 808, 886, 892, 895, 901, 907, 957, 974, 981, 999, 1016, 1024, 1060, 1068; HB 2965, 3194, 3300 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 53, 168, 205, 212, 217, 228, 256, 269, 273, 406, 424, 450, 473, 523, 618, 865, 1043 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Prozanski and Sollman. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, May 31.

SB 128, 343, 423, 758, 792, 923, 965, 992 – President Wagner signed on May 30.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134

that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 30-31 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding the unlawful actions of the President and Secretary. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally represent Senate Democrats, the Secretary and partisan Chief Counsel who refuses to draft Republican legislative amendments. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You deny doctor's medical absence requests for Republican senators while approving Democrat requests. You ignore FMLA and OFLA laws. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times, yet, the third reading list will create more violations. We will continue to attend committees. Discussions with the Governor continue. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134

that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1,

2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 30, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Wednesday, May 31, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 201, 209, 211, 218, 231, 234, 238, 568, 585, 594, 661, 806, 816, 867, 1047 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on May 30.

SB 57, 232, 270, 272, 303, 340, 376, 479, 482, 510, 571, 573, 615, 685, 692, 756, 759, 767, 814, 851, 887, 890, 940 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on May 25.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Campos and Golden. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, June 1.

SB 105, 141, 198, 206, 225, 285, 355, 449, 489, 507, 519, 607, 608, 718, 736, 745, 757, 794, 808, 886, 892, 895, 901, 907, 957, 974, 981, 999, 1016, 1024, 1060, 1068 – President Wagner signed on May 31.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you

refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 30-31 May 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding the unlawful actions of the President and Secretary. Or Const

Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally represent Senate Democrats, the Secretary and partisan Chief Counsel who refuses to draft Republican legislative amendments. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You deny doctor's medical absence requests for Republican senators while approving Democrat requests. You ignore FMLA and OFLA laws. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times, yet, the third reading list will create more violations. We will continue to attend committees. Discussions with the Governor continue. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you



refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about

time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on May 31, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage- if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and

consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

#### **Thursday, June 1, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening performance by Forest Grove Mariachi Tradicion group, instructed by Lesslie Nunez. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

SB 326, 548, 643, 699, 780, 872, 910, 954, 1052; HB 2099, 3073 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 93, 1013 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

SB 437 – Message from the House announcing failure.

SB 105, 128, 141, 198, 206, 225, 285, 343, 355, 423, 449, 489, 507, 519, 607, 608, 718, 736, 745, 757, 758, 592, 794, 808, 886, 892, 895, 901, 907, 923, 957, 965, 974, 981, 992, 999, 1016, 1024, 1060, 1068 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 1.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Prozanski and Sollman. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Gorsek.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 1 – Meek; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Call removed.

Lieber requested that pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution and Senate Rules 3.01, the Senate

compel absent members to attend all sessions of the Senate unless excused by the President in accordance with Senate Rule 3.10. Effective Monday, June 5, 2023 at 10:30 a.m., each member of the Senate who is absent shall be fined \$325 per day for each session day a quorum fails to be established and maintained. The fines shall be imposed and collected by invoice payable to the Legislative Assembly.

The President asked that the record show that the Senate acted to compel the attendance of absent members.

Brock Smith objected. On request to compel absent members to attend the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 2 – Anderson, Brock Smith; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Gorsek. Request approved.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Monday, June 5.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is

and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I wish to be excused from my duties in the Senate floor on: 1-2 June 2023.

We are in Senate District 12 working with thousands of constituents regarding the unlawful actions of the President and Secretary. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally represent Senate Democrats, the Secretary and partisan Chief Counsel who refuses to draft Republican legislative amendments. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 are admitted violations. You deny doctor's medical absence requests for Republican senators while approving Democrat requests. You ignore FMLA and OFLA laws. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times, yet, the third reading list will create more violations. We will continue to attend committees. Discussions with the Governor continue despite the media. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is

and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 1, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If

subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Monday, June 5, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Boquist, Girod.

SB 418, 536, 569, 599 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 1.

HB 5012, 5022, 5031, 5033; HB 2284, 2971 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 166 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Prozanski and Steiner. All present except, absent – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Boquist, Girod.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 19; Absent, 9 – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp,

Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Boquist, Girod. Call removed.

Manning Jr. requested that pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution and Senate Rules 3.01, the Senate compel absent members to attend all sessions of the Senate unless excused by the President in accordance with Senate Rule 3.10. Effective Tuesday, June 6, 2023 at 10:30 a.m., each member of the Senate who is absent shall be fined \$325 per day for each session day a quorum fails to be established and maintained. The fines shall be imposed and collected by invoice payable to the Legislative Assembly.

The President asked that the record show that the Senate acted to compel the attendance of absent members.

Brock Smith objected. On request to compel absent members to attend the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 2 – Anderson, Brock Smith; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Boquist, Girod. Request approved.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 6.

SB 326, 548, 643, 699, 780, 872, 910, 954, 1052 - President Wagner signed on June 5.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your

interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber,

Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is



no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 5, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my

absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Tuesday, June 6, 2023 – Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Woods; absent – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Boquist, Girod.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Frederick and Meek. All present except, absent – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Boquist, Girod.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 18; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Boquist, Girod. Call removed.

Manning Jr. requested that pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution and Senate Rules 3.01, the Senate compel absent members to attend all sessions of the Senate unless excused by the President in accordance with Senate Rule 3.10. Effective Wednesday, June 7, 2023 at 10:30 a.m., each member of the Senate who is absent shall be fined \$325 per day for each session day a quorum fails to be established and maintained. The fines shall be imposed and collected by invoice payable to the Legislative Assembly.

Brock Smith objected. On request to compel absent members to attend the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 2 – Anderson, Brock Smith; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Taylor, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Boquist, Girod. Request approved.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, June 7.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence

is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4)

addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134

that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the

Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 6, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Wednesday, June 7, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening performance by Western Oregon University Chamber Singers, directed by James Reddan. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden,

Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Knopp.

SB 57, 232, 270, 272, 303, 340, 376, 479, 482, 510, 571, 573, 615, 685, 692, 756, 759, 767, 814, 851, 887, 890, 940 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 6.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Campos and Sollman. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod, Knopp.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 19; Absent, 9 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Knopp. Call removed.

Manning Jr. requested that pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution and Senate Rules 3.01, the Senate compel absent members to attend all sessions of the Senate unless excused by the President in accordance with Senate Rule 3.10. Effective Thursday, June 8, 2023 at 10:30 a.m., each member of the Senate who is absent shall be fined \$325 per day for each session day a quorum fails to be established and maintained. The fines shall be imposed and collected by invoice payable to the Legislative Assembly.

Brock Smith objected. On request to compel absent members to attend the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 2 – Anderson, Brock Smith; Absent, 9 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 2 – Girod, Knopp. Request approved.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Thursday, June 8.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in

the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your

interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber,

Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 7, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1,

2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

#### Thursday, June 8, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Tyler Braun, New Harvest Church, Salem. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod.

SB 105, 128, 141, 198, 206, 225, 285, 343, 355, 423, 449, 489, 507, 519, 607, 608, 718, 736, 745, 757, 758, 792, 794, 808, 886, 892, 895, 901, 907, 923, 957, 965, 974, 981, 992, 999, 1016, 1024, 1060, 1068 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 7.

SB 951; HB 5002, 5004, 5013, 5015, 5017, 5040, 5041, 5042; HB 2048, 2052, 2073, 2225, 2271, 2316, 2320 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 326, 548, 643, 699, 780, 872, 910, 954, 1052 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 7.

Call of the Senate demanded by Lieber, joined by Sollman and Steiner. All present except, absent – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused - Girod.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.55 (5), Call of the Senate may be removed by consent of the majority of members present. On removal of Call of the Senate the vote was: Ayes, 19; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Call removed.

Manning Jr. requested that pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution and Senate Rules 3.01, the Senate compel absent members to attend all sessions of the Senate unless excused by the President in accordance with Senate Rule 3.10. Effective Monday, June 12, 2023 at 10:30 a.m., each member of the Senate who is absent shall be fined \$325 per day for each session day a quorum fails to be established and maintained. The fines shall be imposed and collected by invoice payable to the Legislative Assembly.

Brock Smith objected. On request to compel absent members to attend the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 2 – Anderson, Brock Smith; Absent, 10 – Bonham, Boquist, Findley, Hansell, Hayden, Knopp, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Request approved.

Having not recorded the necessary 20 members present to meet the constitutional quorum requirement, the Senate proceeded to adjournment.

Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the Senate adjourned without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Monday, June 12.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4)

addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134



that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries

of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 8, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my

absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Monday, June 12, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the President adjourned the Senate without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 13.

### **Tuesday, June 13, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the President adjourned the Senate without a quorum until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, June 14.

SB 951 – President signed on June 13.

### **Wednesday, June 14, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Senate convened at 10:30 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Pursuant to Article IV, section 12, of the Oregon Constitution, and Senate Rule 3.01 (2), the President adjourned the Senate without a quorum until 9:00 a.m., Thursday, June 15.

### **Thursday, June 15, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Convening of the Senate delayed to 11:10 a.m. Senate convened at 11:10 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent: Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused: Girod.

SB 326, 548, 643, 699, 780, 872, 910, 954, 1052 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 12.

HB 5014, 5018, 5020, 5025, 5026, 5043; HB 2038, 2049, 2054, 2071, 2080, 2087, 2100, 2128, 2294, 2328, 2468, 2574, 2611, 2649, 2656, 2665, 2683, 2696, 2697, 2717, 2725, 2727, 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2805, 2914, 2925, 2991, 2994,

3001, 3005, 3013, 3014, 3048, 3059, 3144, 3173, 3215, 3221, 3308, 3332, 3382, 3395, 3396, 3410, 3456, 3565, 3610, 3625, 3626, 3630 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HJM 3 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

SB 807 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

SB 951 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 13.

Committee Report Summary No. 131, listing the following reports, was distributed to members May 2. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJR 33 - Report by Committee on Rules, signed by Lieber, Chair, recommending adoption. Minority Report, signed by Hansell and Knopp, recommending adoption with amendments.

SB 27 – Report by Committee on Rules, signed by Lieber, Chair recommending passage with amendments. Minority Report, signed by Hansell and Knopp, recommending passage with different amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 132, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 13 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SCR 14 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SCR 16 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

SB 129 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 221 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

SB 278 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SB 344 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

SB 546 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 835 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 900 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 972 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

SB 1041 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1064 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

SB 1094 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HCR 20 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption of the A-Engrossed resolution.

HCR 26 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HCR 27 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

HCR 28 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending adoption.

HB 2002 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2056 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2086 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2109 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2127 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2129 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2130 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2144 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2158 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

HB 2160 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2235 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2240 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 2278 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

HB 2279 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2280 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 2281 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2286 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2345 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2395 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2405 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2420 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2426 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2446 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

HB 2484 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2486 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

HB 2490 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

HB 2504 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2513 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2520 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

HB 2522 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2535 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2576 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2599 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2600 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage.

HB 2609 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 2634 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2645 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2669 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 2680 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2719 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2737 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2740 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2753 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2806 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2812 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage.

HB 2865 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2889 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2898 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

HB 2902 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2904 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2905 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 2921 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2922 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 2955 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2987 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3028 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3037 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3127 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3135 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3151 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3167 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3178 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3199 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3204 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3213 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3220 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3227 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3234 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

HB 3243 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3256 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

HB 3260 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3275 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 3294 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3306 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3309 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3320 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3343 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3383 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3385 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3417 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3421 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3426 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage.

HB 3435 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3440 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage.

HB 3442 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3443 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3458 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3462 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3464 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3532 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage.

HB 3560 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3561 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3563 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3572 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3584 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage.

HB 3596 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Report by Committee on Rules with recommendations on the following Governor's appointments:

*(r - reappointment, aw - appearance waived)*

#### **Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs**

Padma Akkaraju Be confirmed en bloc.  
Aparna Rajagopal-Durbin Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Columbia River Gorge Commission**

Carina Miller (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University**

Gary George Be confirmed en bloc.  
Charles Hofmann Be confirmed en bloc.  
Erin Lair Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Electrical and Elevator Board**

Frank Sonnabend Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Director of Employment Department**

David Gerstenfeld Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Fair Dismissal Appeals Board**

Laura Latham Be confirmed en bloc.  
Laura Latham (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Sascha McKeon Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Health Evidence Review Commission**

Kathryn Schabel (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Oregon Investment Council**

Alline Akintore Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability**

Kevin Kebede-Berhana Be confirmed en bloc.  
Anton Leaf Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots**

George Wales (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Board of Medical Imaging**

Nourolhoda Birouti (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Dustin Degman Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Oregon State Board of Nursing**

Margaret Hill Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Occupational Therapy Licensing Board**

Maxwell Perkins (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Board of Trustees of Oregon Institute of Technology**

Cecilia Amuchastegui Be confirmed en bloc.  
David Cauble Be confirmed en bloc.  
Vijay Dhir Be confirmed en bloc.  
Johnnie Early II Be confirmed en bloc.  
Don Gentry Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Board of Trustees of Oregon State University**

Kasaundra Bonanno Be confirmed en bloc.  
Stephanie Bulger Be confirmed en bloc.  
Karla Chambers Be confirmed en bloc.  
Grant Kitamura Be confirmed en bloc.  
Gregory Macpherson Be confirmed en bloc.  
Elise McClure Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **OregonServes Commission**

Shenika Cumberbatch-Corpas (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
Nathan Rix (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Oregon Board of Physical Therapy**

Erin Crawford Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Board of Commissioners of the Port of Portland**

Mike DeVaughn Be confirmed en bloc.

#### **Board of Trustees of Portland State University**

Benjamin Berry (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Judith Ramaley (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Vicki Reitenauer Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Elizabeth Tarasawa (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Edgar (Wally) Van Valkenburg (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Board of Psychology

David Greaves Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Public Records Advisory Council

Jose Hernandez (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Board on Public Safety Standards and Training

James Adams (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Scott Nowning (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Racing Commission

Diego Conde (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### State Resilience Officer

Jonna Papaefthimiou Be Confirmed.

#### Salem Area Mass Transit District

Sadie Carney (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Ian Davidson (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 William Holmstrom (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Board of Trustees of Southern Oregon University

Miranda Pieper (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### State Library Board

Benjamin Tate (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Oregon Transportation Commission

Jeff Baker Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Alicia Chapman Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Alicia Chapman (r) Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Tri-Met Board

Robert Kellogg Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Board of Trustees of University of Oregon

Steve Holwerda (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Elisa Hornecker (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Ed Madison (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Connie Seeley (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Andy Stormont Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Amy Tykeson Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Ruby Wool Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Board of Trustees of Western Oregon University

Jerardo Ambris (r) Be confirmed en bloc.  
 Cas Wonsowicz Be confirmed en bloc.

#### Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee

Sarah Merrick Be confirmed en bloc.

Committee Report Summary No. 133, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 106 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

SB 192 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 134, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 611 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2274 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3471 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 135, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5507 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5518 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5521 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5523 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5534 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5536 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

HB 3148 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 136, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.



SB 1034 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 137, listing the following reports, was distributed to members May 12. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 215 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2372 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2519 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2594 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2817 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3043 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3143 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3425 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 138, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 233 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 556 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1079 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HB 2283 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2870 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 139, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5503 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5519 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5520 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5529 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5531 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5546 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2984 Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

Committee Report Summary No. 140, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2618 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2627 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3564 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 141, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1095 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2421 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

HB 2584 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3008 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 142, listing the following reports, was distributed to members May 19. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 99 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

SB 465 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments.

HB 3201 - Report by Committee on Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3485 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 143, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5510 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5528 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5537 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2915 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3242 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 144, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 570 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

HB 2275 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments.

HB 3068 - Report by Committee on Education recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3258 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 145, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 889 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1084 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Tax Expenditures by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 146, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5505 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5513 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5514 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5515 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5535 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5541 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 14 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 85 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to

Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 1034 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1045 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 147, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2192 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3187 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3362 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 148, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 2. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5502 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5512 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5538 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 337 Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

SB 498 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1002 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments and referred to Committee on Ways and Means by prior reference.

Committee Report Summary No. 149, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 3 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 619 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1048 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2238 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2509 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3179 - Report by Committee on Energy and Environment recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 150, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5509 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5516 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5533 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5542 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 488 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 582 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 609 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 931 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 966 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1050 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1089 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 151, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 9. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5524 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5525 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5526 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5527 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 490 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 556 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 790 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 919 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments.

Committee Report Summary No. 152, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5504 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5511 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5530 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5532 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5539 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 5540 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 1 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 104 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 321 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 337 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill.

SB 420 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

SB 606 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1002 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 153, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 80 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 85 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 154, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 775 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

Senate proceeded to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HJM 3; HB 5002, 5003, 5004, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5012, 5013, 5014, 5015, 5016, 5017, 5018, 5020, 5022, 5024, 5025, 5026, 5027, 5028, 5031, 5033, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5037, 5040, 5041, 5042, 5043, 5044, 5047, 5048; HB 2004, 2010, 2013, 2038, 2045, 2048, 2049, 2052, 2054, 2071, 2073, 2079, 2080, 2087, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2107, 2128, 2161, 2225, 2271, 2284, 2294, 2316, 2320, 2328, 2468, 2527, 2572, 2574, 2611, 2649, 2656, 2665, 2683, 2696, 2697, 2717, 2725, 2727, 2761, 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2805, 2834, 2914, 2925, 2931, 2965, 2971, 2991, 2994, 3001, 3005, 3013, 3014, 3031, 3059, 3073, 3080, 3144, 3171, 3173, 3188, 3194, 3197, 3215, 3221, 3300, 3308, 3332, 3382, 3395, 3396, 3410, 3456, 3550, 3565, 3583, 3610, 3625, 3626, 3630 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

HB 2005 – Read first time and referred to Committee on Rules.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's Third Reading calendar only; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for SJR 33, SB 27, HB 2002, and HB 2395 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to second and third read SJR 33. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate proceeded to Second Reading of Senate Measures.

SJR 33 – Read second time and passed to third reading.

SJR 33 – Under rules suspension, read third time.

SJR 33 – Lieber moved that the resolution be rereferred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to rerefer the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

SB 27 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to second and third read SB 27. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate reverted to Second Reading of Senate Measures.

SB 27 – Read second time and passed to third reading.  
Senate reverted to Third Reading of Senate Measures.

SB 27 – Under rules suspension, read third time.

SB 27 – Lieber moved that the bill be rereferred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to rerefer the vote was: Ayes,

22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

HB 2002 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to second and third read HB 2002. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate proceeded to Second Reading of House Measures.

HB 2002 – Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2002 – Under rules suspension, read third time.

HB 2002 – Lieber moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

HB 2395 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to second and third read HB 2395. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate reverted to Second Reading of House Measures.

HB 2395 – Read second time and passed to third reading.

Senate proceeded to Third Reading of House Measures.

HB 2395 – Under rules suspension, read third time.

HB 2395 – Lieber moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate proceeded to the Special Orders of Business.

HCR 21 - Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from its place on today's calendar and be placed on June 20 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

HCR 25 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Woods. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Resolution adopted.

HCR 25 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill celebrates 75 years of service by Oregon Tech.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 11 A-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 11 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 11 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires that all state board or commission meetings be recorded 68.6 and placed on a website for free, public use.

FLESCH SCORE: 68.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 53 A-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 53 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 53 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds tasks for which a county clerk may employ staff. The bill 61.7 adds household members and domestic partners of a candidate to the list of persons who may not be employed to open envelopes, handle ballots, prepare to count ballots, and count ballots.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 93 B-Eng. - Gelser Blouin moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 93 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 93 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes in the current policies and laws related to DHS. 60.2 The bill alters the definition of child abuse. The bill changes specific juvenile court procedures to include DHS. The bill changes DHS reports to include date on injury, sexual abuse, or death. The bill expands the list of mandatory reporters of child abuse. The bill requires some facilities that serve adults 65+ to register with DHS.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 161 B-Eng. - Golden moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 161 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 161 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the deadline for tasks that help to establish the Elliott 63.3 State Research Forest and changes the deadline for a State Land Board status report. The bill also alters federal and state duties to create the forest.

FLESCH SCORE: 63.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 166 A-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 166 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 166 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill guarantees each person's right to vote. It makes changes to 65.5 county election security plans. It does not allow a person to donate more than \$100 per year in currency. The bill also makes other changes to elections law.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 168 B-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 168 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 168 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits what a public employee can do during work hours. It does 61.1 not allow an employee to support or oppose a person for a public office. It does allow this if the person's job requires such work. It also does not allow an employee to oppose or support an initiative, referendum, or recall petition.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 189 B-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 189 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 189 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a patient to choose to have parts of their body taken out of 61.4 a hospital for cremation or burial.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 210 B-Eng. - Gelser Blouin moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 210 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 210 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows DHS workers to report child abuse in cases when data is 60.5 not to be disclosed and relates to persons who apply for or receive TANF

FLESCH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 212 B-Eng. - Prozanski moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 212 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 212 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits disclosure of statements made in or about peer support 60 sessions. It applies to sessions between a peer support team member and an employee of the OYA or of a county juvenile office. It lists some occasions when the statements can be disclosed.

FLESCH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 217 B-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 217 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 217 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill puts the Board of Cosmetology and HLO in charge of what is 62.8 taught in cosmetology career schools.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 226 A-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 226 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 226 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows nurses who work in long-term care and in-home care to 62.3 follow doctors' orders from other states. It also ends the rule that the Oregon State Board of Nursing must tell the State Board of Pharmacy when someone asks for the ability to prescribe drugs.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 227 A-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 227 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 227 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a nurse from a different state to work in Oregon for a 60.7 longer time. Instead of 30 days, they can work for up to 90 days. The bill also lets the Oregon State Board of Nursing give special licenses and certificates to nurses and nursing assistants during an emergency.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 228 A-Eng. - Taylor moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 228 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 228 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds to the list of reasons that a contractor's license may be 61.6 revoked, suspended, or conditioned.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 256 B-Eng. - Prozanski moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 256 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 256 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits counterfeit airbags from being made, sold, transferred, 64.2 or imported. It allows a person to sue for a violation of the law.

FLESCH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.



Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate recessed until 2:30 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Thursday, June 15, 2023 – Afternoon Session

Senate reconvened at 2:30 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods; absent: Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; excused: Girod.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Propositions and Motions, resumed under that order.

SB 269 A-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 269 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 269 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs DOC and HECC to enter into an agreement for how to 66.3 offer classes in prisons.

FLESCH SCORE: 66.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 273 B-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 5 - Anderson, Findley, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 273 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 273 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds student seats to the boards of public universities. It also 61.8 makes boards share more information with the public and be more responsive to student and faculty groups.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 275 B-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Findley, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 275 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 275 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill rolls back changes to the law about the EAC. It also creates a 71.2 study of the state's education agencies.

FLESCH SCORE: 71.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 279 B-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 279 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 279 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill enters Oregon into a compact with other states. The compact will 75.9 allow teachers to move from state to state and still be able to teach with their license.

FLESCH SCORE: 75.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 339 B-Eng. - Prozanski moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 339 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 339 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill states that a person who breaks the law by touching another 60.6 persons' intimate parts can have special treatment be part of their punishment from a judge. It also states that a person's actions that meet the definition of sex crime under ORS 163A.005 can have special treatment be part of their punishment from a judge.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 406 B-Eng. - Jama moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 406 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 406 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds cities and other places in Tillamook County to the OHNA.

FLESCH SCORE: 69.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 424 A-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Findley, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 424 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 424 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows students to get a copy of their higher education transcript 60.6 even if they owe money to the school.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 450 A-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 450 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 450 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill states that health care providers do not have to put a special note 65.6 on the label of nasal spray when they give it to someone who has taken too many drugs.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 473 A-Eng. – Dembrow moved that the Senate refuse to concur in House amendments.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SB 473 A-Eng. – Moved to the foot of today's concurrence calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 523 B-Eng. - Dembrow moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 523 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 523 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill permits colleges to give students an extra two years of nursing 60.6 classes so they can earn a bachelor's degree.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 618 B-Eng. - Prozanski moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Findley, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 618 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 618 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill says that a judge considers if a person wore body armor while 64.2 breaking the law or to help them get away after breaking the law. The bill allows a judge to use this information to increase a person's punishment.

FLESCH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 865 B-Eng. - Gelser Blouin moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 865 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 865 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives priority status for adoption to the person taking care of a 62.3 child. The bill requires DHS to consider all other relatives or persons taking care of a child if an adoption is disrupted. The bill allows the courts to forgive unpaid child support when a parent's rights are ended.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 913 B-Eng. - Taylor moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 913 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 913 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to the paid leave program, including when 60.1 hearings may occur and when information may be disclosed. The bill also changes the wage amount subject to the contributions for the program.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 968 B-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Findley, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 968 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 968 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

This bill changes the duties of the System of Care Advisory Council. It 68.3 allows a person who was 25 when they were appointed to the council to remain for their full term. It also changes the deadlines for the council to submit reports.

FLESCH SCORE: 68.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 969 A-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 969 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 969 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the Oregon State Board of Nursing to do more to make 72.3 sure that a person can be trusted to be a nursing assistant. They can reject someone who broke the law or can put them on probation.

FLESCH SCORE: 72.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1013 A-Eng. - Jama moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 1013 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1013 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows counties to allow an RV to be placed on a rural residential 62.8 lot, with certain limits.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1043 B-Eng. - Patterson moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 1043 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1043 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires hospitals and treatment facilities to give two doses of 67.6 medicine to help revive people who use drugs. This is for people who had treatment for their problem and then went home.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier

SB 1069 B-Eng. - Jama moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill repassed.

SB 1069 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1069 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a landlord and tenant to agree to use e-mail for certain 63.6 rental notices.

FLESCH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 473 A-Eng. - Dembrow repeated motion to refuse to concur in House amendments. On motion to refuse to concur, the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Senate refused to concur in House amendments. Conferees to be appointed.

SB 473 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 473 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs ODE to study how to teach kids to avoid sex trafficking.

FLESCH SCORE: 77.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SCR 17, 18; SJR 34; SB 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SCR 13, 14, 16; SB 5502, 5503, 5504, 5505, 5507, 5509, 5510, 5511, 5512, 5513, 5514, 5515, 5516, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5523, 5524, 5525, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5535, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5539, 5540, 5541, 5542, 5546; SB 1, 3, 27, 80, 85, 99, 104, 106, 129, 192, 215, 221, 278, 321, 337, 344, 420, 465, 488, 490, 498, 546, 556, 582, 606, 609, 611, 619, 775, 790, 835, 889, 900, 919, 931, 966, 972, 1002, 1034, 1041, 1048, 1050, 1064, 1089, 1094, 1095 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HCR 20, 26, 27, 28; HB 2056, 2086, 2109, 2127, 2129, 2130, 2144, 2158, 2160, 2192, 2235, 2238, 2240, 2274, 2275, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2283, 2286, 2345, 2372, 2405, 2420, 2421, 2426, 2446, 2484, 2486, 2490, 2504, 2509, 2513, 2519, 2520, 2522, 2535, 2576, 2584, 2594, 2599, 2600, 2609, 2618, 2627, 2634, 2645, 2669, 2680, 2719, 2737, 2740, 2753, 2806, 2812, 2817, 2865, 2870, 2889, 2898, 2902, 2904, 2905, 2915, 2921, 2922, 2955, 2984, 2987, 3008, 3028, 3037, 3043, 3068, 3127, 3135, 3143, 3148, 3151, 3167, 3178, 3179, 3187, 3199, 3201, 3204, 3213, 3220, 3227, 3234, 3242, 3243, 3256, 3258, 3260, 3275, 3294, 3306, 3309, 3320, 3343, 3362, 3383, 3385, 3417, 3421, 3425, 3426, 3435, 3440, 3442, 3443, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3471, 3485, 3532, 3560, 3561, 3563, 3564, 3572, 3584, 3596 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

Senate reverted to the order of Reports from Committees by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Committee Report Summary No. 155, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2002 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2005 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for HB 2005 only; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for HB 2005 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 – Girod. Motion carried.

HB 2005 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to second and third read HB 2005. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate reverted to Second Reading of House Measures.

HB 2005 – Read second time and passed to third reading.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures.

HB 2002 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 3 - Anderson, Findley, Knopp; Absent, 9 - Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill passed.

HB 2002 C-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

There has been lots of talk the past six weeks about process. The importance of committee meetings, informational meetings, and public hearings. Listening to each other and working with stake holders. The legislative process is slow and frustrating. But when done properly it results in good legislation that passes the test of time.

Today marks the only time that HB 2002 was worked on in policy committee in the Senate. Unfortunately, we don't have time to air out the measure over multiple meetings and allow for lots of input. But if the process had been followed six weeks ago there would have been plenty of time.

It is my understanding that we all were given a copy of the bill sponsors op-ed in The Oregonian. While reading it I was shocked to see parental consent for minors referred to as: "unnecessary parental consent hurdles". I understand that intent was to address extreme and rare circumstances, but I take issue with the general disregard that I feel the Majority party shows to all parents.

The amendment to HB 2002 makes it a much better bill. I hope that it will allow for parents to be involved and consent to the treatment of their children. However, I still must vote no.

HB 2002 C-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2002 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives people the right to make decisions about their own reproductive health. It also makes more clear ages of consent for health care services. The bill makes health insurance companies cover care and services that affirm a person's gender. It also helps providers of abortion and gender-affirming health care services be protected from court action as a result of providing health care services that are legal.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2002 C-Eng. – Steiner requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I'm writing today to explain my "yes" vote on House Bill 2002. As a Chief Sponsor of this critically important bill, it's important that I state clearly why I supported this bill vigorously.

Simply put, the Reproductive Health and Access to Care Bill will save lives. Minors having access to abortion services without needing to seek consent from an unsafe parent will save lives. Transgender people having access to affordable, medically appropriate gender-affirming care will save lives. Health care providers being able to practice without fear of repercussions to their careers from out-of-state anti-abortion and anti-trans politicians will save lives.

This was the first opportunity since the disastrous Dobbs decision for the Oregon Legislature to further codify the protections we had under Roe v. Wade, and we acted to keep our promise to Oregonians to protect their right to an abortion.

I would have been thrilled to vote in support of this bill -- which received bipartisan support in the House -- before its most recent amendment. However, the bill the Senate did approve on Thursday accomplishes most of the same goals Democrats sought to achieve coming into this session.

Oregon is a pro-choice state and Oregonians should be proud of House Bill 2002.

This is a frightening time in this nation for access to reproductive health care. Bans are being implemented in many states. Entire clinics and maternity wards in Idaho are shutting down because anti-choice politicians are forcing their views onto everyone. Often these views are drawn from a particular religious tradition. But what is often overlooked in these national conversations is that many religious traditions, including my own, believe having access to full scope reproductive health care is critical. Religious freedom, as guaranteed by our First Amendment, requires that each person be able to practice their religion without hindrance from the government.

Additionally, transgender and nonbinary Oregonians deserve access to full-scope gender-affirming health care. Our nation is becoming a dangerous place for many who just want to live their lives in a way that is as true to themselves as possible. To reduce the significant mental health challenges and the disproportionately high suicide rate among transgender and nonbinary Oregonians they must know that our legislature supports them in living their authentic lives.

As a family physician and a State Senator, I am committed to protecting the right of every Oregonian to choose the reproductive and gender-affirming health care that best fits their circumstances. By doing so, we save lives and protect religious freedom. Oregon must remain a beacon of hope and House Bill 2002 helps us accomplish that goal.

HB 2005 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 3 - Anderson, Findley, Knopp; Absent, 9 - Bonham, Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher, Weber; Excused, 1 - Girod. Bill passed.

HB 2005 C-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanations of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2005 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

Attached memo, one page, from the Department of Justice dated June 15, 2023, stating in part: "This letter is provided as clarification as to the intent of HB 2005. We want to avoid any confusion when it comes to AR platforms and the upper receiver in those models."

Attached an eight page "Definitional intent HB 2005".

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2005 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes it illegal for an individual to own a firearm that has no metal parts. The bill would also make it illegal to own a firearm or firearm part that does not have a serial number. The bill gives an owner one year to have their firearm or firearm parts serialized.

FLESCH SCORE: 70.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

\* Note: The photographic documentation referenced is part of the digital record but is not able to be reproduced in this journal.

HB 2147, 2295, 2531, 2573, 3208, 3211, 3273 - Carried over to June 16 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Friday, June 16, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Anderson requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon

Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Bonham requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Findley requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Hansell requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1,

2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Knopp requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is

no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is



and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above

compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

Weber requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 15, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule 3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### Friday, June 16, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 9:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson,

Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber; absent – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; excused - Woods.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for SCR 13, 14, 16; SB 5502, 5503, 5504, 5505, 5507, 5509, 5510, 5511, 5512, 5513, 5514, 5515, 5516, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5523, 5524, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5535, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5539, 5540, 5541, 5542, 5546 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 – Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 – Woods. Motion carried.

SB 807 B-Eng. - Prozanski moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 2 – Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill repassed.

SB 807 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 807 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides a way for a judge to challenge a motion that takes the judge off a case if it would also prevent the judge from working on a criminal or juvenile delinquency docket. The judge can ask for a hearing with a disinterested judge. The disinterested judge will decide whether there is a reasonable good faith belief that the judge lacks fairness or impartiality. The party or person who filed the motion has the burden of proof.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Lieber moved that the Senate confirm en bloc the Governor's appointments as listed.

#### **Commission on Asian and Pacific Islander Affairs**

Padma Akkaraju  
Aparna Rajagopal-Durbin

#### **Columbia River Gorge Commission**

Carina Miller (r)

#### **Board of Trustees of Eastern Oregon University**

Gary George  
Charles Hofmann  
Erin Lair

#### **Electrical and Elevator Board**

Frank Sonnabend

#### **Director of Employment Department**

David Gerstenfeld

#### **Fair Dismissal Appeals Board**

Laura Latham  
Laura Latham (r)  
Sascha McKeon

#### **Health Evidence Review Commission**

Kathryn Schabel (r)

#### **Oregon Investment Council**

Alline Akintore

#### **Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability**

Kevin Kebede-Berhana  
Anton Leaf

#### **Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots**

George Wales (r)

#### **Board of Medical Imaging**

Nourolhoda Birouti (r)  
Dustin Degman

#### **Oregon State Board of Nursing**

Margaret Hill

#### **Occupational Therapy Licensing Board**

Maxwell Perkins (r)

#### **Board of Trustees of Oregon Institute of Technology**

Cecilia Amuchastegui  
David Cauble  
Vijay Dhir  
Johnnie Early II  
Don Gentry

#### **Board of Trustees of Oregon State University**

Kasaundra Bonanno  
Stephanie Bulger  
Karla Chambers  
Grant Kitamura

Gregory Macpherson  
Elise McClure

**OregonServes Commission**

Shenika Cumberbatch-Corpas (r)  
Nathan Rix (r)

**Oregon Board of Physical Therapy**

Erin Crawford

**Board of Commissioners of the Port of Portland**

Mike DeVaughn

**Board of Trustees of Portland State University**

Benjamin Berry (r)  
Judith Ramaley (r)  
Vicki Reitenauer  
Elizabeth Tarasawa (r)  
Edgar (Wally) Van Valkenburg (r)

**Oregon Board of Psychology**

David Greaves

**Public Records Advisory Council**

Jose Hernandez (r)

**Board on Public Safety Standards and Training**

James Adams (r)  
Scott Nowning (r)

**Oregon Racing Commission**

Diego Conde (r)

**State Resilience Officer**

Jonna Papaefthimiou

**Salem Area Mass Transit District**

Sadie Carney (r)  
Ian Davidson (r)  
William Holmstrom (r)

**Board of Trustees of Southern Oregon University**

Miranda Pieper (r)

**State Library Board**

Benjamin Tate (r)

**Oregon Transportation Commission**

Jeff Baker  
Alicia Chapman  
Alicia Chapman (r)

**Tri-Met Board**

Robert Kellogg

**Board of Trustees of University of Oregon**

Steve Holwerda (r)  
Elisa Hornecker (r)  
Ed Madison (r)  
Connie Seeley (r)  
Andy Storment  
Amy Tykeson  
Ruby Wool

**Board of Trustees of Western Oregon University**

Jerardo Ambris (r)  
Cas Wonsowicz

**Workers' Compensation Management-Labor Advisory Committee**

Sarah Merrick

On confirmation the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Confirmed en bloc.

SCR 13 - Read final time. Carried by Manning Jr. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Resolution adopted.

SCR 13 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SCR 13 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill honors Chief Woodrow Wilson “Woody” Whetstone for his service to this state.

FLESCH SCORE: 71.7 Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SCR 14 – Read final time.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SCR 14 - Moved to final reading after SCR 16 by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SCR 16 - Read final time. Carried by Frederick, Hansell. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 -

Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Resolution adopted.

SCR 16 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SCR16 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill states an intent about Compact of Free Association people living in Oregon. The intent is to be a model for the country. A bill in 2024 will give them the right to certain benefits given to other residents.

FLESCH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SCR 14 - Carried by Hansell. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Resolution adopted.

SCR 14 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SCR 14 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill honors Robin A. Church for her service to the people of Oregon.

FLESCH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5502 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5502 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5502 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides DAS with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5503 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5503 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5503 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Commission for the Blind with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Commission can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5504 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5504 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5504 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Corrections with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5505 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5505 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5505 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides General Fund to the Criminal Justice Commission. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5507 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5507 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5507 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Employment Department with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5509 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5509 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5509 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the State Department of Fish and Wildlife with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5510 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5510 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5510 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides DOGAMI with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 68.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5511 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Jama. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 2 - Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5511 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5511 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides OHCS with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5512 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5512 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5512 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Judicial Department with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5513 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5513 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5513 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives General Fund to the Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability. This is for costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 68.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5514 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. Potential conflict of interest declared by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5514 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5514 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Justice with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5515 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 2 - Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5515 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5515 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Bureau of Labor and Industries with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Bureau can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5516 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 5 - Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5516 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5516 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Legislative Branch agencies with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Branch can spend. Funds are used to pay for Legislative Branch operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5518 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5518 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5518 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the State Library with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5519 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Sollman, Weber; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5519 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5519 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits how much Other Funds the Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission can spend. Funds are used for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5520 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5520 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5520 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Long-Term Care Ombudsman with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5521 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5521 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5521 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the State Marine Board can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 73.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5523 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5523 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5523 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Oregon State Board of Nursing can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 73.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5524 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5524 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5524 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Business Development Department with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5525 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5525 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5525 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Health Authority with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's operations costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5526 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5526 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5526 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill is for new or increased fees for the Oregon Health Authority.

FLESCH SCORE: 66.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5527 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5527 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5527 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the State Parks and Recreation Department with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5528 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5528 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5528 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the State Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.



SB 5529 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5529 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5529 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the State Board of Pharmacy can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 74.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5530 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5530 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5530 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of State Police with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5531 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 6 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5531 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5531 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides General Fund to the Psychiatric Security Review Board. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5532 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5532 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5532 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Public Defense Services Commission with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5533 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5533 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5533 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Public Safety Standards and Training with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5534 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5534 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5534 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Public Utility Commission can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 64.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5535 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5535 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5535 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Oregon Racing Commission can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 74.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5536 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5536 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5536 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Real Estate Agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years. FLESCH SCORE: 66.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5537 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 4 - Findley, Girod, Hansell, Weber; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5537 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5537 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5538 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5538 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5538 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Veterans' Affairs with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5539 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5539 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5539 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 66

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5540 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5540 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5540 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Lottery Funds the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board can use for grants.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5541 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5541 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5541 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Youth Authority with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 67

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5542 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5542 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5542 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill is for new or increased fees for the Department of Public Safety Standards and Training.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5546 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 2 - Girod, Knopp; Absent, 7 - Bonham, Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Brock Smith, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Woods. Bill passed.

SB 5546 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5546 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill is for certain new or increased fees for the State Board of Pharmacy.

FLESCH SCORE: 84.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1, 3, 80, 85, 99, 104, 106, 129, 192, 215, 221, 278, 321, 337, 344, 420, 465, 488, 490, 498, 546, 556, 582, 606, 609, 611, 619, 775, 790, 835, 889, 900, 919, 931, 966, 972, 1002, 1034,

1041, 1048, 1050, 1064, 1089, 1094, 1095 - Carried over to June 20 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 20, 26, 27, 28; HB 2147, 2295, 2531, 2573, 3208, 3211, 3273, 2056, 2086, 2109, 2127, 2129, 2130, 2144, 2158, 2160, 2192, 2235, 2238, 2240, 2274, 2275, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2283, 2286, 2345, 2372, 2405, 2420, 2421, 2426, 2446, 2484, 2486, 2490, 2504, 2509, 2513, 2519, 2520, 2522, 2535, 2576, 2584, 2594, 2599, 2600, 2609, 2618, 2627, 2634, 2645, 2669, 2680, 2719, 2737, 2740, 2753, 2806, 2812, 2817, 2865, 2870, 2889, 2898, 2902, 2904, 2905, 2915, 2921, 2922, 2955, 2984, 2987, 3008, 3028, 3037, 3043, 3068, 3127, 3135, 3143, 3148, 3151, 3167, 3178, 3179, 3187, 3199, 3201, 3204, 3213, 3220, 3227, 3234, 3242, 3243, 3256, 3258, 3260, 3275, 3294, 3306, 3309, 3320, 3343, 3362, 3383, 3385, 3417, 3421, 3425, 3426, 3435, 3440, 3442, 3443, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3471, 3485, 3532, 3560, 3561, 3563, 3564, 3572, 3584, 3596 - Carried over to June 20 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on May 4 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 56, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1096 Rules  
HCR 27 Veterans, Emergency Management, Federal and World Affairs  
HB 2812 Tax Expenditures  
HB 2955 Rules  
HB 3306 Labor and Business

The following measure was referred to committee on June 15 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 57, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2005 Rules

The following measures were referred to committee on June 15 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 58, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2004 Rules  
HB 2010 Ways and Means  
HB 2013 Ways and Means  
HB 2038 Ways and Means  
HB 2048 Ways and Means  
HB 2049 Ways and Means  
HB 2052 Ways and Means  
HB 2054 Ways and Means  
HB 2071 Tax Expenditures  
HB 2073 Finance and Revenue  
HB 2079 Tax Expenditures  
HB 2080 Tax Expenditures  
HB 2087 Ways and Means  
HB 2128 Ways and Means  
HB 2161 Tax Expenditures  
HB 2225 Ways and Means  
HB 2271 Ways and Means

HB 2284 Ways and Means  
HB 2294 Ways and Means  
HB 2316 Ways and Means  
HB 2320 Ways and Means  
HB 2468 Ways and Means  
HB 2527 Finance and Revenue  
HB 2574 Ways and Means  
HB 2611 Ways and Means  
HB 2649 Ways and Means  
HB 2656 Ways and Means  
HB 2665 Ways and Means  
HB 2683 Ways and Means  
HB 2696 Ways and Means  
HB 2697 Ways and Means  
HB 2717 Ways and Means  
HB 2725 Ways and Means  
HB 2727 Ways and Means  
HB 2763 Ways and Means  
HB 2767 Ways and Means

The following measures were referred to committee on June 15 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 59, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2099 Transportation  
HB 2100 Ways and Means  
HB 2101 Transportation  
HB 2772 Ways and Means  
HB 2793 Ways and Means  
HB 2802 Ways and Means  
HB 2805 Ways and Means  
HB 2834 Transportation  
HB 2914 Ways and Means  
HB 2925 Ways and Means  
HB 2931 Ways and Means  
HB 2965 Finance and Revenue  
HB 2971 Finance and Revenue  
HB 2991 Ways and Means  
HB 2994 Ways and Means  
HB 3001 Ways and Means  
HB 3005 Ways and Means  
HB 3013 Ways and Means  
HB 3059 Ways and Means  
HB 3080 Transportation  
HB 3144 Ways and Means  
HB 3171 Transportation  
HB 3173 Ways and Means  
HB 3188 Transportation  
HB 3194 Finance and Revenue  
HB 3215 Ways and Means  
HB 3221 Finance and Revenue  
HB 3300 Ways and Means  
HB 3308 Ways and Means  
HB 3332 Ways and Means  
HB 3382 Rules  
HB 3395 Ways and Means  
HB 3396 Ways and Means  
HB 3410 Ways and Means  
HB 3456 Ways and Means

SB 279 - President Wagner signed on June 16.

Senate adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, June 20, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor today 16 June 2023. In Senate District 12 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of three registered Republicans. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002 Mengele's Law returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. Nor was HB 2005's summary amended in committee. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound anew starting yesterday. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has be violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Will watch for one-hour committee notices. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today June 16, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

Pursuant to Senate Rule 3.10, I am required to ask that you excuse my absence from the session on June 16, 2023. The reason for my absence is because I am protesting the refusal of the Senate to comply with Senate Rule 13.02 and ORS 171.134.

You ruled that Rule 13.02 only applies to measure summaries at the introduction of a bill and at amendments of a bill. You also stated that the measure summaries of the bills voted on by the Senate on May 1, 2023, complied with ORS 171.134. First, how did the measure summaries of those bills meet the requirement of ORS 171.134? You refused to say. Second, the language of Rule 13.02 applies in subsections (1) and (2) to the introduction of a measure (acceptance by Secretary of the Senate). But subsection (3) applies after that stage - if a material error is found in the printed summary. That subsection doesn't have textual language which limits the timing of recognizing a material error. Subsection (4) addresses measure summaries changed after introduction of a measure - amendments. The language in subsection (5) has no language about time limitations of measure summaries complying with ORS 171.134. If subsection (5) was limited to just the introduction of a measure and was only the responsibility of the Secretary of the Senate, the language of the subsection would state so - just like subsection (1) does. Further, there is no language in subsection (5) that limits ORS 171.134 to the introduction of a measure; its location at the end of Rule 13.02 supports my interpretation of subsection (5). Simply put - you stated on May 1 that the Senate didn't have to comply with ORS 171.134 based on your interpretation of Senate Rule 13.02. There is nothing in ORS 171.134 that gives the Senate any grounds for refusing to follow the law, and to do so is an abdication of our duty and an affront to the people of Oregon.

Under Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution, I have the right as a state senator to protest. It is an exercise of my conscience and consistent with my oath of office. Under Article IV, section 15, a state senator cannot incur 10 or more unexcused absences. Under Senate Rule

3.10, the President of the Senate is the sole decision-maker on what is and what is not an excused absence. I ask that you determine that my absence is excused because I am exercising my rights outlined above. I am also exercising my rights as a whistleblower under Oregon law and demand accommodation for joining my fellow senators, Senators Weber, Bonham, Boquist, and Hansell, in seeking compliance by the Oregon Senate with the law. My workplace rights include those outlined by the Legislative Branch Personnel Rules. Lastly, I assert my rights under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article I, sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 20 and 33, and Article IV, sections 21 and 22, of the Oregon Constitution (my right as a senator to prevent a violation of those provisions of Article IV), whether expressed and implied. In sum, it is my position that the rights outlined above compel you to grant my request for an excused absence. Should you refuse, I reserve the right to assert all claims against you and the Legislative Assembly, afforded me under Oregon and federal law.

### **Tuesday, June 20, 2023 -- Morning Session**

Convening of the Senate delayed to 10:35 a.m. Senate convened at 10:35 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

SB 279 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 16.

SB 279 - Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 16.

Committee Report Summary No. 156, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 16. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 825 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2676 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 157, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2071 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2079 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage.

HB 2080 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2099 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2101 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2161 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2834 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage.

HB 3080 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3171 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage.

HB 3188 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage.

HB 3550 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage.

HB 3583 - Report by Committee on Transportation recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 158, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2929 - Report by Committee on Natural Resources recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HCR 21 – Lieber moved that the resolution be taken from today's calendar and be made a Special Order of Business on June 22 calendar. On motion to take and place the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's Senate Third Reading calendar only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 – Bonham; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Manning Jr in Chair.

SB 825 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 1 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by President Wagner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 -

Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

Allows taxpayers to state on their income tax return their self-known race and ethnicity per standards set by OHA. Limits the use of such data and the people that can access it.

FLESH SCORE: 66.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 3 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp, President Wagner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 3 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 3 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds a half-credit each of college and career skills and finance education to the law that says what courses are required to finish high school. This change will begin at the start of January in 2027.

FLESH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 80 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 80 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 80 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to the state wildfire map requirements and to the process for making the map. It allows nonprofits and faith-based

groups to help create cleaner air spaces. It allows for faster appointments of wildfire council members. It creates a state fund on landscape resilience and modifies a risk reduction state fund. It creates a prescribed fire program until 2028, and a prescribed fire fund. Lastly, the bill allocates millions of dollars to state agencies for wildfire programs.

FLESH SCORE: 61.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 85 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 85 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 85 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes what farms with a certain number of animals in a certain amount of space (CAFOs) need to do to comply with the law. The changes relate to water supply, water quality, air quality, nutrients, and land use. The bill also allocates money to state agencies for permitting costs.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 99 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 99 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 99 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a set of rights for older adults in care facilities who identify as LGBTQIA2S+. It also explains what certain terms mean. This new Bill of Rights explains which actions are not allowed in care settings. The actions are not allowed if they are based on a person's sexual orientation, gender identity, or HIV status. All care facilities have to update their policies to include these new rules and they have to protect the privacy of the residents. The care facilities have to provide training to their administrators and staff, and other people who work with the

residents also need to get training. This bill creates the LGBTQIA2S+ subcommittee that will be part of The Governor's Commission on Senior Services. This bill sets the purpose of this subcommittee. The members will be appointed by the Governor. The members can get reimbursed for travel expenses if needed.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 104 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Girod; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 104 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 104 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs DHS to work with at least one provider of agency with choice services for in-home care. It updates the definition of agency with choice services in the law.

FLESH SCORE: 68.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 106 A-Eng. – Lieber moved that the bill be rereferred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to rerefer the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SB 129 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 129 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 129 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill ends the sale of tax credits that raise money for the Oregon Opportunity Grant.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 192 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 192 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 192 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes companies that run pharmacy benefits programs to report on the money they get from drug makers. This includes rebates, fees, and other payments. The bill also tells the Prescription Drug Affordability Board to figure out how to set a fair price for some prescription drugs.

FLESH SCORE: 61.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 215 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 215 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 215 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes laws about school nutrition and speech and language teachers. It also makes changes to sexual conduct laws. The bill changes ESDs' role in the Student Success Act. It also changes Oregon's intensive program for school districts.

FLESH SCORE: 66.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 221 - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 221 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 221 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a subaccount at DOGAMI. It allows the department to charge and collect 10.7 percent more from groups that use its services. The extra money would be used to keep up the electronic permit system.

FLESH SCORE: 63.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 278 - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Gelsner Blouin; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 278 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 278 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the way the weighted average daily membership, or ADMw, is measured for brick and mortar schools of a school district that sponsors a virtual school. It first applies to the 2022-23 State School Fund distributions. It takes effect on passage. This bill is an effort to ensure funding stability for brick and mortar schools of a school district that sponsors a virtual public charter school.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 321 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozenski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 321 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 321 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes when a person can file a petition for a new trial. If the person was convicted or found GEI by a jury where not everyone agreed, they can file by a certain date. The bill lays out what evidence is needed for the petition. In a retrial, the bill provides special jury instructions for admission of specific evidence that is no longer available. The bill clarifies that a conviction based on one of these jury verdicts is not enough to prove a wrongful conviction.

FLESH SCORE: 60.8



Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 337 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozenski, Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 337 C-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 337 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the members of the Oregon Public Defense Services Commission. It would also move it to the executive branch from the judicial branch where it is now.

FLESH SCORE: 63.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3362 – Lieber moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to bring SB 465, 498, 775, 919, 1064, 1094, 1095 to the top of today's third reading calendar. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

SB 465 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 465 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 465 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides that the property of a firm that is based outside of this state and sells jet boat excursions on state boundary rivers only will no longer be subject to property tax central assessment. Instead, it will be subject to local assessment, if applicable. The bill applies to tax years

that start on or after July 1, 2023. It takes effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 498 A-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 9 - Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Golden, Manning Jr, Patterson, Prozenski, Sollman, Taylor; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 498 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 498 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows up to \$15 million of farm, forest, or fishing property to be excluded from the value of estates that pay the Estate Tax. To qualify for the exclusion, the property must be transferred to family members due to a person's death. The property must have been owned by the person who died for five years prior to their death and must be owned by family members for five years after the death. The property must have been used in the farm, forest, or fishing business by the person who died or a family member for 75% of each year for five years before the death. The property must also be used by a family member for 75% of each year after the death. If the post death requirements are not met, the tax that would have been owed will be reimposed. Participation by family members must be 75% of each year for five years prior to the person's death and five years after it. The bill does not let estates that claim this new exclusion also use the existing credit for farm, forest, or fishing property. The new exclusion applies to the estates of people that die on or after July 1, 2023. Takes effect on the 91st day post sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 64.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 498 A-Eng. – Steiner requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I write today to explain my vote on SB 498A. This was a difficult vote for me. On the one hand, I recognize the challenges facing our farmers, orchardists, and ranchers who are often, as the saying goes, land rich and cash poor. As a result, the need to pay estate taxes creates a significant barrier to passing the farms and ranches on to the next generation, which is important to preserving Oregon's long history of family-based agriculture. SB 498A is a carefully crafted solution that will reduce one of these barriers by exempting family farms from estate taxes under tightly constrained conditions.

This being said, I am concerned about fairness and the long-term ramifications for Oregon's tax base. While this bill helps those who have agricultural businesses, it does nothing to help familyowned businesses

where assets are tied up in the business, once again creating a situation where it is difficult to have the liquid assets necessary to pay estate taxes. Additionally, creating this carve-out from estate taxes could begin a process where more and more such carve-outs are requested, thus eroding an important component of Oregon's General Fund revenues.

Thus, I voted yes to protect Oregon's agricultural heritage, but with reservations.

SB 775 A-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 775 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 775 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates two types of soil and water conservation district zone directors. In counties with 250,000 or more people, zone directors will not need to manage 10 acres of land. All zone directors will need to live in their zone and be registered voters.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 919 B-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Jama. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 919 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 919 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows, after the filing of a claim each year, a property tax exemption for up to 5 years for a newly constructed accessory dwelling unit, or a newly converted duplex, triplex, or quadplex. The units must be used as a primary residence. The bill applies to tax years that start on or after July 1, 2024. The bill takes effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1064 - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1064 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1064 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes it less hard for charities to qualify for tax refund donations made at tax return time. It is made easier by not making the 10,000 names to be written on a paper form only.

FLESH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1094 - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Lieber, Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1094 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1094 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets the Secretary of State apply for federal grants to provide a live video of ballot counting and ballot drop sites. This will be for a pilot project. The bill also lets the Secretary set conditions for the sites and how they will be operated.

FLESH SCORE: 64.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1095 A-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1095 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1095 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the membership of some state boards and commissions where a few members are from congressional districts. This bill is because of the recent change from five districts to six districts in Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate recessed until 3:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Tuesday, June 20, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Reconvening of the Senate delayed to 3:45 p.m. Senate reconvened at 3:45 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

SB 951 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 20.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures, reverted to the order of Reports from Committees by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Committee Report Summary No. 159, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2010 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2013 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2038 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2048 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2049 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2052 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2054 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2087 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2100 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2128 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2225 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2271 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 2294 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2316 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2320 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2468 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2574 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2611 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2649 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2656 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2665 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2683 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2696 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2697 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2717 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2725 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2727 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2763 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2767 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the C-Engrossed bill.

HB 2772 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2793 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2802 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2914 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2925 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2931 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2991 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2994 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3001 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3005 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3059 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3073 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3144 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3173 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 3197 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3300 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 3308 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3332 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3410 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3456 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3626 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 160, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SJR 34 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption with amendments.

SB 28 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments.

HB 3031 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3223 - Report by Committee on Health Care recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 161, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2759 - Report by Committee on Labor and Business recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3558 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 162, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 3215 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 205 B-Eng. - Meek moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and

repassage the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill repassed.

SB 205 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 205 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows DOR and OED to share confidential data to help detect if identity theft or fraud was done.

FLESH SCORE: 63.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 344 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 344 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 344 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will extend the end date for the Justice Reinvestment Grant Program to July 1, 2033. It provides grants to counties for programs that help reduce the number of people in prison.

FLESH SCORE: 61.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 420 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 488 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 488 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 488 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects the owners of a local trash incinerator. They must develop a plan to monitor or sample emissions. Once the plan is approved by DEQ the owner must carry out the plan for 12 consecutive months. Under the Act, no more than 18,000 tons of hospital waste can be burned a year. It also directs \$118,537 to DEQ to carry out the Act. DEQ must report to the legislature on the outcome of the Act.

FLESH SCORE: 61.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 490 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 490 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 490 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a program that helps doctors learn and train in family medicine.

FLESH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 546 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Girod, Hansell, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 546 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 546 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary: The bill requires OHA to adopt a list of chemicals of concern that are in cosmetic products. OHA must post the list on their website. They are required to update the list every three years. The measure requires a manufacturer to provide notice if it uses a chemical from the list. It also prohibits the sale of a cosmetic product made with certain chemicals.

FLESH SCORE: 62.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 556 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 556 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 556 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prevents DHS from taking children's money that it receives on behalf of the children in its care and spending it on costs of that care.

FLESH SCORE: 69.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 582 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 582 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 582 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects EV charging systems that are allowed or funded by a state agency. It requires a certain number of people installing those system to have certain trainings.

FLESH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 606 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 606 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 606 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill sets new rules for how nonprofits get paid when they provide services for government agencies. The bill creates a task force to study how the government pays nonprofit employees and give suggestions for improvements. The task force has to write a report and submit it to the legislature.

FLESH SCORE: 63

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 609 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 609 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 609 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires that the hours that a graduate assistant spends working are counted toward minimum work hours for SNAP.

FLESH SCORE: 63.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 611 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 611 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 611 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the residential rent increase per year for some units to ten percent or seven percent plus the one year change in the price index if that is less.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 619 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozenski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 619 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 619 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows consumers to access and to control data about their online activities. The bill applies to businesses that collect or use the data of a certain number of people, with exceptions. It directs how the business may collect, use, and share the data. The person can get a copy of the data and ask the business to fix, delete, or stop sharing the data. It allows the Attorney General to enforce the law.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 790 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Anderson, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 790 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 790 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes what is considered child abuse in public schools. It changes how DHS handles reports of instances when school staff illegally restrain a student or place them alone in a room. It allows DHS to find the schools responsible for the abuse when staff are not properly trained.

FLESH SCORE: 68

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 835 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham,

Girod; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 835 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 835 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs the EQC to make rules about what an applicant must do to connect a dwelling and ADU to the same sewage disposal system.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 889 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 889 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 889 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill raises the top weight, and lowers the top width, of Class IV all-terrain vehicles (ATVs). It changes the meaning of Class I ATV and Class III ATV to mean a vehicle meant only for off-road use.

FLESH SCORE: 72.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 900 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 900 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 900 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a program to help cities, counties, OSP, and community organizations with organized retail theft. It also directs CJC to manage the program. It gives CJC a certain amount of money for the program.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 931 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 931 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 931 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs the EQC to make rules related to sewerage systems. It also allows the issuance of a permit to repair or replace a system in certain situations.

FLESH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 966 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 966 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 966 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets OHA collect some new kinds of data, but limits how the data can be shared. The bill also creates a new committee in OHA called Metrics and Scoring and tells OHA to study new ways to see how well CCOs perform. The bill ends a program for COFA Premium Assistance that is no longer needed.

FLESH SCORE: 70.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 972 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 972 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 972 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires OHA to make its own health insurance IT platform. The platform is to start in 2026.

FLESH SCORE: 68

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1002 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1002 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1002 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill repeals the facility grants that are part of the State School Fund. It also allows ODE to spend up to \$3 million per biennium for threats or hazards to school districts.

FLESH SCORE: 74.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1034 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1034 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1034 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will transfer some State School Funds of ODE to OMD to pay educational services costs for at-risk youth programs that are operated by OMD.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.



Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1041 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1041 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1041 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill stops health insurance plans from making people pay extra money for breast exams. This includes exams that check for problems and extra exams that give more information about breast health.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1048 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1048 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1048 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a program that will help small businesses to compete for certain ODOT contracts.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1050 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1050 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1050 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill aligns the dates that content standards for Holocaust courses and ethnic studies must be in place. It also makes ODE give teacher training on these subjects.

FLESH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1089 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1089 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1089 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a new group called the Universal Health Plan Governance Board in the Department of Consumer and Business Services. The group will make a plan for Universal Health Care and will share the plan out in 2026.

FLESH SCORE: 61.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5502, 5503, 5504, 5507, 5509, 5510, 5511, 5512, 5516, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5523, 5524, 5525, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2010, 2013, 2038, 2048, 2049, 2052, 2054, 2071, 2079, 2080, 2087, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2128, 2161, 2225, 2271, 2294, 2316, 2320, 2468, 2574, 2611, 2649, 2656, 2665, 2676, 2683, 2696, 2697, 2717, 2725, 2727, 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2834, 2914, 2925, 2929, 2931, 2991, 2994, 3001, 3005, 3031, 3059, 3073, 3080, 3144, 3171, 3173, 3188, 3197, 3215, 3300, 3308, 3332, 3410, 3456, 3550, 3558, 3583, 3626 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HCR 20, 26, 27, 28; HB 2147, 2295, 2531, 2573, 3208, 3211, 3273, 2056, 2086, 2109, 2127, 2129, 2130, 2144, 2158, 2160, 2192, 2235, 2238, 2240, 2274, 2275, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2283, 2286, 2345, 2372, 2405, 2420, 2421, 2426, 2446, 2484, 2486, 2490, 2504, 2509, 2513, 2519, 2520, 2522, 2535, 2576, 2584, 2594, 2599, 2600, 2609, 2618, 2627, 2634, 2645, 2669, 2680, 2719, 2737, 2740, 2753, 2806, 2812, 2817, 2865, 2870, 2889, 2898, 2902, 2904, 2905, 2915, 2921, 2922, 2955, 2984, 2987, 3008, 3028, 3037, 3043, 3068, 3127, 3135, 3143, 3148, 3151, 3167, 3178, 3179, 3187, 3199, 3201, 3204, 3213,

3220, 3227, 3234, 3242, 3243, 3256, 3258, 3260, 3275, 3294, 3306, 3309, 3320, 3343, 3383, 3385, 3417, 3421, 3425, 3426, 3435, 3440, 3442, 3443, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3471, 3485, 3532, 3560, 3561, 3563, 3564, 3572, 3584, 3596 - Carried over to June 21 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on June 16 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 60, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 18 Rules  
SJR 34 Rules  
HB 3031 Rules  
HB 3073 Rules  
HB 3197 Rules  
HB 3626 Rules

The following measures were referred to committee on June 16 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 61, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5002 Ways and Means  
HB 5003 Ways and Means  
HB 5004 Ways and Means  
HB 5008 Ways and Means  
HB 5009 Ways and Means  
HB 5010 Ways and Means  
HB 5011 Ways and Means  
HB 5012 Ways and Means  
HB 5013 Ways and Means  
HB 5014 Ways and Means  
HB 5015 Ways and Means  
HB 5016 Ways and Means  
HB 5017 Ways and Means  
HB 5018 Ways and Means  
HB 5020 Ways and Means  
HB 5022 Ways and Means  
HB 5024 Ways and Means  
HB 5025 Ways and Means  
HB 5026 Ways and Means  
HB 5027 Ways and Means  
HB 5028 Ways and Means  
HB 5031 Ways and Means  
HB 5033 Ways and Means  
HB 5034 Ways and Means  
HB 5035 Ways and Means  
HB 5036 Ways and Means  
HB 5037 Ways and Means  
HB 5040 Ways and Means  
HB 5041 Ways and Means  
HB 5042 Ways and Means  
HB 5043 Ways and Means  
HB 5044 Ways and Means  
HB 5047 Ways and Means  
HB 5048 Ways and Means  
HB 2045 Rules  
HB 2107 Rules  
HB 2328 Ways and Means  
HB 2572 Rules  
HB 3014 Rules

HB 3550 Transportation  
HB 3565 Ways and Means  
HB 3583 Transportation  
HB 3610 Ways and Means  
HB 3625 Rules  
HB 3630 Ways and Means

SB 473 – Dembrow, Hansell, Sollman appointed Senate conferees.

SB 11, 53, 93, 161, 166, 168, 189, 210, 212, 217, 226, 227, 228, 256, 269, 273, 275, 339, 406, 424, 450, 523, 618, 865, 913, 968, 969, 1013, 1043, 1069 - President Wagner signed on June 20.

Senate adjourned until 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, June 21, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today 20 June 2023. Continue working with constituents and representatives regarding the continued unlawful unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of several registered Republicans. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002 Mengele's Law and HB 2005 Ghost Gun summaries were not amended in committee to comply with the law. Nor are they readable per the Constitution. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has be violated more than 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Attending committees. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this roll call absence and protest into the Senate Journal today June 20, 2023.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor, 20 June 2023. In Senate District 28 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful, unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of three Republicans. Oregon Constitution Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002, Mengele's Law, returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law, nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. Nor was HB 2005's summary amended in committee. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound anew starting yesterday. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily.

Cash & money laundering hidden. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Will watch for one-hour committee notices. Per Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today June 20, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor today June 20, 2023.

I am working with constituents in SD 11, as well as with other Oregonians and advisors regarding the continued unlawful and unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans.

OR Constitution Article III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The Attorney General continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats, their employees, and many legislative staff employees, violating separation of powers.

Text of Article III Section 1:

Separation of Powers

The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate branches, the Legislative, the Executive, including the administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of these branches, shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

OR Constitution Article IV Sec 21 regarding readability of measures and ORS 171.134 regarding readability of measure summaries, continue to be violated. HB 2002 was returned to committee, but the summary was not amended to comply with ORS 171.134. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. HB 200S's summary also was not amended in committee.

Text of Article IV Section 21:

Acts to Be Plainly Worded

Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.

ORS 171.134

Readability test for legislative digests and summaries

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test. [1979 c.270 § 1]

FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 continue. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering that benefits the party in power is still being hidden. OR Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated numerous times.

LBPR 27 [in part] - Safe, Respectful and Inclusive Workplace

1. Policy.

(a) The Legislative Branch is committed to promoting a respectful and inclusive environment in the State Capitol and in any setting in which conduct has the ability to create a Capitol environment that is intimidating, hostile or offensive, or may constitute conduct that amounts to retaliation for the making of a good-faith complaint under this rule or for participation in an investigation under this rule.

(f) This rule is designed to provide options to those who are experiencing or observing harassing behavior, discriminatory behavior or other behavior prohibited by subsection (7) of this rule to seek information, report behaviors or file complaints to address and resolve concerns, while also ...

Text of Article IV Section 25:

Majority Necessary to Pass Bills and Resolutions; Special Requirements for Bills Raising Revenue; Signatures of Presiding Officers Required

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2) of this section, a majority of all the members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass every bill or Joint resolution.

(2) Three-fifths of all members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass bills for raising revenue.

(3) All bills, and Joint resolutions passed, shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective houses.

Per OR Constitution Article IV Sec 26 please enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today.

Text of Article IV Section 26:

Protest by Member

Any member of either house, shall have the right to protest, and have his protest, with his reasons for dissent, entered on the journal.

### Wednesday, June 21, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 9:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were posted and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Pastor Jon G. Lemmond, Trinity Covenant Church, Salem. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Hansell, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

SB 11, 53, 93, 161, 166, 168, 189, 210, 212, 217, 226, 227, 228, 256, 269, 273, 275, 339, 406, 424, 450, 523, 618, 865, 913, 968, 969, 1013, 1043, 1069 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 20.

Committee Report Summary No. 163, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 70 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2292 - Report by Committee on Judiciary recommending passage.

HB 2804 - Report by Committee on Human Services recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for SB 825 and today's House Third Reading Calendar through HB 2599 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 – Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SB 1104, 1105 - Introduced, read first time and referred to President's desk.

SJR 34; SB 28, 70 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

SB 825 A-Eng. - Read third time.

Senate at ease due to interruption in streaming the session to the internet. Senate reassembled at 1:00 p.m.

SB 825 A-Eng. – Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 825 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 825 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows taxpayers to use a first-time home buyer savings account for savings to be used by a beneficiary if such beneficiary is a first-time home buyer. This change may make taxes go down for people saving in such accounts. The bill makes banks do less tracking of home buyer accounts and makes taxpayer do more tracking of money put in and taken out of such accounts.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Reports from Committees by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Committee Report Summary No. 164, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5002 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5003 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 5004 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5008 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5009 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5010 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5011 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5013 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5014 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5015 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5016 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5017 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5018 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5020 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5024 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5025 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5026 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5027 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5028 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5034 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5035 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5036 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5037 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5040 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5041 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 5042 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5043 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5044 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5047 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5048 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2328 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3565 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3610 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3630 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 165, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2045 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3382 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

Senate resumed under the order of to Second Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 5002, 5003, 5004, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5013, 5014, 5015, 5016, 5017, 5018, 5020, 5024, 5025, 5026, 5027, 5028, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5037, 5040, 5041, 5042, 5043, 5044, 5047, 5048; HB 2045, 2292, 2328, 2759, 2804, 3223, 3382, 3565, 3610, 3630 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2147 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2147 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2147 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets counties use paid or unpaid help to identify and then bury the 62.6 remains of members of the United States Armed Forces.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2295 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2295 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2295 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives preference on public contracts to business owners who 68.9 served in the armed forces.

FLESCH SCORE: 68.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2531 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2531 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2531 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits the sale or distribution of some types of new fluorescent 70.1 light bulbs in the state.

FLESCH SCORE: 70.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2573 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith,

Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2573 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2573 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires ERB to find ways to use an e-record and e-signature to 61.6 name a bargaining agent.

FLESH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for SJR 34, SB 28, and SB 70 only; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for SJR 34, SB 28, and SB 70 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 – Bonham, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to second and third read SJR 34, SB 28, and SB 70. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to bring HB 2426 to the top of today's House third reading calendar. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of Senate Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SJR 34 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read final time. Carried by Knopp, Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

SJR 34 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SJR 34 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will propose a change to the Oregon constitution to create a group to decide pay for some public officials.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 28 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Knopp, Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 28 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 28 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives instructions for how to submit wording to voters for SJR 34.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 70 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Campos, Golden, Patterson, Prozanski, Taylor; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 70 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 70 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the definition of "high-value farmland" for the rezoning of land for homes. The change applies to the eastern border region.

FLESH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

President Wagner in Chair.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2426 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 9 - Campos, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Gorsek, Jama, Manning Jr, Patterson, Prozanski, Taylor; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2426 A-Eng. – Frederick requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I am submitting my floor speech in opposition to HB 2426 A for the record as my vote explanation:

Thank you, Mr. President. Colleagues, I know that we are trying to be as expeditious as possible, and I will try to keep this as brief as I can because this is an issue that I could talk about for a very long time. It's not very often that I oppose a bill that comes to this floor, and it's even less often that I speak against a bill on this floor – honestly, I can't remember the last time I did. But colleagues, I do feel compelled to share my concerns and the concerns of my friends, neighbors, constituents, and many folks from across our state whom I have heard from about this.

HB 2426 didn't go through the Transportation Committee, but when a very similar version did go there last session, I opposed it. I noted that I am not usually known as a cynic, but I was quite cynical about the bill, as I am now. I shared that my local fuel station was excited about the measure because, as they put it, they can have fewer folks working there; they actually had, incorrectly, already stopped providing pumping assistance at all. This bill is also a complaint-driven system. Folks have to take the time to call the Fire Marshal, to complain that a station is not complying with the law, and then the Fire Marshal has to take the time to actually investigate and correct the action. That sort of approach doesn't help the person who is being affected in the moment – the senior citizen who has trouble doing this themselves; the individual with disabilities who can't do this themselves. And in many cases, we have to be honest with ourselves, that complaint just simply is not going to be filed anyway.

I have personal experience in other states and have heard directly from elderly relatives and other folks about how this works in their states, which ostensibly also require pump assistance upon request. I have personally had to get out and pump gas for elderly folks in other states whom I witnessed were not able to get the assistance they were legally entitled to. Even with the signage everywhere and clear markings about how to get assistance; the requirement that assistance be provided upon request; the notices of how to file a complaint and the penalties – the very real experience of folks is that they cannot get that assistance, and the law simply is not enforced. And I recognize that this bill isn't immediately completely self-service and I appreciate the attempt at compromise, but my concerns unfortunately are not alleviated.

Colleagues, a few weeks ago, I went to that local station that I mentioned before. To my surprise, they were again making people pump their own gas. They were under the impression that a bill had already passed to eliminate the fuel service requirement, just like they did last session. And I have also heard from others in more than one city and county, including a number of our own legislative staff, who encountered gas stations both last session and this session that had stopped enforcing the fuel service requirement because they thought a bill had passed too. The stations weren't even complying with the provisions of the bill, and it hadn't even passed. Imagine what this will look like once the bill passes and headlines read that the legislature passed a bill allowing self-pump gas.

Last session, this bill died in Ways & Means. It went there because the Fire Marshal said it would cost over 1.3 million dollars over the next

two biennia to enforce the law. This session, to avoid that fiscal, the bill removes the enforcement requirement until March of 2024 despite having an emergency clause. So we are creating a bill with a complaint-driven enforcement method, and also not providing for adequate resources to enforce those complaints or ensuring compliance in the most critical early months of the bill being implemented.

I've heard that this bill is about providing consumer choice, though at what cost? The fact of the matter is that if stations make half of their pumps self-service, the serviced lines are just going to grow. Folks who don't want to pump their own gas, whether just because of preference or because of other barriers, will be penalized by having to wait in longer lines with fewer workers to get their tank filled. We've seen this happen at grocery stores when we implemented self-checkout, and we can easily recognize the distinction and increased concerns with implementing this bill for self-pump gas.

And all of this is not to mention that the bill will cost jobs. That's undeniable: this bill will cost good jobs. And as I noted before, the bill has an emergency clause, so we're precluding the ability of a citizen to easily refer this to the ballot as they would ordinarily have the right to do. I'm also not going to mention all of the general arguments against permitting self-pump gas because we're all familiar with them. I simply want to address the specific issues I have with this bill.

Colleagues, I am not steadfast in opposition to providing for some avenues for self-pump gas in our state. In fact, I voted in support of the two bills that expanded self-pump gas in our rural counties – in 2015, HB 3011 when I was in the House, and in 2017, HB 2482 in the Senate. I have some very real concerns, though, that we are progressively getting closer and closer to eliminating Oregon's fuel service law entirely. I am not one to be paranoid about bills being "gateways" to future bills, but I think that is clearly a reasonable concern here.

Oregon flies with her own wings. I am not convinced that just because other states do something, we have to as well. This system has worked here and continues to work here. We already have reasonable practical exceptions for several of our counties, but this takes it too far. HB 2426 is a bit too convoluted and goes more than a bit too far to have my support. I don't think this is a good bill for workers or consumers. I don't think it's good for Oregon. Colleagues, thank you for your indulgence of my concerns, and I urge a no vote.

HB 2426 A-Eng. – Gelser Blouin requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

When passed, HB 2426A will allow Oregonians to pump their own gas. I am generally supportive of this option for a variety of reasons. However, I cast a "No" vote due to concerns that the measure does not include sufficient protections to ensure elderly and disabled Oregonians will be able to access an attendant as necessary. While the measure allows for enforcement, such enforcement would be difficult for a motorist without a phone or for whom a fire marshal is far away. This could result in a substantial delay in accessing fuel to continue the journey.

My hope is that this will not be an issue, but I did cast a NO vote in order to flag this issue and call attention to the importance of ensuring access to refueling for those who are not able to pump their own gasoline.

HB 2426 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2426 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows self-serve gas in all areas of Oregon at up to half of each station's pumps.

FLESH SCORE: 80.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3208 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3208 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3208 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects certain fees for water quality programs. It allows the 60.8 Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) to change some of these fees by up to three percent each year.

FLESH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3211 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3211 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3211 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a type of water right holder to change the geographic area 60.7 from where water is taken as well as the area where the water is used.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3273 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3273 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3273 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill ends the dry cleaner environmental program on a certain date. It 64.6 does not allow the use of some dry-cleaning chemicals starting on a certain date.

FLESH SCORE: 64.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted these redrafted summaries in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HCR 20 A-Eng. - Read final time. Carried by President Wagner. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HCR 20 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HCR 20 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill celebrates the opening of the Willamette Falls Locks.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HCR 26 - Read final time. Carried by Frederick. President Wagner in Chair. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HCR 26 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HCR 26 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill honors and celebrates the life of Willie Bell Richardson.

FLESH SCORE: 64.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.



Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HCR 27 - Read final time. Carried by Weber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HCR 27 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HCR 27 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill honors unpaid firefighter Robert A. Hales for his service to this state.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HCR 28 - Read final time. Carried by Manning Jr. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HCR 28 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HCR 28 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill celebrates the life of Lt. Col Herman “Mac” MacDonald, Jr. The bill recognizes and honors his service to his state, country, and fellow veterans.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2056 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2056 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2056 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes it clear that when three or more public bodies establish a self-insurance program the money in the program belongs to the public bodies. The bill also clarifies that the funds can be used for the benefit of the public bodies.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2086 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2086 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2086 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will allow petitioner to request a fix to property tax roll for current tax year and up to the last five years. Requires fix of assessment and tax roll. Requires Department of Revenue to add information to property tax bill about how to contact county assessor with questions if assessed value for current tax year increased by more than three percent over prior tax year. The bill applies to tax years that start on or after July 1, 2024. It takes effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2109 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2109 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2109 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill does not allow a corporation sole to be restored if they are dissolved. Those that existed before June 8, 2015, may continue if they are active.

FLESH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2127 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2127 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2127 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects the City of Pendleton. It removes the time limit for the city to apply for an affordable housing program.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2129 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2129 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2129 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes an exemption to the crime of using a secretly recorded statement. It will allow a person to receive or to use the recording if it is about a matter of public concern and the person did not have a part in obtaining it in the first place.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3409 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2002, 2005 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

Senate reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3409 - Read first time and referred to Committee on Rules.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2130 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Anderson, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2130 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2130 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to the nonbinding environmental claims mediation program. The AG will no longer have to make rules for the program or to contract with a provider to run the program.

FLESH SCORE: 62.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2144 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2144 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2144 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows memorial road signs to POW, MIA, and those killed in the line of duty, even if their remains were not returned to Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2158 - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2158 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2158 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill deals with pass-through bonds. Some amounts authorized in the preceding biennium are not used. The bill allows for the unused portion of revenue bonds to carry forward. The carry forward is valid until a new bond bill is effective. This bill changes requirement for

pension obligation bonds. Those bonds are issued by a public body. The new requirement is for an independent assessment. That assessment is due at least two days before bonds are sold. This bill changes the review and approve process for public universities bonds. With this bill, the public universities are required to only certify that their cash flow is sufficient. This certification is due two days before issuing the bonds.

FLESH SCORE: 61.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2160 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Manning Jr in Chair. Bill passed.

HB 2160 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2160 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes state rules for unclaimed property, stocks, and bonds. It includes the number of years the state will hold onto the unclaimed property, and how the state holds and sells the property.

FLESH SCORE: 75.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2192 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2192 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2192 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes rules for a lawfully established house in a forest to be changed, rebuilt, or replaced based on the prior status of the house.

FLESH SCORE: 63.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2235 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2235 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2235 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill asks the state to convene a work group of people in the behavioral health field to study issues that make it hard to get and keep enough providers in the state.

FLESH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2238 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2238 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2238 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the Department of State Lands to deal with property that is left on state lands without authorization. It allows the department to move, store and destroy these items. It also tasks the department's Director to set charges for certain services performed by the department.

FLESH SCORE: 65.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2240 - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2240 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2240 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill keeps school nurses from having to follow a law that makes other health care providers work with approved interpreters.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2274 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2274 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2274 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill permits DCBS to look into business dealings of people who buy or sell securities or who work with them as part of their business. It tells those contacted by DCBS in such matters to reply with haste about their business dealings. It states that related papers and files are not to be disclosed except in some cases. The bill allows DCBS to impose fines for each offense and caps the total amount of fines if the person who invests with the business is old or sick or meets other factors. It also allows DCBS to order restitution.

FLESH SCORE: 61.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2275 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2275 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2275 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill brings together the laws that govern certain grants issued by ODE. It also makes changes to some of those laws. This bill makes schools that apply for SIA funds listen to student success plan groups. It also gives SIA grants to programs for youth in custody. This bill changes the AI/AN student success plan to a model that does not require schools to apply for grants. It also allows agencies to determine college credit

funding for high school students. The bill changes some report dates. It also exempts people who work with youth in custody from the state's restraint laws.

FLESH SCORE: 74.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2278 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher; Excused, 1 - Steiner. Bill passed.

HB 2278 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2278 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows pharmacists to give flu shots to children who are older than six months old.

FLESH SCORE: 80

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2279 - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Bonham, Findley, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2279 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2279 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows people who live outside of the state to use Oregon's Death with Dignity Act if other standards are met.

FLESH SCORE: 65.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2279 – Gelser Blouin requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2279 will allow people outside the state of Oregon to travel to the state in order to participate in physician assisted suicide. While I appreciate the right of individuals to make their own choices about end of life, I remain sensitive to concerns raised by many in the disability community that aging and disabled people may feel compelled to select to end their lives because they believe they are a burden on their loved ones, or because they are coerced to do so.

During the pandemic, we saw disturbing instances of aging and disabled people pushed into signing POLST forms or other end of life directives in what they believed was a condition of care. In other cases, individuals were denied access to ventilators, PPE, tests, hospital admission and other necessary services on the basis of their disability or age.

For these reasons, I am cautious about any expansion of the assisted suicide law. This is why I cast a NO vote on this measure.

HB 2280 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2280 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2280 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the definition of the phrase “without consent” to include acts that take place when a person is not conscious. The meaning in the bill also includes acts that take place without a person’s knowledge or agreement. It also includes acts that take place through force or under threat.

FLESH SCORE: 71.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2281 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2281 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2281 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs school districts to name a person to coordinate civil rights work. The coordinator will be in charge of checking compliance with the law, looking into complaints, and giving guidance.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2283 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2283 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2283 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes and updates current law regarding PERS.

FLESH SCORE: 72.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2286 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2286 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2286 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires the state to have a process to flag claims for care given to Tribal members that may qualify for better federal match rates. It helps improve payment to people who provide care to those Tribal members.

FLESH SCORE: 67.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2345 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2345 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2345 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs the Department of Corrections to show data on their public web site. The web site will have info about adults in custody who are housed away from other adults in custody.

FLESH SCORE: 62.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2372 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2372 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2372 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the duties and purpose of YDC and YDD. The bill allows YDC to delegate tasks to YDD. The bill expands the role of YDC to review high-risk youth crime prevention plans with federal recognized Indian tribes. It also requires YDC to send funds to Indian tribes to support tribal high-risk youth prevention plans.

FLESH SCORE: 68.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2405 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Gelser Blouin, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2405 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2405 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires courts send a person who is at the state mental hospital back to the place their criminal charges started. This bill requires this only be done if the court dismissed the person's charges because the person could not aid in their own defense.

FLESH SCORE: 67.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2420 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2420 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2420 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill asks the state to convene a work group to discuss how counties could issue more vital records than they are allowed to under current state law.

FLESH SCORE: 66.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2421 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2421 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2421 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill sets the same rules for behavior analysis as for other kinds of health care when it comes to consent from parents and reports of abuse.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2446 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2446 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2446 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires the state to extend by two years the contract term for the organizations (CCOs) that help provide services in the Medicaid program. Those contracts are set to end in 2024.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2484 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp, Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2484 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2484 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs DSFM to manage certain search and rescue functions of the state.

FLESH SCORE: 73.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2486 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Hansell, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2486 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2486 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a pharmacy technician to give a vaccine when they are managed by a pharmacist.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2490 - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2490 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2490 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will excuse records and plans that protect digital systems from state laws that require records to be publicly shared.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2504 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2504 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2504 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs DELC to make it easier for workers from other countries to work in the early childhood field in Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 65.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2509 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2509 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2509 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will clarify the ways a person can transfer a recorded livestock brand when the owner of the brand dies. A person with a right to the brand has six months to submit a request to ODA. The person will need to provide copies of certain probate documents, a simple estate affidavit, or an ODA affidavit form for when the owner died without a will.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2513 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2513 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2513 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to programs created by Ballot Measure 110 (2020). It clarifies the roles of state agencies and programs. The bill also requires better local planning to help get services and supports to the people who need them. It updates the terms of people serving on the council that manages grants to providers; it also requires the state to give more support to the council. The bill updates direction and timing for audits. It also allows the council to approve and fund educational campaigns.

FLESH SCORE: 61.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2519 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2519 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2519 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill bans people from making a profit by displaying the body of someone who has died. This bill does not apply if the display is for a ceremony or for education. This bill allows someone to sue to stop the display or collect money from someone who violates this bill.

FLESH SCORE: 61.8 Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2520 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2520 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2520 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a person to be charged for drone use that gets in the way of law enforcement and responses to emergencies.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2522 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Findley; Absent, 4 - Boquist, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2522 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2522 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill tells the State Fire Marshal to create a committee to review laws related to fires in rural areas.

FLESH SCORE: 68

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2535 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2535 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2535 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will create a doula program at the Coffee Creek Correctional facility. It directs DOC to develop procedures for the program and report to the legislature. It limits the use of some restraints when an adult in custody is in labor, holds or nurses the baby, and gets care after the birth in the hospital. It also requires that transport of the person back to DOC not have more restrictions than needed to be safe.

FLESH SCORE: 61.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.



HB 2576 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2576 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2576 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes the Oregon Tax Court the court in which taxpayer appeals of local income taxes will be made. The bill allows further appeals to go to the Oregon Supreme Court.

FLESH SCORE: 68.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2584 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2584 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2584 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes it clearer what physician assistants are allowed to do. It also removes the rule that as part of the agreement with a doctor, the doctor must assess how well the physician assistant is doing.

FLESH SCORE: 64.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2594 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Findley, Golden; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Girod granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye. Golden granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

HB 2594 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2594 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the penalties for throwing lighted material onto property. The bill makes the offense a violation if it creates a risk of wildfire. The bill makes it a lower-level crime if the offense creates an extreme risk of wildfire.

FLESH SCORE: 62.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5534, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5539, 5540, 5542, 5546; SB 1, 3, 80, 85, 104, 221, 321, 337 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SCR 3 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

SB 789 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's third reading calendar through HB 2987 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Findley, Hansell, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2599 – Moved to the foot of today's House Third Reading calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2600 - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelsner Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2600 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2600 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires the Governor to consider the setting where a person provides care when choosing certain members for the Oregon State Board of Nursing. It requires more weight to be given to people who practice in long term care settings.

FLESH SCORE: 62.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2609 - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelsner Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2609 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2609 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill removes fees that TSPC would have charged to programs that educate teachers.

FLESH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2618 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelsner Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2618 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2618 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires ODE to study and choose a metric to measure the work of speech-language teachers. It also makes the metric measure the work of occupational and physical therapists who work in schools.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2627 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Hansell. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelsner Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2627 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2627 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds a seat for a physician assistant to be on the Oregon Medical Board.

FLESH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2634 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelsner Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2634 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2634 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill clarifies that RVs are not covered by the parts of the Landlord and Tenant Act about manufactured dwelling parks. It expands the meaning of “vacation occupancy” to include a stay of up to 90 days at an RV park. It describes landlord or RV park owner rights related disposal of abandoned RVs.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2645 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelsner Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2645 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2645 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a new penalty for possession of certain amounts of the drug fentanyl. The bill adds a new type of measurement of the drug that can be used for determining the creation of fentanyl.

FLESH SCORE: 64

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2669 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gelser Blouin, excused when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2669 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2669 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill states that children who are deaf, who have trouble hearing, or who are deaf-blind have the same rights as children who are not. This bill also makes schools serve the needs of these students.

FLESH SCORE: 87.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate recessed until 6:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Wednesday, June 21, 2023 – Evening Session

Senate reconvened at 6:00 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

HB 2009, 3406 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of House Measures, reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2009, 3406 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2680 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2680 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2680 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires a landlord to refund a rental applicant for a screening charge within 30 days.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2719 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2719 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2719 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

If a judge orders testing for a contagious disease as part of a criminal case, the bill says the testing must be done within 48 hours of the accused persons' first appearance in court on the case. The results must be given to the person charged and the victim in the case. The bill also says any medically necessary follow up testing must also be provided.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2737 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2737 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2737 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the state to transfer, donate, exchange or lease state land to eligible Indian tribes.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2740 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin, Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2740 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2740 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a standard way to measure how many hours part-time faculty at colleges work. Faculty get 2.67 hours for every hour spent in the classroom. This standard is used to decide whether part-time faculty are members of PERS.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2753 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2753 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2753 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows school boards to offer their members a stipend of \$500 per month and allows members to refuse to accept it.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2806 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2806 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2806 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will let public groups talk about safety and security issues in meetings that are closed to the public and the full group.

FLESH SCORE: 63

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2812 - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Girod granted unanimous consent to declare a potential conflict of interest after the vote.

HB 2812 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2812 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a state tax subtraction for amount of personal casualty loss that would be deductible but for recent U.S. law changes. Loss must have been from event in this state due to state of emergency or an announced Gov. conflagration act.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2817 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2817 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2817 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes it clear what doctors mean when they talk about "podiatry."

FLESH SCORE: 76.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2865 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Manning Jr in Chair. Bill passed. Campos, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2865 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2865 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives PERS members 21 days of military leave so they can attend trainings and other required armed forces events.

FLESH SCORE: 70

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2870 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Campos, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2870 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2870 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a contractor to use a bond for all, or part of, the retainage on a public improvement project.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2889 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Campos, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2889 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2889 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes technical changes to the OHNA.

FLESH SCORE: 71.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2898 - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Campos, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2898 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2898 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the number of years allowed for the use of RV's on property with homes that were damaged or destroyed by acts of nature to five years. It lets people who applied for help and whose homes were damaged or destroyed by wildfire live in RV's until December 30, 2030.

FLESH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2902 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2902 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2902 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill asks ODE and ODEM to look into and to create instructions that will help students be prepared for emergencies.

FLESH SCORE: 64.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2904 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2904 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2904 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires OHSU to share data about its primate research on a public website.

FLESH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2905 - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2905 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2905 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds Jews to the list of groups whose history must be taught as part of part of the state's ethnic studies classes.

FLESH SCORE: 76.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2915 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2915 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2915 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill bans a pet store from offering to sell or selling a dog or a cat.

FLESH SCORE: 90

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2921 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Jama. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2921 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2921 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires a hospital to file its EEOC report with BOLI. The report must be filed within 90 days after the hospital sends report to the EEOC.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2922 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2922 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2922 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill raises the amount of the bond that the CCB requires a licensee to have.

FLESH SCORE: 79.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2955 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2955 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2955 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes August 4 of each year Bracero Program Day.

FLESH SCORE: 80.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2984 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2984 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2984 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes it so that changing a building from a commercial use to a residential use may not need a zone change or conditional use permit.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2987 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2987 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2987 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives the OHA the ability to decide the percent of a grant that Healthy Homes Program grantees may use for admin expenses. This bill allows grant moneys to be used for program delivery.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's House third reading calendar through HB 3143 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 – Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3008 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3008 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3008 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill says that health insurance plans will not have to pay for at least three visits to the doctor without any copay. It also says that dentists must opt in when someone changes parts of their network contract.

FLESH SCORE: 72

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3028 A-Eng. – Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 – Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3028 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3028 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits an employer from making an employee use their vacation time, sick time, or paid time off to serve on a state board or commission.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3037 - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3037 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3037 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs schools to collect data on students who attend Outdoor School programs.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3043 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3043 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3043 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows certain groups of chemicals to be placed on the list of chemicals that are a concern when used in children's products if they impact their health.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3068 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3068 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3068 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows students who are 16 or 17 years old to take a test instead of finishing high school with only their parents' permission. It also requires ODE to study how to create a new type of diploma for students who want to take a test instead of finishing school.

FLESH SCORE: 63.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3127 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp, Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3127 A-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3127 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill bans the download and use of certain software, hardware and services on state computers and phones.

FLESH SCORE: 71

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3135 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3135 – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:



Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3135 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows school districts to keep their small-school funding even after they merge with another school district. It allows them to keep the funding even if they would not otherwise qualify under the law

FLESH SCORE: 63.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3143 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3143 B-Eng. – Hansell requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3143 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill asks public utilities to cover certain costs made now or in the future in certain cases.

FLESH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2599, 3148, 3151, 3167, 3178, 3179, 3187, 3199, 3201, 3204, 3213, 3220, 3227, 3234, 3242, 3243, 3256, 3258, 3260, 3275, 3294, 3306, 3309, 3320, 3343, 3383, 3385, 3417, 3421, 3425, 3426, 3435, 3440, 3442, 3443, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3471, 3485, 3532, 3560, 3561, 3563, 3564, 3572, 3584, 3596, 2010, 2013, 2038, 2048, 2049, 2052, 2054, 2071, 2079, 2080, 2087, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2128, 2161, 2225, 2271, 2294, 2316, 2320, 2468, 2574, 2611, 2649, 2656, 2665, 2676, 2683, 2696, 2697, 2717, 2725, 2727, 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2834, 2914, 2925, 2929, 2931, 2991, 2994, 3001, 3005, 3031, 3059, 3073, 3080, 3144, 3171, 3173, 3188, 3197, 3215, 3300, 3308, 3332, 3410, 3456, 3550, 3558, 3583, 3626 - Carried over to June 22 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on June 20 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 62, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 17 Rules  
SB 1097 Rules  
SB 1098 Rules  
SB 1099 Rules

SB 1100 Rules  
SB 1101 Rules  
SB 1102 Rules  
SB 1103 Rules  
HJM 3 Rules

SB 205, 807 – President Wagner signed on June 21.

Senate adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Thursday, June 22, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today 21 June 2023. Continue working with constituents and representatives regarding the continued unlawful unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of several registered Republicans. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002 Mengele's Law and HB 2005 Ghost Gun summaries were not amended in committee to comply with the law. Nor are they readable per the Constitution. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has be violated more than 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this roll call absence and protest into the Senate Journal today June 21, 2023.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor, 21 June 2023. In Senate District 28 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful, unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of three Republicans. Oregon Constitution Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002, Mengele's Law, returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law, nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. Nor was HB 2005's summary amended in committee. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound anew starting yesterday. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today June 21, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor today June 21, 2023.

I am working with constituents in SD 11, as well as with other Oregonians and advisors regarding the continued unlawful and unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans.

OR Constitution Article III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The Attorney General continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats, their employees, and many legislative staff employees, violating separation of powers.

Text of Article III Section 1:

Separation of Powers

The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate branches, the Legislative, the Executive, including the administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of these branches, shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

OR Constitution Article IV Sec 21 regarding readability of measures and ORS 171.134 regarding readability of measure summaries, continue to be violated. HB 2002 was returned to committee, but the summary was not amended to comply with ORS 171.134. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. HB 2002's summary also was not amended in committee.

Text of Article IV Section 21:

Acts to Be Plainly Worded

Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.

ORS 171.134

Readability test for legislative digests and summaries

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test. [1979 c.270 § 1]

FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 continue. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering that benefits the party in power is still being hidden. OR Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated numerous times.

LBPR 27 [in part] - Safe, Respectful and Inclusive Workplace

1. Policy.

(a) The Legislative Branch is committed to promoting a respectful and inclusive environment in the State Capitol and in any setting in which conduct has the ability to create a Capitol environment that is intimidating, hostile or offensive, or may constitute conduct that amounts to retaliation for the making of a good-faith complaint under this rule or for participation in an investigation under this rule.

(f) This rule is designed to provide options to those who are experiencing or observing harassing behavior, discriminatory behavior or other behavior prohibited by subsection (7) of this rule to seek information, report behaviors or file complaints to address and resolve concerns, while also ...

Text of Article IV Section 25:

Majority Necessary to Pass Bills and Resolutions; Special Requirements for Bills Raising Revenue; Signatures of Presiding Officers Required

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2) of this section, a majority of all the members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass every bill or Joint resolution.

(2) Three-fifths of all members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass bills for raising revenue.

(3) All bills, and Joint resolutions passed, shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective houses.

Per OR Constitution Article IV Sec 26 please enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today.

Text of Article IV Section 26:

Protest by Member

Any member of either house, shall have the right to protest, and have his protest, with his reasons for dissent, entered on the journal.

### Thursday, June 22, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Musical performance by trombonist Rob Magee. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

Committee Report Summary No. 166, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 21. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 91 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 283 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1040 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

SB 1049 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2395 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 167, listing the following report, was distributed to members June 21. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 678 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments and requesting referral to Committee on Ways and Means. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means by order of the President.

Committee Report Summary No. 168, listing the following report, was distributed to members June 21. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 3409 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 169, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2107 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3042 - Report by Committee on Housing and Development recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 170, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HJM 3 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HB 2572 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3362 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3625 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

HCR 21 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules to allow special guests on the Senate floor. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 21 – Under Special Order of Business, read final time. Carried by Anderson. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HCR 21 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HCR 21 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill honors Officer Gary Lavaughn Sumpter for his service to this 63.4 state.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second

Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's House Third Reading calendar through HB 3596 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 – Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SB 789 A-Eng. - Golden moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill repassed.

SB 789 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 789 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects brassica crops. It keeps a 500-acre limit on the area of canola fields in the Willamette Valley (WV). This acreage limit will be in place until July 1, 2024. The bill also asks the Oregon Department of Agriculture to write a report on where brassica crops grow in the WV and how to best solve grow conflicts between them.

FLESH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 91, 283, 1040, 1049 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HJM 3; HB 2107, 2395, 2572, 3042, 3409, 3625 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2599 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2599 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2599 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill waives background check fees for persons who work for respite service providers.

FLESH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3148 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3148 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3148 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a process for a peace officer to request a court order to help with finding a missing individual. It protects the missing person from criminal charges based on the info found with the court order.

FLESH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3151 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3151 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3151 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the upgrades a manufactured dwelling park (MDP) landlord can make tenants pay for as part of a rental contract. The bill extends the sunset for the DRAC and for legal assistance grants to low-income tenants. The bill lets MDP loans be used for new parks. The bill adds rules to the requirement that local governments allow the siting of MDPs in some nonresidential zones without requiring a zone change or a conditional use permit.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3167 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3167 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3167 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows legal notices to be published in printed and digital newspapers. It sets conditions for newspapers to meet to print notices. It also addresses where a paper closes and there is no other option.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3178 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3178 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3178 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the Oregon Teacher Scholars Program by increasing the amount of money given to students. The new amount will be twelve thousand dollars each year.

FLESH SCORE: 61.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3179 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3179 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3179 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects new solar power plants by changing how big they can be. It also prevents certain institutions in Oregon from discriminating when such a plant is built near, or on, certain roads.

FLESH SCORE: 83.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3187 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3187 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3187 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes who can apply to become a water right examiner. It allows people who have at least seven years of relevant experience to apply to get certified to do this.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3199 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Gorsek, Knopp, Brock Smith, President Wagner; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. President Wagner granted unanimous consent to change vote to nay.

HB 3199 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3199 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the required minutes for PE. It reduces the minutes for middle schools from 225 to 150 each week. This bill also allows school districts to use a weekly average to comply with the law.

FLESH SCORE: 70.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3201 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3201 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3201 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires the OBDD provide grants and loans to support broadband access, to make it more affordable, and support the adoption using grants or loans. The bill updates rules for the Oregon Broadband Fund, affirms the Fund, and creates program guidelines.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3204 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3204 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3204 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the timelines for students who wish to enroll in virtual public charter schools that are not sponsored by their school districts. It also requires school districts to inform a student in 10 days if denying a request. This bill directs school districts to figure the percent of students attending virtual public charter schools not sponsored by the district at least twice each year. It also allows students to remain enrolled in a virtual public charter school if they move. This bill requires school districts to provide parents with the percent of students enrolled if the district denies a request.

FLESH SCORE: 62.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3213 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3213 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3213 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill bans the sale of a cosmetic that was made by the testing of the cosmetic on an animal. It includes exceptions for when a cosmetic tested on an animal can be sold. It also lets the AG bring a civil action for penalties or get an injunction for violation.

FLESH SCORE: 63.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3220 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3220 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3220 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes parts of the state recycling program for certain machines.

FLESH SCORE: 67.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3227 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3227 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3227 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the Custodians' Civil Service Law. It increases the school district population threshold in order to have a Custodians' Civil Service Board. It removes barriers to finding a person who wants to work as a janitor in a school. Also, it no longer requires a person to be a voter in the school district to serve on a Board; a person only needs to live in Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 64

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3234 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3234 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3234 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill blocks children with disabilities from being committed to the state if their parents do not approve. It requires that children be able to receive services before they are committed.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3242 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3242 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3242 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets an insured person bring a civil action against an insurer for an unfair claim settlement practice. The action can include practices that are not described in the current law but that are found to be unfair by the DCBS or a court.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3243 A-Eng. – Read third time.

HB 3243 A-Eng. – Lieber moved to refer to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

HB 3256 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist,

Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3256 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3256 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows parents to care for their child living in a care home for children with disabilities if the Department of Human Services approves. It allows a child up to age 26 to live in a care home with approval from DHS.

FLESH SCORE: 66.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3258 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3258 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3258 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program. It adds schedule V drugs and drugs given to animals.

FLESH SCORE: 69.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3260 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3260 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3260 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows self-serve gas at one small gas station outside of Detroit, Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3275 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3275 – Findley requested the following explanation of written vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3275 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will clarify when the county or the state has to provide services for people that the court has placed on supervision. It requires the state to take over the service if the money given to the county is below the current service level.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3294 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3294 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3294 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will direct county clerks to replace real property records, such as deeds, with a version that does not have certain language. It applies when a court has made an order to take discriminatory language out of the record. It allows for the original record to be kept for archival purposes.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3306 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Jama. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber;

Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3306 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3306 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires an entity that gets any state or federal WIOA funds to create a wage standard and a training plan for each person that participates in a program. It specifies what must be in the training plan. The requirement applies to any entity that provides a person with an on the job paid work experience.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3309 A-Eng. – Read third time.

HB 3309 A-Eng. – Lieber moved to refer to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Manning Jr in Chair. Motion carried.

HB 3320 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3320 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3320 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires hospitals to take more steps to see if a person qualifies for assistance in paying for care.

FLESH SCORE: 63.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3343 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3343 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3343 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the kind of training evidence a person must show to get a license to become a water well constructor.

FLESH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3383 - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Weber granted unanimous consent to change vote to aye.

HB 3383 – Findley requested the following explanation of written vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3383 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds members of school staff to the state boards that oversee K-12 education and teachers.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate recessed until 1:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, June 22, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Reconvening of the Senate delayed to 1:15 p.m. Senate reconvened at 1:15 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

HJR 16 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of House Measures, reverted to the order of First Reading of



House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HJR 16 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3385 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On question of passage the vote was: Ayes, 13; Nays, 11 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Gorsek, Knopp, Meek, Brock Smith, Sollman, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill failed.

HB 3385 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3385 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prevents certain contractors from taking more than half of the price before major completion of a remodel or of a repair of an owner occupied one or two family structure when there is a contract with a price over forty thousand. It does allow for progress payments. The bill outlines what must be included in the contract when there are progress payments that are to be made.

FLESH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3417 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Jama. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3417 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3417 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets OHCS reimburse landlords if their tenant gets rental help from some rehousing programs and has caused damage to the unit.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3421 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 -

Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3421 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3421 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will set rules for state tributes on Memorial Day and Veterans Day and armed forces memorials.

FLESH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3425 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3425 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3425 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires the state to issue CRNA licenses. It also updates the CRNA scope of practice.

FLESH SCORE: 78.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3426 - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3426 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3426 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires suicide and crisis hotlines to create policies and to train their staff on how to support first responders.

FLESH SCORE: 64.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3435 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3435 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3435 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the name of the Oregon Prekindergarten program. The new name is the Oregon Prenatal to Kindergarten program. It also updates some of the laws that refer to that program and how it works.

FLESH SCORE: 63

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3440 - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3440 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3440 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows counties of a certain size to use money they received through sales for housing-related purposes. Sales that qualify are the sale of some mineral rights or the sale of certain lands.

FLESH SCORE: 65.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3442 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Dembrow, Gelser Blouin, Gorsek, Prozanski, Sollman, Taylor; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Gorsek granted unanimous consent to change vote to nay.

HB 3442 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3442 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows affordable housing in some floodplains and hazard areas in a ten mile range from the coast.

FLESH SCORE: 67.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3442 A-Eng. – Gelser Blouin requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I appreciate the goals and intentions of SB 3442A, which would open up more spaces to build affordable housing. The need to build more housing is urgent, particularly in communities where this tool can be used. I also appreciate the intent of the proponents of this measure to resolve one of the greatest human needs our state is facing.

However, I remain concerned that siting homes in a floodplain will inadvertently create a disparity in housing stability by creating low income communities in areas more likely to flood. These are the individuals least likely to be able to recover from catastrophic loss from a natural disaster. I voted no because I do not think we should create incentives to build affordable housing in ways that would have the unintended consequence of disproportionately increasing the number of lower income Oregonians living on less desirable and more dangerous lots.

HB 3443 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3443 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3443 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets landlords end rental agreements when the person renting commits a bias crime. It also bars landlords from discriminating against a renter if the person was a victim of a bias crime. This bill allows victims of bias crimes to be a part of DOJ's Address Confidentiality and work leave programs. It prohibits a person who is charged with a bias crime from contacting a victim of a bias crime.

FLESH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3458 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3458 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3458 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits a party from raising certain new issues with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) on appeal. It also allows LUBA to affirm certain decisions in parts.

FLESH SCORE: 61.8 Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3462 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3462 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3462 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs OEM, OHCS, and DHS to give equal access to emergency housing. This includes emergency housing for people who do not have legal status.

FLESH SCORE: 60.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3464 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3464 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3464 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill says beavers are not destructive animals. It allows people to kill a beaver only if they have a permit unless there are certain threats to infrastructure or crops. Some small forestland owners may kill a beaver without a permit. The bill requires rules to be adopted and an annual report to be published related to the killing of beaver in Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3471 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3471 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3471 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits an employer from making an offer to settle certain types of claims subject to a worker agreeing that they will not be rehired. It also provides an exception.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3485 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3485 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3485 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds new authority to the Department of the State Fire Marshal.

FLESH SCORE: 69.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3532 - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3532 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3532 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill removes the annual spending cap of four hundred thousand dollars used by the Arts Program at OBDD for running the program. The bill sets a new cap of up to five percent of the value of the Trust for Cultural Development Account for the Arts Program to use for running the program.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3560 - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3560 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3560 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill protects HECC from lawsuits related to psilocybin. HECC's role is to regulate the career schools that train people to work with psilocybin.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3561 - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3561 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3561 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes students who study child mental health care eligible for a scholarship for professionals who work with young children. The bill also permits HECC to change how much money a student gets from the program based on the other aid they receive.

FLESH SCORE: 63.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3563 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3563 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3563 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates one advisory board to oversee three youth workforce programs (OYC, OCC, and OYEP) that currently have multiple boards. The new board is called the Oregon Youth Works Advisory Board.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3564 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3564 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3564 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to some laws that govern HECC. One seat on the HECC will be for the state's new congressional district, not the general public. Tribes will be able to get Oregon Conservation Corps grants. ASPIRE programs will add career paths to goals they help students with. HECC will take responsibility for federal requirements to inform workers and employers when a plant closes. Laws will change to match federal rules about accreditation. Students who aren't eligible to file the FAFSA won't have to do so when they apply for certain aid programs. HECC will be able to continue to review and act on student complaints about private colleges.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

**HB 3572 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Anderson, Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.**

**HB 3572 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3572 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows contract preference to registered B-Corps and LLCs. It requires a majority of their workforce to operate in Oregon at the time of bidding. The bill allows this only if goods and services are not more than five percent of the goods and services of other bidders.

FLESH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

**HB 3584 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. President Wagner in Chair. Bill passed.**

**HB 3584 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3584 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes school district boards tell parents, guardians, and school employees when a school responds to a safety threat.

FLESH SCORE: 63.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

**HB 3596 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.**

**HB 3596 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:**

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3596 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes clear that health care facilities may use surgical techs that have entered the field through training as an apprentice.

FLESH SCORE: 65.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's House Third Reading calendar through HB 2676 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 – Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Senate at ease.

Senate recessed until 4:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

#### **Thursday, June 22, 2023 – Evening Session**

Senate reconvened at 4:00 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

SB 420, 488, 490, 546, 556, 582, 606, 609, 619; HB 2083, 3198, 3235 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2002, 2005, 2147, 2295, 2426, 2573 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 22.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of House Measures, reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2083, 3198, 3235 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2010 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2010 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2010 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill affects various water laws. It also gives money to different programs. The topics include water use, drought, water right transfers, and permits.

FLESH SCORE: 61.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2013 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2013 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2013 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a permit holder to sell and transport malt drink, wine, or cider that the permit holder produced to the OLCC or the holder of a certain sales license.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2038 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2038 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2038 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds new content to statements of economic interest. People who must file the form will report sources of business income.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2048 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Gelser Blouin, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2048 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2048 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill helps people in the field of behavior analysis get a license to give care in the state. It directs the Health Licensing Office to issue a short-term license when that office is slow to review and approve a license request.

FLESH SCORE: 66.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2048 B-Eng. – Gelser Blouin requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

HB 2048 B would allow for issuance of a temporary license for individuals who provide Applied Behavioral Analysis Services. Many people with disabilities have expressed concern that ABA is underregulated and that some ABA methods are ineffective, coercive or even abusive.

Autistic leaders have shared their concerns with me, including compelling stories about their lived experiences as recipients of these services. Many in the disability community share these concerns. Policy works best when we listen to the voices of those most impacted--- in this case, disabled people. I cast a No vote out of respect for the concerns broadly raised by people with disabilities who have experienced ABA. My NO vote was also rooted in my belief that this industry needs more, rather than less, regulation in order to protect children, parents, insurers and quality providers.

HB 2049 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist,

Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2049 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2049 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a center at PSU. The center will provide education about electronic data security. The bill also has funding to train people for jobs in this field. There is also funding that will be used by governments to improve their data security.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2052 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2052 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2052 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires data brokers to register each year with DCBS. The information about the brokers has to be available to the public on the web.

FLESH SCORE: 60.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2054 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Prozanski, Taylor; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2054 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2054 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides that DDAs qualify as a police officer under PERS.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2071 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2071 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2071 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes tax policy changes to 13 tax credits and one tax subtraction. Most changes relate to tax credit sunset dates. The bill creates one new tax credit for low-income housing sales. The bill expands the affordable housing lender credit and expands income tax subtraction for National Guard pay.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2079 - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2079 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2079 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will have LRO study a tax credit and/or a grant to help preserve historic property in the state. It says the report shall be done and given to the interim revenue committees by September 15, 2024. The bill adds that the report is to suggest options for law changes.

FLESH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2080 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2080 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2080 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The omnibus bill will extend, expand, and modify some property tax expenditures. The bill will take effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2087 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2087 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2087 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will extend three parts of the Forest Products Harvest Tax for two years. These parts fund the OSU Forest Research Lab, the administration of the Forest Practices Act, and professional forestry education at OSU. It takes effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2099 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2099 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2099 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

This bill changes the Safe Routes to Schools grant program to allow the Department of Transportation (ODOT) to reduce needed cash match by rule. It also changes the focus for Safe Routes to Schools to projects within two miles of K-12 schools. It changes commercial driving (CDL) laws to match federal law. It makes clear ODOT's authority to issue temporary driver permits and licenses. It also changes laws for

permanent suspension of CDL. The bill changes the definition of "qualified provider" for driving tests. It adds liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) to use-fuel laws. It updates volumes of LPG, compressed natural gas, liquefied natural gas, and hydrogen for taxes on use fuels. The bill states that a valid user's license is not needed if the tax is paid at the time of sale or for payers of weight mile or flat fees. It allows ODOT to make grants from Connect Oregon program when at least \$50 million is in the Fund. The bill also allows moneys in Small City Account to be used on roads for the parts of projects that are required by federal or state law. It also requires all the moneys in the Small City Account to be spent. It lets weighmasters and enforcement officers give evidence in trials if they issued the citation. The bill also changes fees for certain certificates for dealers, dismantlers, towing businesses, and vehicle transporters. Finally, the bill sets limit on such plates to 10 per holder and does not allow use of such plates outside of State.

FLESH SCORE: 61

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2100 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2100 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2100 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill raises fees charged by ODOT for many tests and documents required to drive a car, own a car, or use a car on a public road. It raises the top fee that a car dealer may charge for filing papers with ODOT.

FLESH SCORE: 76.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2101 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2101 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2101 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:



The bill directs ODOT to set aside \$35 million each year. The bill directs ODOT to use the funds to trade with cities, counties, and other local governments. It lets those who get the exchanged money to trade out for funds they receive from federal programs to use on projects that are not allowed or that require reports or other such things.

FLESH SCORE: 65.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2128 B-Eng. – Read third time.

HB 2128 B-Eng. – Lieber moved to refer to Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 – Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

HB 2161 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Manning Jr in Chair. Bill passed.

HB 2161 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2161 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes the small forestland owner tax credit larger if owner meets income limits and is impacted by ODF rules.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2225 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2225 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2225 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill includes a variety of changes related to OJD. The bill includes transcriber fees. The bill includes senior judge assignments and duties. The bill changes e records policies for OJD.

FLESH SCORE: 62.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2271 - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2271 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2271 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill takes away the end date for the bridge grant program for people who served in the armed forces.

FLESH SCORE: 89.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2294 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2294 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2294 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill expands the apprenticeship program to train firefighters. It gives \$5 million to BOLI to fund up to ten new pilot projects in the state.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2316 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2316 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2316 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes multiple statutes for the crime of DUII. The bill expands the crime of DUII to include when a person drives and is under the influence of any impairing drug. The bill removes some of the driving restrictions for a person who commits a DUII with a bicycle. The bill changes diversion program restrictions. The bill changes the information that must be included in the charging document for the crime of DUII.

FLESH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2320 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2320 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2320 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a new commission on youth justice policy to research the youth justice system and make recommendations to the legislature. It grants money to the CJC for the research.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2468 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2468 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2468 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to how family child care homes are regulated. It allows ELC to regulate family child care homes in rental homes. It also exempts 10- to 12-year-old children from child care home capacity limits. It allows for extra ERDC payments if the payments arrive late.

FLESH SCORE: 63.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2574 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2574 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2574 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires hospitals to have a policy for making sure that people have access to a five-day supply of HIV exposure drugs. The bill also requires the state to make sure rural hospitals have a 30-day supply of those drugs.

FLESH SCORE: 63.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2611 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2611 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2611 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives part-time faculty dental and vision health care as part of their health care benefits. The bill also gives health care benefits to any part-time faculty who are PERS members. Higher education schools must tell part-time faculty about the health care benefits they can get and how to apply.

FLESH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2649 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Meek, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2649 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2649 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes ODOT, HECC, and other agencies use apprentices on projects that use public funds. A contractor must use apprentices for at least 12 percent of the work hours in a trade if the contract is for at least \$3 million. A sub must use apprentices for at least 12 percent of the work hours in a trade if the contract is for at least \$750,000. If a contractor or a sub does not meet the 12 percent, they will pay a penalty. Also, it requires a contractor and a sub to do a plan for outreach, for recruitment, and for retention of women, minorities, and veterans. A contractor and sub must try to have women, minorities, and veterans do at least 15 percent of the total work hours on a project. In 2027, a contractor or sub must use apprentices for at least 15 percent of work hours on a project.

FLESH SCORE: 60.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2656 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2656 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2656 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs school districts to offer surveys to students about their health and their experiences in school. The bill also lets parents review the surveys ahead of time and lets students or their parents opt out of the surveys.

FLESH SCORE: 68

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2665 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2665 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2665 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs the Health Licensing Office to take complaints about health care staffing agencies. It also tells OHA to limit how much money these agencies can charge.

FLESH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2676 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2676 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2676 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes changes to the program through the Oregon DOJ that provides financial help to victims of crime for some expenses.

FLESH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's House Third Reading calendar through HB 2727 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 – Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

HB 2757, 3229 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Senate reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2757, 3229 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2683 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2683 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2683 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill expands the types of criteria for families to receive subsidies to pay for child care.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2696 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2696 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2696 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates standards for licensure as a health care interpreter in the state.

FLESH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2697 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2697 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2697 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires hospitals to have staffing committees and plans for certain staff categories. These committees and plans add to similar rules for nursing staff. The bill also allows the state to begin giving penalties to hospitals that do not meet staffing rules.

FLESH SCORE: 60.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2717 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2717 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2717 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the state to regulate outdoor preschool programs.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2725 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2725 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2725 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill prohibits companies that manage pharmacy benefits (PBMs) from changing payment on a claim after the fact unless both the PBM and pharmacy agree there was an error. It also bans PBMs from charging fees after the point of sale. The bill requires PBMs to give notice of any cut or denied payment claims.

FLESH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2727 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2727 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2727 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a work group to study how to reduce local restrictions and barriers to child care supply in Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2834, 2914, 2925, 2929, 2931, 2991, 2994, 3001, 3005, 3031, 3059, 3073, 3080, 3144, 3171, 3173, 3188, 3197, 3215, 3300, 3308, 3332, 3410, 3456, 3550, 3558, 3583, 3626, 5002, 5003, 5004, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5013, 5014, 5015, 5016, 5017, 5018, 5020, 5024, 5025, 5026, 5027, 5028, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5037, 5040, 5041, 5042, 5043, 5044, 5047, 5048, 2045, 2292, 2328, 2759, 2804, 3223, 3382, 3565, 3610, 3630 - Carried over to June 23 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The proposed amendments to Senate Rules were read and distributed:

#### 15.20 Expense Allowance.

(1) During the Long Session for the 82nd Legislative Assembly, each member has an allowance of \$86,943 for personal staff as defined in SR 15.05, services and supplies as defined in SR 16.01, and legislative newsletters as defined in SR 14.20.

(2) During the interim periods and the Short Session for the 82nd Legislative Assembly, each member has an allowance of \$155,808 for personal staff as defined in SR 15.05, services and supplies as defined in SR 16.01, and legislative newsletters as defined in SR 14.20.

#### 213.20 Measure Drafting and Pre-session Filing Before the Short Session by Members, Caucuses, and Interim Committees.

(1) Except as otherwise provided herein, pre-session drafting requests and measure introductions shall be governed by the applicable concurrent resolution adopted by the 82nd Legislative Assembly.

(2) Of the three drafting requests for each committee granted under the concurrent resolution, the committee chair is allowed two drafting requests, and the committee chair and vice-chair in agreement are allowed one drafting request.

(3) Each Senate caucus may request from the President, and the President shall grant pursuant to his authority under the concurrent resolution, no more than two drafting requests and measure introductions, as determined by the caucus leader, that may be used before or during the Short Session.

(4) Committees appointed for the interim shall vote on measure introductions no later than January 12, 2024.

(4)(5) Every pre-session filing draft submitted under this rule shall bear

the name of the chief sponsor(s) and shall comply with ORS 171.127 and 171.130.

~~(5)(6)~~ All pre-session filing drafts shall be limited to:

- (a) One backed copy of the proposed measure;
- (b) Measure summary;
- (c) Name of requester;
- (d) Signature of the member, committee chair, or caucus leader; and
- (e) Contact person and telephone number.

~~(6)(7)~~ The Joint Committee on Ways and Means is exempt from this rule such that drafting and introduction of appropriation or fiscal measures sponsored by the Joint Committee on Ways and Means is allowed before or during the Short Session without limitation.

~~(7)(8)~~ Any exceptions to this rule are subject to approval by the President. Unless permitted under this rule, the concurrent resolution, or otherwise approved by the President, there shall be no other measure drafting requests or measure introductions by members, committees, or caucuses before or during the Short Session. Measures introduced in a prior regular or special session do not carryover for continued consideration during the next regular or special session.

The following measures were referred to committee on June 22 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 63, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 1104 Rules  
SB 1105 Rules  
HJR 16 Rules  
HB 2009 Tax Expenditures  
HB 3406 Tax Expenditures

SB 5502, 5503, 5504, 5507, 5509, 5510, 5511, 5512, 5516, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5523, 5524, 5525, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533; HB 2002, 2005, 2147, 2295, 2426, 2573 - President Wagner signed on June 22.

Senate adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Friday, June 23, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today 22 June 2023. Continue working with constituents and representatives regarding the continued unlawful unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of several registered Republicans. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Racist bills and free speech ban bills being passed are unconstitutional. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has been violated more than 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this roll call absence and protest into the Senate Journal today June 22, 2023.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today, 22 June 2023. In Senate District 28 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful, unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans. Oregon Constitution Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002, Mengele's Law, returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law, nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Racist bills and free speech ban bills being passed are unconstitutional. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today June 22, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor today June 22, 2023.

I am working with constituents in SD 11, as well as with other Oregonians and advisors regarding the continued unlawful and unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans.

OR Constitution Article III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The Attorney General continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats, their employees, and many legislative staff employees, violating separation of powers.

Text of Article III Section 1:

Separation of Powers

The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate branches, the Legislative, the Executive, including the administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of these branches, shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

OR Constitution Article IV Sec 21 regarding readability of measures and ORS 171.134 regarding readability of measure summaries, continue to be violated. HB 2002 was returned to committee, but the summary was not amended to comply with ORS 171.134. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. HB 200S's summary also was not amended in committee.

Text of Article IV Section 21:

Acts to Be Plainly Worded

Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.

ORS 171.134

Readability test for legislative digests and summaries

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test. [1979 c.270 § 1]

FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 continue. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering that benefits the party in power is still being hidden. OR Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated numerous times.

LBPR 27 [in part] - Safe, Respectful and Inclusive Workplace

1. Policy.

(a) The Legislative Branch is committed to promoting a respectful and inclusive environment in the State Capitol and in any setting in which conduct has the ability to create a Capitol environment that is intimidating, hostile or offensive, or may constitute conduct that amounts to retaliation for the making of a good-faith complaint under this rule or for participation in an investigation under this rule.

(f) This rule is designed to provide options to those who are experiencing or observing harassing behavior, discriminatory behavior or other behavior prohibited by subsection (7) of this rule to seek information, report behaviors or file complaints to address and resolve concerns, while also ...

Text of Article IV Section 25:

Majority Necessary to Pass Bills and Resolutions; Special Requirements for Bills Raising Revenue; Signatures of Presiding Officers Required

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2) of this section, a majority of all the members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass every bill or Joint resolution.

(2) Three-fifths of all members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass bills for raising revenue.

(3) All bills, and Joint resolutions passed, shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective houses.

Per OR Constitution Article IV Sec 26 please enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today.

Text of Article IV Section 26:

Protest by Member

Any member of either house, shall have the right to protest, and have his protest, with his reasons for dissent, entered on the journal.

### Friday, June 23, 2023 – Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelsner Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher.

SB 5502, 5503, 5504, 5507, 5509, 5510, 5511, 5512, 5516, 5518, 5519, 5520, 5521, 5523, 5524, 5525, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5530, 5531, 5532, 5533; SB 205, 807 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 22.

Committee Report Summary No. 171, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5012 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5022 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5031 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5033 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2284 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

HB 3014 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3221 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 172, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2009 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3406 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 173, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 5506 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments.

HB 2004 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's Senate and House Third Reading calendars through HB 3626 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SB 91 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. Senate at ease. Senate reassembled. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 91 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 91 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill establishes a program that will allow parents who take care of their children with specific medical or behavioral needs to get paid for it. DHS will run the program and decide which parents are eligible. The bill allows schools or other entities to pay parents for helping with educational activities that would normally be done by the school district. The bill lists which activities parents are not allowed to partake in while they are being paid to take care of their child. DHS can also ask the paid parents to assign a legal representative to manage the child's individual support plan. The bill adds the paid parents to the list of persons who are required to report child abuse. The bill directs DHS to work with an advisory committee to make rules for how the program will work. DHS must give a report to the Legislative Assembly every year. The bill specifies what DHS needs to include in the yearly report. DHS cannot run the program without the approval of a new waiver. DHS needs to apply for temporary federal funds to keep paying the parents until they get the new waiver.

FLESH SCORE: 62

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 283 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 283 B-Eng. – Gelser Blouin requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I was so pleased to cast a YES vote on SB 283 which represents landmark investment in the needs of our Education workforce.

One of the provisions of SB 283 is the creation of the Safe School Culture Grant to support training a cohort of certified instructors in CPI (Crisis Prevention Institute) nonviolent crisis intervention. The intention of the grant is to create continuity across districts in how staff are trained to respond to crisis situations. This is why the grant prioritizes training instructors through the Crisis Prevention Institute's Nonviolent Crisis Intervention program. Not only is the program used by the majority of districts in the state, Oregon successfully worked with this program for a similar statewide initiative for staff working in residential children's programs.

The intention of this grant is that the certified instructors complete all of the requirements to achieve and maintain credentials to teach CPI. The measure requires each certified instructor funded by this grant to train a minimum number of staff each year. Training is not completed until each of these individuals reaches certification status as described by CPI. It can not be completed simply by reviewing handouts or watching a video. A person must complete the certification standards set by CPI.

CPI does offer several tiers of certification including levels that do not include physical interventions. Certification at any of these levels will satisfy the requirements of the measure for the number of certified staff each certified instructor is required to train as a condition of the grant.

SB 283 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 283 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates programs and changes laws to support teachers and school staff. It directs ODE to begin the process of planning a statewide data system for teachers and school staff. It directs EAC to conduct surveys. It allows special education teachers and staff to be paid more. It requires school districts to give special education staff at least five hours per day of work time. It creates crisis response training mandates for schools. It creates just cause protections for school staff. It creates a task force to study substitute teachers. It creates a task force to study salaries. It creates grants to mentor beginning teachers and grants for apprenticeships. It allows retired teachers to get substitute licenses at no cost. It creates job protections for education service district superintendents. It ends duplicate background checks. It puts EAC in charge of mentorship.

FLESH SCORE: 69

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1040 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 1040 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1040 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a pilot program for micro centers to provide learning opportunities. The bill also defines micro center, and the bill also sets basic conditions. A report is also required on the pilot program and three child care places will join in the project based on conditions.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 1049 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

SB 1049 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 1049 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes necessary law changes related to the state budget. These are technical changes or are needed to enact the budget for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 67.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5012, 5022, 5031, 5033; HB 2009, 2284, 3014, 3221, 3362, 3406 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2763 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden.

HB 2763 B-Eng. - Knopp moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Rules. On motion to refer the vote was: Ayes, 7; Nays, 17 – Campos, Dembrow, Frederick, Gelser, Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Woods, President Wagner; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion failed.

HB 2763 B-Eng. - Debate resumed. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2763 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2763 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a State Public Bank Task Force. It directs the Governor, Speaker of the House and Senate President to appoint members. The bill tells the task force to explore the possible benefits and harms of creating a state bank.

FLESH SCORE: 74.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2767 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist,



Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2767 C-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2767 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill sets standards and creates a method for funding recovery schools, which are schools that help students who are struggling with substance abuse.

FLESH SCORE: 62.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2772 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2772 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2772 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a new crime of domestic terrorism and defines it.

FLESH SCORE: 74.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SB 5505, 5513, 5514, 5515, 5535, 5541; SB 99, 129, 192, 344, 790, 835, 900 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2192, 2238, 2274, 2275, 2283, 2372, 2421, 2509, 2519, 2584, 2594, 2618, 2627, 2645, 2817 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

HB 2870, 3242 – Message from the House announcing refusal to concur in Senate amendments. House conferees to be appointed.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for HB 3362 only; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for HB 3362 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to bring HB 3362 to the top of today's House third reading calendar. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3362 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3362 C-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3362 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a county to validate a piece of land if it was sold under false pretenses. It also allows a person to appeal certain land use court decisions.

FLESH SCORE: 67.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2793 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. President Wagner, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 2793 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2793 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs ODOT to stand up the Jurisdictional Transfer Advisory Committee (JTAC). It tells the JTAC to review roads that could be switched from one owner to another and to give a proposed list to the Joint Committee on Transportation to create bills to make the proposed

changes. The bill provides money from the State Highway Fund to ODOT for the program.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2802 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Anderson, Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. President Wagner, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye. Meek granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

HB 2802 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2802 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a pilot program for college and university students to work at nonprofits while they go to school. Students in the program are paid hourly and pay reduced tuition.

FLESH SCORE: 65.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2834 - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Meek, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2834 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2834 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill states that local bodies may not let just one group build and run a vertiport in a given area.

FLESH SCORE: 76.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2914 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2914 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2914 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a program to work on reducing the number of vacant and delict vessels in Oregon.

FLESH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2925 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2925 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2925 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives more time to OHA and to BIPOC and tribal task force groups to turn in the report for House Bill 4052 (2022).

FLESH SCORE: 63

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2929 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. President Wagner in Chair. Bill passed. Meek granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

HB 2929 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2929 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill applies if a person has broken certain water laws. It allows the Oregon Water Resources Department to ask a court to prevent this person from breaking more water laws.

FLESH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2931 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2931 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2931 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs ODA to create a cannabis laboratory. It allows ODA to use the lab to test a product for compliance, or when law enforcement requests a test. It ensures a private lab does not also get a license to produce, process, or sell cannabis. It will conform other cannabis laws to include lab testing laws.

FLESH SCORE: 61.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2991 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2991 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2991 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires a study on the barriers to improving the state's early childhood workforce. It requires a group to review the findings and recommendations in the study. It also requires DELC to take action based on the findings and report back.

FLESH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2994 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2994 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2994 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the rules for what health insurance must cover related to hearing. It also applies the same rule changes to PEBB, OEBB, and to people on the Oregon Health Plan who are under the age of 18.

FLESH SCORE: 67.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3001 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3001 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3001 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes ODOT waive fees for roadside memorial highway signs and Gold Star Family license plates.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3005 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3005 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3005 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a program to help pay for the costs of expanding early child care facilities with grants and loans. It directs Business Oregon to work with other organizations to run this program.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3031 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3031 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3031 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes school districts that get federal or state funds for heat, air flow, and air conditioning (HVAC) use that funding in certain ways. They must assess air flow systems and have CO2 monitors in every classroom. They must also submit a report on air flow and CO2 monitors to a mechanical engineer for review. The bill also makes school districts carry out fixes chosen by the reviewing engineer. It also puts into law labor requirements for contractors making those fixes. The bill also makes school districts submit a report to the ODE on the fixes they made.

FLESH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate recessed until 2:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### Friday, June 23, 2023 – Afternoon Session

Reconvening of the Senate delayed to 2:15 p.m. Senate reconvened at 2:15 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Manning Jr, Robinson, Thatcher.

SCR 13, 16 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 2915, 2984, 3008, 3043, 3068, 3143, 3148, 3179, 3187, 3201, 3258, 3425, 3471, 3485, 3564 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

HCR 25 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 23.

Senate, having recessed under the order of Third Reading of House Measures, resumed under that order by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3059 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3059 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3059 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill starts a fund in ODEM to help local governments with disaster response. It asks LRO to study tax benefits for disaster response volunteers. The bill creates a section of ODEM that reviews laws and rules. It allows the state to offer leave to employees who volunteer their time to disaster response. The bill requires ODEM to offer trainings and grants to communities.

FLESH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3073 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3073 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3073 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a candidate for office to limit the public's access to their home address on some forms and lists. It also allows a person elected to office to limit the public's access to their home address on some forms and lists.

FLESH SCORE: 64.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3080 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3080 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3080 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill tells ODOT to adopt rules to let car dealers, banks, and other lenders to file papers for car titles in digital form. It lets car dealers keep digital copies of the forms in their files instead of hard copy versions of forms.

FLESH SCORE: 68.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3144 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3144 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3144 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a student success plan for students who are Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander. It also creates a board to supervise this work and the standards for giving money to school districts to support the needs of these students.

FLESH SCORE: 62.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3171 - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3171 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3171 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill states that things said and shared as part of a peer support group session used by staff of a mass transit district are to be kept secret.

FLESH SCORE: 79.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3173 - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3173 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3173 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a task force on Tribal consultation. The task force will create an advisory report on recommendations for future policies on how the state can better engage with Tribes.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3188 - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Bonham; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Manning Jr, absent when roll was called, granted unanimous consent to vote aye.

HB 3188 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3188 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds Marion County to the list of local bodies that can set the speed limits on some of the roads they control.

FLESH SCORE: 72.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3197 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3197 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3197 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits clear and objective standards for housing development to land in a UGB and some other places. The bill makes it so county regulations beyond state or commission rules for farmworker housing are clear and objective. The bill makes it so that other housing approval processes do not have to be about how the housing looks.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3215 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek, Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3215 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3215 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill sets up a fund for disaster recovery housing. It allows OHCS to spend funds on loans, grants, or other assistance for people who have lost their housing due to a disaster.

FLESH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3300 - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3300 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3300 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill updates rules for getting licensed in some therapy areas.

FLESH SCORE: 64.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3308 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Findley, Sollman; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3308 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3308 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the OLCC to give a person a permit to deliver drinks with alcohol in them. The person may work for a business that has a license, a permit, or is an agent with authority to deliver drinks. The delivery person must be at least eighteen years of age, they must have a valid state issued identification, and they must be trained as set forth by the OLCC.

FLESH SCORE: 62.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3332 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Findley, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3332 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3332 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes a person who wins a bid for a certain type of public project, with a price that is at least \$250,000, to use steel, to use iron, to use coatings for steel and iron and to use items that are made in the USA. It makes DAS and ODOT include in the bid notice a clear note that a person has to use steel, to use iron, to use coatings for steel and iron and to use items that are made in the USA. In certain cases, DAS or ODOT can waive the use of steel, the use of iron, the use of coatings for steel and iron and the use of items on a project. It makes DAS form a plan to help a COBID business learn about and meet the need to use steel, to use iron,

to use coatings for steel and iron and to use products that are made in the USA.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3410 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3410 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3410 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill uses funds and amends the law to help with projects. The bill topics include outdoor sports, funds for grants for rural development, and help for areas hit by wildfires. The bill topics also include matching grants for small businesses to get help to grow as fast as they can, funds for a grant program to help set up more rural housing, and funds for county fairs that have dealt with a loss due to the steps taken by the state in response to COVID-19. This bill also offers funds to develop the Oregon coastal economy and to support maritime workers, and funds to create a grant program for a business that processes and sells meat.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3456 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3456 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3456 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs colleges and universities to survey students and staff about sexual misconduct and to take steps to prevent it. The bill also directs HECC to convene a council to help make the survey. It requires colleges and universities to hire certified staff to help students. It directs them to work with a third party to offer victim services. It requires them to give sexual misconduct training each year. It requires them waive some requirements for students who experience sex misconduct. It directs them to submit a report each year on sexual misconduct.

FLESH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3550 - Read third time. Carried by Woods. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3550 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3550 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill says that state agencies that buy light duty cars or trucks as of January 2025 must buy cars that emit no carbon. It allows an exception in cases where the car or truck to be bought would not work for the planned use if it is a car or truck that emits no carbon.

FLESH SCORE: 69.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3558 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3558 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3558 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the structure of the Department of Early Learning and Care. It also changes how the department can investigate and enforce rules for safety in child care sites. It prevents child care providers from acting against people who report abuse at their child care sites. It also changes how DELC and DHS work together for the child care subsidy program.

FLESH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3583 - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3583 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3583 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the law enforcement member requirement for the State Board of Towing. It states that the person who represents that field can be either a chief of police or a county sheriff.

FLESH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3626 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3626 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3626 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a parent to surrender their baby to a person at certain sites until the baby is 60 days old, rather than 30 days old. It also requires sites to post signs that notify parents of this right.

FLESH SCORE: 65.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 931, 966, 972, 1002, 1034, 1041, 1048, 1050, 1089 – Message from the House announcing passage.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's House Third Reading calendar through HB 5048 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 – Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 5002 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5002 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5002 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the State Department of Agriculture with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 62.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5003 - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Anderson, Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5003 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5003 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill approves new or increased fees for the State Department of Agriculture.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5004 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Findley; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5004 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:



Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5004 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Department of Aviation with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5008 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5008 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5008 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Columbia River Gorge Commission with General Fund. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 60.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5009 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5009 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5009 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Construction Contractors Board can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 70.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5010 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5010 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5010 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department of Consumer and Business Services can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 61.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5011 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5011 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5011 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Oregon Board of Dentistry can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 71.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5013 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Weber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5013 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5013 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Early Learning and Care with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 69.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5014 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5014 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5014 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Education with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5015 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Findley, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5015 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5015 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Education with General Fund for the State School Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Lottery Funds the Department can spend from the State School Fund. Funds are used to pay for certain education costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 71.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5016 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5016 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5016 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Department of Energy with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5017 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Girod; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5017 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5017 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Department of Emergency Management with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 62.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5018 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5018 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5018 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Environmental Quality with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 62.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5020 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5020 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5020 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Department of Forestry with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5024 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5024 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5024 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Oregon Board of Licensed Professional Counselors and Therapists can spend. This bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Oregon Board of Psychology can spend too. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5025 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5025 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5025 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Higher Education Coordinating Commission with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for operation costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5026 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5026 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5026 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Human Services with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 67.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5027 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5027 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5027 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Land Conservation and Development with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5028 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. President Wagner in Chair. Bill passed.

HB 5028 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5028 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Land Use Board of Appeals with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the agency can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 77.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5034 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5034 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5034 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Revenue with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 69.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5035 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5035 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5035 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Secretary of State with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Secretary of State can spend. Funds are used to pay agency costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 74.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5036 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5036 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5036 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of the State Fire Marshal with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 66

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5037 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist,

Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5037 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5037 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

This bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department of State Lands can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 73.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5040 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Meek, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Meek granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

HB 5040 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5040 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Transportation with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Federal Funds, and Lottery Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for the agency's operations costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5041 - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5041 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5041 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill approves new or increased fees for ODOT.

FLESH SCORE: 79.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5042 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 – Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5042 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5042 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds the State Treasurer can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 76.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5043 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. Manning Jr in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5043 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5043 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Water Resources Department with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds, Lottery Funds, and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5044 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5044 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5044 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill approves new or increased fees for the Oregon Board of Dentistry.

FLESH SCORE: 69.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5047 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed. Bonham and Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

HB 5047 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5047 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill ensures that the DHS and OHA budgets are balanced for the current two year budget cycle. The rebalance is needed due to changes in revenues, caseloads, and other factors.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5048 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Anderson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Robinson, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5048 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5048 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Elliott State Research Forest Authority with General Fund. Funds are used to pay for the agency's operation costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 61.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 498, 775, 889, 919, 1094, 1095; HB 3086, 3632 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 28, 215 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

Senate reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3086, 3632 - Read first time and referred to President's desk.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

President Wagner in Chair.

HB 3086, 3632 – Referred to the Committee on Rules by order of the President.

HB 2045, 2292, 2328, 2759, 2804, 3223, 3382, 3565, 3610, 3630, 2107, 2395, 2572, 3042, 3409, 3625; HJM 3 - Carried over to June 24 calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

The following measures were referred to committee on June 22 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 64, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2083 Finance and Revenue  
 HB 2757 Finance and Revenue  
 HB 3198 Ways and Means  
 HB 3229 Ways and Means  
 HB 3235 Tax Expenditures

SCR 3; SB 5534, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5539, 5540, 5542, 5546; SB 1, 3, 80, 85, 104, 221, 321, 337, 789; HCR 25 - President Wagner signed on June 23.

Senate adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Saturday, June 24, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today 23 June 2023. Continue working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of several registered Republicans. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally represent Senate Democrats and their legislative employees. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Unconstitutional racist and free speech ban bills are being passed.

Amendments are being slipped in to bills then hidden from members. LC protects certain majority members. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering remains protected. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has been violated more than 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this roll call absence and protest into the Senate Journal today June 23, 2023.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today, 23 June 2023. In Senate District 28 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful, unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans. Oregon Constitution Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002, Mengele's Law, returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law, nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Racist bills and free speech ban bills being passed are unconstitutional. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today June 23, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor today June 23, 2023.

I am working with constituents in SD 11, as well as with other Oregonians and advisors regarding the continued unlawful and unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans.

OR Constitution Article III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The Attorney General continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats, their employees, and many legislative staff employees, violating separation of powers.

Text of Article III Section 1:

Separation of Powers

The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate branches, the Legislative, the Executive, including the administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of these branches, shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

OR Constitution Article IV Sec 21 regarding readability of measures and ORS 171.134 regarding readability of measure summaries, continue to be violated. HB 2002 was returned to committee, but the summary was not amended to comply with ORS 171.134. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. HB 200S's summary also was not amended in committee.

Text of Article IV Section 21:

Acts to Be Plainly Worded

Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.

ORS 171.134

Readability test for legislative digests and summaries

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test. [1979 c.270 § 1]

FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 continue. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering that benefits the party in power is still being hidden. OR Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated numerous times.

LBPR 27 [in part] - Safe, Respectful and Inclusive Workplace

1. Policy.

(a) The Legislative Branch is committed to promoting a respectful and inclusive environment in the State Capitol and in any setting in which conduct has the ability to create a Capitol environment that is intimidating, hostile or offensive, or may constitute conduct that amounts to retaliation for the making of a good-faith complaint under this rule or for participation in an investigation under this rule.

(f) This rule is designed to provide options to those who are experiencing or observing harassing behavior, discriminatory behavior or other behavior prohibited by subsection (7) of this rule to seek information, report behaviors or file complaints to address and resolve concerns, while also ...

Text of Article IV Section 25:

Majority Necessary to Pass Bills and Resolutions; Special Requirements for Bills Raising Revenue; Signatures of Presiding Officers Required

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2) of this section, a majority of all the members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass every bill or Joint resolution.

(2) Three-fifths of all members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass bills for raising revenue.

(3) All bills, and Joint resolutions passed, shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective houses.

Per OR Constitution Article IV Sec 26 please enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today.

Text of Article IV Section 26:

Protest by Member

Any member of either house, shall have the right to protest, and have his protest, with his reasons for dissent, entered on the journal.

### Saturday, June 24, 2023 – Morning Session

Convening of the Senate delayed to 1:45 p.m. Senate convened at 1:45 p.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Opening greeting by President Wagner. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher.

HB 2732 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SB 819 – Message from the House announcing passage as amended by the House.

HB 3362 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

SCR 3; SB 5534, 5536, 5537, 5538, 5539, 5540, 5542, 5546; SB 1, 3, 80, 85, 104, 221, 321, 337, 789; HCR 20, 21, 26, 27, 28; HB 2056, 2086, 2109, 2127, 2129, 2130, 2144, 2158, 2160, 2192, 2235, 2240, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2286, 2345, 2405, 2420, 2446, 2484, 2486, 2490, 2504, 2513, 2520, 2522, 2535, 2576, 2599, 2600, 2609, 2634, 2669, 2680, 2719, 2737, 2740, 2753, 2806, 2812, 2865, 2889, 2898, 2902, 2904, 2905, 2921, 2922, 2955, 2987, 3028, 3037, 3127, 3135, 3151, 3167, 3178, 3199, 3204, 3208, 3211, 3213, 3220, 3227, 3234, 3256, 3260, 3273, 3275, 3294, 3306, 3320, 3343, 3383, 3417, 3421 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 24.

Committee Report Summary No. 174, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 23. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2128 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3309 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

Committee Report Summary No. 175, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 23. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2805 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

HB 3013 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the B-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

HB 3395 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

HB 3396 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage with amendments to the A-Engrossed bill to resolve conflicts.

Committee Report Summary No. 176, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SCR 18 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

HJR 16 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption of the A-Engrossed resolution.

HB 2073 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2083 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2527 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

HB 2757 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 2965 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage.

HB 2971 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3194 - Report by Committee on Finance and Revenue recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3198 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

HB 3229 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3235 - Report by Committee on Tax Expenditures recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 177, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

SB 955 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's Third Reading calendar through HB 3630 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to take action on concurrences. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

SB 28 B-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill repassed.



SB 28 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 28 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives instructions for how to submit wording to voters for SJR 34.

FLESH SCORE: 65.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 215 C-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill repassed.

SB 215 C-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 215 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes laws about school nutrition and speech and language teachers. It also makes changes to sexual conduct laws. The bill changes ESDs' role in the Student Success Act. It also changes Oregon's intensive program for school districts and makes sure that districts accept team recommendations in order to get funding.

FLESH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

SCR 18; SB 5506; SB 955 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2732 - Read first time and referred to Committee on Ways and Means.

HJR 16; HB 2004, 2073, 2083, 2128, 2527, 2757, 2761, 2805, 2965, 2971, 3013, 3086, 3194, 3198, 3229, 3235, 3309, 3395, 3396, 3632 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 2045 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Robinson;

Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2045 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2045 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes pay to health care workers earning less than \$200,000 exempt from the state program that tracks health care costs.

FLESH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2292 - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2292 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2292 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets a person rescind a contract for landscaping within 3 business days of agreeing to the contract. It requires the landscape business to let a person know they have the right to rescind the contract.

FLESH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2328 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2328 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2328 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes and expands crimes related to sexual conduct.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 819 D-Eng. - Gelser Blouin moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and repass bill. On concurrence and repassage the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill repassed.

SB 819 D-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 819 D to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill strengthens the law that requires school districts to allow students with disabilities to attend full days of school. It allows school districts to place a student on shortened school days if the child's parents consent in writing. It also allows a parent to revoke consent. The bill also creates new laws about what a school district must do if it places a student on shortened school days. It directs ODE to take action if a school district places a child on shortened school days without a parent's consent. It also lists programs that do not count as shortened school days. The bill also requires school districts to inform parents of children's rights to attend full school days.

FLESH SCORE: 73.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 2759 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2759 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2759 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill amends the robocall statutes. It makes a person, who knows or avoids knowing, liable for the loss and subject to the same penalty as a person who violates a robocall law. It expands exceptions.

FLESH SCORE: 63

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2804 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2804 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2804 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires DHS to use staffing models to gauge the capacity and needs of its workforce in each section of the agency.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3223 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Patterson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 16; Nays, 9 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Meek, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3223 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3223 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill sets rules for the use of written exams to become certified as a dental assistant. It sets the languages the exam must be offered in. The bill also requires the dental board to convene an advisory group to study workforce shortages and the dental assistant rules of other states.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3382 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Dembrow, Golden, Patterson, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed. Taylor granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

HB 3382 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3382 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the governing board of Coos County to adopt an exception to land use goals related to the area of the tidal mouth of the Coos River where the tide meets the stream. It limits the scope of the land use exception to projects that would maintain and improve facilities at the Port of Coos Bay.

FLESH SCORE: 62

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3565 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3565 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3565 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates laws to govern the Oregon Tribal Student Grant program. The program gives grants to members of Oregon tribes to cover all the costs of attending a college or university in the state.

FLESH SCORE: 60.9

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3610 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3610 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3610 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates the Task Force on Alcohol Pricing and Addiction Services. The task force will study and report to the Legislative Assembly by September 15, 2024. The bill sets the topics for the task force to study.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3630 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3630 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3630 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates various energy laws and grant programs.

FLESH SCORE: 66.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for today's House Third Reading calendar through HB 3406 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Senate reverted to Third Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HJM 3 - Read final time. Carried by Knopp. On adoption of memorial the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Memorial adopted.

HJM 3 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HJM 3 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill is about state requests to meet and amend the U.S. Constitution. The bill informs Congress that all previous Oregon requests are void.

FLESH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2107 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2107 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2107 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill automatically registers people to vote who sign up for the Oregon Health Plan. The bill sets the process for adding these voters. This expansion starts on June 1, 2026.

FLESH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2395 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2395 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2395 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill improves access to drugs and supplies that can help reverse an overdose of opioids. It allows more people and public spaces in the state, including schools, to store and offer the drugs and supplies. It also protects people from legal liability when they give drugs or supplies to stop an overdose. The bill updates definitions to make certain drug tools legal to have. The bill also adds to the state's collection of data related to drug overdoses.

FLESH SCORE: 61.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2572 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Manning Jr. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2572 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2572 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows the AG to sue to stop a person or group of people if the AG has reason to believe they are going to engage in civil disorder and have a weapon. It also allows the AG to investigate a person if the person is involved in the activity. The bill allows someone to sue if the person was injured due to the activity.

FLESH SCORE: 62.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3042 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. Potential conflict of interest declared by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3042 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3042 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill puts rent increase limits on housing that used to be affordable for three years after the housing changes to market rate.

FLESH SCORE: 62.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3409 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow, Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Manning Jr in Chair. Bill passed.

HB 3409 C-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3409 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill amends and creates various climate laws. It also gives money to different programs. The topics include energy use, nature, buildings, land use, fuels, and vehicles.

FLESH SCORE: 61.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3625 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3625 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3625 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill is about state requests to meet and amend the U.S. Constitution. The bill voids all previous Oregon requests for such a convention.

FLESH SCORE: 64.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5012 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5012 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5012 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Department of Justice with General Fund. Funds are used to pay for district attorney expenses for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 67.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5022 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5022 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5022 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Office of Governor with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Lottery Funds the Office can spend. Funds are used to pay for the Office's operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 72.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5031 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 5031 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5031 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides the Oregon Military Department with General Fund. The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Federal Funds the Department can spend. Funds are used to pay agency costs for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 67.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5033 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Bonham. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed. Brock Smith granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

HB 5033 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5033 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits the amount of Other Funds and Lottery Funds the Public Employees Retirement System can spend. Funds are used to pay for agency operations for the next two years.

FLESH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2009 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Golden, Robinson, Taylor; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2009 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2009 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates a tax credit for certain research done in Oregon. It is based on a federal credit and is 15 percent of certain expenses. It is up to \$5 million for each firm. In total, taxpayers may claim \$25 million in tax credits each year. It applies to tax years 2024 through 2029. Smaller firms may use the tax credit even if they have no tax liability. The bill also makes changes to three property tax programs. It extends the Enterprise Zone and Long-term Rural Enterprise Zone programs. It requires the state to provide technical help and improves public awareness. It creates a fee to increase funding for schools. The bill also makes changes to the Strategic Investment Program. It also extends Gain Share and requires a legislative program review in 2034.

FLESH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2284 - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 7 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2284 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2284 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill increases fees PERS may charge for payments in cases of divorce or other agreements.

FLESH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3014 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3014 B-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3014 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows school districts to spend state money to get kids to school using public bus passes, bikes, and crossing guards. School districts can only spend state money this way if they show that they cannot hire enough bus drivers, that alternative methods would cost less, and that students will be safe.

FLESH SCORE: 66.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3221 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3221 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3221 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

This bill states that payment to schools from the Secure Rural Schools Act is not local revenue in distributing the State School Fund. It aligns the Oregon law with the federal law. It first applies to the 2023-24 school year.

FLESH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3406 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Gorsek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Bonham, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3406 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3406 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows a road authority to grant a permit to a person. The permit is to operate a vehicle as part of a parade. The vehicle can't operate on public roads without the permit. The bill makes changes to the Highway Cost Allocation Study (HCAS). The study is now required to examine the results of the previous study. Report on the accuracy of the results. The bill also requires three studies look back at the accuracy of the results. The lookback needs to report on the shares paid by each vehicle class. The bill deals with short line rail tax credits. Current law offers tax credit for rehabilitation of short line tracks. The credit is offered to Oregon taxpayers who own or lease the tracks. Current law caps tier I credit to \$1,000 per mile. This bill removes distinction between tier I and tier II short rail lines and raises the tax-credit cap to \$3,500 per mile. The bill specifies that the Oregon credit amount could not include costs associated with federal credit, regardless of whether federal credit was claimed or not. If the \$4 million credit cap is reached, then a reduction in credit might be needed. In that case, the credit will be reduced for all railroads proportionately. Each railroad could be restricted to \$400,000 per year.

FLESH SCORE: 61.2

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 278; HB 5049 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2676 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

HB 2010, 2013, 2038, 2048, 2049, 2052, 2054, 2071, 2079, 2080, 2087, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2161, 2225, 2238, 2271, 2274, 2275, 2283, 2294, 2316, 2320, 2372, 2421, 2468, 2509, 2519, 2531, 2574, 2584, 2594, 2611, 2618, 2627, 3008, 3426, 3435, 3440, 3442, 3443, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3532, 3560, 3561, 3563 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 24.

Senate reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 5049 - Read first time and referred to Committee on Ways and Means.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for HB 2073, 2083, 2761, 2805, 2965, 3194, 3198, 3235, 3309, 3395, and 3396 only; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for HB 2073, 2083, 2761, 2805, 2965, 3194, 3198, 3235, 3309, 3395, and 3396 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Motion carried.

Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to third read HB 2073, 2083, 2761, 2805, 2965, 3194, 3198, 3235, 3309, 3395, and 3396. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HB 2073 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 6 - Campos, Golden, Jama, Patterson, Prozanski, Taylor; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2073 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2073 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary: The bill makes changes to the Corporate Activity Tax (CAT). The bill allows an exclusion when dealers sell certain precious metal items. It exempts the cost paid by the dealers for those items from the CAT tax. The bill also expands an exclusion that is now used for farm sales to brokers or wholesalers. The bill adds “processors” to potential buyers that can provide a form that shows the percent of the farm's goods sold out-of-state. The out-ofstate sales can then be excluded from the farm's CAT tax. These two parts take effect for tax years starting on or after January 1, 2024. The bill also allows a later tax filing deadline for CAT payers that have an extension of time to file their income tax forms for the same tax year. Aligns CAT filing deadline to federal income tax deadline, when the due date would be on a holiday observed by the IRS. This is for tax years starting on or after January 1, 2023. Takes effect on 91st day after sine die.

FLESH SCORE: 68.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2083 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Findley. On passage of bill the vote was:

Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2083 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2083 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill extends the applicability of the PTE-E tax and credit program by two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2761 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Knopp. Potential conflict of interest declared by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2761 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2761 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill lets OHCS fund just the part of a mixed income home project that tenants who are at or less than one hundred twenty percent of the area median income can afford.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2805 B-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 6 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2805 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2805 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill allows boards and commissions to meet online, by email, and by other methods. This bill also requires the Ethics Commission to prepare a training on the new requirements and look into complaints on the new rules.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2965 – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Brock Smith. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Manning Jr, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2965 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2965 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill cancels all past due property taxes and interest on property transferred from the federal government to a port district that is uncollectible. This will also apply to real property that is owned by the port that does not have a waterfront that works. It takes effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESCH SCORE: 62

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3194 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Meek. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Manning Jr; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3194 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3194 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will increase the thresholds that are used to deem if real property improvements are "minor construction" for property tax. The thresholds are \$10,000 per year or \$25,000 in five years. The new thresholds are \$18,200 per year or \$45,000 in five years. The new thresholds will be reset if prices go up in later years. The bill will apply to years that start on or after the first of January 2024. The bill will take effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESCH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.



Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3198 B-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Findley, Girod, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3198 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3198 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates the Early Literacy Success Initiative (ELSI). ELSI will offer money to school districts to change the way they teach reading. School districts do not have to accept. If they take the money, they must teach reading in a way that is based in research. The bill also creates a Birth to Five Literacy Plan and community literacy grants.

FLESCH SCORE: 69.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3235 B-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Campos. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3235 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3235 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates an Oregon child tax credit equal to \$1,000 per child under the age of six at the close of the tax year. Credit is phased out for taxpayers with more than \$30,000 in income. Tells DOR to make tax credit payments during the year if DHS waiver is granted or federal law is changed. Applies to tax years 2023 through 2028.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3309 B-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Sollman. President Wagner in Chair. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 22; Nays, 3 - Bonham, Girod, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed. Girod granted unanimous consent to change vote from aye to nay.

HB 3309 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3309 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill directs OHCS to collect and share information on housing that it funds that is accessible.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3395 B-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Jama. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3395 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3395 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill amends and creates housing laws. It directs funds to low income college student housing, farm worker housing, and needed housing. It allows single room housing and duplexes on land for single family homes, and it lets multifamily housing with a single exit to be built. The bill allows housing to be built on commercial land. It makes changes to how condos and planned communities are regulated and removes discrimination from HOA rules. It lets OHCS help fund pets owned by people in homeless shelters and makes it easier to approve shelters. The bill includes methods to increase the amount of affordable housing in the state.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3396 B-Eng. - Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3396 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3396 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill creates the Joint Task Force on Hospital Discharge Challenges. The job of this Task Force is to help the health care system to find places with the right kinds of staff and care for people when they leave the hospital. The Task Force will make a final report to the Legislative Assembly before the 2025 Legislative Session. The bill also keeps in place for two more years the agreements between OHA and CCOs.

FLESCH SCORE: 63.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

The following measure was referred to committee on June 23 and recorded on Committee Referral List No. 65, which was distributed to members. Referral list recorded in the Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2761 Rules

Lieber moved that the Senate adopt the proposed amendments to Senate Rules 15.20 and 213.20. On motion to amend the rules, the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 – Robinson; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Amendments adopted.

SB 5505, 5513, 5514, 5515, 5535, 5541; SB 99, 129, 420, 488, 490, 546, 556, 582, 606, 609, 619; HCR 20, 21, 26, 27, 28; HB 2010, 2013, 2038, 2048, 2049, 2052, 2054, 2056, 2071, 2079, 2080, 2086, 2087, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2109, 2127, 2129, 2130, 2144, 2158, 2160, 2161, 2192, 2225, 2235, 2238, 2240, 2271, 2274, 2275, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2283, 2286, 2294, 2316, 2320, 2345, 2372, 2405, 2420, 2421, 2446, 2468, 2484, 2486, 2490, 2504, 2509, 2513, 2519, 2520, 2522, 2531, 2535, 2574, 2576, 2584, 2594, 2599, 2600, 2609, 2611, 2618, 2627, 2634, 2669, 2680, 2719, 2737, 2740, 2753, 2806, 2812, 2865, 2889, 2898, 2902, 2904, 2905, 2921, 2922, 2955, 2987, 3008, 3028, 3037, 3127, 3135, 3151, 3167, 3178, 3199, 3204, 3208, 3211, 3213, 3220, 3227, 3234, 3256, 3260, 3273, 3275, 3294, 3306, 3320, 3343, 3383, 3417, 3421, 3426, 3435, 3440, 3442, 3443, 3458, 3462, 3464, 3532, 3560, 3561, 3563 - President Wagner signed on June 24.

Senate adjourned until 10:00 a.m., Sunday, June 25, by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today 24 June 2023. Continue working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of several registered Republicans. Or Const Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally represent the Senate President, Senate Secretary, Democrats and their legislative employees. Or Const Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue

to be violated. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. Supreme Court rulings are ignored. Unconstitutional bills are being passed. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to before the 2023 Session continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering remains protected. Or Const Art IV Sec 25 has been violated more than 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this roll call absence and protest into the Senate Journal today June 24, 2023.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call tomorrow, 24 June 2023. In Senate District 28 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful, unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans. Oregon Constitution Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002, Mengele's Law, returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law, nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Racist bills and free speech ban bills being passed are unconstitutional. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal for June 24, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor on June 24 and June 25, 2023.

I am working with constituents in SD 11, as well as with other Oregonians and advisors regarding the continued unlawful and unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans.

OR Constitution Article III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The Attorney General continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats, their employees, and many legislative staff employees, violating separation of powers.

Text of Article III Section 1:

Separation of Powers

The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate branches, the Legislative, the Executive, including the administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of these branches, shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

OR Constitution Article IV Sec 21 regarding readability of measures and ORS 171.134 regarding readability of measure summaries, continue to be violated. HB 2002 was returned to committee, but the summary was not amended to comply with ORS 171.134. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. HB 2005's summary also was not amended in committee.

Text of Article IV Section 21:

#### Acts to Be Plainly Worded

Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.

ORS 171.134

Readability test for legislative digests and summaries

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test. [1979 c.270 § 1]

FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 continue. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering that benefits the party in power is still being hidden. OR Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated numerous times.

LBPR 27 [in part] - Safe, Respectful and Inclusive Workplace

#### 1. Policy.

(a) The Legislative Branch is committed to promoting a respectful and inclusive environment in the State Capitol and in any setting in which conduct has the ability to create a Capitol environment that is intimidating, hostile or offensive, or may constitute conduct that amounts to retaliation for the making of a good-faith complaint under this rule or for participation in an investigation under this rule.

(f) This rule is designed to provide options to those who are experiencing or observing harassing behavior, discriminatory behavior or other behavior prohibited by subsection (7) of this rule to seek information, report behaviors or file complaints to address and resolve concerns, while also ...

Text of Article IV Section 25:

Majority Necessary to Pass Bills and Resolutions; Special Requirements for Bills Raising Revenue; Signatures of Presiding Officers Required

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2) of this section, a majority of all the members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass every bill or Joint resolution.

(2) Three-fifths of all members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass bills for raising revenue.

(3) All bills, and Joint resolutions passed, shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective houses.

Per OR Constitution Article IV Sec 26 please enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today.

Text of Article IV Section 26:

Protest by Member

Any member of either house, shall have the right to protest, and have his protest, with his reasons for dissent, entered on the journal.

### Sunday, June 25, 2023 -- Morning Session

Senate convened at 10:00 a.m., President Wagner in Chair. Colors were honored and the Senate pledged allegiance to the flag. Invocation by Lori Brocker, Secretary of the Senate. The following members were present: Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Dembrow, Findley, Frederick, Gelser Blouin, Girod, Golden, Gorsek, Jama, Knopp, Lieber, Manning Jr, Meek, Patterson, Prozanski, Robinson, Brock Smith, Sollman, Steiner, Taylor, Weber, Woods; absent – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher.

SB 91, 283, 611, 1040, 1049; HB 3414 – Message from the House announcing passage.

SJR 34 – Message from the House announcing adoption as amended by the House.

HB 2395, 2759, 3223, 3409 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

Committee Report Summary No. 178, listing the following reports, was distributed to members June 24. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 2761 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3086 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 3632 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending passage.

Committee Report Summary No. 179, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5049 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 2732 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

Committee Report Summary No. 180, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 3242 – Report by Conference Committee, signed by Senators Bonham, Campos, Prozanski, and Representatives Holvey, Mannix, Sosa, recommending the House concur in Senate amendments dated May 22 and that the bill be further amended and repassed.

Senate proceeded to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 3414 - Read first time and referred to Committee on Ways and Means.

Senate resumed under the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for SJR 34, SB 5506, SB 955, HJR 16, HB 2004, HB 2128, HB 2527, HB 2757, HB 2971, HB 3013, HB 3086, HB 3229, and HB 3632 only. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Girod, Robinson,

Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Motion carried.

SJR 34 B-Eng. - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to take action on concurrence. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

SJR 34 B-Eng. - Lieber moved that the Senate concur in House amendments and readopt. On concurrence and readoption the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 - Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Resolution readopted.

SJR 34 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SJR 34 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will propose a change to the Oregon constitution to create a group to decide pay for some public officials.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SCR 18 – Moved to the foot of today's third reading calendar by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SB 5506 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 5506 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 5506 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides General Fund to the Emergency Board to distribute as needed over the next two years. The bill adjusts all state agency budgets due to changes in statewide costs. There are also funds for other organizations in the state, and for other agency costs for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 955 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp, Sollman. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

SB 955 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SB 995\* to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides \$300,000 to HECC. The funds will create the AgriStress Helpline. This is a mental health hotline. The service is for farm producers and workers. Six other states have a similar hotline. The bill states it is an emergency. The bill is effective July 1, 2023

FLESCH SCORE: 62.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

\*Editor's correction – intended SB 955

HB 2870 – Knopp, Taylor, Patterson appointed Senate conferees.

HB 5049; HB 2732 - Read second time and passed to third reading.

HJR 16 A-Eng. - Read final time. Carried by Lieber, Knopp. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Resolution adopted.

HJR 16 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HJR 16 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill proposes an amendment to the Oregon Constitution. It creates a method to impeach statewide elected officials. It lists the reasons for taking this action. Two-thirds of the House must vote to impeach, and two-thirds of the Senate must vote to convict. The bill also allows the Legislative Assembly to meet for this purpose.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2004 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Sollman. Potential conflict of interest declared by Robinson. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Girod, Knopp, Meek, Robinson, Brock Smith,

Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2004 B-Eng. – Frederick requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I have serious concerns about ranked choice voting (RCV). I worry about how it will be implemented and the voter education needed in order for it to be implemented well. I have heard from folks in other jurisdictions who voted to adopt RCV and now wish they hadn't. All that notwithstanding, I have concerns about RCV inherently. I understand that proponents believe it will better represent the will of the voters. I am concerned that at a time when the validity of elections is already being erroneously questioned, we are going to implement a new voting system.

I and the proponents of RCV agree that we need to increase voter participation and engagement. RCV proponents assert that RCV will help do this. Many organizations whom I support are supporting the RCV effort and I recognize their sincere belief that this will help. I have my doubts. I worry that implementing a new system is only going to make voting less accessible to exactly the communities of folks we need to be more engaged. Beyond my concerns of how RCV will directly affect the engagement of marginalized communities, I am concerned that it may be viewed as the solution to the lack of engagement by these communities and, as a result, serious efforts won't be made to meaningfully engage folks. So even if RCV does help, I'm worried it will be at the cost of not continuing or increasing other beneficial – likely more beneficial – efforts of community engagement.

I am also worried that we are moving the goalposts of what it means to be a successful candidate. Marginalized communities have struggled for the entirety of our state's – and our country's – history to get their folks elected. It's only in the very recent past that these communities have finally been able to participate and start to overcome the institutional disadvantages they have had in "playing the game," as it were. Now, we are redefining the rules of the game. These are the same concerns I have whenever we look at any sort of election reform. I recognize the need for reform, and I fully support the principles of election reform of all sorts, but when it comes to the specific vehicles of reform, I urge more caution than is usually considered. Folks like to assert that whatever their reform is will benefit these marginalized communities, often without recognizing this aspect – without recognizing that we are essentially changing the rules of the game that those communities were already kept out of for centuries while they are just starting to be able to overcome those obstacles.

Again, I recognize that many of the organizations I trust and respect that represent these communities are supporting HB 2004. That is one of the reasons why I voted yes. This is also a referral to the people, and I believe that is the right thing to do here. We, as legislators, are elected to make big, meaningful decisions on Page 2 of 2 Phone: (503) 986-1722 - email: sen.lewfrederick@oregonlegislature.gov behalf of the people of our state, and I take that duty to heart, but there are times when a referral is appropriate, and this is one of them. I am not so opposed to this measure that I would stand in the way of it getting its chance in front of the voters. While that does not mean I will vote for it on my ballot – I'm not sure if I will at this point – I am not going to stop it from getting there.

I also thank the proponents for their meaningful engagement. My office can be a bit wonky and we peppered the RCV proponents with all sorts of questions about various details as we sought to better understand the specifics of the bill. They were always willing to engage thoughtfully – and did so with smiles. I do not doubt their intentions – I truly believe that they believe that RCV will deliver all the positive outcomes they say it will. And I share those goals, so I do genuinely hope they are right. I

have my doubts, but they are not convictions. So despite the concerns I shared, for the reasons above, I voted a courtesy yes on HB 2004 B. Again, I thank the proponents for their meaningful engagement. While their answers to questions from my office did not always alleviate concerns, they were thorough, honest, forthcoming, and always responsive. This was also among the reasons I voted yes. And I hope the proponents will keep me and my office involved in this issue.

HB 2004 B-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2004 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill refers to the voters a measure to establish ranked choice voting. This method would be used to choose national and state offices. The bill also allows local government to use the same method. It sets the process for ballots and counting votes. It requires the Secretary of State and county clerks to educate voters. The bill applies to voting after January 1, 2028.

FLESCH SCORE: 63

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2128 C-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Prozanski. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed. Woods granted unanimous consent to change vote from nay to aye.

HB 2128 C-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2128 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill will require tobacco companies to make payments to the state instead of an escrow account, based on sales in Oregon. The change applies to companies that did not join in the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement. It also applies only to sales made in 2024 or later. It directs that the money be placed in a fund for Oregon Health Plan costs. It allows the AG to file a civil action to enforce payment owed by any tobacco company.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2527 - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 24; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2527 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2527 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires that the landowner application for the special assessment of wildlife habitat land to affirm that the plan is being implemented substantially as approved. It will also require the landowner to notify the county assessor of a change in use prior to the next January 1 assessment date. The bill will also end the mandate that a state agency must check if program rules are followed. Land cannot be in the program if they do not follow program rules. It will take effect the 91st day after sine die.

FLESCH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2757 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Lieber. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 6 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2757 B-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2757 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill makes the 9-8-8 Trust Fund and says that money in the fund can be used to improve the 9- 8-8 suicide prevention and behavioral health crisis hotline and the coordinated crisis system that serves the whole state. The bill creates a new 40 cent tax that starts on January 1, 2024. The tax is for each month and on each phone line for people who subscribe to telecom or VOIP service. The tax will also be on prepaid telecom services. The tax goes to the new 9-8-8 Trust Fund. The bill states that no local governments can make a new tax, fee, or charge for 9-8-8. The bill states that a crisis hotline center must have policies and must have staff training on racially and ethnically diverse communities and that the hotline staff have people who have the language and culture knowledge and skills to respond to the people in the communities that they serve. The bill states cities must have a memo of understanding (MOU) with a county when they provide the mobile crisis service and states what should be in the MOUs when they are made. The bill states OHA must establish a committee to give oversight and the direction for the state coordinated crisis system. The bill provides a source of funds for the DOR to administer the new tax, and it sets an end date for the tax as December 31, 2029. The bill takes effect on 91st day post sine die.

FLESCH SCORE: 60

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2971 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Golden. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 2971 A-Eng. – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2971 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill adds an in-stream water lease as a reason that farmland will not be disqualified from the property tax special assessment. The farmland must use accepted farm practices. The final order that approves the in-stream lease that is issued by the Oregon Water Resources Department must be sent to the county assessor. The bill applies to property tax years that start on or after July 1, 2024. It takes effect on the 91st day after sine die.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3013 - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On question of passage the vote was: Ayes, 14; Nays, 11 - Anderson, Bonham, Campos, Findley, Girod, Gorsek, Knopp, Robinson, Sollman, Weber, Woods; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill failed.

HB 3013 – Brock Smith requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3013 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires companies that manage pharmacy benefits (PBMs) to be licensed with the state starting in 2024. It sets new payment terms for PBMs and updates standards for PBM appeals and audits. The bill allows people to pick the pharmacy they want to get their drugs from. It also updates 340B drug coverage terms.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.5

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3013 – Sollman requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

There is much in House Bill 3013 I could support. I want our pharmacies to have clarity and transparency from PBMs. I think they should be licensed to do business in our state to protect our consumers and independent pharmacies. This legislation would have completely changed the reimbursement methodology for payers and most pharmacies. That wholesale change needs to have further analysis and review by the Prescription Drug Affordability Board that was created specifically for these issues. Moreover, House Bill 3013 would have introduced inflation into our prescription drug market and would have inevitably raised prices on consumers by requiring increased dispensing fees. Those fees would be paid to all pharmacies including those owned by big-box stores and PBMs. I look forward to continuing the conversation on protecting our local pharmacies, but we saw that when similar legislation was passed in Tennessee, their consumers were hit hard, and I simply felt the risk to our most vulnerable was too great.

HB 3086 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Taylor, Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 2 - Gorsek, Robinson; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3086 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3086 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes the make-up of the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission. Based on this bill it must have two members each from the Upper Northwest Region, and the West Central Region. It must also have one member each from the Southwest Region, the North Central Region, and the Eastern Region. New members also must fulfill certain criteria.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3229 A-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Dembrow. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 17; Nays, 8 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Weber; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3229 A-Eng. – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3229 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill changes federal air quality permit fees. It allows the EQC to change some federal program fees yearly.

FLESCH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter

in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3632 - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 25; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Bill passed.

HB 3632 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3632 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill expands to the time in which a DA may bring charges for sex abuse offenses in the first degree, from 12 years to 20 years. It applies to such crimes committed at any time, unless the time limit already expired before the effective date of the bill.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HCR 38 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

Senate reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HCR 38 - Read first time and referred to Committee on Rules.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate reverted to the order of Reports from Committees by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Committee Report Summary No. 181, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 3414 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the B-Engrossed bill.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended for HB 3414; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for HB 3414. On motion to suspend the

rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 4 – Bonham, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 5 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Motion carried.

HB 3414 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to take action immediately on second and third reading. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HB 3414 – Under rules suspension, read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 3414 – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Anderson. On question of passage the vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 10 - Campos, Dembrow, Gelsner Blouin, Golden, Gorsek, Manning Jr, Patterson, Prozanski, Taylor, Woods; Absent, 5 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher. Senate at ease. Senate reassembled. Bill failed.

HB 3414 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3414 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill requires a city or a county to grant changes to some standards for new housing that is being developed. It directs, and gives funding to, DLCD and DCBS to set up and operate the Housing Accountability and Production Office. It describes the role of the office to help cities and counties follow housing laws. The bill also creates new ways for a city to change its UGB to develop certain land for new housing.

FLESCH SCORE: 62.3

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 3414 – Frederick requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

I voted yes on HB 3414 B. I did not do so without significant reluctance. I will keep this short, as I fully understand the concerns that many individuals had, including a number of my colleagues, and I don't need to repeat them. I heard from folks I trust on both sides of this bill. I went back and forth on whether voting yes or voting no would have holistically benefited our state more, because ultimately, that is what it is all about: will passing a given bill help our state more than maintaining the status quo? Honestly, I wasn't sure about this one, but I was convinced by individuals I trust to have the best interests of the state on both the environment and housing that it would have helped – or at least, that it was worth trying. Individuals like Governor Kotek, whom I had spoken with at length about the specifics of this bill. Individuals like Senator Jama, who gave compelling remarks on the Senate floor and has demonstrated his expertise on housing in this state, and whose leadership and guidance I find second to none on Oregon's housing policy.

I want to be very clear: I do not think that this was a flawless bill – far from it. My yes vote should not and does not indicate wholehearted support. Rather, it is an indication of where I fell on a very difficult decision with only two options: yes or no. After meaningful conversations, reading the bill and hearing from thoughtful folks on all sides, I voted yes

because I think the bigger risk to our state is not taking action. Yes, there have been many successes on addressing housing issues this session, such as HB 2001, SB 919 and HB 3395, and I'm proud to have voted yes on these bills and supported Senator Jama's leadership on them. And I fully expect that we will return in the 2024 and 2025 legislative sessions to keep addressing these issues, such as investing in infrastructure and land acquisition to meet, in particular, our middle housing goals. I hoped that HB 3414 would work in concert with the goals of these other measures, not be a hindrance to them.

I especially still had concerns about the slippery slope of carving out another aspect of our urban growth boundary system. And had HB 3414 passed, I would have been watching even more carefully and been even more cautious about any such similar steps in the future. The issues and concerns about land use are real – I do not downplay them. We would have needed to have been constantly carefully monitoring for any potential abuses of our system after the passage of HB 3414. I had been personally assured by the Governor that this monitoring would happen and that there were and are sufficient safeguards to deter and prevent any such abuse.

I completely understand how thoughtful individuals looking at this same bill would reach a different conclusion and vote differently. I would have been watching, as I know my colleagues would have, to see how HB 3414 played out. And if concerns about the bill started to realize – and hopes and expectations didn't – then not only did I expect, but I trusted, that the Governor and the legislature would take meaningful, swift corrective action.

So, despite the concerns that I detailed and the concerns that I and others shared, I voted yes on HB 3414 for the reasons I stated. Many will say that we dodged a bullet by the bill failing on the floor today and that passing the bill would have been a huge mistake. I hoped that they were wrong and I did believe, with much reservation and after much consideration, that this bill would have had a net benefit on our state. But if my colleagues who voted no – and others who advocated that I do the same – were not wrong and I was, I still trusted and had confidence that we would revisit this, and done so purposefully, to help reach our common goals for our Oregon.

Since the bill did not pass, I look forward to there being substantial conversations over the next several months of the interim to have a bill in the 2024 session to address the goals all shared in discussing HB 3414, regardless of the position they ultimately took on it. There is no doubt that we need to take bold action to address Oregon's housing crisis. We need to do it right.

HB 3242 – Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to take action on conference committee report. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HB 3242 – Under rules suspension Prozanski moved to adopt Conference Committee report and repass. Senate refused to adopt Conference Committee report. The vote was: Ayes, 15; Nays, 9 - Anderson, Bonham, Findley, Girod, Knopp, Robinson, Brock Smith, Steiner, Weber; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher. Motion failed.

HB 3242 – Anderson requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 3242 C to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:



The bill lets an insured person bring a civil action against an insurer for an unfair claim settlement practice. The action can include practices that are not described in the current law but that are found to be unfair by the DCBS or a court.

FLESCH SCORE: 63.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

SB 5506; SB 70, 955; HB 5005, 5006, 5029, 5030 – Message from the House announcing passage.

HB 2004, 2128, 2805, 3309, 3395, 3396 – Message from the House announcing concurrence in Senate amendments and repassage.

SB 5505, 5513, 5514, 5515, 5535, 5541; SB 99, 129, 420, 488, 490, 546, 556, 582, 606, 609, 619 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 25.

Senate reverted to the order of First Reading of House Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

HB 5005, 5006, 5029, 5030 - Read first time and referred to Committee on Ways and Means.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate recessed until 3:00 p.m. by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

### **Sunday, June 25, 2023 – Afternoon Session**

Senate reconvened at 3:00 p.m. President Wagner in Chair. All present except, Absent, 7 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher, Woods.

Senate, having recessed under the order of First Reading of House Measures, resumed under the order of Reports from Committees by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Committee Report Summary No. 182, listing the following report, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HCR 38 - Report by Committee on Rules recommending adoption.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be

suspended; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for HCR 38, HB 5049, and HB 2732. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 20; Nays, 3 – Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher, Woods. Motion carried.

HCR 38; HB 5049; HB 2732 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to take action immediately on second and third reading. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HCR 38 – Under rules suspension, read second time and passed to third reading.

HCR 38 – Under rules suspension, read final time. Carried by Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 4 - Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher, Woods. Resolution adopted.

HCR 38 – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HCR 38 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill sets limits and deadlines for bills for the 2024 session.

FLESCH SCORE: 67.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5049 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Gelser Blouin. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 18; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 7 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 5049 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5049 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides General Fund to the Employment Department. This money is to pay for some of the costs to administer unemployment insurance, and to pay for some of the agency's shared services. The bill also lessens how much Federal Funds that the agency can spend.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 2732 B-Eng. - Read third time. Carried by Knopp. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 7 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 2732 B-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 2732 B to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives 6 million dollars from the general fund to the DOJ. The monies are for Child Abuse Intervention Centers (CACs). CACs do forensic interviews, medical exams, medical treatment and mental health treatment. They also do referrals and coordinate for other services for the child. There are 21 CACs in Oregon. They receive part of their funding from the state. Declares an emergency.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate proceeded to the order of Other Business of the Senate by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Pursuant to ORS 291.330, President Wagner announced the following appointments to the Emergency Board:

#### EMERGENCY BOARD

Rob Wagner, Co-Chair  
Wynsvey Campos  
Lynn Findley  
Lew Frederick  
Fred Girod  
Bill Hansell  
Tim Knopp  
Janeen Sollman  
Elizabeth Steiner  
Kathleen Taylor

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Lieber moved that the Senate confirm en bloc the President's appointments to the Emergency Board. On motion to confirm en bloc the vote was: Ayes, 23; Absent, 7 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Meek, Thatcher, Woods. Appointments confirmed en bloc.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate reverted to the order of Reports from Committees by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Committee Report Summary No. 183, listing the following reports, was distributed to members today. Summary list recorded in Journal and Status Report by order of the President.

HB 5005 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5006 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5029 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

HB 5030 - Report by Committee on Ways and Means recommending passage of the A-Engrossed bill.

Senate reverted to the order of Propositions and Motions by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

Knopp moved that in compliance with Article IV, section 19, of the Oregon Constitution, and notwithstanding any provision of the Senate Rules for the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly, the requirement that on its final passage each bill shall be read section by section be suspended; and that the requirement that every bill shall be read by title only on three several days in each house be suspended for HB 5005, 5006, 5029, and 5030. On motion to suspend the rules the vote was: Ayes, 21; Nays, 3 – Bonham, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 – Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher, Woods. Motion carried.

HB 5005, 5006, 5029, 5030 - Lieber moved to suspend the rules in order to take action immediately on second and third reading. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

HB 5005, 5006, 5029, 5030 – Under rules suspension, read second time and passed to third reading.

HB 5005 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 5005 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5005 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill authorizes issuance of bonds as well as other financing agreements for the next two years.

FLESCH SCORE: 60.1

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5006 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Steiner. On passage of bill the vote was:

Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 5006 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5006 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill limits how much Other Funds and Federal Funds that state agencies can spend for certain construction projects over the next six years. The bill also approves the sale of certain property.

FLESCH SCORE: 64.4

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5029 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Girod. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 23; Nays, 1 - Robinson; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 5029 A-Eng. – Findley requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5029 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides for the distribution of Lottery Fund moneys, as well as funds from other state accounts.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.6

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

HB 5030 A-Eng. – Under rules suspension, read third time. Carried by Frederick. On passage of bill the vote was: Ayes, 19; Nays, 5 - Bonham, Findley, Girod, Robinson, Brock Smith; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher, Woods. Bill passed.

HB 5030 A-Eng. – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for HB 5030 A to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill provides for the distribution of Lottery Fund moneys, as well as funds from other state accounts.

FLESCH SCORE: 61.8

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate at ease. Senate reassembled.

Senate reverted to the order of Third Reading of Senate Measures by unanimous consent at the request of the Chair.

SCR 18 - Read final time in its entirety. Carried by Lieber. On adoption of resolution the vote was: Ayes, 24; Absent, 6 - Boquist, Hansell, Hayden, Linthicum, Thatcher, Woods. Resolution adopted.

SCR 18 – Knopp requested the following written explanation of vote be entered into the journal:

Please accept this vote explanation for SCR 18 to become a permanent entry in the journal:

In attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual, I am submitting the following revised measure summary:

The bill gives the option to end the legislative session.

FLESCH SCORE: 69.7

Senate President Robert Wagner has also submitted this redrafted summary in the form of the 3rd Reading Measure Digest as a floor letter in an attempt to comply with Senate Rule 13.02, Oregon Statute ORS 171.134, and Legislative Councils Form and Style Manual.

Additionally, this revised summary has been read on the record by the bill carrier.

Senate adjourned sine die at 4:26 p.m., June 25, 2023.

Boquist requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will not be at opening roll call today 25 June 2023. Will be attending Mass two hours away. For 163 years since statehood the Senate Journal reflects recognized holy days of obligation for members. Protestant, Christian, reluctantly Catholic, Jewish, Islamic member religions have been recognized as excused absences. The 82nd Session ended formal session invocations and opening colors. SR 4.01. Unfortunately, the few Pastors who have dared request providing invocations have been verbally attacked by majority members on the floor. Atheism is the new norm. This member has rarely been present for the Xmas Tree Bill nor the last day of political trading. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Or Const Art IV Sec 26 enter this roll call absence and protest into the Senate Journal today June 25, 2023 as will be attending Tridentine Mass on this Holy Day of obligation.

Linthicum requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I am requesting to be excused on June 25, 2023. In Senate District 28 working with constituents regarding the continued unlawful, unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has

"legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans. Oregon Constitution Art III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The AG continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats and their employees. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 21 and ORS 171.134 continue to be violated. HB 2002, Mengele's Law, returned to committee but the summary was not amended to comply with the law, nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Racist bills and free speech ban bills being passed are unconstitutional. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 abound. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering hidden. Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated 18 times. The Oregon & U.S. Constitutions are in tatters. Per Oregon Constitution Art IV Sec 26 enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal for June 25, 2023.

Thatcher requested the following protest be entered into the journal per Article IV, section 26, of the Oregon Constitution:

I will be absent from the Senate floor on June 24 and June 25, 2023.

I am working with constituents in SD 11, as well as with other Oregonians and advisors regarding the continued unlawful and unconstitutional actions of the Democrat controlled Senate. Nothing has "legally" changed despite the return of some Republicans.

OR Constitution Article III Sec 1 continues to be violated. The Attorney General continues to unconstitutionally and illegally control committees, Senate Democrats, their employees, and many legislative staff employees, violating separation of powers.

Text of Article III Section 1:

Separation of Powers

The powers of the Government shall be divided into three separate branches, the Legislative, the Executive, including the administrative, and the Judicial; and no person charged with official duties under one of these branches, shall exercise any of the functions of another, except as in this Constitution expressly provided.

OR Constitution Article IV Sec 21 regarding readability of measures and ORS 171.134 regarding readability of measure summaries, continue to be violated. HB 2002 was returned to committee, but the summary was not amended to comply with ORS 171.134. Nor is it to a readable standard constitutionally. HB 2002's summary also was not amended in committee.

Text of Article IV Section 21:

Acts to Be Plainly Worded

Every act, and joint resolution shall be plainly worded, avoiding as far as practicable the use of technical terms.

ORS 171.134

Readability test for legislative digests and summaries

Any measure digest or measure summary prepared by the Legislative Assembly shall be written in a manner that results in a score of at least 60 on the Flesch readability test or meets an equivalent standard of a comparable test. [1979 c.270 § 1]

FMLA and OFLA medical laws are ignored. The First Amendment dating to 1860 statehood is ignored. Supreme Court rulings ignored. Constitutional, statutory, and rules complaints dating to January 2023 continue to be unlawfully stonewalled. Retribution and retaliation under LBPR 27 continue. Constitutional, civil/criminal statutory and rules violations grow daily. Cash & money laundering that benefits the party in power is still being hidden. OR Constitution Art IV Sec 25 has been violated numerous times.

LBPR 27 [in part] - Safe, Respectful and Inclusive Workplace

1. Policy.

(a) The Legislative Branch is committed to promoting a respectful and inclusive environment in the State Capitol and in any setting in which conduct has the ability to create a Capitol environment that is intimidating, hostile or offensive, or may constitute conduct that amounts to retaliation for the making of a good-faith complaint under this rule or for participation in an investigation under this rule.

(f) This rule is designed to provide options to those who are experiencing or observing harassing behavior, discriminatory behavior or other behavior prohibited by subsection (7) of this rule to seek information, report behaviors or file complaints to address and resolve concerns, while also ...

Text of Article IV Section 25:

Majority Necessary to Pass Bills and Resolutions; Special Requirements for Bills Raising Revenue; Signatures of Presiding Officers Required

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2) of this section, a majority of all the members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass every bill or Joint resolution.

(2) Three-fifths of all members elected to each House shall be necessary to pass bills for raising revenue.

(3) All bills, and Joint resolutions passed, shall be signed by the presiding officers of the respective houses.

Per OR Constitution Article IV Sec 26 please enter this absence request and protest into the Senate Journal today.

Text of Article IV Section 26:

Protest by Member

Any member of either house, shall have the right to protest, and have his protest, with his reasons for dissent, entered on the journal.

SCR 18 – Message from the House announcing adoption.

HB 5002, 5003, 5004, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5013, 5014, 5015, 5016, 5017, 5018, 5020, 5024, 5025, 5026, 5027, 5028, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5037, 5040, 5041, 5042, 5043, 5044, 5047, 5048; HB 2045, 2107, 2292, 2328, 2572, 2645, 2649, 2656, 2665, 2676, 2683, 2696, 2697, 2717, 2725, 2727, 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2804, 2817, 2834, 2914, 2915, 2925, 2929, 2931, 2984, 2991, 2994, 3001, 3005, 3031, 3043, 3059, 3068, 3073, 3080, 3143, 3144, 3148, 3171, 3173, 3179, 3187, 3188, 3197, 3201, 3215, 3258, 3300, 3308, 3332, 3362, 3382, 3410, 3425, 3456, 3471, 3485, 3550, 3558, 3564, 3565, 3572, 3583, 3584, 3596, 3626, 3630 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 25.

SCR 13, 16, 18; SJR 34; SB 5506; SB 28, 70, 91, 192, 215, 278, 283, 344, 498, 611, 775, 790, 819, 835, 889, 900, 919, 931, 955, 966, 972, 1002, 1034, 1040, 1041, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1089, 1094, 1095; HB 5002, 5003, 5004, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5013, 5014, 5015, 5016, 5017, 5018, 5020, 5024, 5025, 5026, 5027, 5028, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5037, 5040, 5041, 5042, 5043, 5044, 5047, 5048; HB 2045, 2107, 2292, 2328, 2572, 2645, 2649, 2656, 2665, 2676, 2683, 2696, 2697, 2717, 2725, 2727, 2763, 2767, 2772, 2793, 2802, 2804, 2817, 2834, 2914, 2915, 2925, 2929, 2931, 2984, 2991, 2994, 3001, 3005, 3031, 3043, 3059, 3068, 3073, 3080, 3143, 3144, 3148, 3171, 3173, 3179, 3187, 3188, 3197, 3201, 3215, 3258, 3300, 3308, 3332, 3362, 3382, 3410, 3425, 3456, 3471, 3485, 3550, 3558, 3564, 3565, 3572, 3583, 3584, 3596, 3626, 3630 - President Wagner signed on June 27.

SCR 13, 16; SB 28, 192, 215, 344, 498, 775, 790, 819, 835, 889, 900, 919, 931, 966, 972, 1002, 1034, 1041, 1048, 1050, 1089, 1094, 1095; HCR 38; HJM 3; HJR 16; HB 5005, 5006, 5012, 5022, 5029, 5030, 5031, 5033, 5049; HB 2004, 2009, 2073, 2083, 2128, 2284, 2395, 2527, 2732, 2757, 2759, 2761, 2805, 2965, 2971, 3014, 3042, 3086, 3194, 3198, 3221, 3223, 3229, 3235, 3309, 3395, 3396, 3406, 3409, 3610, 3625, 3632 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on June 29.

HCR 38; HJM 3; HJR 16; HB 5005, 5006, 5012, 5022, 5029, 5030, 5031, 5033, 5049; HB 2004, 2009, 2073, 2083, 2128, 2284, 2395, 2527, 2732, 2757, 2759, 2761, 2805, 2965, 2971, 3014, 3042, 3086, 3194, 3198, 3221, 3223, 3229, 3235, 3309, 3395, 3396, 3406, 3409, 3610, 3625, 3632 - President Wagner signed on June 29.

SB 161 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on June 30.

SCR 18; SJR 34; SB 5506; SB 70, 91, 278, 283, 611, 955, 1040, 1049 – Message from the House announcing the Speaker signed on July 6.

SB 611 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on July 6.

SB 53, 93, 168, 189, 205, 210, 212, 217, 221, 226, 227, 228, 256, 269, 273, 337, 339, 406, 424, 450, 498, 523, 618, 807, 819, 865, 913, 968, 969, 1013, 1043, 1069 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on July 13.

SB 5502, 5503, 5504, 5505, 5507, 5512, 5513, 5514, 5516, 5518, 5519, 5530, 5536, 5542; SB 28, 91, 321, 619, 835, 889, 955, 1040 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on July 18.

SB 789 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed with the following explanation on July 18:

Dear President Wagner and Speaker Rayfield,

Today I am signing Senate Bill 789, which extends the restriction of canola production in the Willamette Valley Protected District for another year until July 1, 2024, and directs the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) to submit a report to the Legislature by September 30, 2023.

Meeting the deadline for the ODA to submit a report as required by Senate Bill 789 will be challenging. The timeline was established without consultation with the ODA or my office. The abbreviated timeline may significantly impact the agency's ability to effectively engage key stakeholders during the next 90 days of the busy agricultural harvest season. This, in turn, may impact the substantive findings and recommendations anticipated for interim committee hearings. I have directed my staff to support the ODA director and to help facilitate the conversations we hope will lead to additional action by the Legislature in the 2024 Session that will balance interests while ensuring the economic viability of our organic and specialty seed growers.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Governor Tina Kotek

SB 5510, 5511, 5515, 5520, 5521, 5523, 5524, 5526, 5527, 5528, 5529, 5531, 5532, 5533, 5534, 5537, 5538, 5540, 5541, 5546; SB 85, 192, 931, 1041, 1048 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on July 27.

SB 5509, 5525; SB 1, 3, 11, 70, 99, 104, 129, 215, 278, 283, 344, 420, 490, 546, 556, 582, 606, 609, 775, 790, 900, 919, 966, 972, 1002, 1034, 1050, 1094 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on July 31.

SB 5539; SB 166, 275, 1049 - Message from the Governor announcing she signed on August 4.

SB 80 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed with the following explanation on August 4:

Dear President Wagner and Speaker Rayfield,

Today, I am signing Senate Bill 80 and I would like to thank you for your effort in continuing to advance fire protection in Oregon. Senate Bill 80 represented this year's omnibus bill on wildfire, and I offer the following for your consideration.

This new law makes several adjustments to the statewide wildfire hazard map that will help with the development and delivery of the next mapping iteration. Allowing more time to work with counties and engage Oregonians is a critical adjustment. The maps purpose, name, and land designations have all changed to better represent the purpose of the map. Notification to landowners will be in collaboration with the legislatively appointed Wildfire Programs Advisory Council, and the appeals process was made less complex for landowners. Even with these improvements, many Oregonians will continue to have concerns about the map as it represents a regulatory tool with a statewide approach to protecting communities from wildfire. To help mitigate some of these concerns, we will defer to a public education campaign on the benefits of defensible space and strategically consider the timing of defensible space enforcement.

Senate Bill 80 was the vehicle utilized to fund the Oregon State Fire Marshal's wildfire community risk reduction program at \$3 million. Last biennium, the Legislature invested \$32 million for this purpose in OSFM. A more durable and consistent funding strategy is necessary for Oregon to help protect our communities from wildfire.

Senate Bill 80 also created the Landscape Resiliency Fund and adjusted the existing Community Risk Reduction fund to allow for philanthropic donations for these purposes of reducing wildfire risk. The executive branch will continue to work towards attracting philanthropic dollars for this purpose.

Additionally, Senate Bill 80 expanded the granting opportunities to non-profits and faith-based organizations to deliver the public clean air services more efficiently during wildfire events. However, this program within the Oregon Department of Human Services was not funded in this bill or the agency budget bill House Bill 5026. I speak to this in detail, in my letter regarding House Bill 5026.

Finally, Senate Bill 80 expanded the prescribed fire program in the Oregon Department of Forestry to include a prescribed fire liability pilot program. Liability issues have been identified as a limitation to meeting prescribed fire goals, and the executive branch looks forward to testing this concept.

The July 2023 wildfire programs director's report captures a comprehensive look at how we have progressed wildfire programs through targeted investments in Oregon. I would be happy to discuss the above details in Senate Bill 80 and more broadly, the bi-monthly wildfire programs director's report as we prepare for wildfire in Oregon's future.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Governor Tina Kotek

SB 488 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed with the following explanation on August 4:

Dear President Wagner and Speaker Rayfield,

Today, I am signing Senate Bill 488, which creates a standalone continuous monitoring obligation on Oregon's only municipal solid waste incinerator, Covanta Marion.

I recognize communities across Oregon have questions and concerns about what's in their air. To address concerns and ensure that communities are protected, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has Cleaner Air Oregon to evaluate and regulate the public health risks associated with emissions from stationary sources. Currently, DEQ is actively conducting the risk assessment process for the Covanta Marion facility. DEQ has already required and overseen a variety of onsite monitoring activities to better understand the facility's emissions profile.

Senate Bill 488 established a separate monitoring requirement for the facility that diverges from current agency work. Notably, DEQ's Title V Air Quality Permitting program, under which municipal solid waste incinerators are regulated, is under-staffed. I have directed my staff to work with DEQ to rebuild the Title V program staffing and operations, but special projects will impact DEQ's ability to deliver on core work and my expectations of operational excellence in state agencies. This is a concern.

Senate Bill 488 also established limits on the acceptance of certain infectious wastes in statute. Existing law identifies incineration as the preferred method of disposal of certain infectious wastes. It is unclear how capping incineration in Oregon at 18,000 tons of waste per year without context may impact waste management as the state grows, or how it may impact safe disposal of infectious wastes during public health events. Additionally, I understand that depending on DEQ's Cleaner Air Oregon risk assessment for the facility, the annual cap may not be a meaningful condition of operation.

Moving forward, it is my goal that DEQ has a well-resourced air quality monitoring program so that it can be nimble and responsive as issues arise. My office would welcome a conversation on additional action by the legislature in the 2024 Session to provide ongoing monitoring resources to ensure DEQ completes its key work in all communities.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Governor Tina Kotek

SB 1089 – Message from the Governor announcing she signed with the following explanation on August 4:

Dear President Wagner and Speaker Rayfield,

Today, I am signing Senate Bill 1089. I write to affirm my commitment to health care access and share my feedback on the contents of the bill and its implementation.

The Oregon Health Plan covers 1 in 4 Oregonians and has provided greater support in recent years through groundbreaking policies like Cover All Kids, Cover All People, and enhanced coverage of reproductive health and gender affirming care. I believe these programs set Oregon apart and help ensure that Oregonians can have affordable care with culturally appropriate providers in every corner of the state, something I am deeply committed to achieving and maintaining. I understand the continuous and challenging workload required of agencies to implement, resource, and manage these programs after they are penned into law. I also know the goal of this work: a healthier Oregon.

I have been clear that as we emerge from the international pandemic that thrust our communities, businesses, schools, and public institutions into crisis, we must approach new programs with great scrutiny and the highest degree of accountability. I believe that this moment calls for mission-focused discipline and a renewed commitment to making sure that state government is delivering results for Oregonians.

While we share the intent of Senate Bill 1089, I have implementation concerns following a thorough review process. This session the legislature invested in implementing the Basic Health Plan, 1115 Medicaid Waiver, Essential Health Care Trust expansion, and Healthier Oregon coverage expansions. All of these contribute to the goal of increased access to quality health care at lower costs based on our ability to leverage Medicaid infrastructure. As Senate Bill 1089 advances a new program that will require significant financial investments in future years, I call upon the sponsors of the bill and legislative leadership to provide clearer direction to the Governance Board on their charge when you reconvene in February.

The legislative record lacks a distinction between the work of the Task Force on Universal Health Care assembled through Senate Bill 770 (2019) and the new Universal Health Plan Governance Board, as well as the charge of each body. This presents a potential risk of duplicative efforts and inefficient spending of \$2 million in taxpayer funding. At the very same time, given the scope of this pending programmatic change, it is equally likely that \$2 million underestimates the resources required to fulfill the intent of the bill.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Governor Tina Kotek

SB 5506 – Message from the Governor announcing she line-item vetoed on August 4.

SB 1095 – Message from the Governor announcing she line-item vetoed with the following explanation on August 4:

Dear President Wagner and Speaker Rayfield,

Senate Bill 1095 increases membership on the Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission (OLCC), Oregon Growth Board, and Early Learning Council to accommodate Oregon's new 6th Congressional District. These changes are needed as a matter of good governance. Now that Oregon has six congressional districts, boards and commissions that require membership from each congressional district must include the 6th District.

However, Senate Bill 1095 includes an emergency clause requiring the act to take effect on its passage. This timing would pose a problem. The Executive Branch requires sufficient time to thoughtfully recruit and vet potential appointees and for them to go through the Senate confirmation process. To address that issue, I have exercised my authority under Article V, section 15a, of the Oregon Constitution to veto the emergency clause in Senate Bill 1095. The bill will become effective on January 1, 2024.

Sincerely,

Governor Tina Kotek

SB 5535 – Message from the Governor announcing she approved without signature with the following explanation on August 4.

Dear President Wagner and Speaker Rayfield,

Senate Bill 5535 will become law without my signature.

Senate Bill 1504 (2022) prohibited the acceptance of wagers or the provision of gambling services in Oregon in connection with greyhound racing, regardless of the state or country in which the race takes place. I believe in the intent of this law and will direct the Oregon Racing Commission to act within its available authority to prohibit wagering on greyhound races, regardless of where they take place.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Governor Tina Kotek